Anesthesia by sprinkling method in the gills of tambaqui

Colossoma macropomum does not influence intensity and morphology of monogeneans
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Abstract

The present study evaluates the influence of anesthesia on the parasitic fauna of monogenea fish parasites, as its intensity and viability. Two experiments were conducted: Evaluation of an anesthetic method by sprinkling eugenol directly on gills and evaluation of monogenea motility and viability; Comparison of immersion and directly sprinkling on the gills with benzocaine and eugenol followed by evaluation on parasite intensity. The results suggest that the anesthetic sprinkling didn’t interfere in the parasite motility, morphology and body surface integrity analyzed by fluorescence method. The monogenean intensity in the gills was lower in fish anesthetized by immersion method compared to the sprinkling method and the control group. This method of anesthesia can be used in parasitological studies.
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Anestesia por meio de aspersão nas brânquias de tambaqui

Colossoma macropomum não influencia a intensidade e a morfologia de monogenês

Resumo

O presente estudo avalia a influência da anestesia sobre a fauna parasitária de monogenês em peixes, sua intensidade e sua viabilidade. Dois experimentos foram realizados: Avaliação de um método anestésico por aspersão eugenol diretamente nas brânquias e avaliação da motilidade das monogenês e sua viabilidade; e Comparação entre imersão e aspersão diretamente nas brânquias com benzocaina e eugenol, seguido de avaliação sobre a intensidade parasitária. Os resultados sugerem que a aspersão do anestésico não interferiu na motilidade, morfologia, superfície corporal e integridade do parasita, analisadas pelo método de fluorescência. A intensidade de monogenéticos nas brânquias foi menor nos peixes anestesiados pelo método de imersão em comparação com o método de aspersão e o grupo controle. O método de anestesia por aspersão nas brânquias pode ser utilizado em estudos parasitológicos.

Palavras-chave: parasitologia, bem-estar animal, o abate humanitário, histopatologia.

1. Introduction

The use of anesthesia in fish prior to euthanasia for parasitological studies is a challenge due to changes in the ectoparasites fauna and its morphology. In addition, ictioparasitologists are questioned by the Animal Research Ethics Committees (AREC) by not adopting prior anesthesia to perform euthanasia on animals in order to minimize the pain and animal suffering.

According to the Brazilian Council for Animal Experiments (BACAE), fish euthanasia should be carried out in two stages, (1) anesthesia to cause total loss of
equilibrium followed by a physical method such as (2) concussion (stunning), spinal cord section or chemical that promotes brain death (Brasil, 2013).

Anesthetics are used in aquaculture in production practices, teaching and research to reduce stress in fish during management practices, to decrease stimuli reaction over collection of biological material and even to promote stunning prior to euthanasia (Ross and Ross, 1999; Roubach et al., 2005; Inoue et al., 2005; Brasil, 2013). In Brazil the anesthetics commonly used in aquaculture are benzocaine (benzocaine hydrochloride) and eugenol (clove oil). Anesthetics and physiological effects after administration by immersion of these two products have been tested in tambaqui Colossoma macropomum (Gomes et al., 2001; Roubach et al., 2005), the most cultivated native fish (IBGE, 2013).

Several studies in disease control, diagnostics and treatments have been conducted to enhance understanding on this specie to increase productivity (Pinheiro et al., 2015; Boijink et al., 2015). Also, it has recently been demonstrated anthelmintic activity of eugenol against gill parasites in tambaqui, which indicates the possibility of anesthetic interference in studies with ectoparasites (Boijink et al., 2015).

The overall aim of this study was to evaluate the interference of the anesthetics methods of immersion and sprinkling with benzocaine and eugenol in tambaqui gill parasites.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Animals

Tambaqui juveniles were kept for two weeks in 1000 L tank with constant aeration and water renovation prior to the experiments. They were fed twice daily extruded feed (34% CP) to satiation. Parasitic analyzes were performed to confirm the presence of monogeneans in the gills.

2.2. Experiment 1: anesthetic sprinkling method, viability and parasite identification

Ten fish (269.0 ± 49.5 g, 22.75 ± 2.75 cm) were individually anesthetized by sprinkling 1 mL of eugenol solution on the gills, left side. This solution was prepared with 1 mL of eugenol diluted in 9 mL of alcohol and in 440 mL of water (adapted from Honczaryk and Inoue, 2009). Anesthetic induction time was registered, using a stopwatch. Behavioural changes in each fish were observed according to Keene et al. (1998). Clinical signs as lack of response to external stimuli and total loss of muscle tone were used to characterize the anesthetic stage IV (deep anaesthesia) (adapted from Hikasa et al., 1986).

The residual liquid from the sprinkling was collected and analyzed for parasites presence. After achieving the anesthetic stage V (Hikasa et al., 1986), the fish were euthanatized through medullar section and gills were removed and separated into Petri dishes. It was considered the gills on the left side with the anesthetic (LSWE), and the gills of the right side, without anesthetic (RSWOE) the control group. This experimental design was applied by Turgut et al. (2006), Tripathi et al. (2010), Tombi et al. (2014) and Gilbert and Avenant-Olendwage (2016), and showed similar parasites count between left and right sides of gills.

A gill fragment from each side was fixed in 10% buffered formalin for histological analysis, according Behmer et al. (1976).

After gill collection, 20 monogeneans parasites on either side of the gills were separated for evaluation of motility and viability by fluorescence method adapted from Maria et al. (2010). Each parasite was allocated in a well of a Kline plate with 200 uL of distilled water and 2.5 uL of SYBR-14 fluorochromes (green) and 2.5 uL propidium iodide (red), final concentrations of 250 nM SYBR-14 and 30 μM propidium iodide. This technique allows the view of viable parasites in green, and the dead or damaged ones, in red color. As negative control, live monogeneans without eugenol exposure were used and as positive control it was used monogeneans killed by 2.5% glacial acetic acid.

The identified parasites were selected by staining with Gomori triochrome and Hoyers solution method (Eiras et al., 2006; Thatcher, 2006). The gills, from the left and right sides were stored separately in 5% formaldehyde (Eiras et al., 2006) until counting in a stereomicroscope to calculate the total intensity.

2.3. Experiment 2: comparison between immersion and sprinkling method on parasites load

Fifty fish (163.24 ± 34.74 g; 20.02 ± 2.52 cm) were distributed randomly (10 fish/treatment): (1) control, without anesthetics, animals were sampled directly in the tank and eutnanatized by medullar section; (2) bath immersion with benzocaine (100 mg/L); (3) bath immersion with eugenol (50 mg/L); (4) benzocaine sprinkling (100 mg/L) directly on the gills; and (5) eugenol sprinkling (50 mg/L) directly on the gills.

A stock solution was prepared from each anesthetic. Clove oil was obtained commercially (Vetec®, eugenol 1 g/mL) and diluted 1:20 (v/v) in 95% ethanol to obtain 50 mg/mL (Honczaryk and Inoue, 2009). The benzocaine was diluted, 10 g in 100 mL of ethanol (1:10) and then diluted in 1L distilled water, 1:100 (v/v). Aliquots of the stock solutions were used to achieve the doses tested.

In the immersion baths, the anesthetic stock solutions were diluted in a 20 L container, where the fish were kept for 180 seconds until equilibrium loss, identified as stage 4 of deep anesthesia (Ross and Ross, 1999). In the sprinkling method, the anesthetic solutions were stored in polyethylene sprinklers, and approximately 10 mL of the solution were sprinkled on the gills on each side. After 150 seconds the fish were desensitized.

2.4. Statistics analysis

Compared T test were used in experiment 1 for monogenean counting, left and right sides. One-way ANOVA and Tukey test were used to analyze experiment 2 data. Descriptive statistics were used in the remaining data.
3. Results

In experiment 1, the fish reached deep anesthesia in 40 seconds, characterized by absence of stimuli response by touch and opercular movement. There was no difference in the intensity of monogeneans (173 ± 120) among the side sprinkled with eugenol and the control group (158 ± 120) (p = 0.47) (Figure 1). Residual liquid was collected and analyzed from two samples and no parasites were found after anesthetic application.

The use of eugenol did not affect parasites morphology, enabling its identification as Anacanthorus spathulatus and Notozothecium janauachensis. The parasites were viable and showed normal motility, according to the direct analysis in stereomicroscope. In fluorescence analysis, no integrity changes on the body surface of the parasites were observed.

It was observed that sprinkling eugenol in the studied concentration directly on gills promoted changes on its morphology, such as lamellar vascular axis vasodilatation, interstitial edema and lamella epithelial lifting (Figure 2).

In experiment 2, the average intensity of monogeneans in the gill of fish anesthetized by immersion was lower compared to the other groups (Figure 3). Loss of 44.4% and 42.5% in parasite intensity in the gills of fish exposed to immersion method with benzocaine as with eugenol respectively.

4. Discussion

Anesthesia by gill sprinkling method with benzocaine and eugenol promoted deep anesthesia and preserved the number of parasites in the fish’s gills. The deep anesthesia was achieved in 40 seconds in experiment 1, and 150 seconds in experiment 2, after complete stop of opercular movement and followed by the behavioral observations of Honczaryk and Inoue (2009).

In most of anesthetic procedures in fish, the solutions are administered in the water by immersion baths (Stoskopf, 1993). Immersion baths with benzocaine at doses of 100 mg/L for 2.71 minutes (Gomes et al., 2001) and eugenol 65 mg/L for 3.77 minutes (Roubach et al., 2005) have
been previously validated for tambaqui anesthesia. In this procedure the internalization of the active substance into the animal’s circulatory system is performed through the gills and cutaneous absorption, and then blocking reflex actions (Summerfelt and Smith, 1990).

In present experiments the time of induction of anesthesia was lower compared to immersion method. Sprinkling anesthetics on the gills is based on same technical principle and the method was tested in pirarucu Arapaima gigas and has proven to be viable at concentrations of 30 and 60 mg/L of eugenol (Honczaryk and Inoue, 2009). Probably, the direct contact of the anesthetic with the gills provided satisfactory absorption and reduction on times of induction.

The difference among times of induction in the two experiments was due to the stock solution concentration, because in experiment 2 the stock solution was more diluted to avoid gill alterations, as observed in experiment 1.

In Brazil legislation of anesthetics in fish is scarce, the National Council for Animal Experiments Control (Brasil, 2013) mainly indicates MS-222, benzocaine and eugenol for anesthesia in prior to euthanasia. However, the immersion method is not recommended to study of the intensity and abundance of parasites in fish gills (Boijink et al., 2015). Nevertheless, according to the results, sprinkling eugenol on the gills does not promote morphological and viability changes in the parasites. The fluorescence technique is widely used to assess sperm viability (Maria et al., 2010; Arruda et al., 2011) and protozoa studies (Davies and Stewart, 2000; Zhang et al., 2013; Song et al., 2015). Methods employing fluorescent dyes extend the possibility of a detailed structural integrity analysis of the observed organisms (Arruda et al., 2011).

Regarding histological changes, it is known that due to stressful conditions the gill tissue can suffer adjustments in three ways: change the water flow through the gills; change the blood flow through the gills; or change the gill morphology to adapt to the new condition (Nilsson, 2007).

Histologically there was edema and vasodilatation in gills exposed to eugenol sprinkling. These changes characterize an inflammatory response and represent a typical response to xenobiotics exposure (Nascimento et al., 2012). Lamellar epithelium detachment associated with edema is the mostly common alteration found in response to stressors. According to Movahedina et al. (2012) these alterations aim to increase the diffusion distance between the pollutant and blood. Similar histological changes were also observed in gills of other fish species, as shown in carp Cyprinus carpio (Velisek et al., 2005) and European catfish Silurus glanis (Velisek et al., 2006), considered momentary due to the contact with the anesthetic.

5. Conclusion

The gill sprinkling method of anesthesia using benzocaine or eugenol were effective methods for tambaqui anesthesia in the tested concentrations. It is feasible to use the same dose prior to fish euthanasia, associated with a physical method, in accordance with the precepts of animal ethics.

Furthermore, these anesthetic methods have not compromised the parasitological studies of monogeneans in the gills, preserving their viability and integrity.
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