FORMATION OF BIOFILMS BY *STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS* ON STAINLESS STEEL AND GLASS SURFACES AND ITS RESISTANCE TO SOME SELECTED CHEMICAL SANITIZERS
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**ABSTRACT**

The objectives of this work were to verify the capability of *Staphylococcus aureus* of forming bio-film on stainless steel and glass surfaces; to evaluate the efficiency of sodium dichloroisocyanurate, hydrogen peroxide and peracetic acid in inactivating *Staphylococcus aureus* cells adhered onto these surfaces; and to visualize biofilm development by scanning electron microscopy before and after sanitizer treatment. The surfaces studied consisted of 10x20mm chips immersed in Petri dishes containing BHI broth inoculated with *S. aureus* ATCC 25923. Biofilm formation was observed after 15-day incubation, when the cells were removed using the swab technique, followed by Baird Parker agar plating. Also, the efficiency of the chemical sanitizers on the chip surfaces was tested and the non-removed cells were counted on the Baird-Parker agar. After biofilm formation and use of sanitizers, the chips were respectively observed by scanning electronic microscopy following a pre-existing protocol. The obtained results showed biofilm formation on both surfaces, with bacterial count in the order of 10^7 CFU/cm^2 on and 10^8 CFU/cm^2 on stainless steel and glass surfaces, respectively. Peracetic acid was the most efficient in removing adhered cells, presenting 5.26 and 4.5 decimal reduction for adhered cells on stainless steel and glass surfaces, respectively.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Both microbial adhesion and biofilms are of great importance for the food industry and occur on a high variety of food contact surfaces.

Microbial adhesion occurs due to microorganism deposition and attachment onto surfaces, initiating a growth process. Cell multiplication gives rise to colonies and biofilm is established when the cellular mass is thick enough to aggregate nutrients, residues and other microorganisms. (20).

Biofilms are constituted by bacteria adhered onto surfaces, which, in turn, are surrounded by a matrix of organic polymers. They can be considered a deposit where microorganisms are highly adhered onto a surface by means of appendixes of either protein or polysaccharide nature, referred to as glycocalyx (4).

Such appendix protrudes externally either from the outer membrane of gram-negative cells or from the peptidoglycan of gram-negative ones. Under a hydrated state, it contains from 98% to 99% of water, thus protecting the cells against dehydration, since they can hold water in much higher amounts than their own mass, slowly utilizing it when needed (5,10).

A considerable number of both spoilage and pathogenic microorganisms is able to participate at a higher or lower intensity in both adhesion processes and biofilm formation. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Pseudomonas fragi, Micrococcus spp and Enterococcus faecium* (4,9,16), are some of the spoilage microorganisms while *Listeria monocytogenes, Yersinia enterocolitica, Salmonella typhimurium, Escherichia coli O157:H7, Staphylococcus aureus* and *Bacillus cereus* (9,12,13) belong to the pathogenic group.
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These microorganisms participate in the adhesion process with variable intensity. Microorganisms detached from the biofilm layer can be an important source of contamination and damage food quality, causing products to become potential carriers of pathogenic organisms.

Stainless steel, glass, rubber, and polypropylene surfaces can be contaminated either by spoilage or pathogenic microorganisms that, under certain conditions, are deposited, adhered to, and interact with the surface, initiating cellular growth, and consequently leading to biofilm formation (12,13,17,20).

Among the techniques used to detect biofilm formation, electron microscopy is better indicated to evaluate the microbial interaction in the biofilm matrix. This method preserves the associated structures maintained under hydrated and viable conditions. Scanning electron microscopy is considered the most adequate technique for evaluating the interaction of microorganisms in the biofilm matrix. Samples are fixed with the help of a chemical agent, such as glutaraldehyde, paraformaldehyde and osmium, or cryo-fixed through quick freezing, to avoid cell damage by ice crystals (3,8,18,19).

Given the significant damages caused by biofilm to food industries in general, further research must be conducted to elucidate its formation and procedures to remove it and prevent it from building up on food contact surfaces.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Surfaces**

AISI 304 stainless steel and glass surface chips of 10x20mm were used to study biofilm formation. These surface chips were individually cleaned and sanitized with pure acetone, immersed in a neutral detergent for 1 hr, flushed with sterile distilled water, and dried and cleaned with alcohol (70% v/v). After this sanitation process, the chips were submitted to an additional washing with sterile water, dried for 2h at 60°C and sterilized in an autoclave at 121°C for 15 min., according to a modified technique by Rassoni and Gaylarde (14).

**Biofilm**

*Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 25923 culture was used as inoculum, after a certain number of viable cells was obtained (10^6 UFC) from a growth curve, which in turn was built up by the inoculum, after a certain number of viable cells was obtained.

The dishes were incubated by stirring at 37ºC for 72 hr (3 days). The dishes were then removed and washed with sterile saline phosphate buffer solution (PBS pH 7.4) to remove the non-adhered cells. Once again, the dishes were incubated for 24 hr at 37ºC and viable cell count was performed.

**Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis**

After 15-days of incubation, two chips were removed from each dish with sterile tongs and washed with PBS. The biofilm was scraped with sterile swabs, which were transferred to tubes containing 9 mL of 0.1% (p/v) peptone water solution, and Vortex shaken for 2 min. Aliquots were transferred to Baird Parker agar, using the micro drop technique and incubated for 24 hr at 37°C and viable cell count was performed.

**Sanitizer application**

Sanitizer efficiency in destroying cells in the biofilm matrix was studied using the sanitizers hydrogen peroxide, sodium dichloroisocyanurate and peracetic acid.

Solutions of 200 mL were prepared for each sanitizer, using the following concentrations: hydrogen peroxide, 5%; sodium dichloroisocyanurate 100 mg/L and peracetic acid, 0.3%. Two chips from each dish were washed with PBS, immersed in tubes containing 5 mL of the test solutions (sanitizers) for 30 sec., with the hydrogen peroxide at 30°C and the other two at room temperature. The chips were transferred into a neutralizing solution (0.1M Na2S2O3) and kept for 3 seconds. The remaining cells were counted after being swab-scraped and plated on a Baird Parker agar surface using the micro drop technique.

The efficiency of each sanitizer was calculated by the difference between the two observations (before and after sanitizer use)

**Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis**

After the sanitizing process, two chips were removed from each dish with sterile tongs and washed with PBS. The biofilm was scraped with sterile swabs, which were transferred to tubes containing 9 mL of 0.1% (p/v) peptone water solution, and Vortex shaken for 2 min. Aliquots were transferred to Baird Parker agar, using the micro drop technique and incubated for 24 hr at 37°C and viable cell count was performed.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results showing the adherence intensity of the *S. aureus* cells on both experimental surfaces, after 15-day incubation are shown in Fig. 1. The maximum number of viable cell adhesion was in the order of 10⁸ CFU/cm² for the glass surfaces and 10⁷ for stainless steel surfaces, figures that, by their magnitude, clearly indicate biofilm formation on both surfaces.

The number of viable cells adhered onto the glass surfaces was statistically (P ≤ 0.05) different from that found on stainless steel surfaces, the difference being in the order of one log cycle. The temperature (37°C) used in the incubation process, which is the ideal for *S. aureus* development, might have been one of the factors influencing the intense adhesion process on both surfaces. Morton *et al.* (10) reported that, regardless of the species or surface utilized, the adhesion process occurs at maximum intensity when microorganisms are allowed to grow at their optimum temperature.

The intense adhesion observed on both surfaces may have occurred due to the negative electrical charge of surface gram-positive bacteria present when the medium is at neutral pH, as it occurred in this work. BHI broth is a medium in which microbial metabolism can generate conditions that are fairly favorable to the adhesion process, affecting the surface charge characteristics, for instance. Mosteler and Bishop (11) reported that type of species and culture medium, as well as microorganism concentration, are factors that may also affect the adhesion process.

Zotolla and Sasahara (20) reported that for biofilm formation to occur, the number of adhesion cells must range from 10⁶ and 10⁷ CFU/cm², and that values lower than those would be just an indication of an adhesion process.

As shown in Fig. 1, the glass surfaces presented a higher intensity of biofilm formation, compared to the stainless steel surfaces, with a difference in the order of one log cycle, which may be explained by the higher electric charge of the glass. The plate count of the swabs after being used to scrape the surfaces could indicate biofilm formation on both surfaces.

Scanning electronic microscopy (SEM) was used to observe both surfaces. Biofilm formation by *S. aureus* was clearly observed on both glass and stainless steel chip surfaces after a 15-day incubation period at 37°C, as shown in Fig. 2 (A,B).

Scanning electronic microscopy allows the observation of bacteria/surface interaction and may be used as a semi-quantitative technique. It is almost impossible to quantify surface microorganisms since they may be grouped and cells may be arranged in overlapped layers.

![Figure 1](image1.png)

**Figure 1.** Mean of the log number of cells of *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 25923 adhered onto glass and stainless steel surfaces.

![Figure 2](image2.png)

**Figure 2.** Electron micrographs of *Staphylococcus aureus* cells adhered onto glass (A) and stainless steel (B) surfaces, visualized by scanning electron microscopy.
An important factor observed was the production of a considerable amount of exopolysaccharide matrix by *S. aureus* cells growing on the chip surfaces, as reported by Tsuneda et al., (15). The authors pointed out that adhesion of heterotrophic bacteria isolated from pearl glass from a water treatment reactor is inhibited by electrostatic interaction; this is of relevant importance since it alters the physical-chemical characteristics of the surface, such as charge, hydrophobicity and polymeric properties.

The electro micrographs obtained clearly indicate a fairly thick biofilm formation, which may be explained by the long contact between *S. aureus* culture and the chips, 15 days (360 hours).

According to research conducted by Gandara and Oliveira (6) stainless steel plates of 20 cm² were incubated at 45°C with milk inoculated with about 1.0 x 10⁷ CFU/cm² of an indigenous strain of *Streptococcus thermophilus*, under gentle stirring. After three hours of contact, the number of adhered cells was 1.6 x 10¹ CFU/cm² and after six hours, 1.9 x 10⁴ UFC/cm². The authors suggest that the count would probably increase, becoming a biofilm if longer contact time had been used.

The literature on *S. aureus* biofilm formation on stainless steel and glass surfaces is quite scarce, making it difficult to conduct a comparative discussion of the results obtained. An important research work was conducted by Joseph et al. (7). The results presented in Fig. 1 are similar to those reported by these authors, who studied two *Salmonella* cultures isolated from chicken, (*Salmonella weltevreden* and *Salmonella FCM40*), and found a population density of 10⁶ CFU/cm² for both cultures after a 48 hr contact with stainless steel surface.

Counts of *S. aureus* cells adhered onto glass and stainless steel surfaces before and after using sodium dichloroisocyanurate hydrogen peroxide, and peracetic acid, are presented in Tables 1 and 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sanitizers</th>
<th>Mean Initial number</th>
<th>Final number</th>
<th>Fraction reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sodium dichloroisocyanurate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.59</td>
<td>3.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen peroxide (500 mg/L)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.59</td>
<td>4.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peracetic acid (390 and 540 mg/L)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.59</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When comparing the three sanitizers, peracetic acid was found to be the most effective in removing biofilm formed by *S. aureus* cells on both stainless steel and glass surfaces.

Variance analysis results showed a significant difference (P<0.05) among the three sanitizers used in the formation biofilm on the *S. aureus* cells adhered onto both stainless steel and glass surfaces, as shown in Fig. 3 and 4.

The electro micrographics of both stainless steel and glass coupons after application of the three sanitizers can be seen in Fig. 5.

The high efficiency of peracetic acid observed may be explained by its high capacity of oxidizing cell molecules. Such an action makes it a good sanitizer since it stays in equilibrium between the hydrogen peroxide and the acetic acid. Hydrogen peroxide concentration in equilibrium is of great importance since its action is a result of an oxidative process upon the cell molecules.
Product labels do not specify the exact active compound concentrations; the manufacturers only state that the product contains between 390 mg/L and 540 mg/L of peracetic acid, as concentrations recommended by food industries, ranging between 300 and 700 mg/L, according to Andrade and Macedo (2).

It must be emphasized that an appropriate and efficient hygienization process is of fundamental importance, since the American Public Health Association (APHA) recommends a maximum tolerated limit of 2 CFU/cm² in order to consider a food contact surface appropriate, whereas the World Health Organization (WHO) suggests such limits as being 30 CFU/cm². Based on the results obtained and considerations included in this work, it can be stated that sodium dichloroisocyanurate hydrogen peroxide and peracetic acid, under the conditions used in this study, were not efficient in completely removing the cells of \textit{S. aureus}, adhered onto glass and stainless steel surfaces.

**RESUMO**

Formação de biofilme por \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} na superfície de aço inoxidável e vidro e sua resistência a alguns sanificantes químicos

Os objetivos deste trabalho foram verificar a capacidade de \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} formar biofilme nas superfícies de aço inoxidável e vidro, avaliar a eficiência do dicloroisocianurato de sódio, peróxido de hidrogênio e ácido peracético na inativação de células de \textit{S. aureus} aderidas e visualização por microscopia eletrônica de varredura, o desenvolvimento antes e depois do tratamento das superfícies com os sanificantes. As superfícies foram cupons 10x200mm imersos em placas de Petri contendo caldo BHI inoculado com cultura de \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} ATCC 25923. A formação de biofilme foi observada após 15 dias de incubação, quando as células foram removidas pela técnica do suabe, seguiram-se diluições seriadas e plaqueamento em ágar Baird Parker. Testou-se a eficiência dos sanificantes nas superfícies dos cupons e as células não removidas foram enumeradas no ágar Baird Parker. Os cupons após formação do biofilme e cupons sanificados foram observados pela microscopia eletrônica de varredura seguindo um protocolo. Os resultados obtidos indicaram a formação de biofilme em ambas superfícies, com contagens bacterianas na ordem de 10⁷ UFC/cm² e 10⁸ UFC/cm² nas superfícies de aço inoxidável e vidro, respectivamente. Dentre os sanificantes estudados o ácido peracético apresentou uma eficiência maior na remoção das células aderidas, apresentou redução decimal de 5,26 e 4,5 para as células aderidas na superfície de vidro e aço inoxidável.
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