FRONT TRACKING IN RECIRCULATING FLOWS: A COMPARISON BETWEEN THE TVD AND RCM METHODS IN SOLVING THE VOF EQUATION
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Abstract - The modeling and simulation of mold filling must include a method to capture the interface formed between the inlet fluid and the fluid that was initially in the mold. A commonly used front-capturing method in a Eulerian mesh is the volume-of-fluid (VOF) method. The VOF advection equation solution may show numerical diffusion and/or dispersion and high-order numerical schemes, such as the TVD schemes with dimensional splitting, have to be employed to discretize the convective terms. The present contribution explores the use of RCM for solution of the VOF color-function equation during mold filling with recirculating flows. The Navier-Stokes equations are solved by a finite-volume method using the SIMPLER algorithm. Filling simulations using the TVD and RCM methods are compared. RCM was able to generate diffusion-free results, sharply defining the interface, even when topological changes (generation of droplets) occur.

Keywords: Interface capturing; Mold filling; RCM; TVD schemes; VOF schemes; Computational fluid dynamics.

INTRODUCTION

A variety of industrial processes include a step of filling some kind of cavity (thermoplastic injection molding, reaction injection molding, casting of metal pieces, food extrusion processes, etc). The design of these cavities involves a very expensive trial-and-error procedure in order to avoid filling problems, like heterogeneity in the final piece or air entrapment. This is especially true in the polymer industry. Due to this fact, during the last two decades many researchers have been developing computational methods that allow simulation of cavity filling, which shortens the expensive empirical stage. One of the most challenging problems in constructing a successful simulator is how to capture and track the interface formed between the inlet fluid and the fluid that was initially inside the cavity.

One way of capturing the moving interface between two immiscible fluids is to solve the fluid-flow equations for both fluids in the same Eulerian mesh. The two fluids are modeled as a single continuum with discontinuous properties at the interface. The interface propagation is then obtained through solution of a transient scalar advection equation in the Eulerian mesh. This solution is prone to numerical diffusion and dispersion problems, which are inherent in the numerical solution of hyperbolic equations by any discretization scheme when there are discontinuities in the solution. A fluid-fluid interface is a contact discontinuity, and its resolution demands additional care. In this paper, two numerical solutions to this problem are
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presented and compared: the use of a high-order
TVD scheme (total variation diminishing) developed
by Chakravarthy and Osher (1985) and the use of a
RCM scheme (random choice method) adapted from
Toro (1999). Both schemes use dimensional
splitting.

TVD methods are one of the most significant
achievements in the development of numerical
methods for partial differential equations in the last
20 years or so, although the preliminary ideas can be
traced as far back as 1959 to the pioneering work of
Godunov, continued later by van Leer. In 1965,
Glimm introduced RCM and Colella (1982)
contributed to developing and increasing knowledge
of the method, considering its advantages and
limitations.

In this work, the effectiveness of TVD and RCM
for cavity-filling simulation are compared for both
nonrecirculating and recirculating flows. In the
filling, it is assumed that both fluids had the same
properties. Thus, the pressure and velocity fields
could be obtained for the steady-state flow
throughout any cavity and then used for the filling
simulation with both methods. This eliminates any
flow field disturbance that would arise in a filling
simulation for unsteady fluid flow. These steady-
state flow solutions are obtained by solving the
transient mass and momentum conservation
equations using a finite-volume method and the
SIMPLER algorithm until the steady-state flow
reached a given tolerance. The effects of surface
tension at the interface are not included in the
analysis.

**FLUID DYNAMICS EQUATIONS**

The 2D-Cartesian mass and momentum
conservation equations for a Newtonian fluid with
constant density and viscosity, used in the simulation
of flow through 2D-cavities, are presented below in a
dimensionless form.

\[
\frac{\partial (\phi V)}{\partial \tau} + \text{Re}_{\text{ref}} \frac{\partial (\phi U)}{\partial X} + \text{Re}_{\text{ref}} \frac{\partial (\phi V)}{\partial Y} = 0
\]  

\[ \text{(1)} \]

\[
\frac{\partial (\phi U)}{\partial \tau} + \text{Re}_{\text{ref}} \frac{\partial (\phi UU)}{\partial X} + \text{Re}_{\text{ref}} \frac{\partial (\phi VU)}{\partial Y} = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial X} - \text{Re}_{\text{ref}} \left[ \frac{\partial T_{XY}}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial T_{XY}}{\partial Y} \right]
\]

\[ \text{(2)} \]

where

- \( \phi \) is the fluid property (e.g., density or velocity component);
- \( \text{Re}_{\text{ref}} \) is the reference Reynolds number;
- \( \text{atol} \) and \( \text{rtol} \) are the absolute and relative tolerances,
- \( \Delta \) is a grid spacing.

and \( t \) is the time; \( x \) and \( y \) are the spatial coordinates;
\( \rho \) is the density; \( \mu \) is the viscosity; \( \nu \) is the kinematic
viscosity; \( u \) and \( v \) are the velocity components in \( x \)
and \( y \) directions, respectively; \( p \) is the pressure; \( g \)
is the body force in \( i \) direction; \( D_{\text{in}} \) is the inlet width
and \( v_{\text{med}} \) is the mean inlet velocity. The subscript \( \text{ref} \)
refers to the inlet fluid properties.

After applying a finite-volume discretization on a
staggered grid using the power-law scheme, the
steady-state solution is achieved using the SIMPLER
scheme (Patankar, 1980). The numerical code has
been tested against benchmark results for the
backward facing step flow (Garling, 1990) with
good agreement (Fontes et al., 1999).

The numerical results were integrated using a
convergence criterion based on a mixed tolerance
that equals the absolute tolerance (atol) added to the
relative tolerance (rtol) multiplied by the variable
modulus \(( \text{atol} + |x| \cdot \text{rtol} < |\Delta x| \)). If the absolute
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tolerance assumes a very small value, the relative tolerance controls the convergence criterion. Absolute and relative tolerances of $10^{-6}$ for the velocity components and of $10^{-5}$ for the pressure were used. The criterion used to assume that the steady state was achieved was the same mixed tolerance criterion described above, but using absolute and relative tolerances of $10^{-5}$ for all the variables in every control volume between two consecutive times.

**VOF-TVD SCHEME**

The volume-of-fluid (VOF) method is an interface-capturing method (Ferziger & Pèric, 1997). The fluids on both sides of the interface are marked by either massless particles or an indicator (color) function. In the VOF method the advection of the color function $F$, given by Equation (10) in dimensionless form, has to be solved. The $F$ field values are stored at in the staggered finite-volume grid similarly to the pressure field.

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \text{Re} U \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} + \text{Re} V \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = 0 \quad (10)$$

As seen above, $U$ and $V$ are known from the steady-state solution. The simulated physical situation corresponds to the steady flow of a fluid through the cavity that suddenly becomes colored and starts to displace the colorless one. Obviously, if the physical property remains the same, so do the velocity and the pressure fields. For a given volume, $F$ is equal to 0 when the volume has no colored fluid, but it is equal to 1 when the volume is completely filled with colored fluid. The interface has $F$ values between 0 and 1.

Typical problems associated with the solution of hyperbolic equations like Equation (10) (numerical diffusion and dispersion) are reduced by the use of a total variation diminishing (TVD) scheme. Good summaries of TVD schemes are given by Sweby (1984) and Toro (1999). A numerical method is TVD if Equation (11), where $\xi$ is the transported variable and $\text{TV}(\xi)$ is its total variation, is valid for scalar conservation laws.

$$\text{TV}(\xi^{n+1}) \leq \text{TV}(\xi^n) \quad (11)$$

Chakravarthy and Osher (1985) described one-dimensional second and third-order accurate TVD schemes with low truncation errors. These schemes were used to solve advective equations, like Equation (10). Goodman and LeVeque (1985) proved that there is no multidimensional TVD scheme, but Chakravarthy and Osher (1985) showed extremely good numerical results using their scheme. Based on its simplicity and good results, the Chakravarthy and Osher (1985) third-order scheme is used in the present work. In order to try to keep the TVD characteristics of the 1-D scheme, a dimensional splitting is applied during the numerical solution of Equation (10). Therefore, the explicit discretized form of Equation (10), with dimensional splitting, is given by Equations (12) and (13), where $\Delta t^{1/2} = \Delta t/2$. The splitting algorithm, in accordance with Toro (1999), is described below.

i) For each time step $\Delta t$, integrate first in $X$ direction up to $\Delta t^{1/2}$ using Eq. (12).

ii) Then, using $F^{1/2}$, integrate in the $Y$ direction up to $\Delta t$ by Eq. (12), determining $F$.

iii) In order to achieve second-order accuracy for every-other time step, invert the $X$ and $Y$ integrations in the next time step $\Delta t$.

$$F_p^{1/2} = F_p^0 - \text{Re} \Delta t^{1/2} \frac{U_p (F_u - F_w)}{\Delta X} \quad (12)$$

$$F_p = F_{AUX} - \text{Re} \Delta t^{1/2} \frac{V_p (F_u^{1/2} - F_k^{1/2})}{\Delta Y} \quad (13)$$

The $F$ fluxes at the faces of the volumes are determined using the upwind-TVD scheme. For example, for the east face, for each j line, the flux is given by Eqs. (14) to (21). In these equations the index $P$ stands for position $(i, j)$, $E$ for position $(i+1, j)$, $e$ for position $(i+1/2, j)$, $ee$ for position $(i+3/2, j)$ , $w$ for position $(i-1/2, j)$, $n$ for position $(i,j+1/2)$ and $s$ for position $(i, j-1/2)$ . When $\gamma$ equals 1/3 and $\beta$ equals 4 these equations correspond to a third-order upwind scheme. Equation (14) represents the flux given for the Engquist-Osher first-order scheme (Osher & Chakravarthy, 1984). The minmod function is defined by Equation (19) and it is used to define the limited fluxes given in Equations (17) and (18). The fluxes for the other faces are obtained by changes in subscripts.

$$U_p F_e = U_i F_{1/2} = f_e + h_e \quad (14)$$
f_{e} = \max \left[ 0, U_{i} F_{i} - \max \left[ 0, -U_{i} \right] F_{i+1} \right] (15)

h_{e} = \frac{1}{4} \left[ \left( d\phi_{e}^{w} + d\phi_{w}^{w} \right) + \gamma \left( d\phi_{e}^{w} - d\phi_{w}^{w} \right) \right] -\frac{1}{4} \left[ \left( d\phi_{e}^{w} + d\phi_{e}^{w} \right) + \gamma \left( d\phi_{e}^{w} - d\phi_{e}^{w} \right) \right] (16)

\overline{d\phi}_{e}^{+} = \minmod(d\phi_{e}^{+}, \beta d\phi_{w}^{w}) (17)
\overline{d\phi}_{w}^{+} = \minmod(d\phi_{w}^{w}, \beta d\phi_{e}^{+})

\overline{d\phi}_{e}^{-} = \minmod(d\phi_{e}^{-}, \beta d\phi_{e}^{-}) (18)
\overline{d\phi}_{e}^{-} = \minmod(d\phi_{e}^{-}, \beta d\phi_{w}^{w})

\text{minmod}(a, b) = \text{sign}(a) \max \left\{ 0, \min \left[ a, b \right] \text{sign}(a) \right\} (19)

\overline{d\phi}_{e}^{+} = d\phi_{1+1/2}^{+} = U_{i} \left( F_{i+1} - F_{i} \right), \quad U_{i} > 0 (20)

\overline{d\phi}_{e}^{-} = d\phi_{1+1/2}^{-} = U_{i} \left( F_{i+1} - F_{i} \right), \quad U_{i} \leq 0 (21)

Osher and Chakravarthty (1984) prove that their scheme is stable only for the Courant number, given by Eq. (22) for both X and Y directions, equal to or less than 0.4.

C_{X} = \frac{u \Delta t}{\Delta x} = \text{Re} \frac{U \Delta \tau}{\Delta X} \quad \text{and} \quad C_{Y} = \frac{v \Delta t}{\Delta y} = \text{Re} \frac{V \Delta \tau}{\Delta Y} (22)

Boundary conditions for this equation are as follows: the cells outside the mold inlet are considered to be completely filled with colored fluid \((F = 1)\), all cells outside the mold at the outlet are considered to be completely filled with colorless fluid \((F = 0)\) and there is no F flux at the other boundaries. At the beginning of the filling process, the mold is filled with colorless fluid \((F = 0)\).

**VOF-RCM SCHEME**

Glimm (1965) introduced the random choice method but it was Colella (1982) who really developed this numerical method, discussing its advantages and limitations. Essentially, RCM is applicable to scalar problems in any number of dimensions without losing its capacity to maintain the perfect resolution of contact discontinuities with no diffusion or dispersion. As F in Equation (10) is a scalar variable, RCM perfectly matches the necessities of the VOF method. Silva and Lage (2001) show a successful 1-D application of this scheme.

RCM chooses randomly among possible solutions of local Riemann problems associated with the dimensional splitting of Equation (10). The method used in this work is one given by Toro (1999) for a staggered grid in which a local 1-D Riemann problem is solved twice for each direction at each integration step: once between \(\tau^{n} \) and \(\tau^{n+1/2} \) and once between \(\tau^{n+1/2} \) and \(\tau^{n+1} \). It is exactly because RCM solves the local Riemann problem that it does not show numerical diffusion. RCM stability is also dependent on the local Courant number, given by Equation (22). For the RCM used in this work, both Courant numbers have to be equal to or less than 1.

The local Riemann problem for Eq. (10) uses the local states \((F_{L} \) and \(F_{R} \) ) around the interface between two control volumes. The exact solution of the local 1-D Riemann problem is shown in Fig. 1-a. It consists in a single contact discontinuity propagation from the origin with velocity \(u \) and slope \(\lambda = 1/u \). Naturally, the solution values are equal to \(F_{L} \) on the left of the straight line with slope \(\lambda = 1/u \) and equal to \(F_{R} \) on the right.

In order to explain this method, let’s consider its application to the integration of the F value in a given 1-D volume \(i \) of a uniform mesh in the X direction, as illustrated in Fig. 1-b, for a time step \(\Delta \tau \). First, the local 1-D Riemann problems are solved at both volume interfaces in the first half-time step, \(\Delta \tau_{1/2} = \Delta \tau^{n} / 2 \). At interface \((i - 1/2)\), the discontinuity propagates a distance \(d = X_{i-1/2} + C_{X,i+1/2} \Delta X / 2 \) during \(\Delta \tau^{n+1/2} \). The position \(r = X_{i-1/2} + \theta^{n} \Delta X \) is sampled by a random number \(\theta^{n} \in [0,1] \). If \(r \leq d \), then \(F_{i-1/2}^{n+1/2} = F_{i-1}^{n} \), otherwise \(F_{i-1/2}^{n+1/2} = F_{i}^{n} \). A similar procedure is applied to the right interface using the same random
number $\theta^n$ to obtain $\hat{F}_{i+1/2}^{n+1/2}$. Then, another random number $\theta^{n+1}$ is generated, and a similar procedure is applied to obtain the solution for volume $i$ at the end of the time interval, $\hat{G}_i^{n+1}$. Thus, two new random numbers are generated for each time step and for each direction. The complete algorithm can be described as follows:

i) Solve the Riemann problems $\text{RP}(\hat{F}_{i-1}^n, \hat{F}_{i}^n)$ and $\text{RP}(\hat{F}_{i+1}^n, \hat{F}_{i+1}^n)$ to find solutions $\hat{F}_{i-1/2}^{n+1}$ and $\hat{F}_{i+1/2}^{n+1}$.

ii) For the first half-time step $\Delta \tau^{n+1/2}$, random sample these solutions based on random number $\theta^n$: $\hat{F}_{i-1/2}^{n+1} = \hat{F}_{i-1/2}^{n+1}(\theta^n)$ and $\hat{F}_{i+1/2}^{n+1} = \hat{F}_{i+1/2}^{n+1}(\theta^n)$.

iii) Solve Riemann problem $\text{RP}(\hat{F}_{i-1/2}^{n+1/2}, \hat{F}_{i+1/2}^{n+1/2})$ to find solution $\hat{F}_i^{n+1}$.

iv) For the second half-time step $\Delta \tau^{n+1/2}$, random sample this solution based on a new random number $\theta^{n+1}$: $\hat{G}_i^{n+1} = \hat{F}_i^{n+1}(\theta^{n+1})$.

The same dimensional splitting applied in the VOF-TVD scheme is applied in the VOF-RCM scheme, which uses twice the 1-D scheme just described. As RCM is intrinsically a statistical scheme, front behavior may vary between simulation runs for the same problem, which introduces some uncertainty regarding the actual position of the fluid interface. Due to this statistical nature, uncertainty at the fluid interface decreases as the grid is refined. This occurs because more random numbers are generated to obtain the solution at a given time due to the Courant number limitations. The random number generator used was the IMSL DRNUN subroutine that uses the generalized feedback shift register (GFSR) method.

![Diagram](image.png)

**Figure 1:** (a) The local 1-D Riemann problem; (b) the Riemann problem applied to volume $i$.

**UNIDIMENSIONAL TESTS**

In order to verify the TVD and RCM implementations, a one-dimensional test which tracks the movement of a square pulse is used. The simulations were carried out in a uniform grid, using TVD in Fig. 2 and RCM in Fig. 3. Wave positions and shapes are verified after 1.5 s, 3.5 s and 8 s. The agreement with the analytical solution is remarkable if the stability range of the Courant number is respected.

Fig. 2-a shows that the use of coarse grids causes some numerical diffusion in the TVD solution. It was verified that a pulse propagation with a coarse grid deteriorates as the Courant number decreases. When the grid is refined for the same Courant number (Fig. 2-b), this numerical diffusion decreases. It also decreases slightly when the Courant number is increased up to its maximum (the stability limit) for the same grid, but the results are very similar for $C = 0.1$ (Fig. 2-a) and 0.4 (Fig. 2-c).
Fig. 3 shows that all RCM results perfectly resolve the contact discontinuity of the interface. However, comparing Figs. 3-a and 3-b it is clear that some inaccuracy exists in the propagated pulse position for coarse grids. This results from errors in the pulse propagation velocity due to the random character of the method. For the finer grid (Fig. 3-b), these errors basically disappear because of the large increase in the quantity of random numbers used to obtain the solution due to the Courant number limitation.

These errors in the position of the contact discontinuities are equivalent to numerical diffusion. This can be seen Fig. 3-c, which shows the mean results of one hundred simulations of the pulse tracking in the coarse grid with different seeds in the random number generator. The behavior of the shape of the propagated pulse is similar to that usually achieved in a single simulation when a diffusive scheme is used. In other words, the uncertainty in the positions of the discontinuities is numerically equivalent to the numerical diffusion of a low-order scheme.

**Figure 2:** Square pulse propagation in a uniform grid with constant velocity $u = 0.1$ m/s for different grids and Courant numbers using the TVD scheme. The three profiles are exactly positioned at $x =$1.5, 3.5 and 8.0 m.
Figure 3: Square pulse propagation in an uniform grid with constant velocity \( u = 0.1 \) m/s using the RCM scheme: (a) and (b) show the results for one simulation where the exact positions of the beginning of the propagated pulses are \( x = 1.5, 3.5 \) and \( 8.0 \) m; (c) shows the mean of 100 simulation results where the exact positions of the beginning of the propagated pulses are \( x = 2, 4, 6 \) and \( 8.0 \) m.

TWO-DIMENSIONAL SIMULATION OF CAVITY FILLING

In order to compare the effectiveness of the TVD and RCM methods associated with the VOF scheme without any perturbation in the flow field, we decided to study the cavity filling using the steady-state flow solution. Two 2-D cavities are used, as shown in Figs. 4-a and 4-b. Dimensions of the mold cavity used were \( LY = 20 \) cm and \( LX = 30.3 \) cm. The dimensions of the backward facing step (BFS) cavity were \( 0.1 \) m \( \times \) \( 3.0 \) m.

In a cavity such as the one shown in Fig. 4-a, referred to from now on as mold cavity, a non-recirculating flow with a low Reynolds number \( Re = 0.16 \) was analyzed. The inlet and outlet widths of the mold are \( D_{in} = D_{out} = 0.1 LX \). The velocity profile at the inlet is parabolic with a mean velocity of \( 0.031 \) m/s (\( v_{med} \)) and the same velocity profile is used at the outlet. Other walls are considered impenetrable and non-slip conditions are imposed near all walls. The flow in the BFS cavity (Fig 4-b) with \( Re = 400 \) has the typical recirculating flow with two opposing vortices. The velocity profile at the
inlet is also parabolic, but the mean velocity is equal to 1 m/s. Due to the dimensions of this cavity (LY = 30LX), it is possible to apply continuity conditions for the velocities and the pressure at its outlet (Gartling, 1990). The inlet width is \( D_{in} = 0.5LX \) and the outlet width is LX itself. Impenetrable walls and non slip conditions are also imposed on the other walls of this cavity. Simulations use a 200 \( \times \) 200 grid for the mold cavity and a 200 \( \times \) 500 grid for the BFS cavity. A time step of \( 10^{-3} \) s was adequate for all TVD simulations and the BFS-RCM simulations. However, the mold-RCM simulations needed a longer time step (\( 10^{-1} \) s) due to a loss of accuracy of the RCM for low Courant numbers, which is explained in the next section (see the remarks concerning Fig. 9).

As mentioned in Section 2, a power-law scheme is used. The grid Peclet number is defined by Equation (23), where \( \Gamma \) is the grid length is in the appropriate direction (X or Y).

\[
Pe = \text{Re}_{ref} \frac{\Delta \phi V}{\Gamma}
\]  

(23)

It is well known that power-law schemes allow numeric diffusion when the grid Peclet number exceeds 10 and the flow is oblique in relation to the grid (Patankar, 1980). In fact, in the BFS simulations, between the recirculating zones, there are Peclet numbers as high as 50 or 60. However, this phenomenon does not invalidate the conclusions of this work because our goal is to compare two surface tracking methods under the same fluid dynamic conditions. Furthermore, as observed in Section 2, velocity and pressure profiles had been successfully tested against Gartling’s benchmark.

**Figure 4:** Two-dimensional cavities: (a) rectangular mold. (b) backward facing step.

**SIMULATION RESULTS**

Figs. 5 and 6 compare the results achieved with the VOF-TVD scheme and the VOF-RCM scheme, respectively, for the non-recirculating flow inside the mold cavity. The filling patterns are very similar, but a remarkable difference has to be pointed out: as filling continues the TVD scheme loses interface sharpness due to a growing diffusion effect, while the RCM scheme does not show any diffusion. It can be observed that the TVD solution shows the interface deeper inside the cavity than the RCM solution, although the same amount of fluid has entered the mold in both cases. Observing the behavior of the solutions near the bottom wall, it becomes clear that this difference may be explained by the diffusive characteristic of the two-dimensional TVD scheme, which pushes the incoming fluid towards the top wall, allowing the other fluid to remain in the region close to the bottom wall. Due to its statistical characteristic, RCM shows an interface with small fluctuations, which tends to disappear as the filling continues.

Figs. 7 and 8 show the simulation results on the BFS cavity. It is important to observe that the VOF-RCM and VOF-TVD methods show very similar results for the filling of this cavity. However, specifically at the vortex regions, the VOF-RCM
method is able to describe the droplets that are pulled away from the colored fluid by the rotating colorless fluid. The VOF-TVD scheme is unable to describe this process. Furthermore, it shows intense numerical diffusion in these regions, which can be seen in Figs. 7-b, 7-c and 7-d.

It is important to point out that Courant number restrictions remain in these two-dimensional simulations. As a matter of fact, Fig. 9-b shows that the VOF-RCM scheme is unable to track the interface when Courant numbers are less than $10^{-3}$. It produces unrealistic results. On the other hand, the VOF-TVD scheme (Fig. 9-a) does not show the same problem and tracks the interface perfectly.

It is important to point out that all the simulations described above show an error in mass conservation of less than 5%, except for the simulations using the VOF-RCM scheme shown in Fig. 9-b.

Although the VOF-RCM scheme resolves the interface perfectly, it must be pointed out that with different seeds in the random number generator, different simulated results are obtained, even though their overall filling patterns are similar. Thus, both VOF-TVD and VOF-RCM schemes seem to be adequate to describe the overall picture of the filling pattern in the mold and the BFS cavities.

Figure 5: Mold filling using the VOF-TVD scheme with $L_X = 0.2$ m ($\Delta x = 10^{-3}$ m) after (a) 10 s; (b) 40 s; (c) 70 s.
Figure 6: Mold filling using the VOF-RCM scheme with $L_X = 0.2$ m $(\Delta x = 10^{-3}$ m) after (a) 10 s; (b) 40 s; (c) 70 s.

Figure 7: BFS cavity filling using the VOF-TVD scheme $(\Delta x = 5.10^{-4}$ m) after (a) 0.5 s; (b) 3.5 s; (c) 6.5 s; (d) 9.5 s.
CONCLUSIONS

It was shown that the VOF-RCM scheme is able to generate diffusion-free results, sharply defining the interface, even when topological changes (droplet generation) occur due to intense recirculating flow. However, the statistical nature of RCM demands fine grids in order to simulate the interface position correctly. On the other hand, the VOF-TVD scheme shows some numerical diffusion but is less sensitive to low Courant numbers than the VOF-RCM scheme. It is important to recognize that the front positions indicated by the RCM method may vary from one simulation to the next due to the statistical nature of the method. It was shown that these uncertainties are equivalent to numerical diffusion.
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NOMENCLATURE

- \( C_i \): Courant number in direction I (-)
- \( D_{in} \): inlet width, M
- \( D_{out} \): outlet width, M
- \( F \): color function (-)
- \( \hat{\Phi} \): Riemann problem solution (-)
- \( g_i \): body force in i direction, m/s²
- \( P \): pressure, Pa
- \( P_{adimensional} \): adimensional pressure (-)
- \( Re_{ref} \): inlet Reynolds number (-)
- \( t \): time, s
- \( T \): stress tensor, Kg/m².s
- \( U \): velocity component in x direction, m/s
- \( V \): velocity component in y direction, m/s
- \( \nu_{med} \): mean inlet velocity, m/s
- \( \chi \): spatial coordinate, m
- \( \chi \): spatial coordinate, m
- \( \beta, \gamma \): coefficients in upwind scheme (-)
- \( \theta^n \): random number \( \in [0,1] \) (-)
- \( \Gamma \): adimensional viscosity (-)
- \( \varphi \): adimensional density (-)
- \( \phi_i \): limited flux for face I (-)
- \( \rho \): fluid density, Kg/m³
- \( \rho_{ref} \): inlet density, Kg/m³
- \( \mu \): fluid viscosity, Pa.s
- \( \mu_{ref} \): inlet viscosity, Pa.s
- \( \tau \): adimensional time (-)
- \( \xi \): transported variable (-)
- \( \Delta \): deviation associated with a quantity (-)
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