INTRODUCTION

There has been an interest in improving the sterilization and disinfection procedures to reduce the infection risk for hospitalized patients and healthcare workers (5). Disinfectant-resistant bacterial strains have arisen as a result of the lack in standardization of some factors, such as criteria for use of chemicals agents, specifications in the labels of available products and scarcity of well-trained personnel (17).

The prevalence of antibiotic-resistant hospital bacteria have increased significantly in the world (2), including Brazil (17), and has become a serious public health problem. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus (VRE) and multiresistant Gram-negative bacilli have been tested for susceptibility to disinfectants, with disagreeing results (1, 3, 16, 21, 22). The widespread use of antiseptic and disinfectant products has prompted some speculation on the development of microbial resistance, in particular cross-resistance to antibiotics (13).

The selection, use and control of the effectiveness of the disinfectants have been emphasized, since environmental surfaces and medical and surgical instruments can serve as vehicles to infectious agents in susceptible hosts associated with the hospital setting (20). Considering the importance of the disinfection for the prevention of nosocomial infections, the aim of this study was to evaluate the bactericidal action of five disinfectants commonly used in hospitals against antibiotic-susceptible and antibiotic-resistant clinical isolates.
**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Standard strains**

The strains used as standards were antibiotic-susceptible bacteria obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC; Rockville, MD, USA): *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 6538), *Salmonella cholerae suis* (ATCC 10708) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC 15442).

**Hospital isolates**

Twenty-seven Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria isolates were obtained during routine clinical investigation in Rio de Janeiro hospitals, Brazil, as follows: a) antibiotic-resistant isolates: methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* - MRSA (5 strains from blood, surgical wound, nare, tracheostomy site and peritoneal fluid); S. *epidermidis* (2 strains), S. haemolyticus, *Enterococcus*, Serratia marcescens, *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Escherichia coli* (4 strains), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (2 strains) and *Proteus mirabilis* (1 strain), all isolated from surgical site infections. Three *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains used in this study were isolated from surgical wounds and urinary infections; b) antibiotic-susceptible isolates: *S. aureus*, *S. epidermidis*, *Enterococcus*, *E. cloacae*, *E. coli* and *P. mirabilis* strains isolated from surgical site infections.

**Antibiotics susceptibility testing**

The susceptibility of the isolates to antibiotics was determined by the Kirby-Bauer method. A sterile swab was dipped in a bacteria suspension (McFarland standard 0.5) and placed onto Mueller Hinton Agar (Oxoid, Basingstoke, England). Antibiotic disks (CECON, São Paulo, Brazil) were applied using a sterile forceps. Agar plates were incubated at 35°C for 18h. The zone of inhibition was documented in millimeters. The susceptibility breakpoints were available using the criteria published by the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS, 16). The following antibiotics were tested: ampicillin - AP (10 µg), penicillin G - PN (10 µU), oxacillin - OX (1 µg), cefalotin - CF (30 µg), cefoxitin - CFO (30 µg), ceftazidime - CAZ (30 µg), ceftriaxone - CRO (30 µg), imipenem - IPM (10 µg), vancomycin - VC (30 µg), chloramphenicol - CO (30 µg), erythromycin - EI (15 µg), gentamicin - GN (10 µg), amikacin - AM (30 µg), tobramycin - TB (10 µg), rifampicin - RF (5 µg), trimethoprim-sulphamethoxazole - SFT (25 µg), tetracycline - TT (30 µg) and ciprofloxacin - CIP (5 µg). The Gram-negative strains were tested for: imipenem - IPM (10 µg), ampicillin - AP (10 µg), carbenicillin - CR (100 µg), ciprofloxacin - CIP (5 µg), clindamycin - CL (2 µg), amikacin - AM (30 µg), tetracycline - TT (30 µg), cefalotin - CF (30 µg), trimethoprim-sulphamethoxazole - SFT (25 µg), chloramphenicol - CO (30 µg), gentamicin GN (10 µg) and kanamycin - KN (30 µg).

The antibiotic disks used for *P. aeruginosa* strains also included tobramycin - TB (10 µg), norfloxacin - NOR (10 µg), ofloxacin - OFX (5 µg), aztreonan - (30 µg), carbenicillin - CR (100 µg), cephotaxime - CFO (30 µg); and ceftazidime - CAZ (30 µg).

**Disinfectants susceptibility testing**

*Disinfectants:* The following disinfectants commonly used in hospitals were selected and the use-dilution was performed in agreement with Ceras Johnson Ltda manufacturers’ recommendations: sodium hypochlorite (commercial name: Virex), 2%, (the use-dilution was 1:2 in sterile distilled water); quaternary ammonium compound (commercial name: Duo-cide) (alkyl dimethyl ammonium chlorides, 9.5%, 1:100 use-dilution in sterile distilled water); association quaternary ammonium compounds (alkyl dimethyl-benzyl ammonium chlorides, 0.6%, alkyl ethyl-benzyl ammonium chlorides, 0.6%), formaldehyde, 1.08% and ethyl alcohol, 43.93% (non-use-dilution), commercial name: Germkil; glutaraldehyde (commercial name: Glutacide), 2.5% (non-use-dilution); phenolics compounds (commercial name: Germpol) (o-benzyl-p-chlorophenol, 14.75%, o-phenylphenol, 2.4%, and p-tertiary-buthylphenol, 1.9%; the use-dilution was 1:100 in sterile distilled water).

*Methodology:* The susceptibility of the hospital isolates to the disinfectants was determined by the technique of use-dilution, according to the Association of Official Analytical Chemists International (4). Briefly, stainless steel ring carriers (penicylinders) were inoculated by soaking for 15 minutes in a 48-hour AOAC Disinfectant Test Broth (Difco) of test bacteria in the presence of an organic load (5% horse serum, v/v). The carriers were removed with a hooked inoculating needle and allowed to dry for 40 minutes at 37°C. After drying, the inoculated carriers were placed individually into the disinfectant solution and exposed for 10 minutes and then, were removed carefully and placed into tubes containing 10 ml of Lethen neutralizing broth (Difco). The tubes were incubated for 48 hours and then examined for turbidity. Each carrier was inoculated with 10⁶ to 10⁷ bacteria determined by colonies counting on Trypticine Soy Agar (Difco) by pour plate method after salt bed dilutions obtained from one contaminated carrier. Sixty carriers were used for standard strain experiments, whereas twenty carriers were tested for hospital isolates. Each experimental run contained a “growth” control consisting of an inoculated carrier placed in sterile neutralizing broth. The standard strains were considered disinfectant-resistant when bacterial growth was observed in at least two tubes per 60 tubes tested (4). In relation to clinical strains the growth in at least one tube per 20 tubes tested was deemed as a disinfectant-resistant strain.

**Statistical tests**

All comparisons were performed using Yates’ chi-square tests.
RESULTS

A wide divergence in the response to disinfectants and antibiotic agents was observed among the strains. The effect of disinfectants against the organisms is summarized in Tables 1, 2 and 3. Sodium hypochlorite, glutaraldehyde and the association quaternary ammonium compounds (QACs)-formaldehyde-ethyl alcohol disinfectants were effective against the standard strains and all hospital isolates. The QAC and phenols did not demonstrate bactericidal activity against the standard strains (Table 1), except when the action of phenolics against *Salmonella cholerae suis* was analyzed (growth in one tube).

The results of the experiments using disinfectants against the susceptible hospital strains (Table 2) demonstrated that *P. mirabilis* and *S. epidermidis* strains were resistant to the QAC and phenolic compounds, respectively.

Among twenty-one pathogens resistant to antibiotics (Table 3), 11 strains (52%) were resistant to the QAC (three MRSA strains, *S. haemolyticus, E. cloacae, E. coli*, two *K. pneumoniae* strains, *P. mirabilis* and two *P. aeruginosa* strains). Eight isolates (38%) (one MRSA strain, two *S. epidermidis* strains, *E. cloacae, P. mirabilis, S. marcescens* and two *P. aeruginosa* strains) demonstrated resistance to the phenolic compounds. Three Gram negative strains (*E. cloacae, P. mirabilis* and *P. aeruginosa*) were resistant to both disinfectants.

Among twenty-one antibiotic-resistant strains available, 11 showed resistance to more than ten antibiotics, while the majority was resistant to at least three antibiotics. All antibiotic-resistant staphylococci were methicillin-resistant and susceptible only to vancomycin, tetracycline, ciprofloxacin, amikacin and rifampicin. The *Enterococcus* strain presented high-level resistance (HLR) to gentamycin. The *Enterobacteriaceae* strains were resistant mainly to ampicillin,

### Table 1 - Evaluation of bactericidal activity of hospital disinfectants against standards strains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard strains</th>
<th>Disinfectants (use-dilution)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sodium hypochlorite (1:2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. aureus</em> ATCC 6538</td>
<td>0/60†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. choleraesuis</em> ATCC 10708</td>
<td>0/60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ps. aeruginosa</em> ATCC 15442</td>
<td>0/60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*QAC: quaternary ammonium compound  
†EA: ethyl alcohol  
†Number of positive tubes per 60 tubes containing the test microorganism.

### Table 2: Evaluation of bactericidal activity of disinfectants against antibiotic-susceptible hospital strains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical strains</th>
<th>Disinfectants (use-dilution)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sodium hypochlorite (1:2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus aureus</em></td>
<td>0/20†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus epidermidis</em></td>
<td>0/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Enterococcus</em></td>
<td>0/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Enterobacter cloacae</em></td>
<td>0/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Proteus mirabilis</em></td>
<td>0/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
<td>0/20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*QAC: quaternary ammonium compound  
†EA: ethyl alcohol  
†Number of positive tubes per 20 tubes containing the test microorganism.
cephalothin, tetracycline and chloramphenicol, while the *P. aeruginosa* strains showed variable susceptibility to imipenem, quinolones, aztreonan and ceftazidime.

No significant statistical differences (p > 0.05) were verified between QAC and phenol germicides when tested against standard strains or hospital isolates. Comparing the antibiotic-susceptible and the antibiotic-resistant isolates, QAC and phenols had similar efficacy to both types (p > 0.05).

**DISCUSSION**

The goal of disinfection is to reduce the risk of endemic and epidemic nosocomial infections in patients. A great number of disinfectants are used in the healthcare setting, including glutaraldehyde, formaldehyde and chloride-releasing agents compounds. These agents are considered sporidial chemicals when used in appropriated concentrations and are recommended for patient-care items and instruments (20). In this study, we verified that these disinfectants were effective when tested against standard and clinical bacterial strains.

Although bacterial resistance to antibiotics has been extensively studied, only a few reports are available on disinfectant action against microorganisms. Very few studies demonstrate the correlation between these two types of antimicrobials. Anderson *et al.* (3) and Rutala *et al.* (21), testing hospital isolates, did not find evident correlation between susceptibility to antibiotics and to disinfectants for any clinical strain. However, MRSA have been considered more resistant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical strains</th>
<th>Sodium hypochlorite (1:2)</th>
<th>Glutaraldehyde (non diluted)</th>
<th>Formaldehyde-QAC*EA† association (1:100)</th>
<th>QAC (1:100)</th>
<th>Synthetic phenols</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus aureus</em></td>
<td>0/20†</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>1/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. aureus</em></td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>1/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. aureus</em></td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. aureus</em></td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>1/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. aureus</em></td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus epidermidis</em></td>
<td>0/20†</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>1/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. epidermidis</em></td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>2/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. haemolyticus</em></td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>2/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Enterococcus</em></td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>1/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Enterobacter cloacae</em></td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>1/20</td>
<td>1/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Klebsiella pneumoniae</em></td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>1/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. pneumoniae</em></td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>1/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Proteus mirabilis</em></td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>1/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Serratia marcescens</em></td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>2/20</td>
<td>1/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>3/20</td>
<td>3/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ps. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>1/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*QAC: quaternary ammonium compound
†EA: ethyl alcohol
‡Number of positive tubes per 20 tubes containing the test microorganism.
to quaternary ammonium compounds than methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus* (MSSA) strains (1, 6) and linkage between antibiotic and chlorine resistance has been also demonstrated among sewage-related bacteria (14). In this study, we did not observe any relationship between susceptibility to antibiotics and disinfectants (p>0.05), despite of the high number of strains which were resistant to antibiotics (21 strains) in relation to the number of antibiotic-sensitive strains (6 strains) when tested against disinfectants.

Some studies have evidenced the involvement of plasmids in the resistance to some disinfectants, such as heavy metals (11) and formaldehyde (27). An existing cross-resistance between QACs and aminoglycosides, codified by plasmids, has been reported in MRSA strains, leading to the suggestion that the extensive use of cationic agents in the hospital environment can be a factor responsible for the emergence of resistant strains to antibiotic agents (6, 25). Recently, resistance to cationic agents and to antibiotics mediated by the same plasmids has been also observed in coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS), microorganisms associated with infections in implanted foreign bodies (12). Tennent and colleagues (24) suggested that staphylococci evade destruction because the protein specified by the *qacA* determinant, located in a plasmid locus, is a cytoplasmic membrane-associated protein involved in an efflux system that actively reduces intracellular accumulation of the QAC. In this report, the analysis of staphylococci, as a group, demonstrated that four methicillin-resistant staphylococci strains (three *S. aureus* strains and one *S. haemolyticus* strain), resistant to aminoglycoside, presented resistance mainly to the QAC (4/8 strains; Table 3), while all antibiotic-sensitive strains were sensitive to the QAC (Table 2). MRSA and MSSA strains assessed in this report showed similar responses to phenolic compounds, as observed previously (1).

The high colonization rate of the inpatients with *Enterococcus* strains resistant to several antibiotic agents, especially ampicillin and aminoglycosides, represents a challenge to the therapy of these nosocomial infections. Recently, vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) have also emerged as serious nosocomial pathogens (7). However, some reports have shown that the vancomycin-resistant and -susceptible enterococci isolated from various clinical sources and inanimate surfaces, when challenged in disinfectant tests, demonstrated similar survival (3, 21, 22). In our study, only two *Enterococcus*, antibiotic-resistant and -sensitive strains, isolated from surgical site infections were analyzed and showed susceptibility to all disinfectants used (Tables 2 and 3). A greater number of isolates should be investigated to allow a better comparison.

Gram-negative bacteria are generally less susceptible to biocides than Gram-positive species. Such resistance is likely to be intrinsic rather than plasmid-mediated, due to outer membrane that acts as a protective barrier (19). Hospital isolates of Gram-negative bacteria belonging to the *Enterobacteriaceae* family, such as *Klebsiella, Enterobacter, Serratia* and *Proteus*, have shown resistance to disinfectants, mainly quaternary ammonium compounds and phenols (10, 18). Hammond and coworkers (10) showed that the majority of antibiotic-sensitive *Escherichia coli* strains were inhibited by QACs and that strains of *Klebsiella, Serratia* and *Proteus* were uniformly more resistant to the quaternaries than *E. coli*. However, most of these organisms were also susceptible to the majority of antibiotics. Stickler and Thomas (23) demonstrated that 15% of strains of Gram-negative bacteria isolated from urinary tract infection were more resistant to QACs, as well as, to antibiotics. Navajas et al (16) showed that the phenol compounds were less active than QACs when 70 hospital strains of Gram-negative bacteria were tested. In the present study, the antibiotic-resistant hospital strains of *P. mirabilis* and *E. cloacae* were resistant to the QAC and synthetic phenols (Table 3), whereas the *K. pneumoniae* strains were only resistant to the QAC. In spite of the lack of significant statistical differences (p > 0.05) between antibiotic-resistant and -sensitive isolates when tested against disinfectants, *E. cloacae* and *P. mirabilis* strains susceptible to antibiotics were more sensitive to disinfectants (Table 2) than Gram-negative resistant ones (Table 3). We also found that *E. coli* strains were more susceptible to disinfectants than other *Enterobacteriaceae* tested, as demonstrated by Hammond et al. (10).

Due to the capacity of surviving in unfavorable environmental conditions and to the high resistance to antibiotic agents, antiseptics and disinfectants, *P. aeruginosa* continues to be an important pathogen in hospital acquired infections, mainly respiratory and urinary infections. The transmission of this bacterium is almost always related to contamination of medical-surgical instruments and respiratory apparatus (8). Vess et al. (26) demonstrated that *Pseudomonas* spp survive during long periods on the surfaces of polyvinyl chloride (PVC) pipes, showing tolerance to the treatment with different disinfectants (synthetic phenols, QACs, formaldehyde and chlorine), and could become a potent reservoir of microbial contamination. Fernandéz-Astorga et al (9) have reported that the high resistance of *Pseudomonas* spp to cationics agents seems to be associated with the chemical composition of the external membrane. Our study demonstrated that *P. aeruginosa* strains were resistant to the antibiotic agents, as well as to the QAC and phenols. Nevertheless, the only strain susceptible to the QAC showed also more susceptibility to antibiotics used in the therapeutic of infectious diseases caused by this microorganism, such as cefotaxime, aztreonan, ceftazidime and quinolones.

The more appropriate disinfectants for hospital disinfection were aldehydes and hypochlorite. In relation to phenols and QACs, sometimes used in routine surface disinfection (contaminated hospital rooms) in Brazilian hospitals, they
should be used in higher concentrations in order to achieve the requisites mentioned by Rutala et al. (21). However, disinfectant products should be selected more appropriately, as part of infection control practices, based on particular circumstances. For example, certain active agents, like QACs, are more effective against gram-positive than gram-negative bacteria (13).

Studies to determine the possible relationship between antibiotic resistance and disinfectant resistance of clinical isolates are rare. The precise role of plasmids in disinfectant resistance and whether disinfectants can select for antibiotic resistance are unknown. With growing concerns about the development of biocide resistance and cross-resistance with antibiotics, it is clear that clinical isolates should be under continual surveillance and possible mechanisms associated with disinfectant-resistance should be more investigated.
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RESUMO

Análise comparativa da atividade de antibióticos e desinfetantes em amostras hospitalares brasileiras

As infecções nosocomiais têm se tornado uma importante causa de morbidade e mortalidade em todo o mundo. Práticas adequadas de higiene e desinfecção ambiental são fundamentais para o controle destas infecções. O objetivo deste trabalho foi avaliar a atividade bactericida de alguns desinfetantes em amostras hospitalares resistentes e sensíveis à antibióticos. A susceptibilidade aos desinfetantes e antibióticos de 27 amostras clínicas foi determinada pelo Método de Diluição de Uso (AOAC) e pelo Método de Kirby-Bauer, respectivamente. Os resultados mostraram que todas as amostras testadas foram suscetíveis ao hipoclorito de sódio, ao glutaraldeído e à associação de quaternário de amônio-formaldeído-álcool etílico. Contudo, a susceptibilidade das amostras ao fenol e ao quaternário de amônio não houve correlação entre susceptibilidade à antibióticos e susceptibilidade à desinfetantes quando foram analisadas amostras clínicas hospitalares.
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