Onset of Opportunistic Infections in Patients Co-Infected by HTLV-1 and HIV-1, With High CD4+ Cells Count
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We reported two cases of patients with coinfection by human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) type 1 and human T-cell lymphotropic virus (HTLV) type I who developed opportunistic infections despite of relatively high CD4+ cell count. These cases showed clinical evidence to consider an earlier antiretroviral treatment for coinfected patients regardless CD4+ cells counts.
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Human T-cell lymphotropic virus type I (HTLV-I) is associated with adult T-cell leukemia/lymphoma (ATL), HTLV-I-associated myelopathy (HAM/TSP), and typical dermatological and immunological abnormalities. Seroprevalence studies in the USA, Europe and developing countries have demonstrated a high frequency (5%-15%) of HTLV-I coinfection among HIV-1 infected patients [1-3]. These rates are, at least, 100 to 500 higher than that found in general population [1,2]. In Salvador, Bahia (a Northeast Brazilian state), it reaches up to 20% [2,3].

The interaction between HTLV-I and HIV-1 has generated substantial interest because several laboratory and epidemiologic studies suggested that HTLV infection accelerates the clinical progression of HIV infection. However, the impact of such coinfection on HIV disease is still a controversial subject.

On the other hand, it has been related that HIV-1 could increase the risk or accelerate the expression of HTLV-I related diseases in coinfected individuals, probably due to an increased proviral load. However, other studies have shown that immunosuppression per se should be related to expression of such conditions without a rise in HTLV viral load [5-7].

One clear impact of coinfection is on CD4+ cells count: some previous evidence showed that simultaneous infection by these two agents leads to an increase in CD4+ cells count, although without an evident benefit on immune response. This fact can mislead physicians in terms of definition of the right moment to start antiretroviral therapy and/or prophylaxis against opportunistic infections (OI).

Herein, we report two cases of patients with HIV-1/HTLV-I coinfection, who developed OI, despite of a high TCD4+ cells count.

Case Report 1

A 39-year-old black woman, was admitted to the Infectious Disease Clinic at Federal University of Bahia Hospital in January 1999. She had a history of unprotected sexual relations with an intravenous drug abuser. Her main complaints at admission were lower extremities weakness for 3 years with progressive gait disability and urinary incontinence. She also complained about pruritus associated to disseminated skin lesions for four months. Neurological exam revealed ataxia, hyporeflexia, and bilateral Babinski's sign. Diagnostic suspicions were HAM/TSP and Norwegian scabies. Western blot confirmed infections by HIV-1 and HTLV-I. Her first HIV viral load was 35000 copies/mm³, and TCD4+ cells count was 1,517 cells/mm³.

The skin lesions were successfully treated with Monosulphiram and Ivermectin. Two months later she presented to clinic complaining of dry cough. A chest radiography showed a bilateral peribronchial thickness with hilar nodules. The culture of the bronchoalveolar lavage was positive for Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Therefore, treatment for tuberculosis was initiated with isoniazid, rifampin and pirazinamide.

During the following two years, the lower extremities weakness and gait disability were persistent and progressive. The urinary incontinence remained as well, including an episode of urinary retention complicated by acute renal failure. In March 2001, she presented to the clinic presenting with lethargy, fever, dyspnea and productive cough. A chest radiography was performed and showed bilateral basal infiltrate. The acid-fast bacilli smear from sputum was negative. Then, Pneumocystis jiroveci pneumonia was suspected. It was introduced sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim, with further complete relief of the symptoms. Her CD4+ cells count at that time was 797 cells/mm³. She received a Zidovudine plus lamivudine and Efavirenz regimen. After three months the patient complained only about neurological symptoms and had an undetectable viral load.

Case Report 2

A 58-year-old, asymptomatic white woman, with a diagnosis of HIV infection since 1991, was admitted in the Infectious Disease Outpatient Clinic in October 1994. Her husband had died one year earlier with liver failure due to HCV infection. He was diagnosed as having AIDS, likely acquired through intravenous drug abuse.
Hypertension was the only significant data in the past medical history. On the physical exam, she had liver enlargement (palpable 4 cm below of costal margin) and generalized tendinous hypereflexia. She tested positive for HCV and HTLV-I.

She started antiretrovirals in 1999 (Zidovudine + Lamivudine + Indinavir). Her CD4+ cells count at that time was 465. After 1 year, Indinavir was replaced by Nevirapine, due to nausea and vomiting. Her viral load became undetectable since the beginning of treatment.

In December 2005, she was included in the SMART study [8] and randomized to the drug interruption arm. Five months later she presented to the clinic complaining of oral lesions and the antiretroviral therapy was resumed with subsequent restoration of TCD4 count (last count was 698 cells/mm3). At that time, the TCD4 cell count had decreased from 928 to 521 cells/µL and viral load had increased from undetectable levels to 370,000 copies/mL.

The candidiasis was successfully treated with Fluconazole and the antiretroviral therapy was resumed with subsequent control of viremia (less than 50 copies HIV-1 RNA/mL) and further restoration of TCD4 count (last count was 698 cells/mm3).

Discussion

These two cases demonstrate that coinfection can alter the predictive value of CD4+ cells count as a marker of immune response in patients harboring HIV and HTLV-1.

The clinical impact of HTLV-I/HIV-1 coinfection is uncertain. Evidence that HIV-1 and HTLV-I dual infections may generate unique immune phenotypes and altered HIV-1 disease progression is accumulating [1,2]. Several laboratory and epidemiologic studies suggested that HTLV-I infection increase the cytopathic effects of HIV infection, increase the HIV viral load and accelerate the AIDS clinical progression among coinfected individuals [2,4]. However, other studies do not confirm this hypothesis [1,5,9,10]. We have shown that HTLV-I coinfection is associated with a shorter survival time for HIV-infected patients [7].

Both reported patients developed AIDS defining illness despite of high TCD4 cell count. Another finding that indicates immunodeficiency in the first patient, in spite of her relatively high TCD4 count, was the Norwegian scabies. It has been shown that Norwegian scabies is associated with HTLV I/II infection and the coinfection by HIV-1 seems to be associated with a deeper degree of immunodeficency, which increases the risk of developing severe forms of scabies and death [7].

In addition, this patient was found to have tuberculosis. A recent Brazilian case-control study [11] has shown that HTLV-1 infected individuals are three times more likely to develop tuberculosis than HTLV-1 negative individuals. The authors recommend that patients with HTLV-1 infection should be screened for tuberculosis and those with tuberculosis be screened for HTLV-1 in areas of high prevalence, as northeast of Brazil. Pedral-Sampaio et al, also demonstrated that coinfection increases the mortality rates due to tuberculosis, compared to HIV and HTLV-1 singly-infected patients [12]. Another recent report demonstrated that HTLV-1 alone was not enough to increase the risk of tuberculosis (OR=2.41; 95% CI 1.26-4.61, p=0.008) in a highly endemic country (Guinea Bissau), but HTLV-1 increased such risk among HIV-infected patients [13].

HTLV-I monoinfected patients tend to have higher CD4+ lymphocyte counts than HTLV-I negative controls, possibly due to increased spontaneous proliferation of those cells [1,2]. Probably, a substantial portion of such TCD4 cells population nonspecifically stimulated is dysfunctional, and they do not contribute for an immune response against HIV-1 [1,2,5]. However, it is uncertain how coinfection with HTLV-I affects CD4+ lymphocyte counts among individuals with HIV infection. [2] This issue is of substantial clinical interest because many clinical decisions in HIV infection are based on the CD4+ lymphocyte count [2].

Studies indicate that there is a dissociation between CD4+ lymphocyte count and HIV clinical stage, among HIV/HTLV coinfected patients. In Brazil, it was shown that such individuals have higher CD4+ lymphocyte counts and more advanced clinical disease, in comparison with those singly infected. [2] The higher CD4+ lymphocyte counts associated with coinfection do not seem to provide immunologic benefit, because coinfection is usually associated with both higher CD4+ counts and more advanced clinical disease [2,6]. Dissociation between CD4 count and plasma viral load can also be seen among HIV-infected patients, but usually the CD4 levels reflect the patient’s immune status, in contrast with that observed for coinfected individuals.

The results of some studies suggest that the CD4+ count cut off values used to define clinical decisions in HIV infection may not be appropriate in coinfection. It is not known whether the current CD4+ lymphocyte criteria for the initiation of antiretroviral therapy and chemoprophylaxis against opportunistic infections in patients with HIV infection are appropriate for such population [2,5]. A previous study conducted in Bahia provided evidence to suggest that clinicians delay the introduction of antiretroviral therapy for coinfected patients, probably because they are misguided by the artificially high CD4 counts presented by such patients [14].

After starting the antiretroviral treatment in the first patient, her HIV viral load underwent a gradual reduction until undetectable levels. At the same time, TCD4+ cells count had a slightly decline, but remain at normal levels. Despite the relatively high TCD4 count, the patient developed Pneumocystis jiroveci pneumonia, an AIDS-defining illness. The second patient underwent a structured treatment interruption which caused a TCD4 cell count decline and viral load increase. Despite the TCD4 remained relatively high, she developed esophageal candidiasis some months later, also an AIDS-defining illness. Therefore, the high CD4+ cells count associated with HTLV-I coinfection was not enough to protect patients against the onset of OIs.
These cases presented clinical evidence to consider treating coinfected patients regardless CD4+ cells counts, when any symptoms are present. Additional studies on the cut off point for this marker are warranted.
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