Low Prevalence of Vancomycin Resistant Enterococci Colonization in Intensive Care Patients in a Brazilian Teaching Hospital

Antonia T. Tresoldi, Luis Gustavo O. Cardoso, Giane V. Castilho, Sônia R. P. E. Dantas, Angela von Nowakoski, Ricardo M. Pereira and Plínio Trabasso

Vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) are important pathogens involved in nosocomial infections. Colonization precedes infection and the number of colonized individuals is about 10 times higher than the number of infected patients. We examined VRE colonization in two intensive care units from October 2003 to June 2004. Perirectal swab specimens were obtained from all patients, starting on the 5th day after admission, and then weekly. A total of 249 swabs were obtained from 112 patients. Nine patients had VRE-positive swabs, giving a positive rate of 8.0%. The rate of patients colonized by V-R E. faecalis was 1.8% (n=2), 4.5% by V-R E. gallinarum (n=5) and 1.8% by V-R E. casseliflavus (n=2). No V-R E. faecium was isolated. None of the patients that had been colonized by VRE were found to be infected by these pathogens. In summary, a low prevalence of colonization by VRE was found in our institution. Only a structured surveillance program, based on active searching, was able to detect this low number of cases.
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Material and Methods

The Clinical Hospital of the State University of Campinas is a 400-bed, tertiary care, teaching hospital. The medical-surgical intensive care unit (MSICU) for adults comprises 20 beds, and there are 10 beds in the pediatric intensive care unit (PICU). The study was carried out from October 2003 to June 2004 in the PICU and from January to October 2004 in the MSICU. Perirectal swab samples were taken from all patients, starting on the 5th day after admission and then on a weekly basis until discharge or death.

Samples were inoculated into Escluin Bile Agar with vancomycin (6μg/mL) and aerobically incubated for 48h at 35°C; growing colonies were then transferred to a Blood Agar plate and re-incubated for 24h. After that, the identification of the microorganism at the species level and the antibiotic susceptibilities were determined using the Vitek system (BioMérieux-Vitek). The colonies not identified by the Vitek system were submitted to motility and pigment tests. Vancomycin resistance was confirmed using Kirb-Bauer disk diffusion and the E-test.

Demographics (sex, age, length of stay in the ICU), risk factors (mechanical ventilation, central venous catheter, indwelling urinary catheter, antibiotic used) and outcome (discharge or death) data were collected. The data were analyzed using SPSS software (Version 10.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago.).

The institutional Ethics Committee approved the study and written consent was obtained from patients or relatives before enrollment.

Results

Two-hundred-forty-nine samples from 112 patients were obtained, with a mean of 2.2 samples/patient (range: 1 to 12
samples/patient). Demographics and risk factors data are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Demographics and risk factors data. PICU – pediatric intensive care unit; MSICU – medical-surgical intensive care unit for adults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>PICU (n)</th>
<th>MSICU (n)</th>
<th>Total (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median samples/patients</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age</td>
<td>39 months</td>
<td>47 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median length of stay</td>
<td>30 days</td>
<td>19 days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Venous Catheter</td>
<td>38 (90.9%)</td>
<td>60 (93.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical ventilation</td>
<td>43 (91.5%)</td>
<td>65 (100.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indwelling urinary catheter</td>
<td>20 (42.6%)</td>
<td>65 (100.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>12 (25.5%)</td>
<td>34 (52.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During the hospital stay, 38 (90%) of patients in the PICU used antibiotics, cefazolin, amikacin and ampicillin being the most frequently-used drugs, in decreasing order. In the MSICU, 65 (98%) patients used antibiotics, the most frequently used being cefepime, vancomycin and imipenem.

The results of cultures for VRE are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Results of the cultures. PICU – pediatric intensive care unit; MSICU – medical-surgical intensive care unit for adults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultures</th>
<th>PICU (n)</th>
<th>MSICU (n)</th>
<th>Total (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negatives</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-R E. faecalis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(MIC &gt; 32μg/mL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-R E. gallinarum</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(MIC 8μg/mL)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-R E. casseliflavus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V-R E.: Vancomycin resistant Enterococcus.

*3 strains with minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) >32μg/mL, 1 strain with MIC = 16μg/mL and 1 strain with MIC = 8μg/mL.

No V-R E. faecium was isolated in our study. The rate of patients colonized by VRE was 8.0%; the rate of patients colonized by V-R E. faecalis was 1.8%; V-R E. gallinarum accounted for 4.5% and V-R E. casseliflavus 1.8%. There was no discordance among the results of different samples from the same patient; in patients with two or more positive cultures, the same microorganism was found in all of them.

Only two patients were found to be colonized by V-R E. faecalis. The first was an infant with fulminant hepatitis, who had already stayed for a month in another hospital and was transferred to our institution for a liver transplantation; unfortunately he died on the 5th day after admission. The second patient was a woman with acute intermittent porphyria, who stayed in the MSICU for more than three months; during her hospitalization, she used various antibiotics (these being cefepime, vancomycin, amphotericin B, and piperacillin + tazobactam). VRE was identified during the last six weeks of her stay in the MSICU, and the patient was treated in a way to avoid contact contamination, ever since the first documented positive culture. Neither of these colonized patients were found to be infected by VRE. The V-R E. faecalis of these two patients was susceptible to ampicillin and resistant to teicoplanin.

Screening of contacts of these patients colonized by V-R E. faecalis did not demonstrate cross transmission or secondary cases.

Discussion

We found a low incidence of V-R E. faecium and E. faecalis in the Clinical Hospital of the State University of Campinas. Furthermore, it is possible that one of these patients was already contaminate with VRE when he was transferred from another hospital. In the second case, with a long period of stay after colonization, we consider that the contact precautions were effective in order to prevent dissemination in the MSICU. The absence of cross-contamination could also have contributed to the low incidence of colonization, especially in the MSICU. Studies have shown that cross-contamination is the main mechanism of transmission of VRE in hospitals [18,19], and that, since this pathogen is introduced in the environment, the tendency is that it becomes endemic if effective measures for control are not taken [20]. Active surveillance has an important role in order to identify both patients-at-risk and carriers of VRE, and it is necessary to take precautions to avoid contamination by contact. Various studies have shown that the incidence of colonized individuals is about 10 times higher than the frequency of infected patients, who would be more dangerous for cross-contamination [21]. Although an analysis of risk factors for colonization could not be performed because of the small number of cases, we note that even though there were differences in the use of antibiotics in the two ICUs, especially vancomycin, there were similar frequencies of colonization. Our study population presented almost all of the risk factors related to colonization by VRE described in other studies. Such low incidences of colonization had already been described in other tertiary hospitals, including hospitals in Brazil [8].

We identified seven cases of VRE involving species that are considered to have low pathogenicity for humans, including E. casseliflavus (n=2) and E. gallinarum (n=5). The high prevalence of these resistant species, in comparison to E. faecalis and E. faecium, had already been described by other authors [8,22,23]. Recently E. gallinarum and E. casseliflavus have been found to be associated with invasive infections, including bacteremia and infection of the central nervous system [24-27]. However, these types of infections, especially the bacteremias, are associated with a low risk of mortality [28].

In summary, we found a low prevalence of colonization by VRE in our hospital. Only a structured surveillance program, based on active search, was able to detect the very few cases; this type of investigation should be carried out routinely in all units where there are patients—at risk. Infection-control
practitioners must be on alert for the occurrence of nosocomial infections caused by *E. gallinarum* or *E. casseliflavus* resistant to vancomycin, since these bacteria have been identified in hospital patients.
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