Toxicity effects of nickel electroplating effluents treated by photoelectrooxidation in the industries of the Sinos River Basin

Benvenuti, T.*, Rodrigues, MAS.ª, Arenzon, A.ª, Bernardes, AM.ª and Zoppas-Ferreira, J.ª

1Laboratório de Corrosão, Proteção e Reciclagem de Materiais, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Engenharia de Minas, Metalúrgica e de Materiais – PPGEM, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul – UFRGS, Avenida Bento Gonçalves, 9500, Agronomia, CEP 91501-970, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

2Programa de Pós-Graduação em Qualidade Ambiental, Universidade Feevale, Rodovia RS 239, 2755, CEP 93352-000, Novo Hamburgo, RS, Brazil

3Laboratório de Ecotoxicologia, Centro de Ecologia, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul – UFRGS, Avenida Bento Gonçalves, 9500, Agronomia, CEP 91501-970, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

*e-mail: benvenuti.tatiane@gmail.com

Received: May 24, 2013 – Accepted: July 24, 2013 – Distributed: May 31, 2015
(With 6 figures)

Abstract

The Sinos river Basin is an industrial region with many tanneries and electroplating plants in southern Brazil. The wastewater generated by electroplating contains high loads of salts and metals that have to be treated before discharge. After conventional treatment, this study applied an advanced oxidative process to degrade organic additives in the electroplating bright nickel baths effluent. Synthetic rinsing water was submitted to physical-chemical coagulation for nickel removal. The sample was submitted to ecotoxicity tests, and the effluent was treated by photoelectrooxidation (PEO). The effects of current density and treatment time were evaluated. The concentration of total organic carbon (TOC) was 38% lower. The toxicity tests of the effluent treated using PEO revealed that the organic additives were partially degraded and the concentration that is toxic for test organisms was reduced.
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1. Introduction

Brazil has a privileged position in availability of water resources in the world. The hydrographical region of South Atlantic is located in the state of Rio Grande do Sul, where this study was conducted. Water resources in this region are divided into 14% for urban uses, 12% for industrial use, 1% for rural proposes, 71% for irrigation and 2% for animals. The urban rate of water treatment is 90%, and the sewage treatment rate is 27% (Brasil, 2007). The Atlântico Sul region includes different hydrographical basins, one of which is the Sinos River basin, which is
in an area with 1.6 million inhabitants. In the basin area, there are 650 factories and plants, 198 of which are metallurgical plants. Of the 100 factories with the highest pollution potential in the state of Rio Grande do Sul, 29 are located in the area of the Sinos River basin. Industrial effluents account for 19% of the wastewater discharge in this basin, and the rate of urban sewage discharge is also high (Rio Grande do Sul, 2001).

To improve the conditions of the Sinos River, wastewater of metallurgical plants should be efficiently treated. Presently, there is no conventional effluent treatment to obtain water that can be reused in the same process. Before discharge, galvanic and other industrial effluents must be evaluated for ecotoxicity, which should ensure that the effluent does not have toxic effects (CONSEMA, RS 129/2006).

This study evaluated the photoelectrooxidation (PEO) treatment of nickel electroplating effluents.

As several other electroplated metals, nickel is widely used because it improves resistance to corrosion and provides decorative finishing (Schario, 2007). The Watts bath, composed of NiCl₂, NiSO₄, and H₃BO₃, is the most widely used process. For brightness coatings, organic additives are added to the bath (Ciszewski et al., 2004).

Therefore, electroplating generates large amounts of wastewater with nickel and organic compounds.

Different processes are used to treat these effluents: coagulation-flocculation, flotation, ion exchange, adsorption, electrocoagulation, electrolysis and membrane processes, such as electrodialysis, reverse osmosis and micro-, nano- and ultra-filtration. Metal removal rates are greater than 99%, but vary for each metal and process. However, organic additives are molecules that may persist after conventional treatment, which makes removal difficult and expensive (Fu and Wang, 2011).

Few studies investigated the degradation of organic pollutants in wastewaters containing metals, because these organic molecules often act as chelating agents that can form stable and water-soluble complexes with many metal ions (Maszenan et al., 2011); biodegradation becomes difficult (Venugopal et al., 2005) and electrodeposition is not an economically viable technique for treatment (Allen and Chen, 1993; Campbell et al., 1994).

Different advanced oxidative processes (AOPs) have been used to degrade organic pollutants in wastewaters: photoelectrochemical, electrochemical, UV-photooxidation, UV, H₂O₂, O₃, ultrasound, Fenton and combined processes (Kang et al., 2001; Manu and Mahamood, 2011; Yan-yang et al., 2011). AOPs are characterised by the generation of hydroxyl radicals (•OH), which have a high potential for compound oxidation (2.8 V), and the role of AOPs is to enable the complete mineralisation of organic pollutants into CO₂, water, and mineral acids.

This study used photoelectrooxidation (PEO), which includes two simultaneous processes: electrolysis and heterogeneous photocatalysis. PEO is a mediated reaction and occurs via oxygen atom transfer from water in the solvent phase to the oxidation product. A solution passes through an electrolytic reactor where the anode is under UV radiation (Rodrigues et al., 2008).

Many studies have focused on effluent toxicity after treatment with AOPs. The major concern when using AOPs is the incomplete oxidation of organic compounds in the solution, which form toxic intermediates that can reduce biodegradability (Lu et al., 2011).

The novelty of this paper is the application of the PEO treatment to degrade organic additives in rinsewaters of Watts nickel electroplating baths. Our purpose was to evaluate the degradation of organic additives when using PEO and the toxicity of the treated effluent. The removal of these organic additives from effluents before their discharge into rivers may contribute to the reduction of pollution of water resources.

2. Material and Methods

Experiments were carried out according to Figure 1a.

2.1. Solutions

Two nickel bath samples were prepared with commercial products in the concentrations used in the electroplating industry for nickel plating, according to Table 1: B is the
nickel bath and BA, the nickel bath containing organic additives. From solutions B and BA, dilutions were prepared with 10 mL of bath in 1 L of distilled water to obtain the synthetic effluents.

Synthetic effluents were grouped according to the presence of organic additives and the type of treatment, as shown in Figure 1a. The conventional physical-chemical treatment in the metal finishing industry was used for raw effluents (RE and REA); nickel precipitation was performed using 50% NaOH, followed by flocculation with the anionic polymer Masfloc AF® (0.1%), RE and REA pH was about 5; pH 8.5 and 10 were used for the treatment and generated TE and TEA. After treatment, the effluents were filtered using 140-μm filter paper.

2.2. Experimental apparatus

The experimental system is shown in Figure 1b. The PEO reactor had a volume of 1.6 L. It was built with borosilicate glass, recirculation of the effluent to be treated and a temperature control system coupled with a thermostatic bath [1]. During the experiments, the temperature was kept at 30° C. The light source was a mercury vapor lamp of 250W [2] whose bulb was removed and replaced with a quartz tube measuring 5 cm in diameter [3]. The lamp was turned on 15 minutes before the test started to ensure the stabilization of the radiation. The DeNora DSA® electrode (Brazil) [4] was a 437 cm² titanium plate coated with metal oxides (70%TiO₂/30%RuO₂) and placed around the quartz tube, which therefore remained under UV radiation. At a distance of 1 cm from the anode, a stainless steel AISI420 cylinder was used as the cathode [5]. A volume of 2.5 L of effluent was fed into the tank and the reactor at an average flow of 1 L/min⁻¹, using a centrifugal pump. The PEO electrodes were cleaned using a sulfuric acid solution at pH 1 by applying a current density of 6.9 mA.cm⁻² for 15 min between each test.

The current density evaluated in the PEO1 test was 0.2 mA.cm⁻²; PEO2 = 5.5 mA.cm⁻²; PEO3 = 7.7 mA.cm⁻²; PEO4 = 14 mA.cm⁻² and PEO5 = 20.6 mA.cm⁻². The effect of the treatment time was evaluated for PEO1 and PEO3 tests for 120 and 180 minutes.

2.3. Analytical measurements

The effluents (RE, REA, TE, TEA) were characterized according to nickel concentrations by flame atomic absorption spectrophotometry (Varian - FS 240). The analysis of inorganic (IC), organic (TOC) and total (TC) carbon were performed using the Shimadzu TOCV CSH. A UV/V molecular absorption spectrometer (T80-PG Instruments) was used for UV analysis.

2.4. Toxicity

The ecotoxicity tests for RE, REA, TE and TEA effluents and the final sample PEO3 test were performed according to the Brazilian Association of Technical Standards (ABNT). The acute toxicity to *Daphnia magna* (Straus 1820) microcrustacean was evaluated using the NBR 12713:2009 standard (ABNT, 2009), based on ASTM E1193-97 (ASTM, 1987). Acute toxicity to *Pimephales promelas* (Rafinesque 1820) fish was evaluated using tests defined in the NBR 15088:2004 standard (ABNT, 2004), similar to the USEPA Method 2000.0 (United States of American, 2002a). Toxicity tests for *Selenastrum capricornutum* algae (Printz 1914) were performed according to NBR 12648:2005 standard (ABNT, 2005), which is similar to the USEPA method 1003.0 (United States of American, 2002b).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Physic-chemical characterization

Figure 2a describes the results of the analysis of total organic carbon (TOC), inorganic carbon (IC) and total carbon (TC) for all effluents. The effect of the organic additives was seen in the REA effluent, in which TOC was 50 mg.L⁻¹, while TOC in the RE effluent was almost zero. There was an increase in IC, TC and TOC concentrations in the TEApH8.5, TEApH10 and TEPH110 samples, which demonstrated the addition of the polymer effect to the effluent treatment and primarily contributed to an increase of the inorganic filler.

Table 2 shows a high concentration of Ni in raw effluent samples (REA, RE). After the physical-chemical treatment, there was a higher efficiency of conventional treatment with an increase of pH from 8.5 to 10. The percentage removal was calculated using Equation1, where initial ([Ni]₀) and final ([Ni]₁) nickel concentrations were used:

\[ Ep\%=((\text{[Ni]}_0-\text{[Ni]}_1)/\text{[Ni]}_0)\times100 \]  

Even with efficiency greater than 99% for the treatments carried out at pH10, the concentrations found after treatment confirm the difficulty of the final effluent to meet the standards set by law for effluent disposal (CONAMA 357 (BRASIL, 2005) and CONSEMA 128, (Rio Grande do Sul, 2006)). The biological effects of the organic and inorganic pollutants together in the effluent cannot be assessed using only traditional physical-chemical analyses; therefore, the samples were tested for toxicity.

Table 1. Synthetic solutions for nickel plating baths without (B) or with (BA) additives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>BA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NiCl₂</td>
<td>65 g.L⁻¹</td>
<td>65 g.L⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiSO₄</td>
<td>275 g.L⁻¹</td>
<td>275 g.L⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂BO₂</td>
<td>45 g.L⁻¹</td>
<td>45 g.L⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abr501* (brightener)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20 mL.L⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niv44* (low density current leveler)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20 mL.L⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niv55* (high current density leveler)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20 mL.L⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MolC® (surfactant)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5 mL.L⁻¹</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2. Toxicity tests

In the raw effluents under evaluation (RE and REA), the interaction between the test organisms and high nickel concentrations had a predominant effect on the comparison between samples with and without additives, as shown in Table 3. According to Table 2, RE and REA did not reach the physical and chemical parameters for disposal, and pH was around 5. Besides that, a high Ni concentration gave the effluent the high toxicity observed for the three trophic levels. For TEPH10 and TEApH10, more than 99% of Ni was removed, and the pH, corrected after treatment to the acceptable range for disposal, was close to 7. Increases in concentrations that cause acute effects were observed for all three levels, but were far from 100% concentration, which indicates that the effluent without dilution might house the test organisms without mortality, immobility, or growth population inhibition.

The algae were the most sensitive to the tested samples (Table 3); they had more critical inhibition concentrations.

Table 2. Variations in the nickel concentration and percentage of removal after physical-chemical treatment (FAAS analysis).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>[Ni] mg L⁻¹</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Ep%</th>
<th>Limit for discharge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RE</td>
<td>1137.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>CONAMA 357/2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEPH8.5</td>
<td>152.9</td>
<td>130.7</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>86.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEPH10</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>5.86</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>99.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REA</td>
<td>1024.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>CONSEA128/2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEApH8.5</td>
<td>127.2</td>
<td>90.64</td>
<td>51.68</td>
<td>91.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEApH10</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>99.63</td>
<td>1 mg L⁻¹</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: the average concentration of three samples were calculated. SD: the standard deviation between the nickel concentration analysed for the samples. Ep%: percentage extraction of nickel calculated after the physico-chemical treatment.

Table 3. Ecotoxicological characterisation of raw and treated effluents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Acute toxicity for Pimephales promelas LC(I)50, 48h</th>
<th>Acute toxicity for Daphnia magna EC(I)50, 48h</th>
<th>Acute toxicity for Selenastrum capricornutum IC(I)50, 72h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RE</td>
<td>0.40%</td>
<td>0.59%</td>
<td>0.0083%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEPH10</td>
<td>70.71%</td>
<td>72.01%</td>
<td>5.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REA</td>
<td>0.40%</td>
<td>0.66%</td>
<td>0.0116%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEApH10</td>
<td>70.71%</td>
<td>70.71%</td>
<td>4.57%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a LC(I)50: concentration of sample that kills 50% of the organisms. b EC(I)50: concentration of sample that immobilizes 50% of the organisms. c IC(I)50: concentration of sample that inhibits growth of 50% of the organisms.

Figure 2. (a) Concentration of carbon species. Experimental values for concentration of inorganic (IC), organic (TOC) and total (TC) carbon in raw and treated solutions, pH treatment: 8.5 or 10. (b) Total organic carbon degradation. TOC/TOC₀ ratio in the PEO of organic matter as a function of current density to treated samples for sixty minutes.
The results for *D. magna* and *S. capricornutum* for TE and TEA effluents were statistically equal, and were within the range of variability for these tests. The results above confirm that effluent toxicity, even after physical-chemical treatment, requires additional treatment.

### 3.3. Effect of current density on photoelectrooxidation

The treated effluent containing organic additives, TEApH10, was classified as ideal for physico-chemical treatment. It was treated by PEO to degrade organic compounds. In PEO1, 0.2 mAcm⁻² was applied; and to check the effect of this parameter, PEO2, PEO3, PEO4 and PEO5 were performed at 5.5, 7.7, 14 and 20.6 mAcm⁻² in trials that lasted 60 minutes.

Figure 2b shows the effects of current density during PEO. At all current densities, TOC was reduced, and organic matter degradation was 3% to 38%. These results confirm the positive effect of increased current density for the degradation of organic additives in one-hour tests.

The degradation of organic additives is seen in the spectra of UV-Vis molecular absorption, shown in Figure 3, where bands are identified, and in absorbance reduction after the PEO essays using different current densities. There was a clear difference between sample spectra in function of additives: TEA and REA samples had overlapping and well defined bands in the region of 290 to 250 nm.

Preliminary analyses of individual additive spectra obtained from solutions with the same concentrations found in the raw effluent (REA) indicated that the absorbance for Niv55⁰ and Abr501⁰ were reflected in the bands of REA and TEA samples in the 290 to 250 nm and 240 to 190 nm ranges. There was no precise information about organic additives composition, except that there was propargyl alcohol and propargyl alcohol ethoxylate in Abr501⁰ and allyl sodium sulfonate in Niv44⁰. Silverstein et al. (1991) found that compounds (CH₂)ₙS₂ (n = [2, 5]) absorb in the 275 to 235-nm wavelength region, and compounds (CH₂)ₙS (n = [3, 5]) absorb at between 333 and 250 nm. This suggests that these molecules may be present in the Abr501⁰ and Niv55⁰ additive formulation. The Niv55⁰ spectrum was very similar to the one obtained for a 1% saccharin solution, traditionally used as a leveler additive in nickel electroplating baths (Ciszewski et al., 2004).

The analysis of UV-Vis spectra in Figure 3 confirms the results of TOC in Figure 2b. In PEO1 (i=0.2mAcm⁻²), there was a clear reduction of up to 42% in the absorption band between 270 and 245 nm. A reduction, although less pronounced, also occurs at 240 nm. PEO2 had a very similar behavior (i=5.5mAcm⁻²), and there was a 48.72% band absorption reduction between 270 and 245 nm. PEO3 and PEO4 essays had the largest absorption reduction across the spectrum, reaching 69.5% between 270 and 245 nm. When compared with PEO4, the PEO3 test showed that absorption decreased more in the wavelength region below 230 nm; however, both spectra were very distant from the initial level (REA and TEApH10).

The final PEO5 sample, treated with the highest current density, showed a major effect in absorption reduction, which reached 82.4% between 270 and 245nm. This was the lowest absorption among the spectra showed in Figure 3. The effect of current density on organic matter removal was confirmed by greater degradation at higher current densities, an effect cited by Pinheiro et al. (2005) in their study of humic acid degradation by electrolysis, and assisting electrolysis with photocatalysis evaluated in the UV-Vis spectrum and TOC. However, high current density implies high energy consumption, which increases treatment cost.

### 3.4. Effect of treatment time

PEO1 (0.2 mAcm⁻²) was evaluated by TOC and UV - Vis absorption for an additional sixty minutes during twelve hours. The behavior of TOC was analysed according to TOC/TOC₀ (Figure 4), and slow TOC reduction was seen in the early hours of the test. After five hours and until the end of the test, TOC was reduced by 21.2%. This result was the same as the one found for PEO3, in which 7.7 mAcm⁻² was applied for 60 min (Figure 2b).

The UV-Vis spectrum in Figure 5 confirms TOC results. The absorption bands decreased between 270 to 245 nm.
and from 240 to 200 nm during the first sixty minutes. In Figure 5, the absorbance reduction at 258 nm was 49.1%, similar to that of PEO2, under \(i = 5.5 \text{ mACm}^{-2}\) for sixty minutes (Figure 2b).

Longer experiments showed the effectiveness in the degradation of organic compounds, even at low current densities, which required only longer treatment times. Also, while it is applying a low electric current, 12h tests require further monitoring and control due to the heating of the solution; and, for the application of PEO in the treatment of industrial effluents, space and residence time are critical items for implement new process for wastewater treatment, particularly in the case of a polishing process, increasingly necessary to achieve specific parameters.

3.5. Effluent toxicity after treatment using PEO

Incomplete oxidation of organic compounds may result in the formation of more toxic intermediaries and can reduce biodegradability. This is the major limitation of AOPs. During treatment using PEO, TOC removal and reduction of additive absorption confirmed the complete oxidation of organic matter. A new PEO was conducted and submitted to ecotoxicity tests. A median value of current density was selected, 7.7 mACm\(^{-2}\) (used in PEO3), and the treatment was performed for 180 minutes. This test showed a satisfactory response to the increase in treatment time when TOC was evaluated.

The UV-Vis spectrum in Figure 6 shows the reduction of absorption for both initial effluent bands, as in the previous tests. Due of these results, the final sample of PEO3 180 min, in which pH 8.86 was adjusted to pH 7, was sent for toxicity analysis for the two most sensitive trophic levels to initial REA and TEA solutions: microcrustaceans and algae. Results are shown in Table 4. There were no changes in the toxicity test with microcrustaceans, showing that nickel concentration was still high and there was no formation of more toxic by-products at a sufficient concentration to change the effect on \(D.\text{magna}\). In the same analysis, it was also possible to evaluate the toxicity factor (TF), which indicated that the sample dilution factor did not affect 50% of organisms for \(D.\text{Magna}\). TF did not change after PEO3 180 min.

The assessment of the toxicity test for algae revealed that PEO treatment not only reduced the concentration of organic matter (TOC and UV-Vis spectrometry analysis), but also led to reduced toxicity for algae, increasing the inhibition concentration, IC(I)50, from 4.57% to 37.25% after PEO3 180 min. TF also confirmed treatment success, as the toxic effect was reduced.

Results were satisfactory because there was no increase in effluent toxicity after PEO for the test organisms under evaluation. Yeber et al. (1999) evaluated different AOPs for pulp mill bleaching wastewater and found that PEO was efficient in reducing TOC and acute toxicity when using Microtox\(^{®}\) test for the effluent.

The results of this study revealed that degradation products from organic additives are as toxic as the initial compounds (for microcrustaceans) or less toxic than initial compounds. However, residual nickel concentrations may still cause toxicity. This was confirmed in the toxicity test with algae, wherein the degradation of organic matter reduced the effluent toxicity to \(S.\text{capricornutum}\).

![Figure 5. UV-Vis spectra for samples collected during PEO1 test under application of \(i=0.2 \text{ mACm}^{-2}\) current density for twelve hours.](image)

![Figure 6. Values of absorption reduction of initial effluents (REA and TEApH10) and after PEO for 180 min and application at 7.7 mACm\(^{-2}\) (PEO3 180 min).](image)

**Table 4.** Ecotoxicity results for TEApH10 and PEO3 (7.7 mACm\(^{-2}\), 180 min) samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Daphnia magna</th>
<th>Selenastrum capricornutum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acute toxicity</td>
<td>TF(^a)</td>
<td>EC(I)50, 48h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEApH10</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>70.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEO3 (7.7 mACm(^{-2}), 180 min)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>70.71%</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) TF: toxicity factor (sample dilution factor does not affect 50% of organisms).
4. Conclusions

After conventional treatment, was confirmed the difficulty in reaching the standard for treated effluent disposal in relation to the concentration of nickel, as TOC did not remove, but increased. Study techniques that degrade organic compounds should be investigated, which opens up the possibility of using an AOP, such as PEO.

When higher current densities were applied, there was a greater reduction of TOC and UV-Vis spectra absorption of test samples in the first sixty minutes. The longest experiments showed the highest rates of degradation, but further studies about efficiency and energy consumption should be performed to determine parameters as a function of the volume of the effluent to be treated.

These results confirm the success of the PEO technique to degrade organic additives. The intermediaries generated during degradation were less toxic for S. capricornutum and did not change TF for microcrustaceans. Before this study, solutions treated using PEO were not within the toxicity limits required for disposal. Studies to optimise parameters may determine the final quality of treated effluents and reduce environmental impacts of the disposal of these compounds, surfactants and a wide variety of unidentified compounds that increase pollution in the Sinos River basin.
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