EFFECTIVENESS OF DIFFERENT CHEMICAL AGENTS IN RAPID DECONTAMINATION OF GUTTA-PERCHA CONES
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SHORT COMMUNICATION

ABSTRACT

The effectiveness of seven disinfectant compounds used in dentistry for a rapid decontamination of 32 gutta-percha cones adhered with Staphylococcus aureus, Enterococcus faecalis, Escherichia coli strains or Bacillus subtilis spores was compared. Cones were treated with 2% glutaraldehyde, 1% sodium hypochlorite, 70% ethyl alcohol, 1% and 0.3% iodine alcohol, 2% chlorhexidine, 6% hydrogen peroxide, and 10% polyvinylpyrrolidone-iodine, for 1, 5, 10, and 15 minutes. After treatment, each cone was transferred to thioglycollate broth and incubated at 37°C for 7 days. The products were bactericidal after 1 to 5 minutes and, with exception of ethyl alcohol and iodine-alcohol, sporicidal after 1 to 15 minutes of exposure. Results suggest that chlorhexidine, sodium hypochlorite, polyvinylpyrrolidone-iodine, hydrogen peroxide, and glutaraldehyde were the most effective products in the decontamination of gutta-percha cones.
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In endodontic practice, the elimination or the significant reduction of microorganisms from the root canal by chemical and mechanical means is one of the crucial features for a successful treatment (2, 5, 24). Care must be taken during this procedure to prevent contamination of filling materials to avoid root canal contamination (1, 5, 6, 8, 16, 20, 21).

Gutta-percha cones are now widely used to fill root canals (5, 10). However, they are not resistant to conventional sterilization processes in moist or dry heat. Although commercialized in sealed packages, they are not sterile. Thus, to keep the aseptic chain gutta-percha cones require rapid chairside decontamination before use (2, 5, 7, 9, 19).

Literature registers several methods for the rapid decontamination of gutta-percha cones in dentistry. Among others, these include the following chemical agents: polyvinylpyrrolidone-iodine (16), glutaraldehyde (7, 15), sodium hypochlorite (3, 10, 19, 21), hydrogen peroxide (17, 18), chlorhexidine (22, 23), quaternary of ammonium (3, 10, 12) and iodine-alcohol (13). There is, however, no agreement among national or foreign specialists for the best method.

The purpose of this study was to examine the effectiveness of seven compounds commonly used in endodontic practice for the rapid decontamination of gutta-percha cones artificially contaminated with bacterial cells and spores. The compounds were: (i) 2% glutaraldehyde (Glicolabor Indústria Farmacêutica Ltda., Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil); (ii) 1% sodium hypochlorite solution (Miyako do Brasil Indústria e Comércio Ltda., São Paulo, SP, Brazil), titrated by the iodine/thiosulfate method (25); (iii) 70% ethyl alcohol prepared at moment of use by mixing 70 g of absolute ethanol (Merck S.A. Indústrias Químicas, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil) and 30 g of distilled water; (iv-v) 0.3% and 1% iodine-alcohol prepared at moment of use by dissolving 0.75
In our study, the selection of chemical agents (n = 8) for decontamination of gutta-percha cones was based on literature data (3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 13, 15-19, 21-23) and on results of a recent survey at courses, departments, faculties and schools of dentistry in Brazil with regard the techniques used for the decontamination of gutta-percha cones (11).

Among of the chemical agents tested, 2% chlorhexidine was the most efficient product. It eliminated both the bacterial cells and spores adhered to the surface of the cones after 1 minute of exposure. These results are consistent to those described by Stabholz et al. (22), who showed that a 1.5% chlorhexidine digluconate solution was effective within 30 seconds for the disinfection of cones contaminated with staphylococci, streptococci, Bacillus subtilis, Candida krusei and a microbial culture from an infected tooth canal. In another study (23), the efficiency of a 2% chlorhexidine solution to the gutta-percha cones decontamination in 10 minutes was evidenced, using bacteria from the bucal cavity (Streptococcus mutans and Streptococcus sanguis), intestinal tract (Escherichia coli and Enterococcus faecalis), skin (Staphylococcus aureus) and environment (Bacillus subtilis) as test microorganisms. These results were complemented by the sporicidal activity of 2% chlorhexidine detected in this study.

The use of sodium hypochlorite for rapid decontamination of gutta-percha cones in endodontic practice was proposed by Senia et al. (19) in 1975. These authors demonstrated that gutta-percha cones contaminated with Staphylococcus epidermis, Corynebacterium xerosis, Escherichia coli, and Enterococcus faecalis strains; Bacillus subtilis vegetative cells; and Bacillus subtilis spores were decontaminated after immersion in undiluted Clorox® (5.25% sodium hypochlorite) for 30, 45 and 60 seconds, respectively.

Besides Senia et al. (19), other studies (3, 10, 19, 22) confirmed the efficiency of 5.25%, and 5% and 4.5% sodium hypochlorite solutions for the gutta-percha cones controls. Carryover control was performed as described by Frank and Pelleu (7).

As shown in Fig. 1, 2% chlorhexidine was the most effective product because all the gutta-percha contaminated cones were decontaminated in 1 minute. The group treated with 1% sodium hypochlorite and 10% PVP-I demonstrated bacterial and sporicidal activity in 5 minutes. A third group treated with 6% hydrogen peroxide (bactericidal in 5 minutes and sporicidal in 10 minutes) and 2% glutaraldehyde (bactericidal in 1 minute and sporicidal in 15 minutes). Finally, groups treated with 70% ethyl alcohol, and 0.3% and 1% iodine alcohol were bactericidal in 1 minute, but they did not destroy the spores adhered to the cones surfaces after 15 minutes of exposure.

Carryover control did not show residual toxic effect of tested products and the same number of bacterial cells (experiments 1 to 3) or spores (experiment 4) was observed in the control and in carryover tubes, as shown in Table 1.

In our study, the selection of chemical agents (n = 8) for decontamination of gutta-percha cones was based on literature data (3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 13, 15-19, 21-23) and on results of a recent survey at courses, departments, faculties and schools of dentistry in Brazil with regard the techniques used for the decontamination of gutta-percha cones (11).

Among of the chemical agents tested, 2% chlorhexidine was the most efficient product. It eliminated both the bacterial cells and spores adhered to the surface of the cones after 1 minute of exposure. These results are consistent to those described by Stabholz et al. (22), who showed that a 1.5% chlorhexidine digluconate solution was effective within 30 seconds for the disinfection of cones contaminated with staphylococci, streptococci, Bacillus subtilis, Candida krusei and a microbial culture from an infected tooth canal. In another study (23), the efficiency of a 2% chlorhexidine solution to the gutta-percha cones decontamination in 10 minutes was evidenced, using bacteria from the bucal cavity (Streptococcus mutans and Streptococcus sanguis), intestinal tract (Escherichia coli and Enterococcus faecalis), skin (Staphylococcus aureus) and environment (Bacillus subtilis) as test microorganisms. These results were complemented by the sporicidal activity of 2% chlorhexidine detected in this study.

The use of sodium hypochlorite for rapid decontamination of gutta-percha cones in endodontic practice was proposed by Senia et al. (19) in 1975. These authors demonstrated that gutta-percha cones contaminated with Staphylococcus epidermis, Corynebacterium xerosis, Escherichia coli, and Enterococcus faecalis strains; Bacillus subtilis vegetative cells; and Bacillus subtilis spores were decontaminated after immersion in undiluted Clorox® (5.25% sodium hypochlorite) for 30, 45 and 60 seconds, respectively.

Besides Senia et al. (19), other studies (3, 10, 19, 22) confirmed the efficiency of 5.25%, and 5% and 4.5% sodium hypochlorite solutions for the gutta-percha cones controls. Carryover control was performed as described by Frank and Pelleu (7).
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Table 1. Log_{10} of the mean of two determinations\(^1\) of viable counts of carryover control for experiments 1 to 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical Agents</th>
<th>S. aureus</th>
<th></th>
<th>E. coli</th>
<th></th>
<th>E. faecalis</th>
<th></th>
<th>B. subtilis</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Experiment #1</td>
<td>Carryover</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Carryover</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Carryover</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Carryover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2% Chlorhexidine</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>5.84</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% Sodium Hypochlorite</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>3.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% PVP-I(^4)</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>2.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6% Hydrogen Peroxide</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>3.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2% Glutaraldehyde</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>3.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70% Ethyl Alcohol</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>5.51</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>3.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3% Iodine-Alcohol</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% Iodine-Alcohol</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>5.57</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>3.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Count in triplicate. Drop-plate technique (Miles et al., 1938).
2. Contaminated cone plus chemical agent-treated cone.
3. Contaminated cone treated with sterile saline solution.
4. 10% polyvinylpyrrolidone-iodine (with 1% active iodine).
decontamination. To our knowledge, there is not register on the efficiency of 1% sodium hypochlorite solution (Milton’s solution) in rapid decontamination of gutta-percha cones. This is the reason why 1% sodium hypochlorite was included in this study. The results confirmed the efficiency of hypochlorite solution tested.

Use of PVP-I for rapid gutta-percha cones decontamination was suggested by Montgomery in 1971 (16). Gutta-percha cones, contaminated by manipulation during 30 seconds, were used to evaluate the efficiency of a 10% PVP-I detergent solution (1% active iodine) in the disinfection of the contaminated cones after treatments for 10 and 30 seconds and 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 minutes. After treatment, each cone was transferred to a tube containing 3 ml tryptic soy broth and removed after 5 seconds and placed on blood agar. Cones were carefully rolled on the blood agar surface and the material incubated at 37°C during 48 hours. Average number of colonies in the positive control (contaminated cones treated with saline solution) amounted to about 190. The following microorganisms were identified: *Staphylococcus epidermis*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Flavobacterium diffusum*, *Bacillus subtilis* and peptostreptococi. Polyvinylpyrrolidone-iodine was effective to destroy all these bacteria after an exposure of 6 minutes. Our results have also shown the sporidial activity of 10% PVP-I after treatment of cones for 5 minutes.

Pennachin and Alvares (18) investigated the hydrogen peroxide efficiency in the decontamination of artificially contaminated gutta-percha cones. Cones were immersed and remained during a week in brain heart infusion broth and thioglycolate medium, inoculated with saliva, purulent material obtained from recently extracted teeth or from patients with apical abscesses. 6% hydrogen peroxide (20 volumes) was effective in the decontamination of cones after 10 minutes of treatment. Results of the present study confirmed these findings.

Gutta-percha cones decontamination method with glutaraldehyde described by Frank and Pelleu (7) in 1983 comprises immersion of the cones in a 2% glutaraldehyde solution for 5 minutes. In a quantitative study these authors compared the bactercidial and sporidial action of two 2% glutaraldehyde solutions commercialized in the USA (Cidex 7® and Sporocidin®) and verified that only one was effective. In our study the 2% glutaraldehyde solution was bactercidial in 1 minute and sporidial in 15 minutes. The difference in these findings may be due to the chemical constitution of the tested products and the qualitative methodology used in this study.

Although iodine-alcohol is the second indicated chemical agent for the gutta-percha cones decontamination in Brazil (11), no bacteriological study has been found in Brazilian and foreign literature in which artificially contaminated cones were used to evaluate the efficiency of these germicides in endodontic practice. In our study, 0.3% and 1% iodine-alcohol showed rapid bactercidial action (1 minute) but no sporidial activity in 15 minutes.

With regard to effectiveness of 70% ethyl alcohol in rapid gutta-percha cones decontamination, we and other authors (22, 23) observed that although a bactercidial activity occurred in 1 minute, this agent was unable to destroy *B. subtilis* spores adhered to the cones after 15 minutes of exposure.

In summary, the different chemical agents tested in this study were bactercidial in 1 to 5 minutes and, with the exception to the 70% ethyl alcohol and 0.3% and 1% iodine-alcohol, sporidial in 1 to 15 minutes of the exposure. Results suggest that gutta-percha cones decontamination may be in practice achieved either by 2% chlorhexidine for 1 minute, or by 1% sodium hypochlorite and 10% polyvinylpyrrolidone-iodine during 5 minutes, or by 6% hydrogen peroxide for 10 minutes or by 2% glutaraldehyde for 15 minutes.
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RESUMO

**Eficácia de diferentes agentes químicos na descontaminação rápida de cones de gutta-percha**

Comparou-se a eficácia de sete agentes químicos, usados na prática odontológica, na descontaminação rápida de 32 cones de gutta-percha aderidos com *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Escherichia coli* ou esporos de *Bacillus subtilis*. Os cones foram tratados por 1, 5, 10 e 15 minutos com glutaraldeído 2%, hipoclorito de sódio 1%, álcool etílico 70%, álcool-iodado 0,3% e 1%, clorhexidina 2%, água oxigenada 6% e polivinilpirrolidona-iôdo 10%. Cada cone após o tratamento foi transferido para caldo tioglicolato e incubado a 37°C por 7 dias. Os produtos foram bactericidas após 1 a 5 minutos e esporocidas, com exceção do álcool e do álcool iodado, após 1 a 15 minutos de exposição. Os resultados sugerem que a clorhexidina, o hipoclorito, a polivinilpirrolidona-iôdo, a água oxigenada e o glutaraldeído foram os produtos mais eficazes na descontaminação dos cones de gutta-percha.

**Palavras-chave:** agentes químicos, cones de gutta-percha, descontaminação.
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