DIVERSITY AND UNCOMMON HPV TYPES IN HIV SEROPosITIVE AND SERONEGATIVE WOMEN ATTENDING AN STI CLINIC
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ABSTRACT

Given the causal relationship between specific types of HPV with cervical cancer and precursor lesions, it is important to identify the viral type involved. The aim of this study is to access the prevalence of HPV types in HIV seropositive and seronegative women. Accordingly, 77 HPV positive cervical samples were obtained from 284 women (seropositive (n=112) and seronegative (n=172) for HIV) who attended a Sexually Transmitted Infection clinic, in Vitoria, Southeastern Brazil. Viral DNA was amplified by PCR using MY09/MY11 degenerated primers and the genotyping was performed by Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism. Seventy five out of the 77 HPV samples were genotyped: 6, 11, 13, 16, 18, 26, 31, 31b, 32, 33, 34, 35, 52, 53, 55, 56, 58, 59, 61, 62, 64, 66, 71, 81, 83, 84. The most prevalent type was HPV16 followed by HPV types 6, 11 and 53. Fifty five percent and 45% belonged to high and low risk types, respectively. High risk types corresponded to 59% and 54.5% of the HPV detected in HIV seronegative and seropositive women, respectively. The uncommon HPV 13 type in cervical samples was also observed in this study. The oncogenic types were more common in the HIV seronegative samples and the number of cases with multiple infections was similar for the two groups. HPV typing is not only important clinically for the establishment of monitoring and treatment of a patient, it also provides knowledge of the viral types circulating in a population, which is of interest in the development of prevention and treatment programs for this disease.
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INTRODUCTION

Human papillomaviruses (HPV) are major sexually transmitted infectious agents (2) and they are the second most common cause of cancer death among women; they are associated with cervical cancer in 99.7% of cases (28). HPVs are classified into more than 100 types (33), nearly 40 of them cause anogenital infection (56) and they are divided
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into low risk (LR) or high risk (HR) according to their association with cervical cancer (29).

HPV infection is particularly burdensome for HIV-infected women as it is more difficult for them to eliminate the virus, which increases the risk of developing cervical dysplasia and cancer (1, 11, 18, 34). Moreover, recent studies indicate a higher frequency of high risk types and a more persistent infection in HIV positive women, in opposition to low risk types found in HIV negative women, other than cases of co-infection (14, 25).

So, the aim of the present study is to determine the frequency of HPV types in HIV seropositive and seronegative women.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Cases and Samples**

This is a descriptive study using 284 cervical brush samples obtained from HIV seropositive (n=112) and seronegative (n=172) women, from 14 to 51 years old (median 29 years old), attending a Sexually Transmitted Infection and AIDS (STI/AIDS) clinic in Vitoria, Brazil, in the period from March to December of 2006 (44). Participants were interviewed after providing informed consent. Enrolled participants underwent a gynecological evaluation and cervical scrape samples were collected for cytological analysis and HPV DNA polymerase chain reaction (PCR) as previously described by our group (27). Seventy seven HPV positive samples were genotyped in this study. From these, 43% (33/77) were from HIV seropositive women and 57% (44/77) from HIV seronegative. Five out of the 77 samples were from women with cytological abnormalities; two and three of them from HIV seropositive and seronegative women, respectively. Seventy two samples were from women with normal cytology or benign cellular changes: three with low grade squamous intraepithelial lesions (SIL), one high grade SIL and one atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance (ASCUS).

**DNA extraction and polymerase chain reaction for HPV**

Viral DNA was extracted from the samples by the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit™ (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions or by the isothiocyanate guanidine and silica methodology (7). HPV DNA amplification was carried out in a final volume of 100 μL, containing 2.5 U of Taq DNA polymerase enzyme (Invitrogen, Brazil), 40 pmol of each consensus primer MY09/11, 2.5 μL of reaction buffer 10X (100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.3, 500 mM KCl), 1.5 mM of MgCl₂, and 200 μM of each dNTP (2, 32), following a amplification program previously described (38). β-globin gene amplification was used as control for the extraction and DNA integrity.

The reactions were carried out in a Perkin Elmer CETUS Thermo Cycler and the amplicons, stained with 0.5 mg/mL ethidium bromide after electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose gel, were observed by a gel imaging system (Bio-Imaging Systems™). All precautions to avoid cross contamination and PCR inhibition were observed. Water was included for all extraction and amplification procedures as a negative control.

**HPV genotyping by Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism**

HPV genotyping was carried out using the RFLP methodology, which is able to detect 44 HPV genital types, as described by Bernard et al. (4). Accordingly, the product of MY09/11 amplification, quantified by spectrophotometer NanoDrop™ (NanoDrop Technologies), was digested overnight in a separate reaction containing twenty units of each digestion enzyme BamH I, Dde I, Hae III, Hinf I, Pst I, Rsal and Sau3A I (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). The fragments were revealed by electrophoresis on 3% agarose gel stained by ethidium bromide, as mentioned before, or on acrylamide gel stained by silver nitrate, and compared with the fragments described by Bernard et al. (4) for analyses of the HPV type.

**ETHICS**

All experiments were performed in compliance with
relevant laws and institutional guidelines and in accordance with ethical standards. The researchers obtained approval for the study from the Ethical Research Committee of the Health Science Center of the Federal University of Espírito Santo. All the women gave their free and informed consent.

**RESULTS**

To determine the HPV infectious type, the HPV DNA of all samples was amplified by PCR. The RFLP technique genotyped 75 out of the 77 samples, the two remaining samples showed indeterminate types. Twenty six different types were found, among them the most prevalent were types 16 (20%), followed by types 6 (12%), 11 and 53 (10.6%) (Figure 1).

In HIV positive women 18 different HPV types were detected, the most prevalent types were 16 (18.2%), 6, 11 and 31 (12% each), while in HIV negative women 19 different types were found; and the most frequent were HPV16 (20.5%), 6 and 53 (11.4% each). Among the HPV types determined, 55% (n=44) were high risk and 45% (n=36) were low risk types, including the types found in co-infection cases. High-risk HPV types were present in low SIL, high SIL and ASCUS out of the five cases with cytological abnormalities (Table 1).

![Figure 1. Prevalence of the HPV types identified by Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism in HIV seropositive and seronegative women, attending the STD-Aids clinic in Vitória, Southeastern Brazil, between March and December 2006.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytology</th>
<th>HPV types*</th>
<th>HIV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low SIL</td>
<td>6 (LR)</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low SIL</td>
<td>33 (HR)</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low SIL</td>
<td>13 (LR)</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High SIL</td>
<td>66 (HR)</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASCUS</td>
<td>31 (HR)</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*LR: Low Risk; HR: High Risk*
HIV seronegative women showed 59% of high risk types (26/44) and HIV seropositive women 54.5% (18/33). HPV types were not determined in two cases, both in HIV negative women. Thirteen cases of multiple infections were observed, seven of them occurred among HIV positive women. Out of the total, ten cases had two HPV types and three, three distinct HPV types. The genotypes observed in co-infection were: 6, 11, 13, 16, 26, 31, 33, 52, 53, 55, 56, 61, 62, 71 and 81.

**DISCUSSION**

It is of great importance to establish the types of HPV circulating in different regions especially in order to be able to follow up the effectiveness of the quadrivalent and bivalent vaccines commercially available (20, 54). There are few studies concerning HPV types in the Espírito Santo region, and the studies that have been carried out employed a low number of samples (17) or used a PCR with specific primers targeting only seven distinct types (27). In contrast a larger number of samples were used in this study and the RFLP technique, which is able to detect 44 types of HPV that infect mucosa, was used.

The results obtained in this study revealed that 76% of the women would not be protected by the quadrivalent vaccine and 84% of them would not be protected by the bivalent vaccine, unless cross-protection with the closest phylogenetical HPV-type occurred. The cross-protection was described for HPV31 and HPV45, which are types related to HPV16 and HPV 18 (6). However, studies concerning cross protection are limited by the time and amplitude of vaccine usage compromising conclusions in this matter.

This study also describes a higher prevalence of the HPV16 type (16%). Although different types of HPV show a variable geographic distribution (12), HPV16 is usually indicated as the most prevalent type in South America, Asia and Europe in general, as in Brazil (8, 9, 12, 39, 41, 43, 44, 52, 53). In Sub-Saharan Africa HPV16 was the second most prevalent (12) and in several other studies showed varied prevalence, but it is usually high (15, 25, 35, 46, 55, 47).

Studies had shown that HIV seropositive women are normally infected by high risk HPV types different from 16 and 18 (47, 23, 25, 26, 31). However, the present study demonstrated HPV16 as the most prevalent type among both HIV positive and HIV negative women, which may be due to a regional distribution feature of this HPV type. Moreover, other studies such as Tanzi et al. (51) identified HPV 16 in HIV positive women as the most prevalent type among those with and without cytological alterations. The higher prevalence of HPV16 in HIV negative women has already been described, and this type accounts for 55% of cervical cancer cases in the world (48).

Since HIV infected women are susceptible to opportunistic infections, it is not surprising that HPV types are more prevalent in HIV-positive women (34). Also the vast majority of studies show that individuals infected with HIV have a higher prevalence of high-risk HPVs (24, 37, 51). Minkoff et al. (36) reported a level of oncogenic types three times higher in HIV-positive women. However in the present study similar levels of HPV types were found in both populations, although slightly higher among HIV-negative women (59% vs. 54.5%).

In HIV-infected women there is an increased risk of HPV infection and squamous intraepithelial lesions (SIL), the precursor of cervical cancer (45, 50). In this study, SIL cases were similar in HIV seropositive and seronegative women, a fact also observed by Luchters et al. in 2010 (30). Moreover the cases of abnormalities were infected by only one HPV type, a not uncommon fact. Fernandes et al., 2008 (16) reported single infection in more than a half of the cases with abnormalities. Samples from women with cervical lesions were infected similarly with high risk and low risk HPV types. It is interesting that many cases of normal cytology were infected by high risk HPV types. These women have a greater chance of developing persistent infection, which can remain asymptomatic for an undetermined period.
The most prevalent types after HPV16 were 6 (9.7%), 11 and 53 (8.6%), which are normally present in Brazilian studies (8, 9, 19, 25, 39, 41, 43, 44, 52), but less frequent in other countries like South Africa, Jamaica, Australia and Ireland (35, 55, 47, 49).

The rate of undetermined types found in this study was low (2.2%), which is due to the RFLP technique used that is able to identify 44 of the 50 types of HPV that infect the mucosa. Other studies using the same methodology found rates of 4.3% to 36.4% of undetermined types (17, 40, 41), while the percentage of these undetermined types varied from 4.2% to 45.1% with the following techniques: AMPLICOR associated to Linear Array, Dot Blot, Linear Array, Kit Papillomavirus Clinical Arrays®, PCR with specific primers (15, 21, 27, 46, 55).

Unexpectedly, in this study HPV13 was found in 4.3% of the samples. This is a rare low risk type, related to HPV6 (13, 21), well-known to be associated with focal epithelial hyperplasia (3) and unusually found in studies infecting the genital tract. Illades-Aguiar et al. (22) found this type in 0.1% of low grade squamous intraepithelial lesion samples, in Mexico. These low percentages of HPV13 could be partially explained by the fact that various techniques of genotyping of HPV are not able to determine this type or due to the uncommon distribution of this type. Unfortunately, the cervical secretions in our study were not enough to sequence those samples to confirm the presence of this HPV type.

HPV type 32 was found in 1% of the samples in the present study and, similar to HPV13, is a major cause of focal hyperplasia epithelial (3). On the other hand, this type is more commonly found infecting the genital tract (10, 21, 22, 42), including in the region of this study (17).

The present study showed a similar number of co-infection cases in HIV-positive and HIV-negative women (7 versus 6) and the maximum number of types found was three. In contrast Levi et al. (25) demonstrated in their study 78.9% of multiple infections with up to 10 different types of HPV in HIV-positive women. Other studies show similarly large number of multiple infections in HIV-positive women (14, 51).

Further studies are extremely important to establish the HPV type in different regions, not only for following up the effectiveness of vaccines released for sale, but also to determine the best prognosis and monitoring of these women, as well as defining specific health policies for the female population infected with HIV.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Fundação de Apoio à Ciência e Tecnologia do Espírito Santo (FACITEC) and Fundação de Apoio à Pesquisa do Espírito Santo (FAPES) for their financial aid.

REFERENCES


51. Tanzi, E.; Amendola, A.; Bianchi, S.; Fusolo, M.M.; Beretta, R.; Pariani, ...


