Seroprevalence and Risk Factors associated with Helicobacter pylori Infection in Blood Donors in Salvador, Northeast-Brazil
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Helicobacter pylori plays an important role in the etiology of peptic ulcer disease. Its prevalence appears to be higher in developing countries. We evaluated the seroprevalence of H. pylori and risk factors associated with infection in voluntary blood donors who attended the main blood center of the city of Salvador, Brazil. The subjects responded to an epidemiological questionnaire, with information about sex, age, race, lifestyle, social-economic level indicators, and residence and hygiene conditions. Anti-H. pylori antibody was determined by ELISA (Cobas Core, Roche). Three hundred and seven subjects were included in the study. Anti-H. pylori antibody results were indeterminate in 33 individuals (10.8%), who were excluded from analysis. Among the remaining 274 subjects, 187 (68.2%) were anti-H. pylori positive. Based on multivariate logistic regression analysis three variables were found to be significantly associated with a higher prevalence of H. pylori infection: absence of plumbing in the residence during childhood, a history of rainwater invading the dwelling during childhood, and low ingestion of milk.
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Helicobacter pylori plays a significant role in the etiology and pathogenesis of chronic active gastritis and peptic ulcer disease [1,2,3]. It is estimated that 50% of the world’s population is infected by this bacteria [4,5]. The microorganism was first described in 1983 [6,7], but its epidemiology has not been entirely elucidated. Data regarding risk factors and modes of transmission are still controversial; it appears that there could be several modes of transmission [8,9,10]. In Brazil, peptic ulcer disease is an important cause of morbidity [11]. There are several studies about the epidemiology of H. pylori infection in the Brazilian population. Rocha et al. [12], using indirect immunofluorescence, detected a prevalence of 62.1% H. pylori infection in asymptomatic Brazilian blood donors in an urban area, this is a less specific test than the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Souto et al. [13] reported a prevalence of 84.7% H. pylori infection in adults in a rural area in a central region of Brazil, using ELISA. Further studies regarding the epidemiology of this bacterial infection in the Brazilian population should be encouraged in order to identify local risk factors. We evaluated the prevalence of H. pylori infection in voluntary blood donors of the city of Salvador in Northeast Brazil, utilizing an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA- COBAS CORE anti-H.pylori), and we identified possible risk factors.
Materials and Methods

Subjects. We made a cross-sectional study of voluntary blood donors who attended the main blood center of Bahia (HEMOBA) and who accepted participation in the study during 1997 and 1999. Informed written consent was obtained from all subjects; the study was approved by the institutional review boards and the protocol conformed to the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria. We only included patients who were born and who lived in the city of Salvador, Bahia; they must have fulfilled the blood center criteria for blood donation, which included, among other requirements, being 18 to 60-years-old. Patients who had lived in any city other than Salvador for any period of time were excluded from the study.

Variables analyzed. Subjects responded to an epidemiological questionnaire immediately before blood donation. The questionnaires were applied on weekdays in a random fashion. No apparent unusual situation in the blood center was detected during the period the interviews were done. The independent variables studied were: gender, race, weight, height, age (over or under 28), civil state (married / living together, or single), alcohol and coffee consumption, smoking, type and frequency of food ingested (beef, lamb, poultry, fish, pork, vegetables, fruits, milk), type of water ingested during childhood and adulthood (filtered / boiled, or not), garbage collection in the neighborhood during childhood and adulthood, indicators of social-economic status: educational level (complete high school or higher level; incomplete high school or lower level), family income (over or less than 5 minimum salaries), neighborhood (wealthy or not), type of dwelling (shack or house / apartment), water supply (presence or absence of plumbing), sewage system, number of rooms and number of persons residing in each dwelling, history of rainwater invading or not the dwelling (the latter four items were asked regarding childhood and present time). No main independent variable was specified due to the exploratory nature of the study. Helicobacter pylori infection was considered the dependent variable.

ELISA for H. pylori. At the time of venous puncture for donation a 10-ml blood sample was drawn and the sera were stored at –20°C. The ELISA for H. pylori was performed after all questionnaires were given. The period between the time the first serum was stored and the ELISA tests was 14 months. Anti-H. pylori antibodies were assayed by the Cobas Core anti-H. pylori IgG EIA (Roche Diagnostics Division, Basel-Switzerland), a second generation EIA. The test was performed essentially according to manufacturer’s instructions. This method has been previously validated in the Brazilian population. The sensitivity, specificity and positive and negative predictive values of the test are 95.4, 100, 100 and 91.4%, respectively [14]. Indeterminate results were excluded from analysis.

Statistical analysis. The sample size was obtained as previously described [15]. We considered an N of 45,000 (estimated number of donors in one year), an absolute precision of 5.5%, a confidence level of 95%, and an estimated prevalence of H. pylori infection of 68.2%. This prevalence was obtained retrospectively at the end of the study. Using these parameters, the required sample size should be 274 donors. The chi-square test was used to compare discrete variables; continuous variables were expressed as mean ± SEM and were analyzed by a non-paired two-tailed t-test with a significance level of 5%. Levene’s test was used to assess variance homogeneity. A multiple logistic regression analysis was carried out in an exploratory sense in order to adequately identify important independent variables. Prevalence ratios of infection were used as measures of association during the simple analysis, and odds ratios of infection in the logistic regression analysis, given the elevated frequency of the H. pylori infection in the population. Interaction terms were not taken into account. Variables were selected using the backward stepwise method and the statistical inference was based on likelihood ratio tests. The criterium utilized for variable exclusion was a P value
greater than or equal to 0.17. All the categorical independent variables were coded using an indicator variable scheme. The regression considered comparisons of predicted with observed outcomes, the likelihood of the observed results, the goodness-of-fit statistic and a residual analysis. Confidence intervals for odds ratios were calculated. All these statistics were done using the SPSS software (SPSS version 9.0, SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL).

Results

Three hundred and seven blood donors were included in the study. Detection of anti-\textit{H. pylori} antibody was indeterminate in 33 subjects (10.8%). These cases were excluded from analysis. Comparison between this group and the remaining 274 cases showed significant differences for three variables (Table 1). Among the remaining 274 blood donors, 54 (19.7%) were female and 220 (80.3%) were male. The mean age was 29 ± 8.4 years. Fifty one percent were single. Only 112 donors (40.8%) had completed high school. Sixty three percent had an income between one and five minimum salaries, and 89% received up to 12 minimum salaries. The mean number of people residing in a dwelling during childhood was 7.5. The prevalence of anti-\textit{H. pylori} in this population was 68.2%. The prevalence of the antibody in the male group was 71.4% and in the female group it was 55.6% (Table 2). Based on bivariate simple analysis the variables that were significantly associated with the \textit{H. pylori} infection are displayed in Table 3. There was no significant association with race, age, weight, height, civil state, alcohol and coffee consumption, smoking, educational level, family income, garbage collection in the neighborhood during childhood and adulthood, neighborhood, number of rooms and number of persons residing in each dwelling during childhood or at the present time, or water supply and sewage system at the present time.

In the multivariate analysis four variables were significantly associated with \textit{H. pylori} infection at the 5% level: absence of plumbing in the residence during childhood, history of rainwater invading the dwelling during childhood, low ingestion of milk and high consumption of fish. The variables beef consumption, civil status, current type of dwelling and vegetable consumption showed borderline P values (considering $\alpha = 5\%$) and were not discarded as unimportant (Table 4). Only three variables were significantly associated with a higher prevalence of \textit{H. pylori} infection in both bivariate and multivariate analysis: absence of plumbing in the residence during childhood, history of rainwater invading the dwelling during childhood, and low ingestion of milk.

Discussion

In studying the prevalence of \textit{H. pylori} infection we found that 68% of the subjects were \textit{H. pylori} seropositive by ELISA. The study population consisted of blood donors and thus it does not necessarily correspond to the entire population of the city of Salvador, Bahia. However, the results are in accordance with previous studies that show a high seroprevalence of \textit{H. pylori} infection in Brazilian populations [12,13].

We did not find an association between \textit{H. pylori} infection and educational level, family income or age. Nevertheless, it is important to note that most of the subjects who were enrolled had a low educational level and a low income, and this might have influenced the results. The population also mostly consisted of young adults, with few donors being 50 years-old or over, and 80% of the subjects were male; therefore, this study may not be ideal for an analysis of the whole population. Zaterka et al. found that 70% of the children of low-income families in Brazil are already infected with \textit{H. pylori} when they are 5 years old [16].

Three variables appeared to be risk factors for \textit{H. pylori} infection in both bivariate simple analysis and multivariate analysis: history of rainwater invading the dwelling during childhood, absence of plumbing water system at the residence during childhood, and low ingestion of milk. To our knowledge, this is the first study to suggest rainwater infiltration as a potential source of \textit{H. pylori} infection. This seems to be a plausible source of infection in developing countries,
such as Brazil, since some neighborhoods may be subject to flooding during heavy rain and this favors the contact between the residents and the material originated from the surrounding sewer system. The association with absence of plumbing in the residence also suggests that *H. pylori* contamination and transmission could occur through water. Water has been considered a possible transmission route of *H. pylori* infection, especially in developing countries [17]. Swimming in rivers, streams, or pools increases the odds of infection, as does using streams as a drinking water source.

In a population-based study that evaluated children from Peru, water supply was an important source of *H. pylori* infection in families with high or low social economic levels [18]. The fact the these conditions occurred during childhood is in accordance with previous studies that showed a greater probability of acquiring the infection during childhood; this could be a result of hygiene habits and a higher susceptibility to *H. pylori* infection during this period of life [19,20].

In contrast to a previous study that did not find any association between high or low ingestion of milk and *H. pylori* infection, we found that low milk ingestion was a risk factor for *H. pylori* infection [21]. We also found that alcohol consumption and smoking were not important risk factors; this is in agreement with previous studies. Nevertheless this issue remains controversial [22-25].

### Table 1. Comparison between the group of 33 blood donors excluded from analysis and the remaining 274 blood donors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Included cases</th>
<th>Excluded cases</th>
<th>X²</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Educational level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete high school or higher educational level</td>
<td>112 (40.9)</td>
<td>24 (72.7)</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete high school or lower educational level</td>
<td>162 (59.1)</td>
<td>9 (27.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Garbage collection in the neighborhood at childhood</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>135 (52.7)</td>
<td>25 (75.8)</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>121 (47.3)</td>
<td>8 (24.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of water ingested at adulthood</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filtered or boiled</td>
<td>187 (68.2)</td>
<td>31 (93.9)</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not filtered or boiled</td>
<td>87 (31.8)</td>
<td>2 (6.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Prevalence of anti-*H. Pylori* antibody in 274 blood donors according to gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Anti-<em>H. pylori</em></th>
<th>Prevalence ratio</th>
<th>95% Confidence interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>157 (71.4%)</td>
<td>63 (28.6%)</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>30 (55.6%)</td>
<td>24 (44.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>187 (68.2%)</td>
<td>87 (31.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

X² = 4.3 P = 0.038. PR = Prevalence ratio.
Finally, we initially included 307 patients in the study, however 33 cases had indeterminate \textit{H. pylori} serology results, and thus were excluded from analysis. Although we have found significant differences in three variables between this group and the remaining 274 cases, we believe that the number of excluded cases is probably too low to have influenced the results. Moreover, only two of these variables were previously reported to be associated with \textit{H. pylori} infection. Most probably, the only possible consequences are an underestimate of prevalence ratios of these variables.

In summary, this study evaluated the seroprevalence of \textit{H. pylori} in a population of blood donors from the city of Salvador in Northeast-Brazil and suggests possible risk factors for \textit{H. pylori} infection in a developing country. Further large scale population-based studies are needed to better determine the role of these potential sources of transmission of \textit{H. pylori}.

\begin{table}
\centering
\begin{tabular}{lrrcc}
\hline
Risk factor & N & Anti-\textit{H. pylori} antibody & & \\
& & Positive & Negative & Prevalence ratio \\
& & & & 95\% & Confidence interval \\
\hline
Rainwater invading dwelling in childhood & 270 & & & \\
Yes & 56 (83.6) & 11 (16.4) & 1.32 & (1.13; 1.53) \\
No & 129 (63.5) & 74 (36.5) & & \\
Poultry consumption & 274 & & & \\
Yes & 46 (55.4) & 37 (44.6) & 1.33 & (1.08; 1.64) \\
No & 141 (73.8) & 50 (26.2) & & \\
Presence of plumbing water system in childhood & 266 & & & \\
No & 31 (83.8) & 6 (16.2) & 1.28 & (1.08; 1.52) \\
Yes & 150 (65.5) & 79 (35.5) & & \\
Sewage system at childhood & 263 & & & \\
No & 47 (82.5) & 10 (17.5) & 1.27 & (1.08; 1.48) \\
Yes & 134 (65.0) & 72 (35.0) & & \\
Type of water ingested at adulthood & 274 & & & \\
Not filtered nor boiled & 68 (78.2) & 19 (21.8) & 1.23 & (1.05; 1.43) \\
Filtered or boiled & 119 (63.9) & 68 (36.4) & & \\
Fruit consumption & 274 & & & \\
At least once a week & 142 (65.1) & 76 (34.9) & 0.81 & (0.69; 0.95) \\
Less than once a week & 45 (80.4) & 11 (19.6) & & \\
Milk ingestion & 272 & & & \\
At least once a week & 157 (65.7) & 82 (34.3) & 0.74 & (0.64; 0.87) \\
Less than once a week & 29 (87.9) & 4 (12.1) & & \\
Vegetable consumption & 274 & & & \\
At least once a week & 132 (63.8) & 75 (36.2) & 0.78 & (0.67; 0.90) \\
Less than once a week & 55 (82.1) & 12 (17.9) & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Distribution of dichotomized variables that were significant based on bivariate analysis (n=274)}
\end{table}
Table 4. Risk factors for *H. pylori* infection: results of the multivariate analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Covariable</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>Likelihood ratio</th>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
<th>CI (95%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rainwater invading dwelling in childhood</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes vs. no</td>
<td>0.9989</td>
<td>0.3986</td>
<td>0.0081</td>
<td>2.7152</td>
<td>(1.24; 5.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beef consumption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes vs. no</td>
<td>0.5700</td>
<td>0.3377</td>
<td>0.0919</td>
<td>1.7682</td>
<td>(0.91; 3.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plumbing water system in childhood</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No vs. yes</td>
<td>1.0661</td>
<td>0.5097</td>
<td>0.0251</td>
<td>2.9040</td>
<td>(1.06; 7.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other vs single</td>
<td>-0.5543</td>
<td>0.3039</td>
<td>0.0663</td>
<td>0.5745</td>
<td>(0.31; 1.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk ingestion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least once a week vs. less than once a week</td>
<td>-1.8832</td>
<td>0.7625</td>
<td>0.0023</td>
<td>0.1521</td>
<td>(0.03; 0.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish consumption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes vs. no</td>
<td>0.8630</td>
<td>0.3063</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
<td>2.3703</td>
<td>(1.30; 4.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current type of dwelling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House vs. apartment</td>
<td>0.6426</td>
<td>0.3714</td>
<td>0.0844</td>
<td>1.9015</td>
<td>(0.91; 3.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetable Consumption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily or weekly consumption vs.</td>
<td>-0.6970</td>
<td>0.3926</td>
<td>0.0667</td>
<td>0.4981</td>
<td>(0.23; 1.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no or biweekly consumption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>1.6734</td>
<td>0.9172</td>
<td>0.0681a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* – Wald’s statistic; CI: confidence interval.
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