Chromosome polymorphism and complements in populations of *Girardia* species (Platyhelminthes, Tricladida, Paludicola) from Southern Brazil
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**Abstract**

The karyotypes of four species of freshwater triclads of the genus *Girardia* (Platyhelminthes), i.e. *G. schubarti*, *G. tigrina*, *G. anderlani*, and *G. biapertura*, from populations of different localities of the Rio Grande do Sul State, in southern Brazil, were analyzed. The karyotype of *G. biapertura* is presented for the first time. Three basic complements of 4, 8, and 9 chromosomes were found. Diploids, triploids, or mixoploids (2n/3n) specimens were frequently detected in these populations. The basic chromosomal complement of n = 9 was verified in two different species (*G. biapertura* and *G. anderlani*), presenting a large acrocentric chromosome which is rare in the family Dugesiidae. An intra and interspecific chromosomal variability was also detected and its evolutionary implications are discussed.
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Polimorfismo e complementos cromossômicos em populações de espécies de *Girardia* (Platyhelminthes, Tricladida, Paludicola) do sul do Brasil

**Resumo**

Os cariótipos de quatro espécies de planárias de água doce do gênero *Girardia* (Platyhelminthes), a saber, *G. schubarti*, *G. tigrina*, *G. anderlani* e *G. biapertura*, de populações ocorrentes em diferentes locais do estado do Rio Grande do Sul, foram analisados. O cariótipo de *G. biapertura* é apresentado pela primeira vez. Foram observados três complementos básicos, de 4, 8 e 9 cromossomos. Espécimes diplóides, tripóides e mixóploides (2n/3n) foram observados frequentemente nessas populações. O complemento cromossômico básico de n = 9 foi verificado em duas espécies (*G. biapertura* e *G. anderlani*), apresentando um grande cromossomo acrocentríco que é raro na família Dugesiidae. Também foi observada certa variabilidade cromossômica, tanto intra- como interspecífica, cujas implicações evolutivas são discutidas.

**Palavras-chave:** planárias de água doce, citogenética, plasticidade genética, variabilidade cromossômica.
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**1. Introduction**

Systematic cytogenetic research concerning species of the genus *Girardia* (Dugesiidae) in south Brazil date from the 1970’s (Pereira, 1970; Kawakatsu et al., 1981, 1983, 1984). Major karyological investigations have been done in taxonomic studies of freshwater planarians (Kawakatsu et al., 1981, 1983, 1984). From the six known species occurring in the Brazilian southern region, only *Girardia schubarti* (Marcus, 1946), *Girardia tigrina* (Girard, 1850), and *Girardia anderlani* (Kawakatsu and Hauser, 1983) were studied from a cytogenetic point of view (Pereira, 1970; Kawakatsu et al., 1981, 1983, 1984).

Except for the data on a few populations of *G. schubarti* (Kawakatsu et al., 1984), little is known about the intraspecific cytogenetic variability in Brazilian Dugesiidae. The interspecific variability is also poorly understood.

---

†In memoriam
known and the method used in earlier studies for chromosome dispersion ("squash" method) did not yield the best results to analyze the chromosome morphology.

The purpose of this research was to analyze the karyological components of four freshwater planarian species from the northeast region of the Rio Grande do Sul State, (Southern Brazil), with the objective to recognize chromosome morphology including inter and intra species variability. Three of these species, *G. schubarti*, *G. tigrina*, and *G. anderlani*, are the most common in the Southern Brazil area. *Girardia biapertura* Sluys, 1997 is known only from its type-locality.

2. Material and Methods

We studied 20 specimens of four species of Dugesiidae (i.e., at least three specimens of each population) from different localities of the northeast region of the Rio Grande do Sul State (Figure 1), Southern Brazil. Specimens of *Girardia schubarti* (Marcus, 1946) were obtained from two populations – a population from Arroio Lajeado of the National Forest of São Francisco de Paula, São Francisco de Paula (29°27’S and 51°30’W), and a second population from Linha Júlio de Castilho, Salvador do Sul (29°26’S and 51°29’W). Specimens of *Girardia tigrina* (Girard, 1850) were obtained from a population of Arroio Forqueta, Gramado (29°22’S and 50°54’W) as well as from a laboratory stock at UNISINOS, São Leopoldo. Specimens of *Girardia biapertura* Sluys, 1997 were obtained from the type-locality, in a narrow stream at Sãosebastião do Caí (29°36’W and 51°37’W). Specimens of *Girardia anderlani* (Kawakatsu and Hauser, 1983) were obtained from Arroio Rolantinho, São Francisco de Paula (29°39’S and 51°00’W).

Chromosome plates were obtained from three days regenerating fragments, immersed in 0.3% aqueous colchicine solution (SIGMA) for the last three hours. Thereafter, following the method of Hochberg and Erdmann (1988), tissue fragments were placed in hypotonic solution (0.075 M KCl preheated to 37°C), where tissue was cut and crushed using razor and glass pipette in order to form a concentrated suspension of tissue, cells, and sub-cellular material. After 20 minutes, the mixture was resuspended, and centrifuged for five minutes at 800-1,000 rpm, and the hypotonic solution was removed. The concentrate was resuspended in Carnoy fixative (Roméis, 1989), allowed to stand at room temperature for at least one hour, and resuspended, centrifuged for five minutes, and washed with Carnoy solution. This process of centrifugation and washing with fixative was repeated at least three times for a total of at least four washes and centrifugations of each sample. The cell suspension was distributed on pre-frozen glass slides (previously taken from 70 to 90% ethanol, dried, and frozen), drop by drop from a height of at least 20 cm causing a “splatter” effect that distributed chromosome complements on the slides while generally maintaining their physical interrelationship.Slides were stained with Giemsa (Roméis, 1989).

The chromosome numbers were evaluated on microscope, and the best metaphase plates were photographed and karyotyped. Representative plates were karyotyped, as were metaphases with hyperplloid chromosome number. Chromosomes were arranged first in terms of form, then in terms of centricity (metacentric grading to submetacentric, and finally to acrocentric), then in decreasing size within each category of centricity. Chromosomes were classified according to Levan et al. (1964).

3. Results

The main external features of the investigated populations, as well as a summary of the cytogenetic results, are given in Table 1. Three essential chromosome numerical bases (n) were identified (four, eight, and nine), appearing in two ploidal levels: 2n and 3n.

*Girardia schubarti* presents four chromosome pairs (Figure 2a), and the largest element (metacentric) and the smallest element (submetacentric) are very easy to identify. Pairs two and three are similar, corresponding to large submetacentric chromosomes, only possible to differentiate in the best metaphases with elongated chromosomes. The trisomy chromosome number is often detected, in totally trisomy animals (Figure 2b) or in mixoploid 2n/3n animals (Table 1). Thus, three cytotype forms can be detected in *G. schubarti*, the diploids 2n, the triploids 3n, and the mixoploids 2n/3n. The diploid specimens are larger, while the total or partial triploids are smaller and thinner, but no clear morphologic differences can be seen between the mixoploid and the triploid animals (Table 1).

*Girardia tigrina* presents the basic diploid complement of eight chromosome pairs (Figure 2c, d). The
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**Table 1.** External anatomical features and inter and intraspecies chromosome variability of *Girardia schubarti*, *G. tigrina*, *G. anderlani*, and *G. biapertura* from southern Brazil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Dorsum morphology</th>
<th>Head morphology</th>
<th>Size (mm)</th>
<th>Ploidy</th>
<th>Observations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>G. schubarti</em> (diploid)</td>
<td>Blackish brown with fine grayish black pigments; with or without a longitudinal black median stripe</td>
<td>Marked triangular shaped with long and pointed auricles</td>
<td>up to 25 x 2.5</td>
<td>2n = 8</td>
<td>No chromosome dimorphism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>G. schubarti</em> (mixoploid)</td>
<td>Grayish black with fine black pigments and mottled with sparse bright gray spots</td>
<td>Marked triangular shaped with long and pointed auricles</td>
<td>up to 13 x 1.5</td>
<td>2n = 8</td>
<td>No chromosome dimorphism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>G. schubarti</em> (triploid)</td>
<td>Brownish black with fine black pigments and mottled with sparse bright gray spots</td>
<td>Marked triangular shaped with long and pointed auricles</td>
<td>up to 12 x 1</td>
<td>3n = 12</td>
<td>No chromosome dimorphism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>G. tigrina</em> (diploid)</td>
<td>Pale brown with numerous blackish and whitish small pigments; with or without a light longitudinal median stripe</td>
<td>Bluntly triangular shaped with broad and short auricles</td>
<td>up to 12 x 2</td>
<td>2n = 16</td>
<td>Dimorphism in pair 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>G. anderlani</em> (diploid)</td>
<td>Brownish with fine dark brown pigments and mottled with sparse bright brown spots</td>
<td>Bluntly triangular shaped with moderately pointed auricles</td>
<td>up to 20 x 2</td>
<td>2n = 18</td>
<td>Pair 3 is submetacentric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>G. biapertura</em> (diploid)</td>
<td>Brown with fine dark brown pigments and sparse pale brown spots</td>
<td>Bluntly triangular shaped with moderately pointed auricles</td>
<td>up to 20 x 2</td>
<td>2n = 18</td>
<td>Pair 3 is metacentric</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chromosomes are large and decrease continuously in size. The centricity also varies continuously from nearly metacentric to clearly submetacentric. The first and largest chromosome pair is nearly metacentric, as also are several others, but it is difficult to make a clear separation between nearly metacentric and submetacentric elements. The chromosome pairs were arranged in decreasing size. Centricity for the Gramado population was generally six pairs of nearly metacentric and two pairs of distinctly submetacentric (i.e. 6:2) (Figure 2c). Within the laboratory stock at UNISINOS, complements of five pairs of nearly metacentric chromosomes and three pairs of submetacentric (i.e. 5:3) (Figure 2d) were identified, although 6:2 proportions also occurred.

Two species, *Girardia biapertura* and *G. anderlani*, show the basic number of nine chromosomes with very similar karyotypes. *Girardia biapertura* presents the four larger pairs nearly metacentric, four smaller submetacentrics, and one median acrocentric pair (Figure 2c). The difference in the karyotype between *G. anderlani* and *G. biapertura* is in the third pair, which is typically submetacentric in *G. anderlani* (Figure 2f), while nearly metacentric in *G. biapertura* (Table 1). As this is not a notorious difference, it can be noted only in the best metaphases and in a systematic analysis. In a random evaluation of the mixed karyotypes of both species, all the karyotypes differentiated by the third pair were also correctly associated to the species. Neither triploids nor stable mixoploids were found in either species.

**Figure 2.** Karyotypes of four *Girardia* species. a, b) *Girardia schubarti*, a) diploid (2n = 8) and b) triploid specimens (3n = 12); c, d) *Girardia tigrina*, diploid specimen (2n = 16) from c) Gramado and d) from a laboratory stock at UNISINOS; e) *Girardia biapertura*, diploid specimen (2n = 18); and f) *Girardia anderlani*, diploid specimen (2n = 18). Bar: 10 μm.
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4. Discussion

Exact distinction between specifically metacentric and minimally submetacentric or even highly submeta-
centric chromosomes was difficult for a number of rea-
sons. The same chromosome complement, even within
members of the same chromosome pair, might distribute
differently during the dispersion or separation process
thus presenting not so similar appearances. Minor vari-
a tions among cells of the same specimens are also noted,
but chromosome variability is decided on stable differ-
ence in a group of cells or animals.

The three groups of karyotypes (n = 4, n = 8, and
n = 9) identified in the present study show distinct chro-
mosome morphology. All karyotypes were multiples of
these three distinct chromosome complements. Haploids
were not considered, neither were the highly unstable
tetraploids resulting from intracellular chromosome en-
doreduplication. Other complicating factors such as the
presence of supernumerary chromosomes “A” or “B”
were noted but do not fall within the scope of this re-
port.

The first group (n = 4), corresponding to specimens of
G. schubarti, contained three distinct subgroups (pure
diploid, mixoploid diploid-triploid, and pure triploid).
Specimens from populations of G. schubarti in South Brazil have been previously identified and karyotyped by Kawakatsu et al. (1984), including diploid spec-
imens, diploid-triploid mixoploids, at least one tetraploid
specimen, diploid-tetraploid specimens, and at least one
diploid-triploid-tetraploid specimen. The body size dif-
f erences that were observed in the present study between
diploids and total or partial triploids are notorious at
the first glance. The specimens with total or partial tri-
ploidy cannot be differentiated merely by their external
morphology, which is in agreement with the results of a
quantitative analysis done by Knakievicz et al. (2006). 
Aside from ploidy, no variability in the chromosome
morphology was detected. Compared to clonal diploids,
polypl oid specimens show greater tolerance to muta-
tion load or increased genetic plasticity (Beukeboom
et al., 1998). However there is no reference concerning
the origin of the genetic plasticity of the partial or total
triploids, whether they are stable lines or originated con-
stantly de novo.

The second category, containing two populations
of Girardia tigrina, shows specimens presenting stable
diploid karyotypes with an essential chromosome com-
plement structured on eight basic chromosome forms or
polymorphisms. As also found in G. schubarti, all chro-
mosomes were biarmed. No acrocentrics or even small
submetacentrics were present. This total lack of acro-
centric chromosomes distinguished this group and species
from all others in the study, mainly from the most similar
G. anderlani group.

The 5:3 and 6:2 centricity ratios (nearly
metacentric:submetacentric) for G. tigrina coincided
closely with the descriptions given by Benazzi and Lentati (1976) that addressed the karyology of G. tigrina
in terms of similarities and inequalities in length of chro-
mosome arms without using centricity classification.
However, their description clearly indicates a centricity
ratio of at least six metacentric to two submetacentric
chromosomes. It also coincides with the work of Ribas
et al. (1989), concerning a population of this species
from the western Mediterranean region in which the
chromosome morphology is clearly in a 6:2 proportion.
The chromosome variability detected between the two
populations of G. tigrina is small and visible only in the
best metaphases. Kawakatsu et al. (1981) described a 7:1
proportion of metacentric to submetacentric. Further
research is required to confirm this genetic plasticity in
this species.

The third group has the same basic number (n = 9)
and very similar chromosome morphology for both rep-
resentative species, G. biapertura and G. anderlani. It
showed essential chromosome complements structured
on some multiples of nine basic chromosome forms.
It usually presented eight biarmed chromosomes and
one pair of large acrocentric chromosomes as markers.
The karyotypes of this group presented chromosome
morphologies similar to those previously reported for
G. anderlani by Kawakatsu et al. (1983) that did not
recognize the acrocentric chromosomal form speci-
fied herein, treating it as a degree of submetacentric.
They did however encounter mixoploid 2n/3n speci-
mens. Previous research within the western hemisphere
(Gourbault, 1979) clearly recognized and distinguished
the acrocentric form in the species Girardia cubana
(Codreanu and Balcesco, 1973) classifying it as “sub-tel-
ocentric”. Acrocentric chromosomes are rare in the fami-
ly Dugesiidae and were also reported for Cira foremanii
and species of Schmidtea (Gourbault and Benazzi, 1975;

The great similarities in the second and fourth chro-
mosome pairs of G. biapertura and G. anderlani deserve
further investigations. The morphologic difference be-
tween the third chromosome pair of these two species,
probably caused by a chromosome pericentric inversion,
serves as a cytotaxonomic character to distinguish these
species and may be used as a phylogenetic reference (al-
though not absolute in itself). This further raises ques-
tions regarding the genesis, development, and taxonomic
proximity of the two species.

Previous studies have reported intraorganismal ge-
netic plasticity (e.g. at least one stable mixoploid speci-
men) for G. anderlani (e.g. diploid 2n = 18, and triploid
3n = 27 specimens) (Kawakatsu et al. 1983; Knakievicz
et al., 2007), interorganismal (i.e. intraspecific), and in-
traorganismal genetic plasticity for G. schubarti (e.g.
diploid 2n = 8, 3n = 12, 4n = 16 specimen; 2n, 4n speci-
mens, and 2n, 3n specimens) (Kawakatsu et al., 1984),
and pure triploids of both species and of G. tigrina
(Knakievicz et al., 2007). The population of pure triploid
(i.e. 3n = 12) specimens of G. schubarti found at Linha
Júlio de Castilho (municipality of Salvador do Sul, Rio
Grande do Sul State), in addition to those sampled by
Knakievicz et al. (2007) in two other localities, emphasizes, amplifies, and serves as a further reference to the occurrence and level of genetic plasticity (especially at the intraspecific, interorganismal level) in specimens of this species originating from populations found in this region of Brazil. High variability of genetic plasticity and/or high genetic variance may serve as tools in determining phenotypic plasticity for populations under specific environmental conditions and/or within specific geographic regions.

Chromosomal polymorphic centricity factor (CPCF) proportions can vary between individual specimens of the same species (i.e. interorganismal, intraspecific variation) (Benazzi and Lentati, 1976; Kawakatsu et al., 1981), or even between cells of different ploidy level (i.e. mixoploid, genetic plasticity) within the same specimen (i.e. intraorganismal, intraspecific variation). Such intraorganismal intraspecific variation in CPCF proportions raises questions concerning the genesis and maintenance of mixoploid individuals. Ploidy (i.e. multiplicity) of these basic chromosomal combinatorial values, both within and between individuals, is a quantitative criterion for distinguishing populations within species or groups. However, chromosomal size differences within any one of these polymorphic criteria present major complicating factors.
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