Low Incidence of Colonization and No Cases of Disseminated *Mycobacterium avium* Complex Infection (DMAC) in Brazilian AIDS Patients in the HAART Era
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Objective. Evaluate the incidence of mycobacterial disease and the colonization of the respiratory and gastrointestinal tracts by *Mycobacterium avium* complex (MAC) bacteria in AIDS patients.

Methods. Inclusion criteria: HIV-positive individuals with at least one CD4⁺ count < 100 cells/mm³. Exclusion criteria: Mycobacterial disease and MAC prophylaxis. Stool, sputum, and blood cultures were prospectively obtained every month from September, 1997, to December, 1999. The incidence was calculated using Poisson regression. Survival was estimated by the Kaplan Meier method and the Cox proportional hazard model. Results. We followed-up 79 patients during a median period of 428 days. Blood cultures (n = 742) were negative for all mycobacteria. Positive cultures (25 samples) were obtained from non-sterile sites: Stools (19/703 specimens = 2.7%) and sputum (14/742 specimens = 1.9%). MAC was isolated in 7/703 stool samples (1%) and 1/32 sputum specimens (0.1%). The incidence of patient colonization with MAC was 0.09/year (CI=0.05–0.18). CD4 counts in patients colonized with MAC were below 100 cells/mm³ in only 2 out of 8 cases. Restoration of CD4⁺ T cell counts >100 cells/mm³ (HR = 0.18; CI = 0.05–0.70) predicted a lower risk of death (P<0.05) but was not protective for MAC colonization (HR=0.52;CI =0.62–4.35, P=0.55). Conclusion. The absence of DMAC infection in colonized individuals argues in favor of a HAART protective effect against; DMAC; however, restoration of CD4 counts did not protect patients against MAC colonization.
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Disseminate *Mycobacterium avium* complex infection (DMAC) became the most frequent opportunistic disease (OD) of bacterial origin in AIDS patients [1], until the HAART era. This infection became more evident since progress in prophylaxis for OD, and antiretroviral treatment, has allowed AIDS patients to survive longer, even with advanced immunodeficiency and CD4⁺ T cell counts below 100 cells/mm³ [2, 3].

Rio de Janeiro is an endemic area for tuberculosis (TB) and HIV in Brazil. Some Brazilian studies have detected MAC in bone marrow aspirates [4] and blood cultures [5] of AIDS patients presenting clinical signs and symptoms of mycobacterial disease in the pre-HAART era. To our knowledge the incidence of DMAC infection remains to be determined in Brazil.

Objective

The aim of this study was to evaluate the colonization of the respiratory and gastrointestinal tracts of HIV-infected patients with atypical mycobacteria and to determine the incidence of mycobacterial disease in immunosuppressed AIDS patients treated with highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART).
Material and Methods

This was a prospective observational study carried out to evaluate the incidence of DMAC infection and other mycobacterial diseases in immunosuppressed AIDS patients. Our study was conducted at the Instituto de Pesquisa Clinica Evandro Chagas, Fiocruz, Rio de Janeiro, a center for research on HIV/AIDS and other infectious diseases. Inclusion criteria: All HIV-positive patients who had at least one CD4+ count below 100/mm3 were considered eligible. Exclusion criteria: Patients under treatment for tuberculosis or DMAC infection at the time of enrollment in the study and the use of MAC prophylaxis (MAC prophylaxis is not formally recommended in Brazil and has not been adopted in our center).

Sputum (spontaneous or induced), stools and blood were obtained monthly from September, 1997, to December, 1999. All samples were cultivated in Lowenstein-Jansen medium. Blood cultures were performed using the lysis-centrifugation “home made” method [6)] that has been used in our center since 1994. Stools and sputum were seeded after decontamination by the Petroff method. Mycobacteria were identified by standard biochemical methods and by PCR. Additional samples were requested from the patients’ health care providers whenever indicated clinically. All patients were interviewed to obtain their medical and antiretroviral treatment histories. Viral load and CD4+ counts were prospectively evaluated every 4 months. Our protocol was analyzed and approved by The Ethics Committee of FIOCRUZ and all patients gave informed consent to participate in the study.

Statistical analysis. Baseline CD4 counts for all included patients were below 100 cells/mm3. Patients were separated into two groups during the study, according to CD4 counts: Those who had at least one CD4 count above 100 during follow-up (CD4 >100 cells/mm3) and those who did not return to counts above 100 (CD4 <100cells/mm3). CD4 counts associated with colonization were those determined closest to the moment MAC was isolated.

We considered colonization by atypical mycobacteria to be present when no clinical signs or symptoms of mycobacterial disease were observed and at least one culture for atypical mycobacteria from a non-sterile site was positive. Disseminated mycobacterial infection was defined as a positive culture from a normally sterile site.

Survival was estimated by the Kaplan Meier method and the curves were compared by the log rank test. The risks of death and of colonization were determined by the Cox proportional hazard model.

Study endpoints were the development of DMAC infection, death or loss to follow-up. For the risk of colonization with MAC, the endpoint considered was a positive culture for MAC. The cohort was followed up monthly during 27 months.

The rate of incidence of colonization was estimated by a Poisson regression.

The confidence interval stipulated was 95%.

Results

We followed up 79 patients for a mean period of 733 (CI = 683–782) days and a median of 428 days. Of these individuals, 57 (72%) were men and 22 (28%) were women. Nine patients died of AIDS, corresponding to an 11 % death rate, 69 (89%) were finished because the study had ended and one (1.3%) was left the study because of a car accident.

We made 2,177 cultures for Mycobacteria in 79 patients (Table 1). Among these cultures, 742 were made from blood samples, 732 from sputum and 703 from stool samples. All blood cultures were negative for Mycobacteria. Positive cultures (n=33) were obtained from stools (19 of 703 = 2.7%) and sputum (14 of 732 = 1.6%) in 25 individuals. One bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) and one lymph node (LN) biopsy were also positive for Mycobacteria. Positive cultures were obtained from stools (19 of 703 = 2.7%) and sputum (14 of 732 = 1.6%) in 25 individuals. One bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) and one lymph node (LN) biopsy were also positive for M. tuberculosis. MAC was isolated from 8 (out of 2177 specimens = 0.3%) of 79 asymptomatic patients (10%). Only one specimen was positive for each patient. The rate of incidence per person per year of mycobacterial colonization (all specimens of mycobacteria excluding tuberculosis cases) was 0.26 (CI = 0.18–0.39), and the rate of
colonization with MAC was 0.09 per year (CI =0.05–0.18). The incidence of tuberculosis was 0.08 per year (CI = 0.04–0.14).

Tuberculosis (TB) was diagnosed in 9/79 patients (11%) during follow-up, although *M. tuberculosis* was isolated in only 7/79 patients (9%). *M. tuberculosis* was isolated from five sputum specimens, one BAL and one LN biopsy. All cultures were negative for two of the cases of TB, however these patients responded to specific treatment.

During our study all patients were treated with HAART except TB patients during rifampicin treatment. Baseline CD$_4$ counts were ≤ 50 cells/mm$^3$ in 43 patients and > 50 cells/mm$^3$ in 36 patients. In 59 of 79 (75%) of the cases we observed an increase in CD$_4$ counts above 100/mm$^3$ during follow-up. The remaining 20 patients never achieved counts above 100 cells/mm$^3$. Interestingly, median CD$_4$ counts made close to tuberculosis diagnosis were lower (55 cells/mm$^3$) than those made close to MAC colonization (136 cells/mm$^3$) and only 2 out of 8 patients colonized with MAC had CD$_4$ counts below 100 cells/mm$^3$ within 3 months of the colonization date.

Baseline CD$_4$ T cell counts >50/mm$^3$ (HR = 0.16; CI 0.02–0.25) and an increase of CD$_4$ T cell counts >100/mm$^3$ (HR = 0.18; CI 0.05–0.70) were associated with a lower risk of death (P< 0.05) (Figure 1) but the risk of colonization was similar in both groups: those with CD$_4$ counts >100cells/mm$^3$ and <100 cells/mm$^3$ (>100cells/mm$^3$ HR = 0.52; CI = 0.62–4.35, P=0.55). Mucosal colonization with MAC (yes, mean survival = 703 days; CI = 563–842 and no, mean survival = 732 days; CI = 679–785) and TB diagnosis (yes, mean survival = 677 days; CI = 523–831 and no, mean survival = 733 days; CI = 681–786) were not predictors of survival (P = 1 and 0.9 respectively), although the small number of cases in each category limited the analysis.

**Discussion**

In 1996, the treatment of HIV infection underwent considerable change. Protease inhibitors (PI) and non-nucleoside analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRT) became available for clinical practice as part of a combined drug regimen in a highly active antiretroviral treatment (HAART) [7]. A decrease in morbidity, mortality and incidence of many AIDS-associated OD was reported worldwide [8-13]. Some cases of MAC infection, however, changed their clinical presentation from disseminated to localized forms, such as abscesses and scrofula and other cases were reported in association with antiretroviral resistance [14].

We observed a very low prevalence and rate of incidence of MAC colonization in the respiratory and gastrointestinal systems of patients from Rio de Janeiro. MAC was isolated from only 0.3% of the cultivated specimens (10% of the patients), and from only one specimen in all of them.

No cases of DMAC were observed over a 23 month follow-up and no cases of DMAC infection have been diagnosed in our Hospital in patients treated with HAART since 1997. In Brazil, before the HAART era, MAC was isolated from feces [15], blood cultures (16%) from patients presenting unexplained fever who were selected for clinical suspicion of DMAC [5] and from 18.4% of bone marrow specimens [4]. No other Brazilian study has evaluated the incidence of DMAC infection in immunosuppressed asymptomatic patients.

In the United States, Chin et al. reported a very high prevalence of MAC in respiratory and gastrointestinal tracts before the HAART era. The risk of MAC bacteremia at that time was estimated at ≈ 60% within a year for patients diagnosed with MAC in either the respiratory or gastrointestinal tracts [16].

Recent studies have evaluated the incidence of OD after HAART. Studies performed in Canada, Europe and the U.S. have shown a significant decrease in morbidity and mortality in the HAART era [8-12]. In Brazil, an initial decline in mortality was also observed from 1991 to 1994 but a significant decrease was coincident with the distribution of PI by the Ministry of Health, even in regions with a high incidence such as the Northeast and South [13]. In all of these studies, the incidence of DMAC infection decreased significantly after the introduction of HAART, although MAC prophylaxis was also recommended to AIDS patients.
Table 1. Mycobacteria isolated in sputum stools and blood cultures of 79 patients from 1997 to 1999 in a median of 428 days in IPEC – Fiocruz

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mycobacteria species</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Sputum</th>
<th>Stools</th>
<th>Blood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>M. tuberculosis</em></td>
<td>7 (8.9%)</td>
<td>8 (1.1%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. avium intracellulare</em></td>
<td>8 (10.1%)</td>
<td>1 (0.1%)</td>
<td>7 (1%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. flavescens</em></td>
<td>2 (2.5%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>2 (0.3%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. kansasi</em></td>
<td>1 (1.3%)</td>
<td>1 (0.1%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. scrofulaceum</em></td>
<td>1 (1.3%)</td>
<td>3 (0.4%)</td>
<td>3 (0.4)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. gordonae</em></td>
<td>1 (1.3%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>1 (0.1%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. terrae</em></td>
<td>1 (1.3%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>1 (0.1%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Mycobacteria not identified</em></td>
<td>3 (3.8%)</td>
<td>1 (0.1%)</td>
<td>5 (0.7%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of positives</td>
<td>25 (31.6%)</td>
<td>14 (1.9%)</td>
<td>19 (2.7%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total evaluated</strong></td>
<td>79 (100%)</td>
<td>732 (100%)</td>
<td>703 (100%)</td>
<td>742 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* insufficient to all biochemical tests.

Figure 1. Survival analysis considering patients who achieve CD4+ T cell counts above 100 mm^3 (N=59) and those who were unable to increase baseline values (N=20)
with CD4 cell counts below 100 cells/mm³ in the U.S. and Europe, which contributed to the observed decline. In those regions, the overall incidence of TB and DMAC was estimated in individuals treated with HAART and a 20-fold reduction was observed for DMAC versus a 5-fold reduction for TB [17] suggesting a higher impact of HAART in the incidence of DMAC infection.

MAC prophylaxis also has had an important role in decreasing the incidence of DMAC, as reported in a large cohort study [18]. Some recent studies however, have shown that prophylaxis against DMAC could be safely withheld in patients with CD4 counts above 100 cells/mm³ in response to HAART because the risk of DMAC in this population was found to be low [19]. A Brazilian group also reported recovery from DMAC infection without specific treatment in patients treated with HAART [20]. In our study, an increase in baseline CD4+ counts after the initiation of antiretroviral therapy could have contributed to the low incidence of DMAC infection observed in our study, although the risk of colonization was similar in patients with counts below and above 100 cells/mm³ (HR = 0.97). Other factors, such as the prevalence of mycobacteria in the environment may explain why patients with low CD4+ counts without MAC prophylaxis were not heavily colonized. Nevertheless no cases of DMAC were observed in patients under HAART in our center.

Brazilian Guidelines do not formally recommend MAC prophylaxis in our country and no data about DMAC incidence have been reported to encourage this recommendation.
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