Abstract - Fe-ZSM-5 catalysts were prepared by ion exchange in aqueous medium or in the solid state and tested in the catalytic reduction of NO with iso-butane. X-ray powder diffraction (XRD), atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS), electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy (EPR), X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XANES, EXAFS), temperature-programmed reduction by H₂ (H₂-TPR) and Mössbauer spectroscopy (MÖS-S) were used for sample characterisation. Irrespective of the method used in catalyst preparation, EPR, XANES and MÖS-S showed Fe atoms in the oxidation state of 3+. MÖS-S and H₂-TPR data on Fe-ZSM-5 prepared by ion exchange in the solid state allowed quantification of a lower hematite (Fe₂O₃) concentration and a higher proportion of Fe cations than samples prepared in an aqueous medium. In all the catalysts studied these Fe cations were the active sites in the reduction of NO to N₂ and in the oxidation of iso-butane. It is further suggested that coordination of Fe species is another important aspect to be considered in their behaviour.
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INTRODUCTION

Industrial processes of external combustion are pointed to as the greatest stationary source of atmospheric pollution, with nitrogen oxide (NO) being one of the most prejudicial pollutants. NO emissions from incineration and thermal units using solid combustibles are higher than 500 ppm and can reach concentrations up to 70,000 ppm, depending on the quality of the combustible used and the industrial activity involved. The selective catalytic reduction of NO to N₂ with hydrocarbons (SCR-HC) under oxidising conditions and using metal-exchanged zeolites has been shown to be an important means of minimisation this pollutant in the atmosphere (Iwamoto and Hamada, 1991). Under industrial operating conditions (presence of water, sulphur oxides and an excess of oxygen), Fe-ZSM-5 zeolites have shown adequate levels of conversion and selectivity for N₂ (Centi and Vazzana, 1999), which are highly dependent on the method used in the preparation of this type of catalyst (Chen and Sachtler, 1998). In spite of the published data on the identification and nature of Fe species formed during preparation, no method enabling their quantification has been reported (Chen et al., 2000). In this context, the aim of this work was to identify and quantify Fe species in Fe-ZSM-5 catalysts prepared by ion exchange in aqueous medium or by ion exchange in the solid state.
exchange in aqueous solution and in the solid state. The relation of these Fe species to the activity of the catalysts in the reduction of NO to N\textsubscript{2} with iso-butane under oxidising conditions was also verified.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

Fe-ZSM-5 catalysts were prepared by ion exchange in an aqueous medium in N\textsubscript{2} atmosphere using a Na-ZSM-5 zeolite (Si/Al = 11) and a slightly acid solution of FeCl\textsubscript{2} (Merck, 0.033 mol/L and pH = 5.5) as zeolite precursor and as a source of Fe cations, respectively. After ion exchange the samples were washed with deionised water and then dried at 110 °C. In the case of preparation of Fe-ZSM-5 catalysts by ion exchange in the solid state, an H-ZSM-5 zeolite (Si/Al = 13) was used as precursor by physically mixing with FeCl\textsubscript{3} (Merck, Fe/Al = 0.37) and then thermally treating first at 520 °C under N\textsubscript{2} flow for 2 h and subsequently under air flow for 4 h. To remove the remaining chlorine from the treated solid, it was washed with deionised water and finally dried at 110 °C. Chlorine removal was accompanied by the formation of HCl precipitate during the mixing of a solution of AgNO\textsubscript{3} with the filtrate. Washing was stopped when no more AgCl precipitate was observed. A reference sample consisting of a mixture of Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and Na-ZSM-5 zeolite (Si/Al = 11) was also prepared.

The catalysts were characterised by XRD, EPR, chemical analysis (AAS), XANES/EXAFS and Mössbauer spectroscopy (MÖS-S). XRD diffractograms were obtained on a Rigaku-MiniFlex diffractometer using Cu-\(\beta\)\textsubscript{a} radiation from 3 to 40° (2\(\Theta\)) and a goniometer velocity of 2° (2\(\Theta\))/min. The EPR analysis was performed with a Bruker ESR-300E spectrometer (IQSC/USP), where the sample under study was put in a quartz tube and the experiment carried out at the temperature of liquid nitrogen. H\textsubscript{2}-TPR analyses were carried out at 25 to 800°C in micromeritics 2705 equipment having a thermal conductivity detector. A cold trap (-50°C) was placed upstream from the detector to remove the water produced during reduction. In the experiments 100 mg of catalyst (dried at 110 °C), a flow of 30 mL/min of H\textsubscript{2} (5% in N\textsubscript{2}, v/v) and a heating rate of 10 °C/min were used. The MÖS-S measurements were carried out at -269 °C, using a 25 mCi \(^{57}\text{Co}:\text{Rh}\) source. Zero velocity was defined based on the spectrum of metallic Fe, and during the experiment the temperature of the source and the absorber was held constant. The data obtained were processed with the Normos 95 programme. In the XANES and EXAFS analyses the samples were measured by fluorescence at room temperature using synchrotron radiation.

The catalysts were evaluated by the reduction of NO to N\textsubscript{2} using iso-butane as reducing agent. Prior to the reaction, the catalysts were activated at 520 °C under air flow during one hour and subsequently cooled to room temperature. The reactor was fed with a gas mixture containing an excess of O\textsubscript{2} (0.30% NO; 0.24% iso-C\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{10} and 2.2% O\textsubscript{2}, balancing in He (v/v)). The reaction temperature was varied from 150 to 500°C. The used gas space velocity (GHSV) of 42,000 h\textsuperscript{-1} has been calculated considering the total gas flow and a mass of catalyst of 50 mg (previously dried at 110 °C). To avoid the occurrence of hot spots, the catalyst was mixed with 150 mg of α-quartz. The products of the reaction were analysed on-line by gas chromatography with an FID (Flame Ionization Detector) and a TCD (Thermal Conductivity Detector). One capillary column of Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}/KCl (30 m × 0.32 mm) and two packed columns, one a Hayesep D (3 m × 1/8") and the other a Chromossorb 102 (5 m × 1/8")", were used.

The activity of the catalysts in the oxidation of iso-butane was expressed in terms of total hydrocarbon conversion, taking into consideration the carbon balance during the reaction. The conversion of NO (% X\textsubscript{NO}) was based on the formation of N\textsubscript{2} according to the equation: X\textsubscript{NO} (%) = 2 [N\textsubscript{2}] × 100/[NO]\textsubscript{o}, where [N\textsubscript{2}] is the moles of N\textsubscript{2} formed and [NO]\textsubscript{o} is the moles of NO fed into the reactor.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Characterisation**

Table 1 shows the Fe contents of the prepared catalysts and Figure 1, their XRD patterns. The diffractograms are typical of ZSM-5 zeolites (Treacy et al., 1996) and show a decrease in peak intensity with the increase in Fe content. This decrease is a consequence of the fact that the X-ray absorption coefficient of Fe is higher than that of Na (Cullity, 1967). By XRD no clear evidence of Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} in the Brazilian Journal of Chemical Engineering
analysed catalysts was observed; however, it might be possible that during activation, a small amount of α-Fe₂O₃ (hematite) had formed on the samples. The formation of Fe₂O₃ is suggested due to the slight increase in the reflection around 32.6 °(2Θ) in the diffractogram of activated sample [Fe₀.72][Si₁₁Al]-MFI (Figure 1a and Figure 1b). That reflection angle gives the most intense peak in the hematite diffractogram (Figure 1a and Figure 1b). Nevertheless, the identification of hematite is very difficult due to the low intensity of the reflections observed, which are at the sensitivity limit of the equipment, even in the sample discussed above, which is 6.0 % Fe (see Table 1).

The EPR spectra shown in Figure 2 demonstrate that irrespective of method used in catalyst preparation, the values for parameter g corresponding to the main signal on the spectra are in the range of 4.23 to 4.29, which is typical of mononuclear Fe³⁺ cations with a tetrahedral coordination and located at cation-exchange positions in the zeolite (Lee and Rhee, 1999; El-Malki et al., 2000). Values of g between 5 and 7, which correspond to the lowest EPR signal in a magnetic field near 1,000 G observed in all the samples analysed, are also attributed to mononuclear Fe³⁺ ions in a distorted tetrahedral coordination (Lee and Rhee, 1999), possibly as (Fe=O)⁺ cations (El-Malki et al., 2000).

Table 1: Fe content and Fe/Al ratio of [Feₓ][SiₓAl]-MFI catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Exchanges* × time [h]</th>
<th>Fe/Al</th>
<th>Fe content [% (w/w)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Fe₀.15][Si₁₁Al]-MFI</td>
<td>2 × 6</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe₀.72][Si₁₁Al]-MFI</td>
<td>3 × 24</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe₀.37][Si₁₃Al]-MFI(SE)</td>
<td>1 × 6</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe₀.30][Si₁₃Al]-MFI(SE)</td>
<td>1 × 6</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe₂O₃₀.22][Si₁₁Al]-MFI</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Number of exchanges
** Obtained by physical mixing

Figure 1: (a) XRD patterns of precursor [Na][Si₁₁Al]-MFI, [Fe₀.15][Si₁₁Al]-MFI, [Fe₀.37][Si₁₃Al]-MFI(SE), activated [Fe₀.72][Si₁₁Al]-MFI, non-activated [Fe₀.72][Si₁₁Al]-MFI and α-Fe₂O₃; (b) Enlargement of the XRD patterns for activated [Fe₀.72][Si₁₁Al]-MFI, α-Fe₂O₃ and non-activated [Fe₀.72][Si₁₁Al]-MFI.
The difference in the g values can be attributed to the different chemical environments found around the Fe\(^{3+}\) ions. In all EPR spectra no signal is observed for Fe species having simultaneously iron ions with oxidation states of 2+ and 3+, which would result in g values between 1.6 and 2.0 (Ramirez et al., 2001).

To serve as a basis for the discussion of XANES analyses of the prepared catalysts, the XANES spectra of reference iron compounds (FeO, FeOOH and Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)) are described here. As can be seen, they have a prepeak at around 7120 eV (Figure 3a), which is attributed to the 1s-3d transition (Chen et al., 2000). It should be noted that the intensity of this prepeak is higher for compounds containing Fe\(^{3+}\). However, the peak at 7150 eV, which is more prominent in compounds containing Fe\(^{2+}\) than compounds containing Fe\(^{3+}\), cannot be attributed to a particular characteristic of Fe\(^{2+}\), because in this region XANES signals correspond to oscillations in the coordination state of Fe atoms. In Figure 3b it can be observed that irrespective of the method used in sample preparation, XANES spectra of all [Fe\(_{x}\)[Si\(_y\)Al]-MFI catalysts have a clear and well-resolved prepeak, which is similar to that seen in the spectra of reference compounds containing Fe\(^{3+}\).

Figure 2: EPR spectrum of (a) [Fe\(_{0.15}\)[Si\(_{11}\)Al]-MFI after ion exchange, (b) [Fe\(_{0.15}\)[Si\(_{11}\)Al]-MFI after activation at 520°C and (c) [Fe\(_{0.37}\)[Si\(_{13}\)Al]-MFI(SE).
Figure 3: XANES spectra of (a) reference Fe compounds and (b) activated $[\text{Fe}_x][\text{Si}_y\text{Al}]-\text{MFI}$ catalysts.

Figure 4: EXAFS spectra of $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$, $[\text{Fe}_{0.72}][\text{Si}_{11}\text{Al}]-\text{MFI}$, $[\text{Fe}_{0.15}][\text{Si}_{11}\text{Al}]-\text{MFI}$ and $[\text{Fe}_{0.37}][\text{Si}_{11}\text{Al}]-\text{MFI(Si)}$. 
The EXAFS spectra for [Fe₃][Si₃Al]-MFI catalysts (Figure 4) are somewhat different from that observed for FeO₃. Although the structural arrangement of the first coordination sphere in Fe₃O₃ (R = 0 – 2 Å) is similar to that in [Fe₃][Si₃Al]-MFI, the intensity of the second coordination sphere (R = 2 – 4 Å) in all [Fe₃][Si₃Al]-MFI samples is lower, indicating that in the latter samples the majority of Fe atoms are highly dispersed (Chen et al., 2000). The increase in the intensity of the second and third coordination spheres (R = 4 – 6 Å) with the increase in Fe content further indicates an increase in the particle size of Fe species. It should be emphasised that for [Fe₀.₃][Si₁₃Al]-MFI(SE) catalyst, prepared by ion exchange in the solid state, the signal in the first coordination sphere at R = 1 - 2 Å, does not have a shoulder, as seen in the catalysts prepared in aqueous solution, indicating a more homogeneous atomic distribution. As can be further seen in Figure 4, the EXAFS spectrum of [Fe₀.₃][Si₁₃Al]-MFI(SE) and [Fe₀.₈][Si₁₃Al]-MFI samples shows a greater diminution in the relative intensity of signals corresponding to the second and the third coordination spheres than that occurring for [Fe₀.₇][Si₁₃Al]-MFI sample, which have a higher Fe content, thus indicating the formation of smaller particles in the former.

The EPR and XANES results discussed show that in samples prepared in aqueous solution and subsequently activated at 520°C and also in that prepared in the solid state, all the Fe²⁺ ions were oxidised to Fe³⁺. It should be kept in mind that during the preparation of [Fe₃][Si₃Al]-MFI catalysts in aqueous solution (pH = 5.5), the Fe³⁺ cations formed could precipitate as goethite, i.e. α-FeOOH (Feng and Hall, 1997). During activation of catalysts at 520°C, goethite is transformed into hematite (α-Fe₂O₃) as schematised by the following equation:

\[
2 \text{FeOOH} \xrightarrow{520^\circ C} \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

Figure 5 shows the H₂-TPR profiles for different [Fe₃][Si₃Al]-MFI samples. It can be seen that they have one reduction peak at around 390°C and other peaks in the range of 450 to 700°C. In the case of the reference [(Fe₂O₃)₀.₂₂][Si₁₁Al]-MFI sample, these peaks can be attributed to the reduction of Fe₂O₃ to Fe₃O₄ (peak at around 390°C) and to the subsequent reduction of Fe₃O₄ to elemental iron (peak at around 450 to 700°C), as schematised in the equations below.

\[
\begin{align*}
3 \text{Fe(III)}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{H}_2 & \xrightarrow{390^\circ C} 2 \text{Fe(II)}\text{Fe(III)}_2\text{O}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
2 \text{Fe(II)}\text{Fe(III)}_2\text{O}_4 + 2 \text{H}_2 & \xrightarrow{450-700^\circ C} 6 \text{FeO} + 2 \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
6 \text{FeO} + 6 \text{H}_2 & \xrightarrow{450-700^\circ C} 6 \text{Feº} + 6 \text{H}_2\text{O}
\end{align*}
\]

From these equations the theoretical ratio of hydrogen consumed (H₂) per reduced Fe cation (Fe³⁺) is 1.5, as already observed for [(Fe₂O₃)₀.₂₂][Si₁₁Al]-MFI (Table 2).

In the Fe catalysts analysed, isolated Fe³⁺ cations located on ion-exchange sites are reduced to Fe²⁺ at temperatures around 430°C (Chen and Sachtler, 1998; Lobree et al., 1999), while the reduction of isolated Fe²⁺ to elemental Feº occurs at temperatures above 1000°C (Feng and Hall, 1997) and therefore was not observed under the experimental conditions used. Based on the fact that Fe³⁺ cations in neutral oxide species are practically the only completely reduced iron species below 430°C, one can calculate the relative amount of Fe²⁺ in the form of cation charge-compensating species by the following equation:

\[
\text{Fe}^{3+}\% = \left[1.5 - \left(\frac{\text{mols of H}_2 \text{ consumed}}{\text{mols of Fe}^{\text{observed}}}ight)\right] \times 100 \%
\]

The calculated Fe³⁺ (%) data presented in Table 2 indicate that the relative number of Fe³⁺ cations observed on ion-exchange sites in [Fe₃][Si₃Al]-MFI catalysts prepared in an aqueous medium is around 30% and did not change with iron content. On the other hand, in the catalysts prepared in the solid state, the relative number of Fe³⁺ cations on ion-exchange sites decreased with the increase in Fe content. However it should be pointed out that for the [Fe₀.₈][Si₁₃Al]-MFI(SE) sample, the calculated ratio of Fe cations to framework Al (Feₓ₁₃Al) is 0.28, which is closer than 0.33, the theoretical Feₓ₁₃Al ratio, when all the negative charge of the zeolite framework is being compensated for by isolated Fe³⁺ cations. Therefore, the decrease in the relative amount of iron on ion-exchange sites in the sample discussed is hardly influenced by its high Fe content (6% w/w), which is much higher than its ion-exchange capacity with isolated Fe³⁺ cations (ca 2.5% w/w).
Figure 5: H2-TPR profiles for [Fe0.72[Si11Al]-MFI catalysts.

Table 2: Ratio of H2 consumed per iron cation obtained from H2-TPR data and distribution of cations and neutral extraframework Fe species on the prepared [Fe0.72[Si11Al]-MFI catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>H2/Fe</th>
<th>Distribution of Fe species [%]</th>
<th>Fe cation [% w/w]</th>
<th>[Fe3+/Al]†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Fe3+)*</td>
<td>(Fe3+)**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe0.72[Si11Al]-MFI</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe0.15[Si11Al]-MFI</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe0.72[Si11Al]-MFI</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>4.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe0.37[Si13Al]-MFI(SE)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe0.80[Si13Al]-MFI(SE)</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Fe3+ in species located on exchangeable sites; †maximum theoretical value = 0.33
**Fe3+ in hematite

The Mössbauer spectroscopy data obtained (Figure 6 and Table 3) allowed evaluation of the oxidation state of Fe atoms in the Fe species (Fe2+ or Fe3+) and also identification and quantification of the Fe species in the Fe-ZSM-5 catalysts studied. It was necessary to carry out the MÖS-S analysis at -269 °C, because of the occurrence at room temperature of an overlap of sextets of hematite (α-Fe2O3) and goethite (α-FeOOH) with the doublets of the paramagnetic Fe species located on charge-compensating sites in the zeolites (Datye et al., 2000). It can be seen in Figure 6 that the Mössbauer spectra for activated and non-activated [Fe0.72[Si11Al]-MFI and for [Fe0.37[Si13Al]-MFI(SE) are formed of doublets and sextets, corresponding to goethite, hematite and Fe cations on charge-compensating sites. The parameters of the hyperfine structure obtained from these spectra (Table 3) show that the activated Fe0.72[Si11Al]-MFI and [Fe0.37[Si13Al]-MFI(SE) samples have values lower than 1.5 for quadrupole splitting (QS) and values lower than 1 for isomer shift (IS), the latter indicating that these samples contain Fe in the oxidation state 3+ (Niemantsverdriet, 1995). The MÖS-S data corroborate the observations obtained by EPR and XANES and further show the presence of Fe3+ in the non-activated [Fe0.72[Si11Al]-MFI sample (Table 3). After ion exchange in the solution of ferric chloride, this latter sample had ca 87 % of its Fe atoms as Fe(III) (Fe3+ in cations and in goethite) and 13 % as Fe(II). This result shows that during the ion exchange in aqueous solution, even in N2 atmosphere, the oxidation of Fe2+ to Fe3+ cannot be avoided. Otherwise, in the activated [Fe0.72[Si11Al]-MFI only Fe in the oxidation state (3+) is observed, providing evidence that during thermal activation all the remaining Fe2+ was oxidised into Fe3+. The presence of Fe2+ cannot be
observed with EPR, because this technique identifies only paramagnetic ions. With XANES, evidence of the presence of Fe$^{2+}$ in the catalyst is not categorical, because this is only supported by the intensity of the prepeak at 7120 eV in the spectra of reference Fe-containing compounds (Figure 3a).

According to the Mössbauer results presented in Table 3, the activated and non-activated [Fe$_{0.72}$][Si$_{11}$Al]-MFI and the [Fe$_{0.37}$][Si$_{13}$Al]-MFI(SE) catalysts have two types of Fe$^{3+}$ cations on charge-compensating sites, which are tetrahedrally (IS = 0.33 [mm/s]; QS = 1.2 – 1.4 [mm/s]) or octahedrally (IS = 0.3 [mm/s]; QS = 0.3 [mm/s]) coordinated (Delgass et al., 1979). It can also be seen in Table 3, that after activation of the [Fe$_{0.72}$][Si$_{11}$Al]-MFI sample the Fe$^{2+}$ cations were oxidised into tetrahedrally coordinated Fe$^{3+}$, while a small part (ca 5 %) of the octahedrally coordinated Fe$^{3+}$ was transformed into hematite. Absolute identification of the Fe species observed by Mössbauer is limited by the difficulty of preparing standards containing the Fe cations probably present in the catalysts. For [Fe$_x$][Si$_y$Al]-MFI catalysts prepared in solution, the most probably charge-compensating Fe species are isolated Fe$^{3+}$ and oxo-cations [HO-Fe-O-Fe-OH]$^{2+}$, the latter transformed into FeO$^+$ after activation (Chen and Sachtler, 1998). For samples prepared in the solid state, Fe$^{3+}$ and Fe species such as FeCl$_2^+$ or FeO$^+$ can coexist (Karge and Beyer, 1991).

![Figure 6: Mössbauer spectra of [Fe$_x$][Si$_y$Al]-MFI catalysts measured at -269 °C.](image)

**Table 3: Mössbauer data on [Fe$_x$][Si$_y$Al]-MFI catalysts obtained at -269 °C.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>IS [mm/s]</th>
<th>QS [mm/s]</th>
<th>CN</th>
<th>BHF [T]</th>
<th>Area [%]</th>
<th>Fe specie</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Fe$<em>{0.72}$][Si$</em>{11}$Al]-MFI non-activated</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Fe$^{2+}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Fe$^{3+}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Fe$^{3+}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.37&gt;</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;49.6&gt;</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Goethite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe$<em>{0.72}$][Si$</em>{11}$Al]-MFI activated</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Fe$^{2+}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Fe$^{3+}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Hematite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe$<em>{0.37}$][Si$</em>{13}$Al]-MFI(SE)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Fe$^{2+}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Fe$^{3+}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Hematite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CN = coordination number; IS = isomer shift related to α-Fe; QS = quadrupole splitting; BHF = magnetic field
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Catalytic Activity

In Figure 7 results are shown for the selective catalytic reduction of NO to N\(_2\) and the oxidation of iso-butane, used as reducing agent, to CO\(_2\). As can be seen, the conversion of NO (Figure 7a) on the Fe-ZSM-5 catalysts studied reaches a maximum value between 350 °C and 400 °C, behaviour that has also been observed by other authors (Chen and Sachtler, 1998; Chen et al., 1999; Schay et al., 2000).

The lowest activity obtained in both reactions studied on the reference |Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)|0.22|Si\(_{11}\)Al|-MFI catalyst indicates that Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) is not active enough for the reduction of NO to N\(_2\) (Figure 7a) and for the oxidation of iso-butane (Figure 7b), demonstrating that only Fe\(^{3+}\) species on charge-compensating sites are responsible for catalytic activity. Therefore, the |Fe\(_{0.72}\)|Si\(_{11}\)Al|-MFI catalyst, which has the largest amount of these Fe cations (Table 2), had the greatest activity.

To compare the effects of the nature and the content of the Fe species in the performance of |Fe\(_{0.72}\)|Si\(_{11}\)Al|-MFI and |Fe\(_{0.37}\)|Si\(_{13}\)Al|-MFI(SE) catalysts, the former prepared in aqueous solution and the latter in the solid state, data from Mössbauer spectroscopy and from the chemical analysis, are presented in Table 4. As can be seen, both catalysts have practically the same proportion of charge-compensating Fe species, however, the specific activity of these species, calculated as the ratio of mols of NO converted to N\(_2\) per mol of tetrahedrally + octahedrally coordinated Fe cations, was higher for the catalyst prepared in solution (Table 4). This result is consistent with the data published by Long and Yang (2001), who observed that Fe-ZSM-5 catalysts prepared in aqueous solution were more active in the reduction of NO with ammonia than those prepared in the solid state. It can also be seen in Table 4 that the |Fe\(_{0.37}\)|Si\(_{13}\)Al|-MFI(SE) and |Fe\(_{0.72}\)|Si\(_{11}\)Al|-MFI catalysts, although having nearly the same quantity of Fe\(^{3+}\) cations differ in number of tetrahedrally and octahedrally coordinated Fe\(^{3+}\). As the latter has more of these tetrahedrally coordinated Fe cations, it can be suggested that they are more active than octahedrally coordinated Fe cations, which are predominant in |Fe\(_{0.37}\)|Si\(_{13}\)Al|-MFI(SE). Given that both of these types of Fe cations have the same specific activity in both catalysts, one can estimate the specific activity of tetrahedrally coordinated Fe\(^{3+}\) as 10 [mol NO\(_{\text{converted}}\)/Fe\(_{\text{tetra.}}\)] and of octahedrally coordinated Fe\(^{3+}\) as 3 [mol NO\(_{\text{converted}}\)/Fe\(_{\text{octa.}}\)].

As the attribution of the Fe\(^{3+}\) tetrahedral and octahedral coordination was based only on Mössbauer data, the above suggestion cannot be considered unequivocal; consequently the difference in catalytic activity seen between Fe species having tetrahedral and those having octahedral Fe\(^{3+}\) cations must be more explored further.
CONCLUSIONS

EPR, XANES and MÖS-S results demonstrate that in all the thermally activated [Feₙ][Siₙ]Al]-MFI catalysts, the Fe was found to be tetrahedrally or octahedrally coordinated with an oxidation state of 3+. In samples prepared in aqueous solution, the oxidation of Fe²⁺ to Fe³⁺ occurred during ion exchange and was completed during activation in air flow at 520 °C. For samples prepared in the solid state the oxidation of Fe occurred during thermal treatment at 520 °C. Based on MÖS-S and H₂-TPR data, it was possible to determine and quantify that some of the Fe species function as charge-compensating species of the zeolite structure, with samples prepared in the solid state having a higher fraction of these. The others form Fe compounds on the zeolite surface.

The activity of these catalysts in the reduction of NO and in the oxidation of iso-butane was highly dependent on the content of the Fe cations. The specific activity of the Fe cations in the [Fe₀.₇₂][Si₁₁]Al]-MFI catalyst, which are mainly tetrahedrally coordinated, was higher than that of the cations in the [Fe₀.₃₇][Si₁₃]Al]-MFI(SE) catalyst, which was prepared in the solid state and whose species are mainly octahedrally coordinated. This latter result suggests that coordination of the Fe species is another important aspect to be considered.
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