Urinary Tract Infection in Non-Hospitalized Patients With Cirrhosis and No Symptoms of Urinary Tract Infection: A Case Series Study
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Bacterial infections are important factors in decompensation, and they increase the mortality rate of patients with liver cirrhosis. The most common infections among these patients are spontaneous bacterial peritonitis, pneumonia, skin infections and urinary tract infections (UTI). This transversal study evaluated the frequency of UTI in non-hospitalized patients with cirrhosis followed in a hepatology outpatient unit. Patients with clinical, laboratorial, echographic and/or histological diagnosis of cirrhosis were evaluated from April 2002 to August 2004. Patients who accepted participating in this study were submitted to clinical evaluation and the following laboratorial examinations: urine analysis, urine culture, blood culture and hepatic function tests. Patients with symptoms of UTI, diabetes, prostatic disease were excluded. Eighty-two patients with cirrhosis were studied. Their mean age was 51 years (SD = 11); 73% were male. Hepatitis C virus was the main etiology in 45% of the cases. The Child-Pugh B functional class was observed in 52% of the cases. Urine cultures were positive in 49% of these patients. In this study of non-hospitalized cirrhotic patients, with no symptoms of UTI, the frequency of urinary tract infection was approximately 5%. The bacteria found were *E. coli* and *Klebsiella pneumonia*. We conclude that it is necessary to screen for UTI in such patients.
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Data on UTI frequency in non-hospitalized patients and the impact of this condition on this subgroup of patients is scarce. Published studies only evaluated patients hospitalized due to bacterial infections or those who developed this complication during the hospitalization period [17,18]. We decided to examine the frequency and the clinical signs of urinary infection in non-hospitalized cirrhotic patients.

**Material and Methods**

Cirrhotic patients were selected among individuals who were being evaluated for liver transplantation from April 2002 to November 2004. The inclusion criteria were: no symptoms associated with UTI, no diabetes mellitus, no prostatic disease, no urinary liatis, no anatomic alterations of urinary tract, no kidney graft, no immunosuppressive therapy during the previous six months, no hospitalization during the previous six months and no use of antibiotics the last two weeks before screening. A descriptive and observational transversal study was performed.

The data collection was performed through a structured questionnaire. Patients were submitted to laboratorial evaluation, including a biochemical analysis of the renal and hepatic functions, urine analysis, urine culture and blood culture.

Diagnosis of cirrhosis was based on clinical, biochemical, echographic, and hepatic biopsy data, using the Child-Pugh classification in order to determine the stage of the disease [19].

Urinary infection diagnosis was made based on laboratory data (> 10 leukocytes/mm³ in urine and/or positive uroculture).
The finding of numerous bacteria in the urine with no symptoms was considered asymptomatic bacteriuria [20]. A bacteremia diagnosis was considered when the patient had a positive blood culture, regardless of leukocytosis in the peripheral blood. Shortly after consultation, appropriate collectors were provided and after cleaning the external genital organs, the intermediate flush was collected for sedimetroscopy and culture.

Blood culture was performed using the HEMOBAC culture medium (Probac, São Paulo, Brazil). After being incubated at 35-37ºC, the flask was examined for growth and colonies identified. Urine culture was performed using commercial kits from Bio-Merrieux (Lyon, France). The statistical program Statistical Package for the Social Sciences was used (SPSS Chicago – IL version 9.0, 1998) was used for analyses. This research was approved by the ethics committee of our institution. The patients were included in the study after agreeing with and signing the informed consent form.

Results

Eighty-two patients were selected from among 189 cirrhotic patients evaluated in our outpatient unit. The clinical characteristics of these patients are presented in Table 1. Male gender was observed in 73% (60) of the sample. The age ranged from 24 to 79 years, with a mean age of 50.7 (standard deviation (SD) = 10.9). A predominance of hepatitis C virus was observed in the cirrhosis etiology, accounting for 45% (n=37) of the cases, followed by alcoholic etiology in 28% (n=23) and hepatitis B virus in 15% (n=12).

Table 2 shows relevant clinical aspects associated with cirrhosis of the patients; 42% (n=34) had a history of upper digestive hemorrhage (UDH) and 2.4% (n=2) had been affected by lower digestive hemorrhage (LDH).

Ascites was found in 65% (n=53) of the cases. In 8.5% (n=7) cases, SBP was reported in the medical file. A past of hepatic encephalopathy was reported in 23% (n=19).

The laboratory data for the patients and their division into groups with and without UTI are given in Table 3. When we grouped the patients according to Child-Pugh criteria (Table 4), there was a predominance of patients in group B 52% (n=43); we also found 35.4% (n=29) in group A and 12% (n=10) in group C (Table 4).

UTI was diagnosed by urine culture in four (4.9%) patients. In all four cases, urine analysis showed increased leukocyte counts. No gender differences were observed for UTI frequency (two male patients and two female patients). The mean age was 56.2 ± 15.1 years. One patient presented hepatitis B virus, another patient had no defined etiological diagnosis and two patients presented alcoholic etiology for cirrhosis (Table 1). Among the patients who had UTI, one presented upper digestive bleeding in the past, three patients presented ascites and one presented a past of hepatic encephalopathy (Table 2). All of them reported at least one complication of liver cirrhosis.

_Escherichia coli_ was found in three patients and _Klebsiella pneumonia_ in one patient. After urinary tract infection was identified, the patient received adequate orientation for treatment or was hospitalized and treated.

Discussion

The frequency of asymptomatic bacteriuria in non-hospitalized patients with cirrhosis and no predisposing condition for UTI was 4.9%. All patients with UTI reported complications in the past. All patients presented positive uroculture and leukocyturia in the urine analysis. This frequency of UTI cannot be considered low. Taking into consideration the severe exclusion and inclusion criteria and the fact that only non-hospitalized patients with no urinary symptoms were selected, 4.9% UTI prevalence points to a need for systematically screening urine analysis in such patients.

There have been few published studies on urinary tract infections in non-hospitalized patients with cirrhosis followed in outpatient units. These studies were made on decompensated patients who needed hospitalization or on patients who acquired their UTI during the hospital internment period [4, 8, 9, 12, 20]. In some papers, information on patients was not reported, and important details, such as death rates and associated diseases (diabetes mellitus, renal dysfunctions) were neglected.

Among nearly all studies, the frequency of UTI ranged from 2.1% (3/140) to 33.6% (111/330); in a single study [21] a frequency of 68% (68/100) was found, probably due to the large proportion of women. _Escherichia coli_ was common in the urine culture of our patients; this finding was similar to findings of other authors, despite the low number of patients with UTI in our study.

In conclusion, we found approximately 5% UTI among non-hospitalized cirrhotic patients with no urinary symptoms and/or predisposing conditions to UTI. Other studies of infections in cirrhotic patients have been made [22], but our study points to the clinical importance of this particular topic. Further studies would be useful to evaluate clinical aspects associated with susceptibility to this type of infection.
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Table 1. Demographic characteristics and etiology of cirrhosis among outpatients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>With UTI (%)</th>
<th>Without UTI (%)</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>58 (74.4)</td>
<td>2 (50.0)</td>
<td>60 (73.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>20 (25.6)</td>
<td>2 (50.0)</td>
<td>22 (26.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>50.4±10.71</td>
<td>56.2±15.15</td>
<td>50.7±10.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Etiology</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>21 (26.9)</td>
<td>2 (50.0)</td>
<td>23 (28.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virus B</td>
<td>11 (14.1)</td>
<td>1 (25.0)</td>
<td>12 (14.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virus C</td>
<td>37 (47.4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>37 (45.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>9 (11.5)</td>
<td>1 (25.0)</td>
<td>10 (12.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Clinical aspects associated with cirrhosis among outpatients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Without UTI n (%)</th>
<th>With UTI n (%)</th>
<th>Total n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>UDH in the past</strong></td>
<td>33 (42.3)</td>
<td>1 (25.0)</td>
<td>34 (41.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LDH in the past</strong></td>
<td>2 (2.6)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ascites</strong></td>
<td>50 (64.1)</td>
<td>3 (75.0)</td>
<td>53 (64.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPB in the past</strong></td>
<td>7 (9.0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7 (8.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HE in the past</strong></td>
<td>18 (23.1)</td>
<td>1 (25.0)</td>
<td>19 (23.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UDH – upper digestive hemorrhage; LDH – low digestive hemorrhage; SPB – spontaneous bacterial infection; HE – hepatic encephalopathy.

Table 3. Clinical aspects associated with cirrhosis among outpatients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Without UTI</th>
<th>With UTI</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total protein</strong></td>
<td>7.19±0.68</td>
<td>6.5±0.52</td>
<td>7.16±0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Albumin</strong></td>
<td>3.45±0.64</td>
<td>3.3±0.78</td>
<td>3.46±0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prothrombin time</strong></td>
<td>63.58±17.09</td>
<td>65.5±15.67</td>
<td>63.67±16.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total bilirubin</strong></td>
<td>2.0±1.5</td>
<td>1.72±1.2</td>
<td>1.99±1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conjugated bilirubin</strong></td>
<td>0.89±0.14</td>
<td>0.74±0.49</td>
<td>0.88±0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blood glucose level</strong></td>
<td>87.2±11.04</td>
<td>83.25±3.86</td>
<td>87.2±10.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Classification of cirrhosis in outpatients according to Child-Pugh criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Without UTI n (%)</th>
<th>With UTI n (%)</th>
<th>Total n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>28 (35.9)</td>
<td>1 (25.0)</td>
<td>29 (35.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>41 (52.6)</td>
<td>2 (50.0)</td>
<td>43 (52.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>9 (11.5)</td>
<td>1 (25.0)</td>
<td>10 (12.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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