Record of Epibiont ciliates (Ciliophora: Peritrichia) living on larvae of Odonata from Brazil
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Historically, epibiosis was understood as a commensalism relation between two or more organisms. However, some studies have shown that epibionts can cause deleterious effects to their host (see Puckett and Carman, 2002). Numerous species of protozoa belonging to the group of peritrich Ciliophora can be found inhabiting other aquatic organisms. Epibiosis is a facultative relationship of two organisms: the epibiont and the basibiont. The word “epibiont” includes organisms that, during the sessile stage of their life cycle, are attached to the surface of a living substratum, while the basibiont lodges and constitutes a support (Fernandez-Leborans and Tato-Porto, 2000; Dias et al. 2007). As pointed out by Wahl (1989), because of epibiosis, the host and the epibiont have beneficial and negative aspects. The negative effects of epibiosis on the host may involve, for example, a decrease in survival capability and perturbation of movement (Henebry and Ridgeway, 1979). Epibiosis is beneficial for epibiont protozoa, which can be transported to regions richer in food or to much more oxygenated places, as pointed out by Regali-Seleghim and Godinho (2004). As pointed by Liu and Gong (2012), peritrichs are a diverse, ecologically important ciliate group usually with a complex life cycle (Liu and Gong, 2012).

Several ciliate protozoans live as epibionts on animals and plants, using them as substrate (Dias et al., 2007). Most ciliates, for example, of the genus Rhabdostyla Kent, 1880 (Peritrichia, Epistyliidae), live as epibionts of freshwater invertebrates, such as rotifers, crustaceans (Cladocerans, Copepods), insects from the orders Ephemeroptera and Diptera (Chironomidae), and annelids (Oligochaeta and Polychaeta) (Dias et al., 2007). Along these lines, Regali-Seleghim and Godinho (2004) found Rhabdostyla sp. and Scyphidia sp. living on copepods, Fernandez-Leborans and Tato-Porto (2000) points to epibionts living on crustaceans, Dias et al. (2007) found Rhabdostyla chironomi inhabiting the Chironomidae larvae ventral tubles, Dias et al. (2009a) that found the occurrence of peritrich ciliates on the limnic oligochaete Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri and Dias et al. (2009b) that points the occurrence of Epistylis sp. (Ciliophora: Peritrichia) living on nymphs of Kemp尼亚 (Plecoptera). Other researchers, such as Princ (1988) pointed that ciliates have a complex network of interacting feeding relationships at various trophic levels and found that in organically enriched aquatic systems, increased concentrations of phosphates and other nutrients alters the abundance of peritrich populations.

Here, we report a first record of epibiont ciliates (Ciliophora: Peritrichia) living on larvae of Coenagrionidae (Odonata) in Brazil (see Figure 1). The organisms were collected in September 2015, from the Monjolinho Reservoir, using a “D” aquatic net, during a survey of benthic macroinvertebrates. This reservoir is located in the campus of the Federal University of São Carlos (São Paulo, Brazil) in a subtropical region (47°53’W and 22°01’S). The Odonata were identified using the special identification key (Hamada et al., 2014; Mugnai et al., 2010). We recorded the occurrence of epibiont ciliates (Protozoa: Ciliophora) living on larvae of Coenagrionidae (Odonata). The epibiont ciliates were found attached to some body part of the Odonata larvae, but most usually located on the head and on the pronotum and mesonotum (thorax) (see Figure 1). The presence of epibionts mostly on the head and on the pronotum and mesonotum (thorax) of the Odonata can bring some feed difficulties for these predator aquatic organism. On the other hand, as pointed by Henebry and Ridgeway (1979) since peritrichs primarily consume bacteria, their location on the body of the host is indifferent. However, adhesion to appendages is probably inadequate because epibionts may be lost with the attrition caused by movements and, according to Green (1974), the frequent perturbation by the antenna of the host interrupts the feeding of peritrichs, causing zoid contraction. On the other hand, Regali-Seleghim and Godinho (2004) consider that bigger organisms are easier targets for the epibionts than smaller ones. Consequently, our observations may be related to epibiont preference for these aquatic organisms or to the presence of a comparatively larger adhesion surface offered by each Odonata individual.
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