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Abstract - Cobalt catalysts supported on γ-alumina, ceria and γ-alumina-ceria, with 10 or 20%wt of cobalt load, prepared by the wet impregnation method and characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), field emission transmission electron microscopy (FETEM), N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms (BET/BJH methods), energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX), X-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS), O₂-chemisorption and temperature programmed reduction (TPR) were used to promote the oxidation of volatile organic compounds (n-hexane, benzene, toluene and o-xylene). For a range of low temperatures (50-350 °C), the activity of the catalysts with a higher cobalt load (20% wt) was greater than that of the catalysts with a lower cobalt load (10% wt). The Co/γ-Al₂O₃-CeO₂ catalytic systems presented the best performances. The results obtained in the characterization suggest that the higher catalytic activity of the Co₂₀/γ-Al₂O₃-CeO₂ catalyst may be attributed to the higher metal content and amount of oxygen vacancies, as well as the effects of the interaction between the cobalt and the alumina and cerium oxides.
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INTRODUCTION

Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are dangerous and highly toxic pollutants generated during a variety of industrial and agricultural activities as well as energy use, including that associated with transportation, among other practices (Kim, 2002; Garcia-Vazquez et al., 2004; Kim and Shim, 2010; Liotta, 2010). Therefore, there is a need for the development of techniques which are both economically feasible and able to effectively destroy these pollutants rather than simply remove them. Catalytic oxidation has been acknowledged as the most effective method to reduce VOC emissions. The desired reaction products are carbon dioxide (CO₂) and water (H₂O) since they are not harmful to the environment (Calvo et al., 2004; Garcia-Vazquez et al., 2004; Kim and Shim, 2010).

Catalysts such as platinum (Pt) and palladium (Pd) are typically used to promote these reactions. However, due to the high cost of these metals, they are increasingly being replaced with cheaper catalysts employing transition metals, such as manganese (Mn), nickel (Ni), chromium (Cr) and cobalt (Co). These metals, which have a high oxidation potential, can be supported in matrices with a high surface area, notably alumina (Al₂O₃) and silica (SiO₂) (Kim,
It has been reported in the literature that CeO₂ has the potential to increase the degree of oxidation in reactions, due to the creation of active oxygen (Setiabudi et al., 2004). The catalytic activity of CeO₂ has also been attributed to its ability to store and release oxygen. Therefore, the incorporation of CeO₂ can improve the performance of the redox catalyst, besides acting as a stabilizer of O₂ on the surface of the material (Kirchnerova et al., 2002; Kirchnerova and Klvana, 2000).

In this context, in order to contribute to the development of heterogeneous catalysts to promote the oxidation of VOCs, in this study the potential of cobalt-based catalysts supported on γ-Al₂O₃, CeO₂ and especially γ-Al₂O₃-CeO₂ for the conversion of n-hexane, benzene, toluene and o-xylene to CO₂ and H₂O was investigated. These organic compounds were chosen as model compounds to be used in this study due to their action as greenhouse gases and their toxic, carcinogenic and molecular characteristics (Wu et al., 2011; Genuino et al., 2012). The effect of the cobalt content on the textural properties of the catalysts and on the catalytic performance was also investigated.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Catalyst Preparation**

The cobalt catalysts were prepared via the wetness impregnation technique with different amounts of cobalt, that is, 10% and 20%. The γ-Al₂O₃-CeO₂ support used was obtained by blending (by mechanical mixing) two oxides: γ-alumina (Engelhard Exceptional Technologies®) with surface area (S_BET) = 208 m² g⁻¹, pore volume (Vₚ) = 0.69 cm³ g⁻¹ and pore diameter (Dₚ) = 6.63 nm; and cerium oxide (Riedel-de Haen®) with surface area (S_BET) = 4 m² g⁻¹, pore volume (Vₚ) = 0.01 cm³ g⁻¹ and pore diameter (Dₚ) = 6.38 nm. The γ-Al₂O₃-CeO₂ ratio (wt%) of the oxides was 1:1. The precursor salt, cobalt nitrate (Co(NO₃)₂.6H₂O – Vetec®), was dissolved in distilled water and added to the support in appropriate amounts. The materials obtained were then dried for 24 hours at 100 °C, ground and sieved (between 177 and 210 μm). The samples obtained were calcined in a muffle furnace, without air circulation, starting at ambient temperature with a heating rate of 5 °C.min⁻¹ up to 550 °C, maintaining this temperature for 4 hours.

**Characterization of Catalysts**

The crystalline structure of the catalysts was analyzed by X-ray powder diffraction (Bruker-AXS Siemens®) with CuKα radiation (V= 40kV; I=30mA). The morphology and microstructure of the catalysts were observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, JEOL JSM-6390LV) and field emission transmission electron microscopy (FETEM, JEOL JEM-1011). The specific surface area was determined by the BET method based on N₂ adsorption-desorption measurements taken at 77 K (Nova 2200e – Quantachrome Instruments®). The chemical composition and metal content of the catalysts were analyzed by energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX). The binding energy of the elements was studied by X-ray photo-emission spectroscopy (XPS) (VG Microtech 3000). The temperature profile of the catalytic reduction was obtained on a ChemBET – TPR/TPD analyzer (Quantachrome Instruments®). The O₂-chemisorption was conducted at 600 °C using a ChemBET analyzer (Quantachrome Instruments®).

**Catalyst Activity Test**

The catalytic activity of the samples prepared in relation to the oxidation of VOCs (n-hexane, benzene, toluene and o-xylene) was measured in a fixed tubular quartz reactor (39.5 cm in length and 9 mm in inner diameter) under atmospheric pressure (Figure 1). The following conditions were chosen: catalyst 0.1 g, inlet n-hexane (Vetec®) concentration 2.0 g m⁻³, benzene (Vetec®) concentration 2.5 g m⁻³, toluene (Vetec®) concentration 0.65 g m⁻³ and o-xylene (Vetec®) concentration 0.45 g m⁻³ in air, gas flow rate of 20 cm³ min⁻¹ and 0.3 s of residence time, gas hourly space velocity 12000 h⁻¹, and temperature range 50-350 °C. The reaction data were collected after at least 2 h on stream at room temperature. The products (CO₂ and H₂O) were analyzed by GC–MS and the reactants and product mixtures were analyzed on gas chromatographs equipped with an FID and TCD detector and an HP-5 column. The catalytic activity was expressed as the degree of hydrocarbon conversion, calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Hydrocarbons (\%) = } \frac{[\text{Hydrocarbons}]_{\text{in}} - [\text{Hydrocarbons}]_{\text{out}}}{[\text{Hydrocarbons}]_{\text{in}}} \times 100\% \tag{1}
\]
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RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characterization of the Catalysts

The X-ray diffraction patterns of the samples are shown in Figure 2. It can be observed that the peaks for the catalysts supported on alumina have low intensity and are broad, indicating that the material has a low crystallinity. The diffraction peaks at 2θ = 28, 33, 47, 56, 59, 69 and 88° are assigned to reflections related to the CeO₂ phase, a cubic crystal system (JCPDS data file 00-034-0394). These peaks have a higher definition and intensity, characteristic of a crystalline material. The peaks (2θ = 39 and 45°) are assigned to the reflections for the aluminum oxide phase, a cubic crystal system (JCPDS data file 01-074-2206). The peaks at 2θ = 31, 37, 59, 66 and 79° are characteristic of Co₃O₄ (JCPDS data file 01-075-0076). The peaks at 2θ = 31 and 59° suggest the presence of CoO with a cubic spinel-type structure. The intensity of the peak at 2θ = 33 for Co₃O₄ is higher for the Co₂₀/γ-Al₂O₃-CeO₂ sample compared with the Co₁₀/γ-Al₂O₃-CeO₂ sample. This suggests that the cobalt oxide on the Co₂₀/γ-Al₂O₃-CeO₂ surface has a higher crystallinity and larger particle size and that the Co₃O₄ is well dispersed on the Co₁₀/γ-Al₂O₃-CeO₂ surface (Soykal et al., 2012a; Wang et al., 2007).

According to the calculations shown in Table 1, the size of the crystallite CoO and Co₃O₄ increases with the cobalt content in the case of cobalt supported on γ-Al₂O₃-CeO₂, ranging from 42 to 52 nm and 25 to 28 nm, respectively.

The TPR profiles for the samples, shown in Figure 3, show peaks at reduction temperatures higher than 300 °C. The steps involved in the reduction of Co₃O₄ are still controversial. There are two types of TPR spectrum for Co₃O₄ reported in the literature: a spectrum with one broad peak representing Co₃O₄ reduction in a single step and a spectrum with two peaks ascribed to a two-step reduction process (Co₃O₄ → CoO → Co) (Kang et al., 2003; Luo et al., 2008). The TPR for CeO₂ may contain one to three peaks. It is generally accepted that CeO₂ reduction at the surface occurs via a stepwise mechanism: firstly, the reduction of the outermost layer of Ce⁴⁺ produced at lower temperatures (peak at between 400-550 °C); secondly, the formation of non-stoichiometric oxides (CeₓOᵧ) (peak at approximately 580-650 °C); and thirdly, the reduction of the inner Ce⁴⁺ (CeO₂ to Ce₂O₃ bulk reduction) associated with a peak at above 750 °C (Kang et al., 2003; Lovon et al., 2012; Luo et al., 2008).

However, according to Holgado et al. (2000), the latter TPR peak (highest temperature) could also be attributed to the reduction of CeO₂ to CeO₂₃/₅.

Figure 2: XRD patterns for the catalysts and supports.
Table 1: Textural data for the supported cobalt catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>BET (m² g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Vp (cm³ g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Dp (nm)</th>
<th>CoO average size (nm)¹</th>
<th>Co₃O₄ average size (nm)²</th>
<th>CeO₂ average size (nm)³</th>
<th>Co平均 size (nm)⁴</th>
<th>Co loading (wt%)⁵</th>
<th>Co dispersion (%)⁶</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>6.63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO₂</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co₁₀/γ-Al₂O₃</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co₂₀/γ-Al₂O₃</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co₁₀/CeO₂</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>13.26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co₂₀/CeO₂</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>10.24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce₁₀/γ-Al₂O₃</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>6.60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce₂₀/γ-Al₂O₃</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co₁₀/γ-Al₂O₃-CeO₂</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96.63</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co₂₀/γ-Al₂O₃-CeO₂</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>104.67</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vp = pore volume; Dp = pore diameter. ¹ Calculated using XRD. ² Calculated using HRTEM. ³ Calculated from semi-quantitative EDX data. ⁴ Calculated through a formula: \( D_{Co} = \frac{n_s M_{Co}}{\rho_{Co} N_A \sigma} \), where \( n_s \) is the number of Co atoms at the surface per unit area \((14.6 \times 10^{18} \text{at. m}^{-2})\); \( M_{Co} \) is the molar mass of cobalt \((58.93 \text{ g mol}^{-1})\); \( \rho_{Co} \) is the density of cobalt \((8.9 \text{ g cm}^{-3})\); \( N_A \) is Avogadro’s number \((6.023 \times 10^{23} \text{ mol}^{-1})\) and \( d_{Co} \) is the average Co size (determined by HRTEM).

Figure 3: TPR profiles for the catalysts.

The TPR profiles of the Co₁₀/γ-Al₂O₃ and Co₂₀/γ-Al₂O₃ samples display two main reduction peaks. The first one, with a maximum at around 340 °C, corresponds to the reduction of the Co₃O₄ phase to CoO and a broad peak, centered at around 400 °C, corresponds to the reduction of CoO to Co. Reduction peaks at above 700 °C, corresponding to the reduction of a mixed phase of cobalt oxide-aluminum oxide (non-stoichiometric aluminate) (Hu and Lu, 2010; Garcia and Assaf, 2012), were not observed. The TPR profiles for the Co₁₀/CeO₂ and Co₂₀/CeO₂ samples show four major H₂ consumption peaks. The lower-temperature peak can be attributed to the reduction of the Co₃O₄ phase to CoO, as well as the
reduction of surface CeO$_2$, while the second peak is assigned to the subsequent reduction of CoO to Co$^0$. Finally, the third peak at around 595 °C indicates the reduction of Co$^{2+}$ or Co$^{3+}$ ions in a strong interaction with CeO$_2$, which could also inhibit the reduction of CeO$_2$ species. The higher-temperature peak can be ascribed to the reduction of Ce$^{4+}$ to Ce$^{3+}$ in the bulk of the ceria (Spadaro et al., 2005; Lovon et al., 2012). The TPR profile for the Co$_{10}/\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$:CeO$_2$ sample shows a broad peak with three shoulders centered at 540, 600 and 670 °C. The first of these can be attributed to the reduction of Co$_3$O$_4$ to Co$^0$, which may be due to the high cobalt content of the sample, as indicated in Table 1. On the other hand, the hydrogen consumption peaks located at higher temperatures may indicate a better contact (i.e., stronger interaction) between the cobalt and the cerium and aluminum oxides in the Co$_{20}/\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$:CeO$_2$ sample. The results for the TPR experiments indicate the possibility that different cobalt species are present and that the extent of the cobalt-support interaction is distinct for each species.

The values for the specific surface area, volume and average pore diameter of the catalysts are shown in Table 1. It can be seen that, in contrast to alumina, there was a decrease in the surface area, as well as in the volume and pore diameter, as the metal (cobalt) content in the samples increased and with addition of ceria to the alumina. This result may be related to the low surface area of ceria, and also the covering of the smaller pores of the alumina by the cerium and cobalt oxides. The reduction in the specific surface area of the samples with increasing cobalt content may also be associated with the replacement of Ce$^{4+}$ ions by Co$^{2+}$ and/or Co$^{3+}$ ions, leading to an increase in the mole fraction of oxygen vacancies to maintain a neutral charge, which promotes an increase in the diffusion coefficient, resulting in an increase in the particle size (Marcos et al., 2004). The high surface area of the oxide support generally leads to an increased dispersion of the metal and this tendency was observed for the samples studied (Table 1).

The isotherms for the Co$_{10}/\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$:CeO$_2$ and Co$_{20}/\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$:CeO$_2$ catalysts correspond to type IV (of the IUPAC classification), which is typical of mesoporous materials (Figure 4(a)). The appearance of a type H1 hysteresis loop was observed at high relative pressures (P/P$_o$ ≈ 0.7 to 0.9) and this can be attributed to the formation of textural mesoporosity. The pore size distribution curves (Figure 4(b)) for the catalysts exhibited a unimodal profile with a variation in the pore size, ranging from 2-50 nm, the pores being of regular cylindrical shape and/or polyhedral with open ends (Andreeva et al., 2001; Barreiro et al., 2004; Corma, 1997; Marcos et al., 2004).

The EDX analysis confirmed the presence of cobalt in the Co$_{10}/\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$:CeO$_2$ and Co$_{20}/\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$:CeO$_2$ catalysts and the theoretical values are in very good agreement with the experimental results, as shown in Table 1.
The morphological properties of the catalysts were investigated by SEM and TEM. The SEM images obtained for the Co_{10}/γ-Al_{2}O_{3}-CeO_{2} and Co_{20}/γ-Al_{2}O_{3}-CeO_{2} samples (Figure 5) show irregular clusters both in form and size, featuring crumb-like particles with rugged surfaces.

Figure 6 shows the TEM images of the Co_{10}/γ-Al_{2}O_{3}-CeO_{2} and Co_{20}/γ-Al_{2}O_{3}-CeO_{2} samples. As shown in Figure 6(a), the catalysts obtained are polyhedral with irregular shapes. The most frequently observed fringes correspond to the (111), (311) and (220) crystallographic planes of CeO_{2}, CoO and Co_{3}O_{4}, respectively. The reflection attributed to the lattice plane (220) of CeO_{2} can also be identified. The perimeter of contact between cobalt oxide and cerium oxide can be clearly identified in the images (Figs. 6 (b-d)). This indicates a strong interaction between the metal oxide and the support in the catalyst, and the formation of active sites can occur more easily during the reaction, which favors the catalytic activity. The histograms for the particle size distribution of cobalt in the catalysts are shown in Figs. 6 (e-f). The average particle sizes observed for Co_{10}/γ-Al_{2}O_{3}-CeO_{2} and Co_{20}/γ-Al_{2}O_{3}-CeO_{2} were 96.63 and 104.67 nm, respectively.

The chemical species present on the surfaces of the catalysts and some of their proportions were evaluated by XPS. Table 2 shows the binding energy values obtained for the Co_{10}/γ-Al_{2}O_{3}-CeO_{2} and Co_{20}/γ-Al_{2}O_{3}-CeO_{2} catalysts investigated. The detected levels of O1s, Al 2p_{1/2} 2p, Ce3d_{5/2} and Co2p_{3/2} showed a difference in the binding energies from one catalyst to another. The full-range XPS spectra of the catalysts are shown in Figure 7. The presence of the Ce3d_{5/2} peak at 881.3eV for Co_{10}/γ-Al_{2}O_{3}-CeO_{2} and at 887.6 and 904.0eV for Co_{20}/γ-Al_{2}O_{3}-CeO_{2} characterize the presence of Ce^{3+} and Ce^{4+} in both catalysts (Beche et al., 2008; Beche et al., 2012). The Co2p_{3/2} peak at 779.0eV for Co_{10}/γ-Al_{2}O_{3}-CeO_{2} and 785.6eV for Co_{20}/γ-Al_{2}O_{3}-CeO_{2} characterize the presence of Co^{2+} (Lakshmi et al., 2009; Salim and Khawaja, 1992). The peak at 535.8 and 536.3eV is characteristic of O1s. The peaks at 74.5 and 74.6eV are characteristic of Al2p_{1/2} 2p. The lower Al/O, Al-Ce/O and Co/O ratios observed for the Co_{10}/γ-Al_{2}O_{3}-CeO_{2} catalyst may indicate greater oxygen enrichment at the surface, in relation to the interior of the sample compared with the Co_{20}/γ-Al_{2}O_{3}-CeO_{2} catalyst.

Figure 5: SEM images of Co_{10}/γ-Al_{2}O_{3}-CeO_{2} (a) and Co_{20}/γ-Al_{2}O_{3}-CeO_{2} (b).
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Figure 6: TEM images of Co₁₀₀/γ-Al₂O₃-CeO₂ (a-b) and Co₂₀₀/γ-Al₂O₃-CeO₂ (c-d). Particle size distribution of cobalt in Co₁₀₀/γ-Al₂O₃-CeO₂ (e) and Co₂₀₀/γ-Al₂O₃-CeO₂ (f).

Table 2: Binding energy values, surface composition and some relative atomic ratios for the solids studied, determined from XPS measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Binding energy (eV)</th>
<th>Relative atomic ratios</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O₁s</td>
<td>Al₂p₃/₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co₁₀₀/γ-Al₂O₃-CeO₂</td>
<td>535.8</td>
<td>74.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co₂₀₀/γ-Al₂O₃-CeO₂</td>
<td>536.3</td>
<td>74.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface composition (at. %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co₁₀₀/γ-Al₂O₃-CeO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co₂₀₀/γ-Al₂O₃-CeO₂</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The surface composition of cobalt is 2.81% for Co$_{20}$/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$-CeO$_2$ and 1.35% for Co$_{10}$/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$-CeO$_2$, as expected due to the higher metal content of this catalyst. Table 2 also gives the Co/Ce ratio at the catalyst surfaces and the highest ratio can be observed for Co$_{20}$/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$-CeO$_2$, indicating cobalt enrichment at the surface in the case of this sample. On the other hand, a decrease in the Co/Ce ratio at the surface can be attributed to the partial coating of the cobalt oxide by cerium oxide (Todorova et al., 2010).

Table 3 reports the results obtained from oxygen chemisorption measurements. The oxygen storage capacity (OSC) was calculated based on oxygen uptake. These values allow us to estimate the total amount of oxygen available in the oxide catalyst (Zhang et al., 2012). The Co$_{20}$/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$-CeO$_2$ catalyst has a larger OSC than the Co$_{10}$/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$-CeO$_2$ catalyst, indicating that the former has a higher amount of oxygen vacancies, as also observed from the N$_2$ physisorption results.

Catalytic Activity Tests

In order to investigate the catalytic activity of the samples synthesized, the oxidation of volatile organic compounds (n-hexane, benzene, toluene and o-xylene) was carried out. Figure 8 shows the VOC conversions for the catalysts as a function of the reaction temperature and Table 4 shows the light-off temperature ($T_{50}$) for oxidation of VOCs over the catalysts.

Table 3: Oxygen chemisorption measurements for the different catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Oxygen Storage Capacity (mmol/m$^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Co$_{10}$/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co$_{20}$/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>3.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Temperatures for the 50% VOC conversion ($T_{VOC_{50}}$) observed for the different catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$T_{n-hexane_{50}}$ (°C)</th>
<th>$T_{benzene_{50}}$ (°C)</th>
<th>$T_{toluene_{50}}$ (°C)</th>
<th>$T_{o-xylene_{50}}$ (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Co$_{10}$/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co$_{20}$/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co$_{10}$/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>&gt; 350</td>
<td>&gt; 350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co$_{20}$/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>&gt; 350</td>
<td>335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co$_{10}$/CeO$_2$</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>&gt; 350</td>
<td>&gt; 350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co$_{20}$/CeO$_2$</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>&gt; 350</td>
<td>&gt; 350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce$_{10}$/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>&gt; 350</td>
<td>&gt; 350</td>
<td>&gt; 350</td>
<td>&gt; 350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce$_{20}$/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>&gt; 350</td>
<td>&gt; 350</td>
<td>&gt; 350</td>
<td>&gt; 350</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It can be observed from the results given in Figure 8 that the catalysts were active in the VOC oxidation reactions and that a similar tendency was observed for all samples, that is, the VOC conversion increased with an increase in the reaction temperature, as expected. However, in all cases the best performance was observed for the catalysts supported on γ-Al₂O₃-CeO₂. Appropriate combinations of metal oxides as catalyst supports may provide higher activity in oxidation reactions than single oxides, as reported by several authors (Luo et al., 2008; Abbasi et al., 2011; Barakat et al., 2012). Therefore, the discussion on the catalytic tests was focused on the former type of catalyst. The catalyst with the highest cobalt load was the most active in all cases, regardless of the reaction temperature and the nature of the organic compound. It is clear from the data presented in Table 4 that the lowest light-off temperature was observed for the Co₁₀₀γ-Al₂O₃-CeO₂ catalyst, even though this sample had the lowest specific surface area and cobalt dispersion. These findings can be attributed to the amount of cobalt species present in the catalysts and the interaction of Co₂O₃ and CoO with CeO₂, which varies according to the cobalt content, as observed from the XPS and TPR data. The surface properties of these catalysts are essential for analyzing the catalytic activity. The dispersion of cobalt in the catalyst ranged from 0.99% for Co₁₀₀γ-Al₂O₃-CeO₂ to 0.92% for Co₂₀₀γ-Al₂O₃-CeO₂. This shows that the metal dispersion decreased with an increase in the cobalt content, although this did not appear to influence the fact that the catalyst Co₂₀₀γ-Al₂O₃-CeO₂ had higher catalytic activity. It is important to note that the greater reducibility of Co₁₀₀γ-Al₂O₃-CeO₂ did not translate into higher VOC oxidation activity. However, other factors to be considered are the presence of active sites, such as Co²⁺ and Co³⁺ ions, strong interaction between the oxide phases and oxygen vacancies on the Co₂₀₀γ-Al₂O₃-CeO₂ catalyst surface as confirmed by the characterization results discussed above, which is related to the oxidation of hydrocarbons (Cordatos et al., 1996; Lefez et al., 1996; Putna et al., 1999; Volta and Portefaix, 1985; Todorova et al., 2009; Todorova et al., 2011). The cobalt enrichment on the catalyst surface (as evidenced by the XPS data) may have contributed to the higher activity observed for the Co₂₀₀γ-Al₂O₃-CeO₂ catalyst, since cobalt is a very active species in oxidation reactions. With an increase in the cobalt loading, a higher number of active sites are available.
for the oxidation reactions, which resulted in higher conversion levels. As shown in Table 2, the lower Co/Ce ratio of the Co_{10}/γ-Al_{2}O_{3}-CeO_{2} catalyst can be explained by the partial coating of the cobalt oxide by cerium oxide, which can block some of the active cobalt sites reflecting in a lower activity (Todorova et al., 2010). In addition, Kang et al. (2003), studying cobalt supported on ceria catalysts in the CO oxidation reaction, concluded that ceria supplies oxygen to cobalt, leading to the retention of its higher valence state with increasing cobalt loading. This effect of ceria contributing to maintaining the high valence state of CoO_{x} species improves the catalytic activity in oxidation reactions (Kang et al., 2003; Liotta et al., 2005). Another factor to be considered is the mobility of the oxygen atoms present, which are able to perform the oxidation of the hydrocarbons under study. It has been reported that the presence of oxygen vacancies is an important factor that influences the activity of some heterogeneous catalysts and favors the oxidation process (Luo et al., 2008; Tian et al., 2012; Todorova et al., 2012). An increase in the amount of oxygen vacancies can result in an enhancement of the bulk and surface oxygen mobility, which is believed to play an important role in oxidation reactions (Liotta et al., 2005; Soykal et al., 2012a; Sun et al., 2008). The higher oxygen mobility benefits the oxygen species migration across the catalyst structure, resulting in higher oxidation activity (Song et al., 2009). It has been shown that the reduction in cerium oxide (Ce^{4+}/Ce^{3+}) is not due to a direct release of oxygen into the gas phase, but rather to the interaction which occurs between the surface of the catalyst and the hydrocarbon (Putna et al., 1999; Suresh et al., 2012; Todorova et al., 2009; Todorova et al., 2012). These reactions are driven by the increased capacity for the spontaneous release of oxygen from the Co_{0.5}/CeO_{2} system, even in the absence of a reducing agent. In the presence of the CoO_{x} species, the catalyst promotes the reduction of Ce^{4+}/Ce^{3+}, this factor being favorable for the oxidation of hydrocarbons (Warang et al., 2012). The addition of cobalt in the CeO_{2} structure reduces the lattice parameter, suggesting the incorporation of CoO_{x} by way of Co-O-Ce bonds (Kang et al., 2003; Murgida et al., 2012). It is well known that the oxidation of hydrocarbons promoted by solid oxide catalysts (including cerium oxide catalysts) can proceed via the Mars and van Krevelen mechanism in which the key steps are the supply of oxygen by the reducible oxide, the introduction of the oxygen species from the lattice oxide into the substrate molecule, and the re-oxidation of the reduced solid by the oxygen-containing gaseous phase, the rate-determining step of the reaction (Menez et al., 1993; Todorova et al., 2012). According to Todorova et al. (2009), the oxygen storage capacity of cerium oxide is associated with a fast Ce^{4+}/Ce^{3+} redox process, making more oxygen available for the oxidation process. The oxygen migration on the catalyst surface is important in oxidation reactions, where the oxidation-reduction cycles determine the catalytic activity. Thus, the redox properties of the catalyst play a key role in the process and are an important factor in determining the catalytic performance. The Co_{20}/γ-Al_{2}O_{3}-CeO_{2} catalyst possesses a higher amount of oxygen vacancies and additionally is probable that the large crystal size, good stability, close contact between cobalt and the support particles and higher cobalt surface concentration would favor the catalytic performance of the Co_{20}/γ-Al_{2}O_{3}-CeO_{2} catalytic system. These characteristics were verified by the XRD, N_{2}-physiisorption, O_{2}-chemisorption, TPR, XPS and TEM results. It is interesting to note that in our experiments the γ-Al_{2}O_{3}, CeO_{2} and γ-Al_{2}O_{3}-CeO_{2} supports showed no appreciable catalytic activity in the oxidation of VOCs, as shown in Fig. 8, indicating the effective action of cobalt species and the synergistic effect of the interaction between the cobalt and the aluminum and cerium oxides, which improves the catalytic activity of the cobalt-based catalytic system in the oxidation reactions (Silva et al., 2004; Abbasi et al., 2011; Solsona et al., 2012; Gómez et al., 2012).

Catalytic oxidation can be influenced by the structure of the organic compound. Thus, under the same reaction conditions different levels of activity are expected for different VOCs. It can be observed from Fig. 8 that over the Co_{20}/γ-Al_{2}O_{3}-CeO_{2} catalyst the almost complete conversion (around 96%) of n-hexane was achieved at 350 °C, while the conversions for benzene, toluene and o-xylene at this reaction temperature were approximately 89, 70 and 57%, respectively. Interestingly, it can be observed that n-hexane is oxidized more readily than the aromatic compounds, even though the combustion of alkanes generally does not occur as easily as that of aromatic compounds. In the latter case, when these compounds are associated with methyl groups they are not as easily oxidized as benzene (Wu et al., 2000; Abbasi et al., 2011).

**CONCLUSIONS**

The Co_{10}/γ-Al_{2}O_{3}-CeO_{2} and Co_{20}/γ-Al_{2}O_{3}-CeO_{2} catalysts showed good activity in the oxidation of volatile organic compounds (n-hexane, benzene,
toluene and o-xylene). The conversion level was found to be dependent on the VOC to be oxidized. The enrichment of cobalt on the catalyst surface contributes to higher catalytic activity as observed for the catalyst Co20/γ-Al2O3-CeO2. With an increased cobalt load, a greater number of active sites become available for oxidation reactions, resulting in higher levels of conversion. The presence of ceria in the catalysts can supply oxygen to cobalt, which retains its higher valence state, with an increase in the cobalt loading contributing to higher catalytic activity. The superior performance of the Co20/γ-Al2O3-CeO2 catalyst may also be attributed to its higher amount of oxygen vacancies and stronger contact between the cobalt, cerium and aluminum oxides.
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