OBTAINING FRUCTOOLIGOSACCHARIDES FROM YACON (*Smallanthus sonchifolius*) BY AN ULTRAFILTRATION PROCESS
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Abstract - The objective of this study was to evaluate the separation of fructooligosaccharides (FOS) from yacon extract by an ultrafiltration process using membranes of 10 and 30 kDa. The total resistance ($R_t$), membrane resistance ($R_m$), fouling resistance ($R_f$), and concentration polarization ($R_c$) during the separation process were also assessed. The operating pressures were 1.2 and 0.75 bar for UF-10 and UF-30, respectively. The permeate flux increased upon increasing the pressure from 0.5 to 2 bar and the resistance values showed a slight increase with increasing pressure. The fouling percentages were 61.24% and 57.33% for the membranes UF-10 and UF-30, being reversible after the cleaning procedure with acidic and basic solution, resulting in high percentages of flux recovery of 76.46% and 83.56% for UF-10 and UF-30, respectively. The FOS retention values were 24.48% and 6.49% for both membranes UF-10 and UF-30, corresponding to 24% and 18.4% purity.

Keywords: Yacon; Fructooligosaccharides; Ultrafiltration.

INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, the demand for foods that promote health and well-being is increasingly evident. Thus, food that was considered for many years as a source of nutrients needed to sustain life has become the object of studies related to disease prevention and improved function of organs and tissues.

In this context, yacon roots, which originated from Andean regions, contain from 60 to 70% inulin (Vilhena et al., 2000). The native inulin is a mixture of oligomers and polymers containing long chain molecules of different degrees of polymerization (DP) from 2 to 60 units, with an average DP of about 12; the inulin present in yacon has low DP, between 3 and 10, therefore being considered fructooligosaccharides (FOS) (Goto et al., 1995; Villegas and Costell, 2007).

According to Lewis (1993), FOS are defined as a combination of three sugar molecules: 1-kestose (GF2), nystose (GF3) and frutofuranosyl nystose (GF4) where the units fructosyltransferase (F) are combined with sucrose (GF) at the β position (2 → 1). Compounds such as FOS may be used as sugar substitutes, as they are more soluble and sweeter than native and long-chain inulin (Meyer et al., 2011).

FOS have been designated as prebiotics and soluble fiber, since they pass through the stomach and small intestine without being digested, so they provide no extra calories. However, they are fermented by bacteria, such as bifidobacteria, helping to increase the microflora that is related to improving the health of the colon, affecting the general welfare (Gibson and Roberfroid, 1995). For this reason, it is important to isolate these compounds in order to
incorporate them for human consumption. An alternative is the membrane separation process (MSP) considered to be a clean technology. Ultrafiltration (UF) is a type of MSP considered to be a very attractive alternative method since it does not use heat and involves no phase change, which makes the concentration process more economical, with the advantage of not using chemicals, since only a driving force is required to separate the compounds of interest (Kamada et al., 2002b).

UF membranes have pore diameters varying between 0.01 µM and 0.001 µM, and are capable of retaining and fractionating macromolecules such as lipids, proteins and colloids present in solution whose molecular weight varies from 300 to 500,000 Daltons (Da) (Mulder, 1996). These membranes can retain solutes of different molecular weights, usually being specified by a nominal molecular weight cut-off or MWCO, which establishes the lowest molecular weight that is retained with an efficiency of at least 95% (Modler, 2000).

The use of UF to separate inulin and FOS from yacon has been reported in several studies. Gibertoni et al. (2006) evaluated the production of rich fructans syrup from clarified yacon juice using a ceramic microfiltration membrane with nominal pore size of 0.14 µm, and UF membrane with molecular weight cut off of 50 kDa. Kamada et al. (2002a) evaluated the combination of ultrafiltration and nanofiltration on the purification and concentration of FOS from yacon roots, removing most of the mono-and disaccharides and obtaining a concentrated product with DP of three or more, and 98% purity.

The MSP have some limitations, highlighting the phenomena of concentration polarization and fouling at the membrane surface. The polarization occurs in the first minutes of the process when the solute is retained at the membrane surface, making difficult the solvent permeation and resulting in a permeation flux decline, which is a reversible phenomenon. In contrast, the fouling is characterized by a permeation flux decline resulting from clogging of the membrane pores, which can be an irreversible phenomenon depending on the situation (Wu et al., 1990).

The resistance-in-series model has been used to evaluate fouling in the membrane separation process (Bräjo and Tavares, 2012). These resistances include membrane resistance, an external or reversible fouling, which consists of cake layer deposition and concentration polarization, and irreversible resistance (Bagci, 2014; Rezaei et al., 2014). The latter is due to particle and macromolecule deposition and adsorption of smaller-sized solutes onto the membrane pore walls (Ng et al., 2014; Rezaei et al., 2014).

The aim of this study was to evaluate the separation of FOS from yacon extract using ultrafiltration as a membrane separation process. The parameters of total resistance, membrane resistance, fouling resistance, and concentration polarization during the separation process were also investigated.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Raw Material**

The yacon roots were cleaned and selected considering the absence of visual damage and infections, and peeled and sliced with a mean diameter of 4.55 ± 0.25 mm and a thickness of 1.75 ± 0.35 mm (Scher et al., 2009) and subjected to a blanching treatment for 4 minutes at 100 °C, followed by cooling in an ice bath for 3 minutes (Fante and Noreña, 2012). The juice was extracted using a food processor. The remaining sugars were extracted from the pulp obtained after separation of the juice by addition of water at 80 °C in a 2:1 ratio (weight water/weight pulp), maintaining the mixture at 80 °C ± 2 °C for one hour, according to the methodology proposed by Toneli et al. (2007). The yacon juice and the liquid solution obtained from the pulp were separately filtered under vacuum. The filtrates were mixed, which constituted the yacon extract, to which 1% (w/v) citric acid was added and filtered on Whatman filter paper Nº. 01 to remove suspended solids.

**Membrane Separation Process**

Polyethersulfone UF membranes (Synder Filtration Headquarters, Vacaville, USA) with nominal molecular weight cut off (NMWCO) of 10.000 and 30.000 Daltons were used. UF experiments were performed under the conditions of the configuration shown in Figure 1, which comprises: (1) a feed jacketed glass vessel with a total volume of 2.0 Liters connected to an ultrasonic thermostatic bath (model CE-110/10, CienlaB, Brazil); (2) pneumatic diaphragm pump (model Ingersoll-Rand PD05P-ARS-PAA-B); (3) pre-filter; (4) AISI 316 stainless steel flat membrane module with area of 0.008118 m²; (5) and (6) AISI 316 stainless steel pressure gauges, scale 0-12 bar; (7) pressure valves; (8) bypass valve. Prior to the UF process, the extract passed through a pre-filter with a nominal pore size of 5 µm.

Initially, the new membranes were compacted following the methodology described by Rai et al. (2007), which consists of the densification of the microstructure of the membranes using TMP of 2.5
bar higher than the working pressure. Then the water flux \( (J_p) \) was calculated.

![Figure 1: Schematic representation of the UF process. Legend: (1) feed tank, (2) pump, (3) pre-filter, (4) membrane module, (5) input manometer, (6) manometer output, (7) pressure control valve, (8) bypass valve.]

The hydraulic permeability for the new membrane \( (L_{pi}) \) \( (\text{L.m}^{-2} \text{.h}^{-1} \text{.bar}^{-1}) \) was calculated from the slope of the permeate flux as a function of transmembrane pressure. The process was conducted until the water permeation flux became constant with time, with volume measurements at intervals of 15 minutes, with a total process time of 150 minutes for both membranes.

The separation processes were performed in batch mode with complete recirculation of the retentate to the feed tank and permeate removal, as described by Kamada et al. (2002a). The permeate flux was measured every 15 minutes during the first hour and every 30 minutes in the following hours. The UF process lasted 6 hours for UF-10, and 5 hours and 30 minutes for UF-30. Finally, a cleaning procedure was realized using \( \text{NaOH} \) solution, 0.35 g.L\(^{-1}\), pH 10-10.5; citric acid solution, 5 g.L\(^{-1}\), pH 2.0.

The same procedure used to find \( (L_{pi}) \) was used to calculate the hydraulic permeability after the separation process of the yacon extract \( (L_{pf}) \) and after the cleaning procedures \( (L_{pc}) \).

**Resistance Analysis**

The decline of the permeate flux was analyzed by the resistance-in-series model (de Bruijn et al. 2002; Jiraratatananon and Chanachai, 1996). is the total resistance \( (\text{m}^{-1}) \), which can be expressed in terms of the other resistances according to Equation (1):

\[
R_T = R_m + R_c + R_f
\]

(1)

where \( R_m \) is the resistance of the new membrane with water \( (\text{m}^{-1}) \); \( R_c \) is the resistance of the concentration polarization on the membrane surface \( (\text{m}^{-1}) \); and \( R_f \) is the fouling resistance \( (\text{m}^{-1}) \). These resistances were calculated by using the values of hydraulic permeability, in accordance with the equations described by Cassano et al. (2007):

\[
R_m = \frac{1}{\mu_w L_{pi}}
\]

(2)

\[
R_f = \frac{1}{\mu_w L_{pf}}
\]

(3)

\[
R_m + R_f = \frac{1}{\mu_w L_{pc}}
\]

(4)

where \( \mu_w \) is the water viscosity \( (\text{Pa.s}) \).

The resistance \( R_c \) was calculated from the difference between the other resistances, using Equation (1). \( R_c \) and \( R_f \) are also denominated reversible and irreversible fouling resistances, respectively (Rezaei et al., 2014).

**Membrane Fouling, Cleaning Efficiency Protocol and Membrane Retention**

Both membrane fouling and flux recovery were calculated by Equations (5) and (6) according to Saha et al. (2007) and Liikanen et al. (2002), respectively:

\[
\text{Fouling} = \left( \frac{L_{pf} - L_{pi}}{L_{pi}} \right) \times 100
\]

(5)

\[
\text{Flux recovery} = \left( \frac{J_{pc}}{J_{pi}} \right) \times 100
\]

(6)

where \( J_{pc} \) is the water permeation flux after the cleaning procedure \( (\text{L.m}^{-2} \text{.h}^{-1}) \) and \( J_{pi} \) is the water permeation flux of the new membrane \( (\text{L.m}^{-2} \text{.h}^{-1}) \). Both fluxes were measured at the same pressure.

The observed retention \( (\%) \) was calculated according to Equation (7) as described by Cissé et al. (2011):

\[
R_{obs} = \left[ 1 - \frac{C_p}{C_r} \right] \times 100
\]

(7)
where \( C_p \) is the permeate concentration of a given sugar accumulated in the tank (g.L\(^{-1}\)) and \( C_r \) is the retentate concentration of the same sugar (g.L\(^{-1}\)) at the end of the process. The \( R_{\text{rej}} \) value varies from 100% (complete retention of solute) to 0% (solute and solvent pass freely through the membrane).

**Sugar Assays**

For determination of inulin, glucose and fructose contents, the samples were defrosted, filtered through 0.22 \( \mu \)m membrane and placed in a sonicator for 3 minutes prior to HPLC analysis. Analyses were performed by the method described by Zuleta and Sambucetti (2001) with adaptations, which consisted of direct determination by High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC-RI), using a Perkin Elmer series 200 chromatograph with refractive index detector, Milli-Q water as mobile phase at a flow rate of 0.6 mL/min, temperature of 80 °C, column Phenomenex Rezex RH Monosaccharide, 300 x 7.8 mm, and total run time of 14 minutes. The procedure was performed in duplicate for each sample.

**Statistical Analyses**

Data were assessed by ANOVA and Tukey’s test for multiple comparisons between means, using SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc.).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Sugar Concentration in the Juice, Pulp and Yacon Extract**

The sugar content of yacon juice was 5.42 ± 0.01 g/100 g (dm) for inulin, 4.74 ± 0.01 g/100 g (dm) for glucose, and 11.00 ± 0.03 g/100 g (dm) for fructose. Lago *et al.* (2012) found sugar concentration values of 1.07 ± 0.18, 3.30 ± 0.28 and 2.99 ± 0.18 g/100 g (dm) for inulin, glucose and fructose in yacon juice, and 3.15 ± 0.16, 10.98 ± 0.32 and 4.30 ± 0.57 g/100 g (dm) for inulin, glucose and fructose in the pulp. Nieto (1991) studied 10 yacon species and obtained values of 2.47, 1.63, and 2.51 g/100 g for fructose, glucose and sucrose.

The solution extracted from the pulp presented 1.41 ± 0.00, 2.57 ± 0.09, 3.17 ± 0.02 g/100 g (dm) inulin, glucose and fructose, respectively. The final yacon extract containing yacon juice and pulp leach solution had a final composition of 8.21 ± 0.01, 11.36 ± 0.08, 16.44 ± 0.07 g/100 g (dm) of inulin, glucose and fructose, respectively.

**Ultrafiltration Process**

Initially, the experiments were performed by compaction tests and, after that, measurements of water permeation flux as a function of transmembrane pressure were realized. The permeation flux increased linearly with increasing pressure for both membranes, as shown in Figure 2. The values of hydraulic permeability with water were 12.05 L.m\(^{-2}\).h.bar\(^{-1}\) and 22.45 L.m\(^{-2}\).h.bar\(^{-1}\) for the membranes UF-10 and UF-30. This difference in permeability is due to the increased water flux of the membrane UF-30 as compared to membrane UF-10, whose performance is explained by the larger pore size of the first membrane, since the larger the pore size the higher the flux under the same processing conditions. Recirculation flow was 26.5 L.h\(^{-1}\) and it was the same for all pressure conditions. Saha *et al.* (2007) found that the hydraulic permeability values for a new membrane using a pressure of 1 bar increased from 38 L.m\(^{-2}\).h.bar\(^{-1}\) to 134 L.m\(^{-2}\).h.bar\(^{-1}\) by changing the membrane UF-30 for UF-50. The same effect was observed by Cissé *et al.* (2011) using membranes from 5 to 150 kDa and a pressure of 5 bar.

**Effect of Pressure on Permeate Flux of Yacon Extract**

Figure 3 shows the graphs of \( J_p \) versus operation time for the membranes UF-10 and UF-30 at pressures from 0.5 to 2 bar. According to Rai *et al.* (2007), each curve can be divided into two stages, the first corresponding to a rapid decline of the permeate...
flux, which can be attributed to the effect of the concentration polarization that results in the reduction of the effective driving force (Cheryan, 1998; Reis and Zydnei, 1999). The thickness of the layer also increases with time, thus increasing the resistance to mass transfer, as shown by the decrease in permeate flux (Benhabiles et al., 2013). In addition, the flux decrease was higher with increasing pressure, and varied from 26.6 to 39.6% and 10.2 to 61% as compared to the initial flux of the membranes UF-10 and UF-30, respectively. The second stage is characterized by a less pronounced decrease of the flux until reaching a steady-state. In this condition, in accordance with Arthanareeswaran et al. (2010), the steady-state permeate flux increased with increasing pressure values. Mulder (1996) reported that the concentration polarization influences the retention of the solute during the separation of mixtures containing macromolecular solutes, where the polarization has decisive influence on the selectivity of the process. The particles with higher molecular weight retained by the membrane eventually form a layer on the surface thereof, which retains a larger number of solutes having smaller molecular weights. According to Jiraratananon and Chanachai (1996) and Mondal et al. (2011), an increase in recirculation flow reduced concentration polarization, enhanced the mass transfer coefficient and increased the permeation flux. This way, an increase in tangential velocity means a decline of the relative participation of cake formation in membrane fouling, because the higher tangential velocity removes a considerable part of the deposited cake due to the increased shear (de Bruijn and Bórquez, 2006). On the other hand, when the transmembrane pressure increases and the tangential velocity is maintained constant, as in our case, de Bruijn and Bórquez (2006) mention that both blocking of the pore entrance with or without superposition of solute and blocking by a cake layer are almost constant during UF, with internal pore blocking dominating over cake formation.

Figure 4 shows the effect of TMP on the steady-state permeate flux for membranes UF-10 and UF-30. The permeate flux showed a linear increase at lower TMP pressures, while at higher pressures, the permeate flux values were close to a threshold value \(J_{\text{lim}}\), regardless of further increases in pressure values. The point at which the pressure is clearly independent is considered to be the optimum \(\Delta P\), which is the limiting flux that can minimize both fouling and the tendency to concentration polarization (Baker, 2004). For membrane UF-10, under pressure values above 1.5 bar, the permeate flux of yacon extract was independent of pressure, indicating that the limiting flux was reached, whereas for the membrane UF-30, the limiting flux was reached at a pressure of 1 bar. Similar observations were realized by Rektor et al. (2004) and Cassano et al. (2008).

**Figure 3:** Permeate flow of yacon extract a function of time at 25 °C at different pressures using the UF-10 membrane (A), UF-30 membrane (B), (>) 0.5 bar, (▲) 1 bar, (■) 1.5 bar, (●) 2 bar.

**Figure 4:** Effect of pressure on permeate flow of yacon extract in the steady-state at 25 °C. UF-10 (●), UF-30 (■).
According to Habert et al. (2006), from a practical point of view, any membrane system should be operated at pressures lower than those values that may lead to a limiting flux, in order to minimize the effects of fouling and concentration polarization (Cassano et al., 2008). Following this line, the transmembrane pressure chosen for the UF process was 1.2 bar and 0.75 bar for the membranes UF-10 and UF-30, respectively, since these pressure values provided the most acceptable permeate fluxes. In these conditions, the permeate flux also decreased with time, from 5.9 to 3.4 L.m\(^{-2}\).h\(^{-1}\) and from 6.9 to 4.1 L.m\(^{-2}\).h\(^{-1}\) for UF-10 and UF-30, respectively, due to the boundary-layer phenomenon, where an increased concentration of solute near the membrane surface (concentration polarization) took place. Moreover, the accumulation of macromolecules in the membrane pores (membrane fouling) could also have contributed to decreasing flow.

**Effect of Fouling on Permeate Flux of Yacon Extract**

A major limitation of the membrane separation process is fouling. It may decrease permeate flux, increase energy consumption, and lead to frequent cleaning procedures or replacement of membranes (Liao et al., 2008).

The membrane fouling and concentration polarization are limiting factors for MSP, since there is a decrease in permeate flux with time caused by accumulation of components from the feed solution in the membrane pores and on the surface (Czekaj et al., 2001). Figure 5 shows the water permeate flux before \((J_{pf})\) and after \((J_{pf})\) the ultrafiltration process, and after the cleaning procedures \((J_{pc})\) for the membranes UF-10 and UF-30. From this figure the hydraulic permeabilities with water were calculated. For the membrane UF-10, the values were 12.05, 4.67 and 9.12 L.m\(^{-2}\).h.bar\(^{-1}\), respectively, for the water permeation flow of the new membrane, and after the experiments and cleaning procedures. For the membrane UF-30, these values were 22.45, 9.58 and 18.76 L.m\(^{-2}\).h.bar\(^{-1}\), respectively.

Habert et al. (2006) reported that the hydraulic permeability of the membranes with pure water must be monitored before and after the process to check if there was adequate cleaning and ensure the membrane integrity. A sharp decline in permeability was observed, indicating that the membrane suffered severe changes during the process.

The membrane fouling estimated from the values of hydraulic permeability of the permeate fluxes were 61.24% (UF-10) and 57.33% (UF-30). The main phenomena contributing to fouling include pore blocking, particle adsorption on the membrane surface (cake) and / or inside its pores due to the interaction between the solute in the feed solution and the membrane material, and formation of a gel layer, resulting in high concentrations of solute at the membrane surface (Liao et al., 2004). Hasan et al. (2013) indicated that the phenomenon of pore blocking is assumed to occur during the first moments of filtration and its effects are included in the membrane resistance. Therefore, the consequences of fouling are an increased resistance to membrane separation, decreased efficiency and / or changes in membrane selectivity. This affects the separation performance of the target species, with a consequent low product recovery (Li et al., 2010).

![Figure 5: Permeate flow of water as a function of transmembrane pressure at 25 °C for membranes UF-10, 1.2 bar (A); UF-30, 0.75 bar (B) \((\bullet)\) Permeate flow of water in the new membrane after compaction \((J_{pi})\), \((\bullet)\) Permeate flow of water after the cleaning procedure \((J_{pc})\), \((\bigtriangleup)\) Permeate flow of water after ultrafiltration of the extract of yacon \((J_{pf})\).]
Saha et al. (2007) studied sugarcane juice in a UF system, and obtained fouling values of 52.63% for a 30 kDa membrane, and 42.60% for a 50 kDa membrane. The authors concluded that sugarcane juice contained various macromolecules that caused rapid clogging of pores and increased fouling, with significant flow reduction.

After the cleaning procedure, the flux recoveries were 76.46% and 83.56% for the membranes UF-10 and UF-30, respectively. From these results, it was possible to restore the permeate flux and reuse both membranes in a new process with the yacon extract. Rodrigues et al. (2003) studied banana juice clarification using membranes of 10 and 30 kDa, and reported that, after the cleaning procedure with NaOH solution (pH 10) and 0.8% NaClO solution for 2 hours, a flux recovery of about 95% was observed for the membrane UF-10 and 75% for the UF-30. Souza and Quadri (2013) mentioned that the efficiency of polymeric membranes decreases with time due to chemical degradation, fouling, thermal instability, low fluxes and compaction, as well as the occurrence of swelling phenomena.

**Effect of Operating Conditions on Resistances**

Figure 6 shows the effect of TMP on the total resistance, membrane resistance, fouling resistance, and polarization resistance for the membranes UF-10 and UF-30.

The membrane resistances increased slightly with increasing pressure, with values of $3.25 \times 10^{13} \text{ m}^{-1}$ for the lowest pressure and $3.41 \times 10^{13} \text{ m}^{-1}$ for a pressure of 2 bar using the membrane UF-10, whereas this value increased from $1.69 \times 10^{13}$ to $1.89 \times 10^{13} \text{ m}^{-1}$ for the membrane UF-30. This effect was also observed by Gökmeng and Cetinkaya (2007) using a membrane with molecular weight cut off of 10 kDa for ultrafiltration of apple juice. Although $R_m$ values showed little change when the pressure increased from 1 to 4 bar, changing from $0.83 \times 10^{13}$ to $1.24 \times 10^{13} \text{ m}^{-1}$, they decreased with increasing membrane molecular weight cut off. Wan et al. (2012) found that $R_m$ decreased from $8.40 \times 10^{13}$ m$^{-1}$ using a 1 kDa membrane to $2.47 \times 10^{13} \text{ m}^{-1}$ using a 10 kDa membrane.

The increase in resistance values with increasing pressure can be attributed to the increased convective flow of solute into the membrane with increasing pressure. The increase in $R_f$ leads to a more pronounced concentration polarization, causing an increase in $R_e$ (Li and Chen, 2010). Labbe et al. (1990) reported that, at higher pressures, more solutes such as sugars and acids passed through the membrane pores, increasing fouling resistance $R_f$. Thus, the reversible resistance was the main resistance during filtration. Rezaei et al. (2014) demonstrated that the concentration polarization and cake resistances are much more important for the total resistance than other effects. On the other hand, irreversible resistance is caused by strong adsorption onto the membrane surface and the intensity of this phenomenon depends on the interactions between solute and membrane (Brião and Tavares, 2012).

**Figure 6:** Effect of the pressure on the transmembrane pressure resistance at 25 °C for membranes UF-10, 1.2 bar (A); UF-30, 0.75 bar (B), (●) $R_f$, (■) $R_m$, (▼) $R_m$ (▲) $R_e$.

**Saccharides Retention and Purity**

The UF membranes used in this study were able to retain the FOS according to their pore size. The highest $R_{obs}$ for FOS was reached with the membrane UF-10 (24.48%) as compared to the membrane UF-30 (6.49%). This difference was expected due to differences in the molecular weight cut off. Similar
behavior was observed for glucose (12.95 and 9.11%) and fructose (22.18 and 11.31%). Kuhn et al. (2010) emphasized that the simple sugars and FOS have a molar mass in Daltons smaller than the pore size of the membranes UF-10 and UF-30 (glucose and fructose: 180; sucrose: 342; 1-kestose (GF2) 504, nys- tose (GF3): 666 and frutofuranosilnystose (GF4): 828, FG5: 1080; GF6: 1260; GF7 1440; GF8: 1620; GF9: 1800), which allowed them to pass through the pores and be collected in the permeate.

The degree of the purity of the FOS in the permeate was 24.08% and 18.43% for UF-10 and UF-30, respectively. This degree was obtained from the relationship between FOS and sugar total concentration into the permeate. The degree of purity in the juice and yacon extract was 25.61% and 22.79%, respectively. It can be noticed that the enrichment in FOS versus simple sugars was not as high as desirable. A principal reason is that the membranes have a pore size distribution which does not allow effective separation of FOS from glucose and fructose molecules.

Zhu et al. (2012) reported that the major FOS in yacon are 1-kestose (GF2), nystose (GF3) and 1-fructofuranosyl nystose (GF4) whose content in oligosaccharides were 12.29%, 12.17%, 6.20%, respectively. The molecular weights of these FOS are less than 1 kDa (Kuhn et al., 2010). For this reason it is necessary to include in this process the separation by nanofiltration. Kamada et al. (2002a) used the combination of NF-UF to purify FOS present in a mixture of sugars derived from yacon and observed retention of 54.8% and the retention values of 14.0% for monosaccharides, 46.2% for disaccharides, 80.9% for trisaccharides and from 91.5 to 99.9% for sugars with DP ≥ 4, using a 1 kDa membrane and pressure of 5 bar.

CONCLUSIONS

The effects of pressure on the total resistance, membrane resistance, fouling resistance and polarization resistance were evaluated using polyethersul- fone membrane with nominal molecular weight cut-offs of 10 and 30 kDa. It was observed that the increase in pressure resulted in a slight increase in all resistance values.

It was found that, for both membranes, the reversible resistance was the dominant resistance which reduced the permeate flux, while irreversible fouling resistance gave less of a contribution.

A good restoration of the hydraulic permeability was obtained after the cleaning procedure with acidic and basic solution.
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