Two new species of *Leporinus* Agassiz, 1829 from Araguaia-Tocantins system, Amazon basin, Brazil (Ostariophysi, Anostomidae)
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Abstract

Two new species of genus *Leporinus* from the Araguaia-Tocantins system, Amazon, Brazil, are described. *Leporinus unitaeniatus* n. sp. is distinguished from the remaining species of this genus by the presence of a conspicuous longitudinal dark brown bar along the lateral line on the flanks, and *Leporinus geminis* n. sp. is distinguished by an inconspicuous dark bar combined with three large and vertically elongated brown blotches on the trunk; the first on the dorsal fin region, the second anterior to the adipose fin and the third on the caudal peduncle. Both are medium-sized species of the genus (up to 150 mm SL) and share the following combination of meristic characters: 4 teeth on each premaxillary and dentary; 40-43 scales in the lateral line, 6/I/5 scales on the transversal line, and 16 series of scales around the caudal peduncle. *L. unitaeniatus* n. sp. has a sub-terminal mouth and tooth series formed by incisive-like elongated teeth frontally turned and with only a straight cutting edge decreasing in size like the steps of stairs from the teeth pair of symphysis. *Leporinus geminis* n. sp. has a sub-inferior mouth and the tooth series shaped by large incisive-like teeth forming an arched cutting edge, decreasing in size from the symphysial pair of teeth.
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1. Introduction

The last comprehensive revision of the genus *Leporinus* Agassiz was an unpublished thesis manuscript (Garavello, 1979) which included ten undescribed species. Among these species, one, described below, *Leporinus unitaeniatus*, was diagnosed based on six specimens from the Rio Araguaia and one specimen from the Rio Tapajós. Subsequent collecting efforts yielded additional ichthyological collections of...
genus *Leporinus* from these basins. The new material allowed the proper description of this new species and the conclusion that the specimens from Rio Tapajós basin belonged to another new species described elsewhere by Britski and Garavello (2005). Also recent collectors in Rio Tocantins gathered a large collection thereby making possible the adjoining of the description of *Leporinus geminis* n. sp., a sympatric species, to that of *L. unitaeniatus*.

The species of the genus *Leporinus* from the Rio Tocantins basin has received very little attention as discussed by Britski (1997). Valenciennes (1850) based on ichthyological material collected by Castelnau, described *Leporinus pachyurus* Valenciennes, 1849 and made references to *Leporinus maculates* Müller and Troschel, 1844 and *Leporinus obtusidens* Valenciennes, 1849 from the Rio Vermelho, a tributary of the Rio Araguaia in Goias State. On the other hand, Castelnau (1855) reappraised the specimens of this collection and described *Leporinus bimaculatus* n. sp. from the Rio Vermelho at São João das Duas Barras, based on the specimens identified by Valenciennes as *Leporinus obtusidens*. He also cited the localities of Crixás for the specimens of *Leporinus maculates* and Rio Araguaia for the specimens of *Leporinus pachyurus* (referred by Castelnau as *brachyurus*).

Specimens fitting the description of *L. bimaculatus* were never collected in that basin since the expeditions of Castelnau in 1844. Also *Leporinus pachyurus* was poorly described, and the type-material is missing from the National Museum of Natural History in Paris.

Seventy-four years later, Borodin (1929) described *Leporinus fasciatus tigrinus*, a species considered valid as *Leporinus tigrinus* Borodin, 1929 by Garavello and Britski (2003). He also cited *Leporinus affinis* Günther, 1864 and a new species from this basin. Other species from the Rio Tocantins basin were recognized by Santos and Jégu (1989), who cited *L. friderici* (Bloch, 1794), *L. trifasciatus* Steindachner, 1876, *L. desmotes* Fowler, 1914, *L. granti* Eigenmann, 1912, *L. pachychelys* Britski, 1976 and four species diagnosed under the name *Leporinus* sp. It is important to recognize that the species cited by those authors as *Leporinus* sp. 1, and *Leporinus* sp. 2 are in fact *L. unitaeniatus* n. sp. and *L. geminis* n. sp. herein described.

After this, only Britski (1997) described additional species for that basin, namely *Leporinus taeniofasciatus* from Rio Maranhão, and *Leporinus bistriatus* from Rio Itacaiunas. Furthermore, recent collections were made by the authors in the region of Tocantinópolis, Itupiranga and Itaguatins at mid Rio Tocantins and additional ichthyological material was gathered from collections of the Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia permitting the description of those species from the Araguaia-Tocantins system. This article benefitted from the rank of *Leporinus Agassiz species formerly presented by Garavello and Britski (2003).

### 2. Material and Methods

Measurements and counts were obtained according to the methods previously outlined by Garavello and Britski (1988) in addition to mid-dorsal scale count taken from the supraoccipital bone and origin of dorsal fin insertion and between the adipose-fin and the origin of caudal fin rays. Also scale counts were taken from the ventral median line between the isthmus and the pelvic fin, between this fin and anal opening, between the anus to anal fin origin and from this fin to the caudal fin insertion. Examined material are housed at the Museu de Zoologia of the University of São Paulo (MZUSP), the National Institute of Amazon Research (INPA) and at the Laboratório de Ictiologia Sistemática of the Departamento de Ecologia e Biologia Evolutiva of the Federal University of São Carlos (LISDEBE).

*Leporinus unitaeniatus*, sp. n. (Figure 1)


**Leporinus sp. 1:** Santos and Jégu, 1989: 173, pl. II, figure 7, table 1 (diagnosis and reference).

**Holotype:** Mato Grosso State: MZUSP 14427, 105.5 mm SL, Santa Terezinha, Rio Araguaia, H. A. Britski, October 1964.

**Paratypes:** Mato Grosso State: MZUSP 14429, 5, 70.0-125.0 mm SL, same locality and collector of holotype. Tocantins State: MZUSP 14430, 1, 125.0 mm SL, Rio Tocantins, upriver from Imperatriz, J. C. Garavello, M. L. Musarra and F. M. S. Braga, June 1988; MZUSP 14431, 2, 92.5 to 112.5 mm SL, Tocantinópolis, Rio Tocantins, at Rio Lageado mouth, J. C. Garavello, M. L. Musarra and F. M. S. Braga, 14-18 June 1988; LISDEBE 1513, 3, 87.7 to 106.0 mm SL, Rio Tocantins between Itaguatins and Santo Antonio falls, Itaguatins, J. C. Garavello, M. L. Musarra and F. M. S. Braga, 14-18 June 1988.

**Para State:** MZUSP 14424, 1, 120.0 mm SL, Itupiranga, Rio Tocantins, P. C. Vênerê, June 1988; INPA 1572, 2, 80.0 and 90.0 mm SL, Igarapé Velimentim, left bank tributary of Rio Tocantins, on the Tucuruí to Marabá road, G. M. Santos, 24th November 1981; INPA 1574, 12, 35.0-95.0 mm SL, Itupiranga, Igarapé Vermelho, left bank tributary of Rio Tocantins, G. M. Santos, 23 November 1981; INPA 1575, 4, 119.0-122.0 mm SL, Tucuruí, Rio Tocantins, Breu Branco, upriver from Tucuruí hydroelectric power dam, G. M. Santos, 13 November 1981; INPA

![Figure 1. Leporinus unitaeniatus n. sp.: MZUSP 14429, paratype, 120 mm SL, lateral view.](image)
Leporinus unitaeniatus n. sp. is distinguished from the remaining species of the genus by its color pattern: one longitudinal narrow brown stripe running along lateral line, light brown on the first 10-11 scales after opercular opening and dark brown along the posterior remaining scales of lateral line; 11-13 short transverse dark brown bars on dorsum meeting small dark brown blotches. This species also differ from its congener by combination of following characters: snout slender (its length 38.4 to 50.6% in head length); eyes small (orbital diameter 24.6 to 30.9% in head length); body very narrow and elongated (its depth corre-
125.0 mm SL. Body elongated, its depth corre-
corresponding to 17.7% to 24.6% of SL; head length higher than body depth, 21.7% to 25.8% of SL. Other morphometric traits are expressed in Table 1. Mouth sub inferior; upper lip horizontally aligned with inferior margin of orbit). Teeth elongated incisive-like and with a fine and straight cutting edge decreasing in size like the steps of stairs from teeth pair of jaw symphysis. 4/4 teeth on each premaxillary and dentary; each tooth elongated incisive-like in both jaws and with a straight cutting edge; teeth decreasing in size like stair steps from largest teeth pair of symphysis (Figure 3). Dorsal fin, i (ii) + 9 rays; pectoral fin, i + 15 rays; pelvic fin, i + 8; anal fin: ii + 7 and caudal fin, i + 17 + i.

Color pattern of preserved specimens: Body with a longitudinal median dark brown stripe as wide as lateral line scale series; it starts inconspicuously from snout tip through eyes, interrupted by the light opercular membrane and running inconspicuously on lateral line scales to median region of trunk; at this point meeting an inconspicuous elongated light brown blotch. From this point to caudal peduncle it is a conspicuous dark brown elongated stripe, along lateral line and meeting a small round dark brown blotch on caudal peduncle; some individuals with the anterior portion of this stripe absent from snout to vertical through dorsal-fin origin. Along whole dorsum, mainly on juvenile specimens, 11 to 13 short transversal dark brown bars meeting small dark brown blotches above median longitudinal stripe. Head light brown with two dark brown bars crossing dorsally on interorbital space and on supraoccipital bone; snout and anterior region of eyes light brown. Membrane fins hyaline; very few light brown chromatophores concentrated on margin of fins mainly on pectoral and dorsal fins.

**Distribution:** L. unitaeniatus is known from the Rios Araguaia and Tocantins.

**Etymology:** The specific name unitaeniatus is an adjective in allusion to the single, slender and horizontal dark brown stripe on the lateral line exhibited by this species.

Leporinus geminis n. sp. (Figure 2)

Leporinus sp. 2: Santos, 1989: 173, plate II, figure 6, table 1 (diagnosis and reference).
Garavello, JC. and Santos, GM.

Table 1. Morphometrics of *L. geminis*, *L. unitaeniatus* and *L. taeniatus* expressed as percentages of SL (2-6) and HL (7-9).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characters</th>
<th><em>L. geminis</em> (n = 12)</th>
<th><em>L. unitaeniatus</em> (n = 12)</th>
<th><em>L. taeniatus</em> (n = 12)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Holotype (mm)</td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Standard length (mm)</td>
<td>140.0</td>
<td>120.0 - 180.0</td>
<td>144.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Head length</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>23.24 - 26.96</td>
<td>25.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Trunk length</td>
<td>106.0</td>
<td>73.04 - 76.76</td>
<td>74.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Body depth</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>21.67 - 26.07</td>
<td>23.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) Predorsal distance</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>42.76 - 48.62</td>
<td>45.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) Caudal peduncle depth</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>9.16 - 10.36</td>
<td>9.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7) Snout length</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>39.02 - 47.62</td>
<td>44.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8) Interorbital distance</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>35.96 - 45.40</td>
<td>40.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9) Orbital diameter</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>21.46 - 27.89</td>
<td>25.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. *Leporinus geminis* n. sp.: MZUSP 14422, holotype, 140 mm SL, lateral view.

Holotype: MZUSP 14422, 140.0 mm SL, Brazil, Pará State, Marabá, Rio Tocantins, R. S. Rosa, 18 October-11 November 1974.

Paratypes: Pará State: MZUSP 14425, 2, 87.0 and 123.0 mm SL, same locality and collector of holotype; MZUSP 14426.1, 130.0 mm SL, Itupiranga, Rio Tocantins, P. C. Vênere, June 1988; INPA 1590,
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2, 138.1 and 139.1 mm SL, Tucuruí, Capuera, Rio Tocantins, upriver from Tucuruí hydroelectric power dam, G. M. Santos, 10 November 1981; INPA 1591, 7, 140.0-156.0 mm SL, Itupiranga, Rio Tocantins, upriver from Tucuruí hydroelectric power dam, G. M. Santos, 16 November 1980; INPA 1592, 2, 120.0 and 125.0 mm SL, Itupiranga, Igarapé Valentim, tributary of Rio Tocantins, G. M. Santos, 21 November 1981; INPA 1927, 1, 162.0 mm SL, Tucuruí, Rio Tocantins, at reservoir of Tucuruí hydroelectric power dam, M. Jegú, 5 February 1985; INPA 1928, 2, 150.0 and 170.0 mm SL, Tucuruí, Rio Tocantins, pool downriver of Tucuruí hydroelectric power dam, G. M. Santos, October 1984; INPA 1929, 1, 106.0 mm SL, Capuera, upriver from Tucuruí hydroelectric power dam, G. M. Santos, 10 November 1981; INPA 1930, 1, 123.0 mm SL, Itupiranga, Rio Tocantins, G. M. Santos, 15 July 1981; INPA 1931, 1, 131.0 mm SL, Tucuruí, pool downriver from Tucuruí hydroelectric power dam, G. M. Santos, 22 October 1984; INPA 1932, 1, 160.0 mm SL, Breu Branco, Rio Tocantins, upriver from Tucuruí hydroelectric power dam, G. M. Santos, 13 November 1981; INPA 1933, 4, 115.0-180.0 mm SL, Capuera, Rio Tocantins, upriver from Tucuruí hydroelectric power dam, G. M. Santos, 10 November 1981; INPA 1934, 4, 125-135 mm SL, Itupiranga, Rio Tocantins, G. M. Santos, November 1980; INPA 1935, 1, 135.0 mm SL, Breu Branco, Rio Tocantins, upriver from Tucuruí hydroelectric power dam, G. M. Santos, November 1980. Tocantins State: LISDEBE 1512, 1, 180.0 mm SL, Rio Lageado, tributary of Rio Tocantins on the road BeléminBrasília, J. C. Garavello, M. L. Musarra and F. M. S. Braga, 17-18 June 1988.

**Diagnosis:** *Leporinus geminis* n. sp. is distinguished from the remaining species of the genus *Leporinus* by its color pattern of: one longitudinal and discontinuous narrow dark brown stripe running inconspicuously from a vertical through middle of dorsal fin to base of caudal peduncle along lateral line; two or three large dark brown vertically elongated blotches along middle trunk: first at the vertical through dorsal-fin, second anterior to adipose fin and third on the caudal peduncle; mainly in young specimens, 12-13 short transversal dark brown bars meeting small dark brown blotches laterally. The species also differs from its congeners by the combination of the following characters: snout blunt (its length 39 to 47.6% in head length); orbits large (orbital diameter 21.4 to 27.8% of head length) body high (its depth 21.6 to 26.0% of SL), sub-inferior mouth (inferior margin of superior lip horizontally aligned with the inferior margin of infraorbital bones), 4 teeth on each premaxillary and dentary; teeth gross incisive-like, forming an arched cutting edge, decreasing in size from the symphysal largest pair; 40 to 42 scales in the lateral line, 5,5 or 6 scales series above and 5 under lateral line and 16 circumpeduncular series of scales.

**Description:** Medium-sized species, largest specimen 180.0 mm SL. Body high, its depth corresponding to 21.6 to 26% of SL; head length 23.2 to 26.9% of SL. Other morphometric traits are expressed in Table 1. Mouth sub-inferior; upper lip horizontally aligned with inferior margin of infraorbital bones; snout blunt, prominent in relation to mouth opening in ventral view. Dorsal profile almost straight from dorsal margin of snout to the supraoccipital bone; convex from this point to end of dorsal-fin insertion; convex from dorsal-fin terminus to caudal peduncle; ventral profile a straight from lower mandible to pectoral-fin insertion, slight curved from that point to pelvic-fin origin; straight from pelvic-fin to anal-fin origin; almost straight at anal-fin base and anus; concave at caudal peduncle between anal-fin base and caudal-fin insertion.

Dorsal-fin rays reaching six or seven dorsal scales posterior to its basis when adpressed. Pectoral-fin tip reaching eight to nine lateral body scales posterior to its basis when adpressed; pelvic-fin reaching five or six abdominal scales before anal opening. Anal fin elongated, last rays almost reaching caudal-fin base, distant only one or two scales from lower caudal-fin rays; posterior margin of anal fin rounded. Caudal fin forked; upper and lower lobes almost equal in size.

Lateral line complete, with 40 to 42 perforated scales; transversal line with 5,5 or 6 series of scales above and 5 under lateral line; 16 circumpeduncular series of scales. Median dorsal line of trunk with 15-16 scales between supraoccipital and dorsal-fin origin, 11-13 between dorsal fin and adipose fin and 8-9 between adipose fin and upper caudal-fin insertion. Median ventral line with 18 to 20 scales between isthmus and pelvic fins; 8 to 11 between pelvic fins and anal opening; 3-4 between anus and anal fin and 5 to 8 between anal fin and lower caudal-fin insertion. Four teeth inserted like stair steps on each premaxillary and on each dentary; teeth like gross-
incisive, forming an arched cutting edge decreasing in size from symphysal largest teeth pair (Figure 4). Dorsal fin, ii (iii) + 9 rays; pectoral fin, i + 15 (16) rays; pelvic fin, i + 8 (9); anal fin: ii + 7 and caudal fin, i + 17 + i.

**Color pattern of preserved specimens:** A lateral and discontinuous narrow dark brown stripe running inconspicuously on lateral line from a vertical through middle dorsal fin to base of caudal peduncle; two or three large dark brown vertically elongated blotches along middle trunk: first vertically aligned with dorsal fin, second anterior to adipose fin and third on caudal peduncle. Dorsum of juvenile specimens with 12-13 short transversal dark brown bars meeting small dark brown blotches laterally to median trunk, not meeting lateral line stripe. Head yellowish; two or three inconspicuous dark brown bars crossing dorsally on interorbital space, on anterior supraoccipital bone and when present on caudal peduncle; membrane fins hyaline; light brown chromatophores concentrated on margins of pectoral and dorsal fins.

**Distribution:** *L. geminis* is known from Rio Tocantins (Figure 6).

**Etymology:** The specific name *geminis* is given in allusion to the similarity showed by the young of this species with its co-generic *Leporinus unitaeniatus* n. sp.

### 3. Discussion

The genus *Leporinus* Agassiz is known by its numerous species summarized by Garavello and Britski (2003). Borodin (1929) proposed the subgenus *Hypomastus* to receive species anatomically distinguished by their sub inferior or inferior mouth, division subsequently accepted only by Géry (1960). Because the lack of phylogenetic understandings for genus *Leporinus*, its taxonomical arrangements in subgroups is based on color pattern as proposed by Britski and Garavello (1978) and successively utilized by Garavello (1979), Britski and Garavello (1980) and Garavello and Britski (1988). According to this proposition, the color pattern of *Leporinus unitaeniatus* and *Leporinus geminis* are very similar to that of *Leporinus melanopleura* Günther and *Leporinus taeniatus* Lütken from the Rio São Francisco and northeastern rivers of Brazil respectively. Also *Leporinus vanzoi* Britski and Garavello from Rio Tapajós have similar color pattern.

On the other hand, the species included by Britski and Garavello (1980) in their “striatus group” might be similar to the species herein described because they are characterized by one or two longitudinal stripes running along dorsal flanks and lateral line. In fact among the species similar to *Leporinus striatus* Britski, only five species have just one longitudinal stripe along middle flank and 12 to 14 short dorsal transversal dark bars on dorsum: *Leporinus melanopleura* Günther, 1864, *L. taeniatus* Lütken, 1874, *L. amblyrhynchus* Garavello and Britski, 1987, *L. taeniofasciatus* Britski, 1997 and *L. vanzoi* Britski and Garavello, 2006. Those species despite having the color pattern very similar to the new species herein described are distinguished from them by having the longitudinal dark brown stripe continued from the opercular region to the caudal peduncle. The new species are distinguished from *L. melanopleura* mainly by dental formula 4/4 (versus 3/4), by the number of scales on transverse line: 6/5 (versus 5/5 in *L. melanopleura*); moreover the longitudinal stripe in the lateral line is faded on the anterior region of the trunk in *L. melanopleura*. The new species are distinguished from *L. taeniatus* by the dental formula: 4/4 teeth (versus 3/4), by the number of transverse line scales series: 6/5 (versus 4/4 or 4/3) and by the longitudinal dark brown stripe along lateral line that is continuous and running in zigzag from the opercular region to caudal peduncle in *L. taeniatus*. They moreover differ from *L. amblyrhynchus*, a species from the Upper Paraná River system that has: inferior mouth in the adult (inferior margin of the superior lip horizontally aligned with the inferior region of orbit); 3/3 teeth; 37 to 40 perforated scales in the lateral line; 4/4 scales in the transverse series and 12 circumpeduncular scales. It differs also from *L. taeniofasciatus*, a species from the Araguaia-Tocantins river system that has 4/4 teeth; 37-38 scales in the lateral line and 4.5 to 5.4 to 4.5 scales in the transversal line, and from *L. vanzoi* a species from Rio Tapajós that has 36 to 39 scales in the lateral line.

Morphometric characters of the new species and *L. taeniatus*, the species that seems to be the most similar to them, was compared through free size canonical variate analysis (Bookstein et al., 1985) and the result may be seen in Table 2 and Figure 5. This analysis between samples of *L. taeniatus* Lütken, *L. unitaeniatus* n. sp. and *L. geminis* n. sp., showed the different morphometric pattern between these species; the first canonical variate explained 97.4% of the variation between the combined samples, while the second canonical variate explained 86.8% of the variation, giving strong indication for morphometric synthesis that is contained in the comparison of the canonical variates I and II. According to Table 2 and Figure 5, the values of morphometric characters: caudal peduncle depth (−0.898313); trunk

---

**Figure 4.** Lateral view of premaxillary a) and dentary teeth b) and teeth crow c) of *L. geminis* (after Santos and Jégu, 1989).
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Table 2. Size free canonical variate analysis of *Leporinus geminis* n. sp. (n = 12); *Leporinus unitaeniatus* n. sp. (n = 13) and *Leporinus taeniatus* Lütken (n = 5). Variable loadings for canonical axis I and II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>CAN I</th>
<th>CAN II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percent variance</td>
<td>95.701200</td>
<td>0.022060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard length</td>
<td>0.831312</td>
<td>0.218856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head length</td>
<td>–0.108485</td>
<td>–0.208783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trunk length</td>
<td>0.860965</td>
<td>0.255553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body depth</td>
<td>–0.153950</td>
<td>0.798283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predorsal distance</td>
<td>0.668001</td>
<td>0.278833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snout length</td>
<td>–0.026415</td>
<td>–0.268718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interorbital distance</td>
<td>–0.537031</td>
<td>–0.033028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbital Diameter</td>
<td>0.006259</td>
<td>0.945302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudal peduncle depth</td>
<td>–0.898313</td>
<td>0.166887</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

length (0.860965); standard length (0.831312) and predorsal distance (0.668001) showed better discriminating populations of the new species and *L. taeniatus* along the canonical variate I. On the other hand, orbital diameter (0.945302), body depth (0.798283) and snout length (–0.268718) were confirmed as the differential morphometric characters along the canonical variate II. So, *L. unitaeniatus* differs from *L. geminis* and both from *L. taeniatus* by its proportionally more elongated body and mainly by its deeper caudal peduncle; the larger orbital diameter and the elongated snout; also the deeper body make *L. geminis* discriminated in relation to *L. unitaeniatus* and *L. taeniatus*.
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