MODELING ELECTRODIALYSIS AND A PHOTOCHEMICAL PROCESS FOR THEIR INTEGRATION IN SALINE WASTEWATER TREATMENT
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Abstract - Oxidation processes can be used to treat industrial wastewater containing non-biodegradable organic compounds. However, the presence of dissolved salts may inhibit or retard the treatment process. In this study, wastewater desalination by electrodialysis (ED) associated with an advanced oxidation process (photo-Fenton) was applied to an aqueous NaCl solution containing phenol. The influence of process variables on the demineralization factor was investigated for ED in pilot scale and a correlation was obtained between the phenol, salt and water fluxes with the driving force. The oxidation process was investigated in a laboratory batch reactor and a model based on artificial neural networks was developed by fitting the experimental data describing the reaction rate as a function of the input variables. With the experimental parameters of both processes, a dynamic model was developed for ED and a continuous model, using a plug flow reactor approach, for the oxidation process. Finally, the hybrid model simulation could validate different scenarios of the integrated system and can be used for process optimization.
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INTRODUCTION

Recalcitrant organic pollutants, like phenol and phenolic compounds, common contaminants in industrial wastewater, have been recognized as an issue of growing interest in recent years, as observed from the increasing number of papers in the literature dedicated to the subject. Conventional wastewater treatments are hardly capable of removing such pollutants. Advanced oxidation processes like the photo-Fenton reaction are recommended in these cases, since oxidation is based on free radicals, which are capable of degrading a wide range of organic compounds in water. However, the presence of ionic compounds, like sodium chloride, NaCl, normally associated with these pollutants in wastewater, may retard such processes (Maciel, 2004; Gogate e Pandit, 2004; Pignatello, 2006). In this context, the possibility of integrating electrodialysis (ED) and advanced oxidation processes (AOP) for the treatment of a saline aqueous solution containing phenol has been investigated.

ED is an electrochemical separation process based on selective transport of ions from one solution to another through ion-exchange membranes under the driving forces of an electrochemical
potential gradient. Typical ED equipment consists of a series of anion and cation-exchange membranes that allow the selective passage of anion and cation, respectively, arranged in an alternating pattern between an anode and a cathode. When ionic solutions are pumped through the cell, the ion concentration increases in alternate compartments (concentrate), while the other compartments become depleted (diluate) (Strathmann, 2004). In particular, an aqueous saline solution containing phenol can be treated by ED, resulting in a demineralized solution and a saline wastewater. In this manner, the salt can be removed prior to the wastewater treatment by oxidation processes, as shown in Figure 1.

AOP is considered a promising remediation alternative for wastewaters containing recalcitrant compounds like phenol. The processes involve the production of reactive hydroxyl radicals that can oxidize organic compounds. One of the most used AOP is the photo-Fenton process, which combines peroxide, usually hydrogen peroxide, iron ions and UV light irradiation (Esplugas, 2002).

This paper presents results of a study of a hybrid model based on parameters obtained experimentally for the demineralization and oxidation processes. Experiments were performed with aqueous NaCl solutions with concentrations within the range of industrial phenolic wastewater. The approach, model and parameters obtained can be used in further similar works and optimization.

**MODEL APPROACH**

**Electrodialysis**

The investigation of the desalination of water containing phenol and sodium chloride by ED was previously reported by Borges et al. (2008). Experiments were carried out in pilot equipment and linear correlations were used to relate the phenol, salt and water fluxes with the driving forces (concentration and electric potential gradients) in the ED cell. Experiments were carried out at constant current, in a range between 75 and 275 A m⁻²; the concentration of the salt and phenol in the diluate ranged from 0 to 55 kg m⁻³ and from 100 to 400 ppm C, respectively. Table 1 presents the flux equations and parameters obtained.

**Figure 1:** Process integration schematic representation

**Table 1:** Flux equations and overall transport coefficients obtained in the experiments with the ED system (Borges et al., 2008).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contributions Considered</th>
<th>Water transport</th>
<th>Salt transport</th>
<th>Phenol transport</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Electroosmotic</td>
<td>Migration</td>
<td>Diffusion and Convection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flux Equations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( J_w = \alpha \cdot i )</td>
<td>( J_i = \beta \cdot i )</td>
<td>( J_p = \frac{P_p \Delta C^0_p}{P_p \Delta C^0_p + (1 - \sigma) C^0_p J_w} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Transport</td>
<td>( \alpha \times 10^6 ) (m³ h⁻¹ A⁻¹)</td>
<td>( \beta \times 10^3 ) (kg s⁻¹ A⁻¹)</td>
<td>( P_p \times 10^4 ) (m h⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficients</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>3.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane Area</td>
<td>( S_1 = 0.4 ) m²</td>
<td>( S_2 = 0.2 ) m²</td>
<td>( S_3 = 0.4 ) m²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Where $J_W$ is the water flux (m h$^{-1}$); $J_p$ and $J_s$, phenol and salt flux respectively (kg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$); $\alpha$, electro osmotic coefficient (m$^3$ h$^{-1}$ A$^{-1}$); $\beta$, current coefficient (kg h$^{-1}$ A$^{-1}$); $i$, current density (A m$^{-2}$); $S$, membrane transfer area; $P_p$, phenol permeability coefficient (m h$^{-1}$); $\Delta C_p^0$, initial phenol concentration difference between compartments; $\sigma$, reflection coefficient, which measures the relative restriction of the membrane to the solvent or solute flow, and $C_{pd}^0$, the initial phenol concentration in the feed (kg m$^{-3}$).

Salt and water transport were related to the current density applied. For the neutral solute, phenol, a convective contribution due to water flux occurred besides the expected diffusion contribution. The fitting of the parameters of the transport equations resulted in good agreement with the experimental results over the range of conditions investigated.

The equations presented in Table 1, which describe the flux within the ED cell, can be combined with the material balance ordinary differential equations (see Appendix A) to describe the system presented in Figure 2. It was assumed that all solutions in the system are well mixed under isothermal condition and have constant density.

The volumetric flow rate, $Q$, tank volume, $V$, and component mass concentration, $C_i$, were used to evaluate the different scenarios using the notation presented in Figure 2. The phenol flux equation was simplified for the pilot experimental conditions; for this generic model, the former equation can be used as presented in Equation (1), since the phenol concentration difference between compartments, $\Delta C_p$, can be significant and should be calculated over time.

$$J_p = P_p \Delta C_p + (1 - \sigma) C_{pd} J_W$$  \tag{1}$$

For the second flux contribution, the original mean solute concentration on either side of the membrane was considered to be equal to the phenol concentration in the diluate, $C_{pd}$.

The typical value of 1 mm was used as the membrane distance in the stack for the volume calculation for each ED cell compartment (Strathmann, 2004). Therefore, the volume of 0.0002 m$^3$ was adopted (for 10 compartments and membrane area of 0.02 m$^2$).

The dynamic model can simulate the system flow, salt and phenol concentrations over time, and a steady state approach was obtained from this model.

![Figure 2](image-url)
Photochemical Process

In the ED experiments, the phenol concentration was varied in order to generate data for a correlation of \( J_p \) vs \( \Delta C_p \). In the experiments on Photo-Fenton oxidation, however, the initial phenol concentration was kept at an arbitrarily selected value of 100 ppm. Photo-Fenton oxidation processes were experimentally investigated using a laboratory batch reactor for the degradation of phenol in saline media. Experiments were carried in an annular photochemical reactor (1 L) connected to a well stirred jacketed reservoir (1 L). The lamp (250W Philips medium-pressure mercury vapor lamp) was protected by a water-cooled quartz immersion well. The system was fed with 100 ppm C of phenol in saline solution and ferrous sulfate heptahydrate (Fe\(^{2+}\)). The solution was circulated through the reactor at a flow rate of about 1.5 L min\(^{-1}\) by means of a centrifugal pump. The reaction was initiated by irradiation with the onset of addition of hydrogen peroxide (H\(_2\)O\(_2\)) solution at a rate of 0.83 mL min\(^{-1}\). The pH was maintained at 3.0 and temperature at 30\(^{\circ}\)C±2\(^{\circ}\)C. Samples were withdrawn at appropriate time intervals. The organic concentration was determined by Total Organic Carbon (TOC) analysis.

An experimental design based on the Doehlert model (De Aguiar et al., 1998) with three variables was used to study the effect of NaCl, H\(_2\)O\(_2\) and Fe\(^{2+}\) concentrations on phenol degradation (variable ranges from 0 to 50 kg m\(^{-3}\), 10 to 80 mmol L\(^{-1}\) and 0.1 to 0.5 mmol L\(^{-1}\), respectively). These ranges were chosen from preliminary studies in the domain of interest.

As expected, the results confirm the negative effect of the salt concentration on the phenol removal efficiency by oxidation. Moreover, this effect was found not to be linear with salt concentration and degradation rate. Due to the complexity of these reaction systems, a model has been developed to fit the experimental data based on artificial neural network, whose fundamental aspects are presented elsewhere (Gob et al., 1999). This model describes the evolution of the pollutant concentration, i.e., phenol, by means of a reaction rate, during irradiation time under various operating conditions.

Figure 3 shows a diagramatic representation of the model used to obtain the reaction rate as a function of the salt, Fe\(^{2+}\), H\(_2\)O\(_2\) concentration and TOC/TOC\(_0\), where TOC\(_0\) is the initial TOC value.

Two artificial neural networks were used: RNA\(_1\) and RNA\(_2\), feed-forward type containing three layers (input, hidden and output). The programs to generate the models and to perform the simulations were developed at LSCP (Process Control and Simulation Laboratory).

RNA\(_1\) models the values of TOC/TOC\(_0\) at a given time as a function of NaCl, Fe\(^{2+}\) and H\(_2\)O\(_2\) concentrations. The output variable is \((\text{TOC/TOC}_0)_{\text{sim}}\). RNA\(_1\) simulates TOC/TOC\(_0\) over time (0 to 120 min) at different conditions to obtain \((\text{TOC/TOC}_0)_{\text{sim}}\) by means of a reaction rate, during irradiation time as a function of NaCl, Fe\(^{2+}\) and H\(_2\)O\(_2\) concentrations. The output variable is \((\text{TOC/TOC}_0)_{\text{sim}}\).

The reaction rate was calculated for each value of \((\text{TOC/TOC}_0)_{\text{sim}}\) as:

\[
r = \frac{(\text{TOC/TOC}_0)_{t_i} - (\text{TOC/TOC}_0)_{t_{i-1}}}{t_i - t_{i-1}}
\]

Figure 3: Diagram representing the reaction rate mathematical model.
Figure 4: Comparison between calculated and experimental values of TOC/TOC₀ results for RNA₁.

Figure 5(a) and 5(b) shows a comparison between experimental values for TOC/TOC₀ as a function of time and RNA₁ simulated results for two different experiments included in the TS.

Simulation results with different RNA₁ indicate that, regarding H₂O₂ concentration, the model can predict the effect of this variable in accordance with experimental data. Model fitting was better for lower H₂O₂ concentrations, as presented in Figure 6. For higher H₂O₂ concentrations, the effect of reactant excess in the reaction system could be simulated, since it was observed, in accordance with experiments, that there was no substantial variation of TOC degradation between 40 and 80 mmol.L⁻¹. The negative effect of NaCl concentration was also in agreement with experiments. The negative effect is larger for NaCl concentrations between 10 and 40 kg m⁻³. Simulation tendencies were more subtle, but, considering experimental error, the model was able to reproduce this peculiar experimental behavior.

RNA₂ calculates the reaction rate as a function of TOC/TOC₀ value, NaCl, Fe²⁺, and H₂O₂ concentrations. Values of ((TOC/TOC₀)ₘₐₓ) obtained from RNA₁ model simulations were used as one of the inputs. Different combinations of the following conditions were used: [H₂O₂] (mmol.L⁻¹) = 10, 20, 40, 80; [NaCl] (kg m⁻³) = 0:5:50; [Fe(II)] (mmol.L⁻¹) = 0.1, 0.2, 0.5; 120 minutes as the reaction time, for each 10 minutes. Based on the minimum value of the quadratic deviation and the comparison of experimental and calculated results (determination coefficients of 0.960), the value of NH equal to 10 was adopted for the simulations (NI = 20,000). Table 2 shows the corresponding weights of RNA₂.
Figure 6: Percentage of TOC removal in 30 minutes of reaction time versus salt concentration for different values of H$_2$O$_2$ concentration; [Fe(II)]=0.2 mmol.L$^{-1}$ (symbols correspond to experimental values; lines represent calculated values).

Table 2: Matrices of weights for the adjusted neural network RNA$_2$ (NH = 10 and NI = 20 000). W$_1$: weights between input and hidden layers; W$_2$: weights between hidden and output layers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neuron</th>
<th>W$_1$</th>
<th>W$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x$_1$ ($H_2O_2$)</td>
<td>x$_2$ (NaCl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.230</td>
<td>0.756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.224</td>
<td>2.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.267</td>
<td>0.765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.168</td>
<td>0.426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.872</td>
<td>0.429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>-0.452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-2.936</td>
<td>-1.338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-0.673</td>
<td>0.692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>1.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-3.398</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A plug flow reactor was modeled using the reaction rate obtained by RNA$_2$, $r$, in an ordinary differential equation:

$$\frac{d\text{TOC}(z)}{dV} = -\frac{r}{Q}$$

where $V$ is the reactor volume, $z$ the distance down the tube from the inlet and $q$ the flow.

The model can describe the TOC variation in a plug flow reactor as a function of its volume and operation conditions, i.e., NaCl, Fe$^{2+}$ and H$_2$O$_2$ concentrations. The algorithm for the simulation was organized to design the reactor, estimating $V$, for different conditions of the process and TOC reaction rate.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The process integration was carried out by setting the ED salt and phenol concentration output (C$_{DOS}$ and C$_{DOP}$ (Figure 2)) as conditions in the feed stream to the photochemical reactor. Several scenarios were studied with the proposed hybrid model and are summarized below.

Concerning the desalination, the model represents a generic ED system to study different configurations of the process. Firstly, the accuracy of the simulation prediction was validated comparing experimental results with a pilot configuration of the system, i.e., feed and outlet stream equal to zero (total recycle).

In terms of optimal operation conditions for the ED step, the objective is to minimize phenol loss in the saline wastewater, i.e., the concentrated stream, and minimize the cost of operation, related to energy and membrane area required. Figure 7 shows an example of how the phenol loss and the membrane area depend on the current density for 80% demineralization of the effluent feed.
As expected, for lower current density, higher membrane area is required and higher phenol loss \( \left( C_{\text{PCOC}} \right) \) in the concentrate occurs in order to achieve a given amount of demineralization. As mentioned, phenol flux through the membranes has two different contributions, convective and diffusive. In the case presented in Figure 7, the convective contribution is constant, but diffusion increases with membrane area and with experiment time.

The change in membrane area is more pronounced for lower current densities. The phenol loss is less sensitive to the current density than to the membrane area.

On the other hand, the optimal condition for the photochemical step is related to the salt concentration of the ED product. The total cost of this step corresponds to the sum of direct operation costs, mainly reagents and energy, and the investment cost. Of these, energy and investment costs are by far the most significant ones (Peretti, 2008). The investment cost is directly proportional to the reactor volume and its dependence in relation to the \( \text{NaCl} \) concentration under different conditions can be estimated by the model, as illustrated in Figure 8 for different values of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) concentration, and for 70% removal of the initial TOC. For the conditions studied, the \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) concentration plays an important role, since a mitigation of the \( \text{NaCl} \) negative effect is observed for \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) concentration values above ca. 30 mmol.L\(^{-1}\).

![Figure 7: Phenol loss (●) and membrane area (*) vs. current density for 80% demineralization; \( C_{\text{AEp}} = 0.18 \text{ kg m}^{-3} \), \( C_{\text{AES}} = 50 \text{ kg m}^{-3} \), \( C_{\text{AWp}} = 0 \), \( C_{\text{AWS}} = 0 \)](image)

Figure 8: Photochemical reactor volume vs. salt concentration in the feed for different values of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) concentrations to attain 70% degradation; TOC\(_0\) = 0.1 kg m\(^{-3}\); \( i = 275 \text{ A m}^{-2} \).

![Figure 8: Photochemical reactor volume vs. salt concentration in the feed for different values of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) concentrations to attain 70% degradation; TOC\(_0\) = 0.1 kg m\(^{-3}\); \( i = 275 \text{ A m}^{-2} \).](image)

Table 3: Results for different scenarios of the integrated process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% Dem.</th>
<th>( i ) (A m(^{-2}))</th>
<th>Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( S ) (m(^2))</td>
<td>Phenol Loss ( C_{\text{PCOC}} ) (kg m(^{-3}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>218.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>125</td>
<td>131.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>175</td>
<td>93.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>225</td>
<td>72.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>275</td>
<td>59.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>279.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>125</td>
<td>167.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>175</td>
<td>119.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>225</td>
<td>93.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>275</td>
<td>76.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>331.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>125</td>
<td>198.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>175</td>
<td>141.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>225</td>
<td>110.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>275</td>
<td>90.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3 shows some results for the process integration in different scenarios. The ED process was evaluated considering different aspects: membrane area, phenol loss and the concentrate outlet flow ($Q_{D}$). Simulations were carried out in steady state using different demineralization percentages (% Dem.) for the ED process, 60, 80 and 98% ($C_{CO_{2}} = 20, 10$ and $1 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$, respectively), initial salt and phenol concentration of 50 kg m$^{-3}$ and 0.1 kg m$^{-3}$ and inlet flow of the ED process ($Q_{AW}$ and $Q_{AE}$) of 1 m$^{3}$ h$^{-1}$.

The ED demineralized effluent was evaluated considering the reactor volume needed to remove 70% of TOC (40 mmol.L$^{-1}$ of H$_2$O$_2$ and 0.2 of Fe$^{3+}$).

In general, it can be observed that the salt concentration of the ED outlet stream has an important effect on the reactor volume, i.e., a negative effect in the oxidation step. In the studied conditions, for a 22% increase of the reactor volume a change in the salt concentration from 1 to 20 kg m$^{-3}$ was observed. Regarding the ED process, this increase in demineralization percentage affects the concentrate outlet flow, i.e., the oxidation step feed. The membrane area decreases with the current density increase: an increase in current density from 75 to 275 A m$^{-2}$ causes the membrane area to decrease about 23%, while phenol concentration decreases 35%.

CONCLUSIONS

A hybrid model in agreement with experimental data was obtained for the ED and photochemical processes.

The ED solute and solution transport could be modeled by a phenomenological approach and a model based on artificial neural networks was used to model the oxidation process in saline media. The model could predict the negative effect of the salt concentration on the phenol removal efficiency by oxidation.

Simulations based on the model have been performed in order to estimate the behavior of the system under different conditions.

The model constitutes an important step in understanding the behavior of the integrated process and in further studies aimed at assessing the feasibility of integrated effluent treatment processes, in which the costs of the ED and photochemical steps must be coupled in order to achieve a given level of demineralization and degradation. The interest to demineralize the waste water before the oxidation is a consequence of balancing the additional costs due to the demineralization, the improvement of the separation efficiency that can be reached and the reagents consumption required for the oxidation step.
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NOMENCLATURE

AOP advanced oxidation process
C concentration
ED electrodialysis
F molar flow
i current density
$J_{p}$ phenol flux
$J_{s}$ salt flux
$J_{W}$ water flux
LS learning set
NH number of neurons in the hidden layer
NI number of iterations
$P_{p}$ phenol permeability coefficient
Q flow
r reaction rate
R recycle fraction
S membrane transfer area
t time
TOC total organic carbon
TS test set
V reactor volume
$W_{1}$ weights between input and hidden layers
$W_{2}$ weights between hidden and output layers
X molar component fraction
z distance down the tube from the inlet

Subscripts

c concentrate compartment
d diluate compartment
p phenol
s salt
w water

Greek Symbols

$\alpha$ electro osmotic coefficient
$\beta$ current coefficient
Modeling Electrodialysis and a Photochemical Process for Their Integration in Saline Wastewater Treatment

Delta \( \Delta C \) is the concentration difference between compartments.

\( \sigma \) is the reflection coefficient.

REFERENCES


APPENDIX A

MATERIAL BALANCE EQUATIONS FOR THE SYSTEM SHOWN IN FIGURE 2

Considering constant density liquid solutions in the streams, isothermal and well mixed system, the following mole balance equations were used to calculate the number of moles, \( N \), and the salt, phenol and water mole fractions over time, for the system presented in Figure 2.

Equation (A1) represents the global mole balance in the system:

\[
\frac{dN}{dt} = F_{AW} + F_{AE} - F_{CT} - F_{DT} \tag{A1}
\]

For tank \( T_W \) the mole balance is described as Equation (A2), where \( N_W \) is the total number of moles in the tank:

\[
\frac{dN_{W}}{dt} = F_{AW} + F_{CR} - F_{W} \tag{A2}
\]

Equation (A3) expresses the quantity variation for species \( i \) (salt, phenol and water) over time in tank \( T_W \):

\[
\frac{dX_{Wi}N_W}{dt} = F_{AW}X_{AWi} + F_{CR}X_{CRI} - F_{W}X_{Wi} \tag{A3}
\]

Equation (A3) combined with equation (A2) results in:

\[
\frac{dX_{Wi}}{dt} = \frac{1}{N_W}(F_{AW}X_{AWi} + F_{CR}X_{CRI} - F_{AW}X_{Wi} - F_{CR}X_{Wi}) \tag{A4}
\]

By analogy, Equations (A5) and (A6) express the mole balances in tank \( T_E \):

\[
\frac{dN_E}{dt} = F_{AE} + F_{DR} - F_{E} \tag{A5}
\]

\[
\frac{dX_{Ei}}{dt} = \frac{1}{N_E}(F_{AE}X_{AEi} + F_{DR}X_{DRi} - F_{AE}X_{Ei} - F_{DR}X_{Ei}) \tag{A6}
\]

Based on the experimental conditions, i.e., assuming constant solution volumes in both ED compartments, the diluate compartment outlet molar flow rate can be expressed by Equation (A7):
\[ F_D = F_E - 2j_W - A - (j_s + j_p)A \]  
\[ (A7) \]

where \( j_i \) is the molar flux of species \( i \).

Phenol and salt concentrations can be expressed as shown in Equations (A8) and (A9):

\[ \frac{dX_{dp}}{dt} = \frac{1}{N_{ED}} (F_E X_{ep} - 2j_p A - F_D X_{dp}) \]  
\[ (A8) \]

\[ \frac{dX_{ds}}{dt} = \frac{1}{N_{ED}} (F_E X_{es} - j_s A - F_D X_{ds}) \]  
\[ (A9) \]

It is important to note that phenol is transported through both membranes, cation and anion-exchange membranes, while the dissociated salt is transported in each membrane type; therefore, each component flux is multiplied by the corresponding membrane area.

Likewise, the mole balances in the concentrate compartment can be expressed by Equations (A10) to (A12):

\[ F_C = F_W + 2j_W A + (j_s + j_p)A \]  
\[ (A10) \]

\[ \frac{dF_{Cs}}{dt} = \frac{1}{N_{ED}} (F_W X_{Ws} + j_s A - F_C X_{Cs}) \]  
\[ (A11) \]

\[ \frac{dX_{cp}}{dt} = \frac{1}{N_{ED}} (F_W X_{Wp} + 2j_p A - F_C X_{Cp}) \]  
\[ (A12) \]

The system recirculation streams are defined in Equations (A13) and (A14), where \( R_C \) and \( R_D \) are the recycle ratio of the concentrate and dilute compartments, respectively.

\[ F_{CR} = R_C F_C \]  
\[ (A13) \]

\[ F_{DR} = R_D F_D \]  
\[ (A14) \]

The inlet streams to tanks \( T_C \) and \( T_D \) are:

\[ F_{CO} = F_C - F_{CR} \]  
\[ (A15) \]

\[ F_{DO} = F_D - F_{DR} \]  
\[ (A16) \]

For both tanks, the following mole balance equations apply:

\[ \frac{dN_C}{dt} = F_{CO} - F_{CT} \]  
\[ (A17) \]

\[ \frac{dX_{cts}}{dt} = \frac{1}{N_C} (F_{CO} X_{COS} - F_{CO} X_{CTS}) \]  
\[ (A18) \]

\[ \frac{dX_{ctp}}{dt} = \frac{1}{N_C} (F_{CO} X_{COP} - F_{CO} X_{CTP}) \]  
\[ (A19) \]

\[ \frac{dN_D}{dt} = F_{DO} - F_{DT} \]  
\[ (A20) \]

\[ \frac{dX_{dts}}{dt} = \frac{1}{N_D} (F_{DO} X_{DOS} - F_{DO} X_{DTS}) \]  
\[ (A21) \]

\[ \frac{dX_{dtp}}{dt} = \frac{1}{N_D} (F_{DO} X_{DOP} - F_{DO} X_{DTP}) \]  
\[ (A22) \]

The value of 1 mm was arbitrarily adopted as a typical spacing between the cell membranes (Strathmann, 2004) for the calculation of the ED cell compartment volumes (diluate and concentrate). Therefore, the volume of 0.0002 m³ was adopted for each compartment (total of 10 compartments with 0.02 m² of membrane area).