Influence of agricultural land-use and pesticides on benthic macroinvertebrate assemblages in an agricultural river basin in southeast Brazil
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Abstract

Land-use alterations and pesticide run-offs are among the main causes for impairment in agricultural areas. We evaluated the influence of different land-uses (forest, pasture and intensive agriculture) on the water quality and on benthic macroinvertebrate assemblages on three occasions: in the dry season, wet season and at the end of the wet season. Macroinvertebrates responded to this gradient of impairment: agricultural sites had significantly lower richness numbers than forested and pasture sites, and all major invertebrate groups were significantly affected. Most taxa found in forested sites were found in pasture sites, but often with lower densities. In this case, the loss of habitats due to sedimentation and the lower complexity of substrates seem to be the disruptive force for the macroinvertebrate fauna.
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1. Introduction

Impacts of agricultural activities on freshwater ecosystems are well documented worldwide and include changes in riparian vegetation and stream morphology, increased erosion and siltation, nutrient and organic enrichment and pesticide contamination (Hawkins et al., 1982; Cooper, 1991; Watzin and MacIntosh, 1999; Lair and Valadas, 2000; While et al., 2000; Neumann and Dudgeon, 2002; Bahar et al., 2008).

In the southeastern region of Brazil, agriculture is fundamental to feed 78 million inhabitants – of which more than 90% live in urban areas (IBGE, 2007). As a result of poor management practices, studies have shown detectable levels of pesticide contamination in water samples (Alves, 2000; Filizola et al., 2002; Müller et al., 2002), due to the low educational level of rural workers.
and absence of adequate supervising or technical advice (Moreira et al., 2002).

Evaluation of pesticide contamination in freshwaters is not easy – contamination events are generally diffuse and episodic in nature (Watzin and MacIntosh, 1999; Neumann and Dudgeon, 2002; Friberg et al., 2003). Concentration of pesticides in water is usually low, since most formulations are soluble in water and are affected by dilution (Wauchope, 1978). Also, chemical inputs in streams and rivers may occur in pulses following rainfall events and soon after pesticide applications (Gruessner and Watzin, 1996).

Biological monitoring is an alternative approach in cases of sporadic and non-point sources of pollution (Buikema and Voshell, 1993; Rosenberg and Resh, 1993). Different human activities can alter physical, chemical or biological processes associated with water resources and thus modify the resident biological assemblages (EC – European Commission, 2000). Changes in species richness and abundance can be a signal of impairment and, together with other traditional measures, may be used to register environmental degradation (Rosenberg and Resh, 1993). The advantages of using biological indicators include long term assessments and higher sensitivity to detect subtle changes in water quality and low concentrations of chemicals. Also, biological responses tend to integrate the independent and interactive effects of many stressors, resulting in more robust indicators than analysis of individual chemicals (Cairns and Pratt, 1993; Cairns et al.,1993).

In the last 20 years, great efforts are being made to establish bioassessment protocols in Brazil using benthic macroinvertebrates (Baptista et al., 2007; Buss and Borges 2008; Mugnai et al., 2008; Moreno et al., 2009; Buss and Vitorino 2010; Ferreira et al., 2011). In order to develop more effective biomonitoring tools, ecological processes and biological responses must be analysed carefully. This study aimed to evaluate the influence of modifications in land-use and the use of pesticides on benthic macroinvertebrate assemblages in two agricultural river basins in southeast Brazil. Also, we were interested in finding which variables influenced macroinvertebrate assemblages the most.

2. Methods

2.1. Study area

The study was carried out in a mountainous area in Rio de Janeiro state, southeast Brazil. This region, known as Serra do Mar, is characterised by medium altitude valleys (600-1200 m.a.s.l.), and occupied mainly by rural properties, medium-sized cities and few forest remnants. This area is the greatest producer of olericultural plants (kitchen herbs) in the state. Deforestation of riparian vegetation in headwater areas and water contamination by pesticides and fertilizers are the major threats to freshwater resources. Two river basins located in the same ecoregion were used in this study: the São Lourenço River and the Varginha River basin. The São Lourenço River drains an area intensively used for production of kitchen herbs. Due to climate and rotation of cultures, production is continuous all over the year in this region, with a wide array of pesticides being used, (organophosphorous, carbamates, pyrethroids and bipyridil herbicides) (Moreira et al., 2002). The Varginha River valley is geographically similar, but used mainly for cattle ranching. The headwaters region is well preserved and there are no noticeable agricultural cultivations. However, human pressure is increasing in this region and there are deforested areas along the river.

Six stream reaches were used for biological, chemical, physical and environmental assessments. One forested and one pasture site were sampled for each basin (S1 and S2 in the São Lourenço River; V1 and V2 in the Varginha River), and two other sites draining cultivated areas were sampled for the São Lourenço River (S3 and S4). Sites S1 and V1 were at well-preserved forested areas, with riparian vegetation closely associated with the stream, and streambed dominated by boulders and coarse sediment. Site S2 was around 300 metres downstream from the border of the forested area, and although it had no local riparian vegetation, the site showed stable margins and the stream bed was dominated by cobbles, gravel and litter from the upstream forested area. No clear agricultural or cattle activities were observed in this area. In site V2 pasture was also predominant – also with no proximity of cattle production – and the site had finer sediments, few natural retention mechanisms, and the streambed was dominated entirely by sand. The other two sites were located in cultivated areas (sites S3 and S4), where the stream was channeled with steep margins and the streambed was dominated by sand and silt. At these two sites, few stable substrates were available and where rocks were found they were covered with aquatic macrophytes (Figure 1). These two sites were chosen based on Alves (2000) and Moreira et al. (2002) which found concentrations of organophosphorous pesticides in stream water samples at both sites (76.80 ± 10.89 mg/L at S3 and 37.16 ± 6.39 mg/L at S4).

2.2. Analytical methods

A Surber sampler (mesh size 125 µm, 900 cm² area) was used to collect the macroinvertebrate fauna. Three replicates of each of the four microhabitats (sediment, stones, litter in pool areas and litter in riffle areas) were sampled. Samplings were performed in three occasions, determined by rain regime and seasonality of crops production: dry season (August 2000), wet season (February 2001) and end of the wet season (May 2001). Biological samples were preserved in the field with 80% ethanol and packed for further examination. In the laboratory all biological samples were examined under a stereoscopic microscope. Macroinvertebrates were sorted, counted and identified mostly at genus level using available taxonomic keys (Ephemeroptera-Da-Silva et al., 2003; Salles et al., 2004; Odonata – Carvalho, 1989; Carvalho and Calil, 2000; Carvalho et al., 2002; Plecoptera – Oliéris et al., 2004; Hemiptera – Nieser and De Mello, 1997; Trichoptera – Angrisano, 1995; Wiggins, 1996; other groups – Merritt and Cummins, 1996).
Several physical and chemical measures were recorded in the field each time a biological sample was taken: pH, dissolved oxygen, water and air temperature, mean water depth, river width and current velocity (using the flotation method). Also, a water sample was taken and frozen for further laboratory analysis following FEEMA (1979) procedures: alkalinity, hardness, Cl-, NH3-N, NO3-N, PO4-P, total and fecal coliforms and pesticides. Pesticide analyses were specific for anticholinesterasic agents and were performed using the enzymatic method. In this method, a rat brain acetylcholinesterase preparation is used to detect pesticide concentrations (Cunha Bastos et al., 1991; Lima et al., 1996). The results are expressed in methyl-parathion equivalents and the detection limit is of 5 µg/L.

An environmental assessment of each site was performed at each sampling period, using the Riparian Channel Environment index (RCE; Petersen, 1992). The RCE index is calculated based on sixteen environmental parameters such as land-use patterns, stream channel morphology and riparian vegetation. For each parameter a score is given, summed, and the final score is compared to a table to determine one of the five classes of environmental integrity. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to evaluate the influence of the different land-uses on the physical and chemical parameters of the water, and richness and abundance of macroinvertebrates.

3. Results

Forested and pasture sites had similar physical, chemical and bacteriological analyses (p > 0.05 for all pairs of data). The only significant difference between these land-uses was the RCE-score ($F_{1,10} = 69.07; p < 0.0001$) (Table 1). Sites with agricultural activities had significantly higher values of NH3-N than forested and pasture sites ($F_{1,10} = 28.09; p < 0.001$; $F_{1,10} = 28.91; p < 0.001$, respectively), NO3-N ($F_{1,10} = 30.51; p < 0.001$; $F_{1,10} = 29.79; p < 0.001$), PO4-P ($F_{1,10} = 5.56; p < 0.05$; $F_{1,10} = 4.89; p < 0.05$), Thermotolerant Coliforms ($F_{1,10} = 11.27; p < 0.01$; $F_{1,10} = 6.65; p < 0.05$), and Hardness ($F_{1,10} = 20.62; p < 0.01$; $F_{1,10} = 13.85; p < 0.01$). Also, these sites had lower RCE-scores ($F_{1,10} = 463.00$;
p < 0.0001; F\textsubscript{1,10} = 22.19; p < 0.0001)(Table 1). Enzymatic essays detected pesticides in samples from agricultural sites: both sites were positive for methyl parathion equivalents in the end of the wet season sampling period (12.5 µg/L in S3 and 15.0 µg/L in S4).

3.1. Biological data

A total of 131,485 specimens were collected, with 106 taxa belonging to 13 orders and 53 families. Richness numbers were significantly different between land-uses (F\textsubscript{2,15} = 58.32; p < 0.0001). Forested and pasture sites had similar richness numbers (F\textsubscript{1,10} = 4.03; p = 0.07), but agricultural sites had significantly lower richness numbers than forested and pasture sites (F\textsubscript{1,10} = 49.63; p < 0.0001; F\textsubscript{1,10} = 136.82; p < 0.0001, respectively). This pattern was consistent over the study, with agricultural sites always having the lowest richness numbers (Figure 2). All invertebrate groups were significantly affected. Richness of the insect orders Coleoptera and Hemiptera differed between the three land-uses, with reducing numbers in the forested-pasture-agricultural gradient (both p < 0.001). Diptera, Odonata, Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera and Trichoptera had similar richness numbers between forested and pasture sites (all p > 0.05), but both land-uses were significantly different than agricultural sites (p-levels = 0.02 to <0.001) (Figure 3). Notably, Plecoptera, Leptophlebiidae (Ephemeroptera) and four families of Trichoptera (Calamoceratidae, Helicopsychidae, Hydrobiosidae and Odontoceridae) were not found in any agricultural site. The whole order Odonata was absent in site S4 (Table 2). In the pasture site, three taxa occurred predominantly: one Diptera (Psychodidae 86% in pasture sites, n = 718), and two Trichoptera (Marilia, Odontoceridae 74%, n = 406; and Atopsyche, Hydrobiosidae 63%, n = 236). In the agricultural sites, predominant taxa were two Ephemeroptera, (Baetidae: Americabaetis 63%, n = 3101; and Camelobaetidius 93%, n = 266), two Trichoptera (Protoptila, Glossosomatidae 88%, n = 50; and

---

Table 1. Mean values (SD) of environmental, physical-chemical and bacteriological analyses collected at sites with different land uses in São Lourenço and Varginha basin, Rio de Janeiro state. For each variable, values with the same superscript were not significantly different (n sites/occasions = 6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Forested sites</th>
<th>Pasture sites</th>
<th>Agricultural sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.18 (0.22)</td>
<td>6.80 (0.18)</td>
<td>7.13 (0.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkalinity (mg.L\textsuperscript{-1})</td>
<td>10.00 (2.23)</td>
<td>9.09 (1.15)</td>
<td>11.20 (1.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness (mg.L\textsuperscript{-1})</td>
<td>11.00 (1.10)</td>
<td>12.67 (1.63)</td>
<td>21.33 (5.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved Oxygen (mg.L\textsuperscript{-1})</td>
<td>8.58 (0.88)</td>
<td>8.23 (0.76)</td>
<td>7.98 (0.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl\textsuperscript{-} (mg.L\textsuperscript{-1})</td>
<td>6.88 (1.41)</td>
<td>8.35 (1.74)</td>
<td>8.11 (1.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{-}P (mg.L\textsuperscript{-1})</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-}N (mg.L\textsuperscript{-1})</td>
<td>0.06 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.07 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.33 (0.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-}N (mg.L\textsuperscript{-1})</td>
<td>0.09 (0.09)</td>
<td>0.09 (0.09)</td>
<td>0.71 (0.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Coliforms (MPN/100 mL)</td>
<td>1,101 (1.065)</td>
<td>2,133 (1.525)</td>
<td>5,633 (5.875)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Termotolerant Coliforms (MPN/100 mL)</td>
<td>53.33 (75.01)</td>
<td>147.33 (219.97)</td>
<td>666.67 (441.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl-parathion equivalents (µg.L\textsuperscript{-1})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.58 (7.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCE</td>
<td>290.83 (8.61)</td>
<td>130.17 (46.56)</td>
<td>40.50 (2.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altitude (m a.s.l.)</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 2. Taxa richness for benthic macroinvertebrates from streams in forested (S1 and V1), pasture (S2 and V2) and agricultural areas (S3 and S4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Forested</th>
<th></th>
<th>Pasture</th>
<th></th>
<th>Agricultural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S1</td>
<td>V1</td>
<td>S2</td>
<td>V2</td>
<td>S3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coleoptera richness</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diptera richness</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ephemeroptera richness</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemiptera richness</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepidoptera richness</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Megaloptera richness</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odonata richness</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plecoptera richness</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichoptera richness</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-insect richness</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total richness</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of individuals</td>
<td>27,567</td>
<td>28,147</td>
<td>32,027</td>
<td>12,368</td>
<td>17,084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evenness (J)</td>
<td>0.384</td>
<td>0.658</td>
<td>0.346</td>
<td>0.442</td>
<td>0.401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversity (H')</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nectopsyche, Leptoceridae 89%, n = 5270), Oligochaeta (69%, n = 1930), and Collembola (86%, n = 52).

Both basins had similar faunal composition (84 taxa in S1-S2 sites, 83 taxa in V1-V2 sites, with 73 taxa in common). However, the Varginha river basin had a higher equitability and predominance of more sensitive taxa (sites V1 - V2 presented 39,884 individuals with higher abundance of Paragrissipteryx, Anacronemurina, Phylloicus, Helicopsyche, Grunichela and Marilia, Equitability J = 0.61, and sites S1 - S2 presented 59,339 individuals with higher abundance of Chironomidae, Simuliidae, Americanabitis and Baetodes, Equitability J = 0.36)

4. Discussion

Water chemical analysis indicated little difference between forested and pastures sites, and significant differences between them and agricultural sites. Major differences were related to nutrient enrichment (PO₄-P, NO₃-N, NH₃-N) and the presence of anticholinesterasic pesticides. Increase in coliform numbers also suggest that animal manure (mostly from loosely confined cattle) and/or domestic sewage may also be influencing ecological conditions. Biological data followed this environmental-chemical gradient. Most taxa found in forested sites were present in pasture sites, but with lower densities (especially for V2). Impacts in agricultural sites were evident. Intensely-deforested river basins often show drastic changes in their river morphology. In the study area, signs of riverside erosion are evident. In such conditions, there are increased inputs of sediments in the stream, and the available substrates become more unstable, less complex and with less coarse organic particulate matter for the fauna (Schulz, 2001; Rios and Bailey, 2006). As a consequence, in-stream refuges for the biota progressively disappear (Dudgen, 2000; Maul et al., 2004). Therefore, the loss of habitats due to sedimentation and/or the lower complexity of substrates may be one of the most disruptive forces for the macroinvertebrate fauna in this region (Buss et al., 2004). This impact affected both sites impacted by pastures and by agriculture. On the other hand, agricultural impacts together with pesticide runoff resulted in the reduction of taxa richness (sites S3 e S4). Other studies corroborate our findings of agricultural sites having lower taxa richness (Lenat, 1984) and less sensitive groups, resulting in a more dominant community structure (Lenat and Crawford, 1994; Hepp et al., 2010).

Despite the overall reduction in abundance and richness with increased impairment, some taxa increased their abundance in agricultural sites: Camelochaetidius (Baetidae; 93% of the 268 individuals) and Protoptilia (Glossosomatidae; 84% of 50 individuals). Both taxa are scrapers (see Baptista et al., 2006 for Camelobaetidius). Strong linkages between macroinvertebrate herbivores and algal density and higher levels of nutrients have been suggested elsewhere (Justus et al. 2010). However, there are no records in the literature if these taxa are tolerant to such impairments or have a higher resistance to the pesticides used locally. We observed only in these sites all rocks covered by a thick mat of algae. Such growth is possibly influenced by the run-off of fertilizers, which is corroborated by the higher values of N and P found in these sites. Elevated nitrogen and phosphorous concentrations are a leading cause of water quality impairment and agriculture is a major source of nutrients (Black et al., 2010). In the São Lourenço basin cultivated areas are larger than in the Varginha basin. Also, along the longitudinal gradient there is less and narrower riparian buffer zones, so the river is more exposed to pesticide sprays, which may be determinant to reduce macroinvertebrate diversity (Maltby and Hills, 2008; Vischetti et al., 2008).
Our results indicate that macroinvertebrate fauna responded well to the low-level of nutrient enrichment, and also to other impairments caused by agriculture and pasture such as physical habitat alterations. Further information is needed to establish metrics for a biological index to assess ecological condition of streams.
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