Trypanosoma cruzi, the causal agent of Chagas’ Disease, is a widely spread protozoa in America. Blood transfusion is the secondly most important way of acquiring the infection. In blood banks, tests are performed to eliminate potentially infected blood. This study aimed to evaluate the positivity for \( T. \) \textit{cruzi} in blood samples of donor’s candidates in Southern Brazil. The study was based on a sampling containing all blood donors of Hemopel – a Pelotas City Blood Center, Rio Grande do Sul State, Brazil, from 2004 to 2005. Serological study was performed using ELISA Chagatest. Sampling containing values ± 20% cut off were evaluated using ELISA Chagatest, ELISA Alka/Adaltis, IHA Chagatest and IIF Imunocruzi. TESA-Blot was used as a confirmatory procedure in situations where blood samples showed conflicting results. From 4,482 samples collected in 2004 and 2005, the reactivity for anti-\( T. \) \textit{cruzi} was 0.96% (43). Among those, 21 cases (0.47%) were confirmed as positive – most of them were female, with low school level and averaging 47.2% years old. Interestingly, the blood donors are not aware of being contaminated and this fact makes it difficult for controlling the disease. Chagas’ Disease was one of the main reasons for discarding blood bags through serological control in Southern Brazil. Sampling reactivity showed variation among the different techniques used for anti-\( T. \) \textit{cruzi} research. In order to obtaining more secure and conclusive results, more than one diagnostic technique must be used.
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The blood transfusion became a significant way of \textit{Trypanosoma cruzi} transmission with insect controlling systems, increased rural exodus and hemotherapy intensiveness, particularly in urban area.

The risks for transmission of Chagas’ Disease through blood transfusion become evident when blood donators files are reviewed for infection with \( T. \) \textit{cruzi}. A total of 1.09% discharge of blood bags due to \( T. \) \textit{cruzi} infection was observed in hemotherapy centers in Brazil [1]. In the Ribeirao Preto City Hemotherapy Regional Center (in Sao Paulo State), 1.1% of all donators were positive for Chagas’ Disease, from 1996 to 2001 [2]. Similar result was found in Rio de Janeiro City (1.17%) [3]. Lower results were observed in Porto Alegre City, 0.32% and 0.57%, [4,5] and in São Paulo City Blood Center (0.14%) [6]. Chagas’ Disease prevalence was also observed in other American countries, such as Bolivia (14.79%), Chile (1.2%), Colombia (1.2%), Ecuador (0.2%), Nicaragua (0.24%), Paraguay (4.5%), Venezuela (1.32%), Peru (1.32%) [7], Mexico (1%) [8] and the USA (Los Angeles, 1.1%) [9].

Brazilian blood centers have been keeping a constant controlling of blood processing and quality. As a consequence, the levels of Chagas’ Disease transmitted via blood contamination are decreasing [10]. Registers of blood transferring infection are still obtained though. Therefore, the knowledge of infection cases through blood transfusion and the chances for its occurrence justify the investigation of Chagas’ Disease in blood centers. This paper aimed to study serum positivity for \( T. \) \textit{cruzi} among blood donors in Southern Brazil, Rio Grande do Sul State.

Material and Methods

All blood donors of Pelotas City Blood Center (Hemopel), Rio Grande do Sul State, Brazil, from January 2004 to December 2005, composed the sampling. This institution receives blood from donors who live at cities on urban or rural areas of south of Rio Grande do Sul state. The donator candidates were physically evaluated and interviewed. In order to investigate the chances for \( T. \) \textit{cruzi} infection, a standard set of questions, following the Brazilian legislation, was utilized. A routine serological searching for research of antibodies anti \( T. \) \textit{cruzi} was conducted in Hemopel through ELISA (Enzyme Linked Immuno Sorbent Assay) test, using CHAGATEST\textsuperscript{®} (Wiener lab, Argentina) kit which posses a specific methodology. Samples higher than the cut off point and within the lower 20% were repeated. In the cases where reactivity was permanent, a new sampling from the same donor was conducted and analyzed in duplicate using the same methodology. In order to classify the subject as positive or negative for Chagas’ Disease, blood samples showing reactivity where processed using four other serological techniques, all of them containing specific methodology: ELISA CHAGATEK\textsuperscript{®} (Biolab-Mérieux, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil), ELISA ALKA/Adaltis, IHA – Indirect Hemaglutination (CHAGATEST HAI\textsuperscript{®} – Screening A-V - Wiener Lab, Argentina) and IIF – Indirect Immune Fluorescence (IMUNOCRUIZI\textsuperscript{®} – Biolab-Mérieux, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil). TESA-Blot [11] was performing in all samples with initially reactivity, used as a confirmatory method. All data set related to blood donors candidates and to positive donators for Chagas’ Disease came from Hemopel profiles. To situate Chagas’ Disease in the context of serological triage in Hemopel, discharge bags were studied through serological blockage in relation to other infectious agents.
Results

Four thousand four hundred and eighty-two blood collecting were conducted in Hemopel during two years period, and 0.96% (43/4,482) where reactive for T. cruzi during the initial triage. In this period, Chagas’ Disease was the second reason for blood bags discharging. The first reason was anti-HBe – antibodies against antigens of core of B hepatitis virus (86/4,482; 1.92%) followed by anti-HCV – antibodies against antigens of C hepatitis virus (38/4,482; 0.85%), HBsAg – antigen of surface of B hepatitis virus (26/4,482; 0.58%), syphilis (24/4,482; 0.54%), anti-HTLV I/II – antibodies against humane T-lymphotropics virus (21/4,482; 0.47%) and anti-HIV – antibodies against humane immune deficiency virus (14/4,482; 0.31%).

TESA-blot, used as reference test for confirmation of T. cruzi infection, showed positivity in 21 samples, representing 0.47% (21/4,482) of all donations in Hemopel in 2004-2005. Samples considered positive through TESA-Blot test recognized bands of molecular weights of 150-160 kDa and 120-210 kDa.

In relation to the final result, the analysis of intensity of optical density in samples obtained in the initial triage (ELISA Chagatest) showed that from 18 samples with low initial reactivity (DO < 0.5), 3 were positive and 15 were negative for T. cruzi; from 11 samples with intermediate initial reactivity (DO 0.5-1.5) only 5 confirmed positive; and from 14 samples with high reactivity (DO > 1.5) only 1 was not positive (Figure 1).

Sampling reactivity was not uniform among the different techniques used. Of the 43 samples analyzed, 39.5% presented co-positivity between all the techniques used. The agreement between the results of the reference test TESA-blot and others techniques presents the following results: ELISA Chagatest 49% (22 false positives), ELISA Chagatek 95% (1 false positive and 1 false negative), ELISA Adaltis 88% (4 false positives and 1 false negative), IHA 95% (2 false negatives) and IIF 88% (1 false positive and 4 false negatives).

Figure 1. Distribution of optical density (450 nm) from 43 samples reactive to ELISA-Chagatest, being 21 positive (closed) and 22 negative (open) by TESA-blot assay. The dotted line represents the cut off point of ELISA Chagatest.

Discussion

Chagas’ Disease was the secondly reason for blood bag discharging in Hemopel during 2004-2005 period. However, in most of the hemotherapy centers, Chagas’ Disease places an intermediate position (3rd, 4th or 5th) among the studied serologic parameters in blood centers. Anti-HBc is the most prevalent [4,5,12,13].

Comparing the positivity for Chagas’ Disease in blood donators at Brazil, our results (0.47%) were similar to the ones observed in Bahia State, (0.35%) [12] and superior to the ones found in Ribeirao Preto, Sao Paulo State, (0.14%) [13]. Nevertheless, in blood donators of Nova Iguacu City, Rio de Janeiro State, and Londrina City, Parana State, the prevalence of T. cruzi infections was superior. 1.2 and 1.3%, respectively [3,14]. The number of discharged blood bags for reactivity for T. cruzi (43) was superior to the number of positive donators for Chagas’ Disease (21). In routine observed in blood centers is increased the inferior gray zone, to have more sensitivity, but that elevates the number of false positive cases.

About the profile of positive individuals for Chagas’ Disease, the average mean of positive donors observed in the present study was 47.2% years old, similar to the studies conducted on Brazil [3,15,16], with cases observed in young individuals, and the origin of positive donors was coincident to the regions considered endemic [17]. The positive candidates showed a lack of knowledge of having been infected and that may difficult the control of Chagas’ Disease.

Starting from the absorbance value obtained in initial reactivity in relation to the final result, absorbance values higher than the cut off suggest a positive result. The opposite is true with results close to cut off. The results obtained show this tendency. However, opposite situations also was found. Results with low absorbencies have been confirmed as positives, and negative results in a sample with a high optical density value have also been observed. It is important to consider special factors and situations, such as the beginning of an infection and the proper treatment, which ones could cause low readings, or crossing reactions and analytical contaminations, that may lead to increasing of absorbance values.
The different results obtained among techniques were also described in the triage conducted in blood donors [8,18]. Those differences might be related to biological variables like lipemic, icteric or hemolysed samples; crossing reactions or individuals undergoing treatment. The extract quality and purity, cepa origin and parasite form used in antigen preparation may also be able to interfere with the final results [19-24]. Additionally, antigen fixation in plate, conjugate concentration and quality, and other reactives must be considered. During the execution process, other variables such as reagent quality and preparation, washing and possible contaminations can also affect the final results.

Antigenic variability observed among *T. cruzi* cepas gives strength to the preoccupation with quality of serological triage for Chagas’ Disease. If one does not take into account cost, reorganization of blood center routine and preoccupation with other infectious agents, many studies defend the use of more than one technique for a safe serological triage in blood centers [18,25-28].

In conclusion, there are positive individuals for *T. cruzi* among blood donors of Hemopel and they do not know about the infection. There are also discussing issues and false negatives results between the used techniques on the serological triage of blood donors. Therefore, more than one diagnostic technique must be applied for a conclusive and safe result, especially on endemic regions.
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