DECOLORIZATION AND REMOVAL OF COD AND BOD FROM RAW AND BIOTREATED TEXTILE DYE BATH EFFLUENT THROUGH ADVANCED OXIDATION PROCESSES (AOPS)
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Abstract - In this paper, a comparative study of the treatment of raw and biotreated (upflow anaerobic sludge blanket, UASB) textile dye bath effluent using advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) is presented. The AOPs applied on raw and biotreated textile dye bath effluent, after characterization in terms of COD, colour, BOD and pH, were ozone, UV, UV/H2O2 and photo-Fenton. The decolorization of raw dye bath effluent was 58% in the case of ozonation. However it was 98% in the case of biotreated dye bath effluent when exposed to UV/H2O2. It is, therefore, suggested that a combination of biotreatment and AOPs be adopted to decolorize dye bath effluent in order to make the process more viable and effective. Biodegradability was also improved by applying AOPs after biotreatment of dye bath effluent.
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INTRODUCTION

The textile, paper, food processing and tanning industries have experienced significant economic development during the past two decades, accompanied by extremely high consumption of water. The textile industry produces large quantities of highly colored effluents, which are generally toxic and resistant to destruction by biological treatment methods. Most of the dyes used are of complex structured polymers. Particularly reactive azo dyes cause special environmental concern due to their degradation products, such as aromatic amines which are highly carcinogenic (APHA, AWWA and WEF Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 1995; Arslan and Seremet, 2004).

The use of a variety of dyes and auxiliary chemicals results in the discharge of toxic waste into natural water bodies. These industries are thus facing problems in maintaining a profitable level of production while reducing the intake of fresh water. Another problem is the disposal of large volumes of effluents which abides by environmental standards. These are generally not amenable to conventional biological, physical and chemical treatment processes due to their recalcitrant and complex nature (Arslan et al., 1999; Azbar et al., 2004).

Advanced oxidation is a potential alternate method to decolorize and reduce recalcitrant wastewater loads from textile dyeing and finishing effluents (Balcioglu and Arslan, 1999). Chemical oxidation using ultraviolet radiation (UV) in the presence of hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) is a very promising technique. The most common technique is the use of UV wavelengths (200-300) nm at 254 nm to disassociate H2O2 progressively. The UV/H2O2 systems generate hydroxyl radicals (OH•), which are highly powerful oxidizing species. Hydroxyl radicals can oxidize organic compounds (RH) producing organic radicals (R•), which are highly reactive and
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can be further oxidized (Baxendale et al., 1957; Bolton et al., 1996; Camel and Bermond, 1998; Catalkaya et al., 2003). Almost 700,000 tons of approximately 10,000 types of dyes and pigments are produced annually worldwide, of which about 20% are assumed to be discharged as industrial effluent during the textile dyeing processes. Aerobic biological degradation is not always effective for the purpose of color removal from textile dye-contaminated effluent. Since biodegradation products can be of a toxic nature, success with treatment by biodegradation can be limited and specifically the treatment of synthetic dyestuff cannot depend on biodegradation. Up to 50% for reactive dyes, 8-20% for disperse dyes and 1% for pigments may be lost directly into effluent, resulting in a colored effluent, due to the inefficient dyeing process and the nature of the dyes, which after hydrolyzation do not react with the fiber (Azbar et al., 2004). Commonly applied treatment methods for color removal from dye contaminated effluents consist of integrated processes involving various combinations of biological, physical and chemical decolorization methods. Advanced oxidation processes \( (\text{O}_3, \text{O}_3/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2, \text{O}_3/\text{UV}, \text{UV}/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2, \text{O}_3/\text{UV}/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) and \( \text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \)) for the degradation of nonbiodegradable organic contaminants in industrial effluents are attractive alternatives to conventional treatment methods. AOPs based on the generation of very reactive and oxidizing free radicals have been used with increasing interest due to their high oxidant power. Also many studies have demonstrated that AOPs are effectively removing color and partially removing the organic content of dyestuffs (Chen et al., 1997; Galindo et al., 2001; Glaze et al., 1987; Gulyas et al., 1995; Thobanoglous et al., 2003).

**EXPERIMENTAL SETUP FOR ADVANCED OXIDATION PROCESSES**

Advanced oxidation of raw and biotreated (UASB) textile dye bath effluent (Real, obtained from local textile industry) was carried out by applying ozonation, UV, UV/H\(_2\)O\(_2\) and photo-Fenton processes at ambient temperature and pressure. The upflow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) uses an anaerobic process while forming a blanket of granular sludge suspended in the tank. Wastewater flows upwards through the blanket and is processed by the anaerobic microorganisms. All the experiments were carried out for the effluent sample of 0.5L in batch mode at a constant pH of 6.2 and adjusted by adding 1N NaOH to raise the pH to 10.2 or 1N HCl to lower the pH to 3.5 upon decolorization. The highest decolorization rate was observed at pH 6.2 without adjustment with NaOH or HCl and was selected for the decolorization condition of dye bath effluent in all the experiments. One of the major factors which may affect the efficiency of color and COD removal is \( \text{pH} \), particularly in the cases of the ozonation and photo-Fenton processes (Siggé et al., 2002). A bubble column type reactor consisting of a graduated Pyrex glass vessel (internal diameter 5 cm and height 30 cm) and a magnetic stirrer was utilized.

**Ozonation Process**

A JQ-6M PURETECH model ozone generator with the capacity to produce ozone at a concentration of 5% was employed. High purity oxygen at a concentration of 99.5% was introduced at a rate of 3.5 l/min. into the ozone generator. However, the real doses of ozone applied into the reactor through a fine bubbling stone, were estimated at 1.5 l/min. of oxygen. Ozonation time was varied from (5 to 30) min. for both raw and biotreated effluent. Ozone-treated effluent was sampled at regular time intervals for determination of COD and color removal efficiencies.

**UV Photo-Reactor**

A UV lamp model PENRAY 3SC9 (Upland, USA) with a radiation intensity of 5 mW/cm\(^2\) and wavelength of 254 nm was positioned within the center of reactor. As the most biologically disruptive frequencies are the shortest wavelengths within ultraviolet (UV) light, known as the UV-C spectrum ranging from (200 to 300) nm, the UV emission spectrum at 254 nm was utilized.

**Hydrogen Peroxide Setup**

The hydrogen peroxide \( (\text{H}_2\text{O}_2) \) used in this study was of analytical grade (35%) and was obtained from Merck (Germany). The dosage of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) used for all the tests performed was first optimized at a constant pH of 6.2 for the samples in each study by varying the dosage from (50-200) mg/l. The optimized dose of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) above which the decolorization effect was weakened was found to be in the range of (150-200) mg/l for raw and (100-150) mg/l for biotreated effluent.

**Analytical Methods**

Textile dye bath effluent was characterized in terms of color, COD, BOD and pH at ambient temperature in accordance with APHA, AWWA and WEF Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 1995. The dye bath effluent was characterized for an absorption spectrum of (200-600) nm and was found to be highest at 465 nm.
**Table 1: Characterization of Raw and Biotreated Textile Dye Bath Effluent**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Raw</th>
<th>Biotreated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COD (mg/l)</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A* (Color)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD (mg/l)</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>76.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH (units)</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A* Absorbance of color at 465nm

**ADVANCED OXIDATION OF RAW TEXTILE EFFLUENT**

**Ozonation**

In this study the effect of ozonation time on removal of COD, color and BOD was investigated with oxygen at a purity of 99.5% fed into the ozone generator. The removal of COD, color and BOD initially increased with ozonation exposure time up to 25 min. and did not change appreciably thereafter. This can probably be explained by the fact that some organic compounds are more susceptible to oxidation than others, while some are only partially oxidized (Camel and Bermond, 1998). Although, Ozone itself is a highly oxidizing agent, even then various pH values have a large effect on COD removal, which increases at higher pH values (Azbar et al., 2004). The results shown in Figure 1 imply that dye bath effluent can be decolorized efficiently at a constant pH of 6.2 by ozonation, i.e., 58% at a 25 min. exposure time.

**Irradiation**

Raw textile effluent was exposed to UV irradiation alone and the removal of COD, color and BOD was found to be highest at a 25 min. exposure time, i.e., 28%, 26% and 34%, respectively, as shown in Figure 2, and seemed to decrease thereafter. Percentage removal was not appreciable due to the fact that the UV supported process is generally applied to the aqueous wastes of low color intensity, while in this study the textile effluent color was of high intensity. This high color intensity might have prevented the uniform penetration of UV light throughout the sample.

**UV/H₂O₂**

It is obvious from Figure 3 that 35%, 32% and 44% of COD, color and BOD, respectively, were removed. Percentage removal was not appreciable, due to the fact that hydroxyl radical production in the presence of UV may require a specific pH. However, a decolorization efficiency may be enhanced by applying UV/H₂O₂/O₃ or increasing the concentration of H₂O₂ to ensure the availability of hydroxyl radicals.

**Photo-Fenton Process**

Figure 4 illustrates that the best results for the removal of color, COD and BOD were obtained at a 25 min. exposure time to UV irradiation, 40%, 38% and 68% respectively and after 25 min., removal remained constant.

**Comparison of AOPs**

It is obvious from Figure 5 that decolorization and COD and BOD removal are higher in case of ozonation of raw textile dye bath effluent than with all other AOPs applied, with the exception that percentage removal of BOD was found to be highest in the case of exposure to photo-Fenton. Thus ozone was shown to be effective as a strong oxidizing agent, specially with respect to COD and color removal. As UV/H₂O₂ requires acidic conditions for reasonable removal efficiency (Shu and Chang, 2005), its probable failure to be the most effective in our study may have been due to the pH used, i.e., 6.4. However, in the presence of UV or H₂O₂, along with ozone, the decolorization rate could be enhanced.
STUDY OF BIODEGRADABILITY ENHANCEMENT OF RAW TEXTILE EFFLUENT

The improvement in biodegradability in all the experiments was followed by measuring the change in BOD/COD ratio. Adoption of the two-step sequence, i.e., biological treatment along with oxidation of effluents, is thus suggested to obtain the greatest advantage with application of AOPs. The goal of advanced oxidation processes is not to mineralize the compounds (i.e., convert to CO₂ and H₂O), but rather to convert biorecalcitrant compounds into intermediates which are readily biodegradable in conventional biological treatment processes. Biodegradability of the raw textile effluents showed a sudden improvement from 0.35 to >1 up to an exposure time of 15 min., which could be due to high BOD and then continuously decreased with the increase in exposure time in all the oxidation processes, as shown in Figure 6. This may be due to the fact that initially all AOPs were able to destroy recalcitrant components of the effluent or that no appreciable amount of recalcitrant material was present in the initial stages. Mineralization of organic matter into CO₂ and water takes place in highly oxidative environments, yet its existence cannot be ignored here either. The effect of H₂O₂ concentration on BOD and COD measurements is of considerable importance, but was not considered in all the processes employed in the current work.
ADVANCED OXIDATION OF BIOTREATED (UASB) TEXTILE EFFLUENT

A 2.5L laboratory-scale up flow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) bioreactor was used and operated at 35°C. The volume of the biogas was determined using a barometric unit equipped with an electronically controlled counter and a gas-tight valve and the volumes were adjusted to standard temperature and pressure. The substrate was fed semicontinuously into the bioreactor by means of a peristaltic pump (Watson-Marlow 302S) controlled by an electronic timer. The bioreactor was run at a hydraulic retention time (HRT) of 36 h. The bioreactor effluent was characterized in terms of COD, color, BOD and pH, as shown in Table 1.

Ozonation

The ozonation experiments of UASB-treated effluent were performed at a constant pH of 6.2 and ozone (5% conc.) dosage at a pure oxygen supply of 1.5 l/min. with quite promising results (Figure 7), i.e., percentage removal values of 75% COD, 95% color and 85% BOD at a 25 min. exposure time. The degree of color removal initially increased with dose of ozone administered, but showed no appreciable decolorization thereafter, which may be due to the fact that biotreatment had already lowered the intensity of the dye bath effluent.

UV Irradiation

Decolorization and COD removal by applying UV irradiation were 79% and 80%, respectively, as shown in Figure 8. Decolorization was quite appreciable because the color of UASB-treated effluent was already less intense. However, the color removal efficiency was further improved due to uniform distribution of UV light throughout the sample.

UV/H₂O₂

It is clear from Figure 9 that the increase in color and COD reduction with time is not linear, i.e., it is initially rapid up to a 20 min. exposure time and then decreases with time, which indicates that UASB-treated effluents may contain considerable amounts of compounds that require a stronger oxidizing system.

Photo-Fenton Process

The photo-Fenton process is an important emerging technology in the field of oxidation processes. All the tests were performed using concentration of FeSO₄ (10 mg/l) for 30 min. of UV exposure time. Disruption of the biological substances occurred mostly between UV spectrum of (200-300) nm, so the used wavelength is considered to be appropriate for this process. In Figure 10 it can be observed that percentage removal after a 25 min. exposure time was 61%, 86% and 69% COD, color and BOD, respectively; thereafter no appreciable removal efficiency was observed.

Comparison of AOPs

Decolorization of biotreated textile dye bath effluent was most efficient, and reached up to 95% in the cases of both ozone and UV/H₂O₂. COD removal in all AOPs was found to occur in the following descending order UV/H₂O₂, UV, O₃ and photo-Fenton. Thus, in Figure 11, it can be observed that UV/H₂O₂ was the most efficient in terms of both COD and color removal. It can also be presumed that the simultaneous application of UV and H₂O₂ to biotreated effluent is a promising AOP technique for potential industrial implementation.
Figure 7: Effect of Ozone-liquid contact time on COD, color and BOD removal

Figure 8: Effect of UV-liquid contact time on COD, color and BOD removal

Figure 9: Effect of UV/H2O2-liquid contact time on COD, color and BOD removal

Figure 10: Effect of photo-Fenton-liquid contact time on the removal of COD, BOD and color

Figure 11: Comparison of AOPs in terms of percentage removal of COD, color and BOD.

Figure 12: Biodegradability improvement of biotreated textile effluent

BIODEGRADABILITY IMPROVEMENT OF TREATED TEXTILE EFFLUENT

The biodegradability of the biotreated textile effluents also initially increased suddenly from 0.5 to >1 and then decreased continuously during application of all the AOPs, which is obvious in Figure 12, as the biodegradable components had already been removed during biotreatment and only biorecalcitrant matter was left in the effluent to either be converted into biodegradable matter or turned into highly recalcitrant or inert matter.

CONCLUSIONS

In the past AOPs had been thoroughly and comparatively evaluated for a variety of organic compounds in wastewaters as well as dye bath effluents, but with several limitations (Azbar et al., 2004). Decolorization of textile effluents is a major environmental concern and problems of feasibility and cost effectiveness remain to be solved. The application of a combined method, i.e., biotreatment (UASB) and AOPs for treating dye bath effluent, is advantageous. This combined approach not only
allows better achievement of decolorization efficiency, but also contributes towards reducing treatment costs. Thus application of AOPs to biotreated textile effluent is more effective than their use with raw effluent. Ozonation of raw textile effluent is probably better for decolorization than the AOPs applied. However, in the case of biotreated textile effluent, the efficiency of decolorization was higher with UV/H2O2 than with the other AOPs applied.
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