Tank bromeliad water: Similar or distinct environments for research of bacterial bioactives?
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Abstract

The Atlantic Rainforest does not have a uniform physiognomy, its relief determines different environmental conditions that define the composition of its flora and fauna. Within this ecosystem, bromeliads that form tanks with their leaves hold water reservoirs throughout the year, maintaining complex food chains, based mainly on autotrophic and heterotrophic bacteria. Some works concluded that the water held by tank bromeliads concentrate the microbial diversity of their ecosystem. To investigate the bacterial diversity and the potential biotechnology of these ecosystems, tank bromeliads of the Neoregelia cruenta species from the Atlantic Rainforest in Brazil were used as models for this research. Bacteria isolated from these models were tested for production of bioactive compounds. DGGE of the water held by tank bromeliads was performed in different seasons, locations and sun exposure to verify whether these environmental factors affect bacterial communities. The DGGE bands profile showed no grouping of bacterial community by the environmental factors tested. Most of the isolates demonstrated promising activities in the tests performed. Collectively, these results suggest that tank bromeliads of the N. cruenta species provide important habitats for a diverse microbial community, suggesting that each tank forms a distinct micro-habitat. These tanks can be considered excellent sources for the search for new enzymes and/or new bioactive composites of microbial origin.
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Introduction

Biotechnology is based on the search for and discovery of industrially exploitable biological resources. A classical approach to the stages of biotech search and discovery can be briefly summarized as follows: collection of suitable biological material, screening and selection of materials with desired attributes, final selection of the best candidate from a short list of options and development of a commercial or industrial process (Bull and Stach, 2004).

One of the main tasks of applied microbiology to biotechnology is to develop procedures for obtaining new microbial metabolites within a wide diversity. There seems to be a dependence relationship between biotechnology and biodiversity, which in this context can be seen as a vast reservoir of genetic resources that serves as base for exploring biotechnology (Lopes et al., 2005).

Most of Brazil’s large diversity of ecosystems has been little studied as a source of germplasm. The Atlantic Rainforest, one of the 34 hot spots in the world, has hardly been explored for bioprospection of microorganisms used in biotechnology. In those ecosystems, the water held by tank bromeliads is an excellent model as those bromeliads’ leaves form a holding cup that accumulates water as well as
organic material below the plant rosette, creating micro-climatic conditions that can sustain a diverse microbiota (Goffredi et al., 2011). They are largely distributed and can concentrate the microbial diversity of the surrounding ecosystem (Araújo et al., 1998; Armbruster et al., 2002).

However, due to changes in environmental factors, that microbial diversity can vary along time. Because of the wide variation of the water conditions in the tanks, caused by their exposure to sunlight, the organisms found there are adapted to rapid recolonization, which allows for short-term studies (Lopez et al., 1993).

Studies have shown that exposure to sun or shade affects the composition and diversity of the community in the water held by the tank bromeliads, as well as its microbial diversity (Hagler et al., 1993; Lopez and Rios, 2001). Disturbances are also important ecological factors affecting microbial diversity in natural environments.

Our objective was to evaluate whether there are species with potential biotechnological application in the bacterial diversity found in N. cruenta tank bromeliads and how that bacterial diversity behaves under changes in environmental factors.

Materials and Methods

Sampling

50 to 100 mL of water was aseptically collected from the tanks of Neoregelia cruenta at 2 different sites (Costão de Itaocri and Costão do Córrego do Colibrís) at Parque Estadual da Serra da Tiririca (PEST) (22°48’-23°00’ S; 42°57’-43°02’ W), located between Niterói and Maricá, RJ, in summer, autumn, winter and spring. Six individuals were sampled at each site in each collection; three were exposed to the sun and three were located in the shadow.

Sampling process

In the laboratory, the samples were divided into two subsamples; one for heterotrophic bacteria counts and colorimetric assays and the other for molecular analysis. To the latter, 50 mL of water was then filtered on nitrocellulose filters of 3.0 and 0.22 µm pore and 47 mm in diameter with the aid of a vacuum pump. Filters were stored in 1.5 mL microtubes at -20 °C for DNA extraction.

Bacterial counts

Heterotrophic bacteria counts by spread plate methods in nutrient agar were performed. Colonies with different morphologies were selected for further purification on nutrient agar and were stored at -80°C with glycerol to be later subjected to biotechnological tests. Coliform (totals and thermotolerants) counts by multiple-tube methods were performed, just to verify anthropogenic contamination in those microhabitats (APHA, 1998).

DNA extraction

DNA extraction was performed using the DNA extraction kit for soil (FastDNA® SPIN Kit for Soil) (BIO 101, Califórnia, EUA) following the manufacturer’s advice, but instead of soil, half of 0.22 µm nitrocellulose filters containing filtered water sample was added.

PCR/Denaturing Gradient Gel Electrophoresis (DGGE)

PCR/DGGE experiments were performed with Bacteria universal set of primers U968f-GC1-l1401 (Heuer and Smalla, 1997). Before DGGE analysis, the presence of PCR products was confirmed by electrophoresis in a 1.2% agarose gel run at 80 V in Tris-Borate-EDTA buffer. The gel was stained with 0.5 µg/mL ethidium bromide for 15 min then it was examined under short-wavelength ultraviolet light. A 100 bp DNA ladder (Fermentas, Lithuania) served as the molecular size standard. DGGE of the amplified gene sequences was performed using a DCode System (universal mutation detection system; Bio-Rad). The gel contained 6% acrylamide with a gradient of 45% to 65% denaturant (urea and formamide). All gels were loaded with DNA markers in the first and last lanes surrounding the lanes with samples to allow gel standardization according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Electrophoresis was performed in 1X Tris-acetate-EDTA buffer at 60°C at a constant voltage of 75 V for 16 h. Then, the gels were stained with Sybr Gold (Invitrogen) and visualized using Storm 860 Imaging System (GE Healthcare). The results were presented as dendrograms constructed after image capture and analyzed by Pearson correlation coefficients (r). The cluster analysis was performed by the unweighted pair group method with average linkages (UPGMA) using BioNumerics software (Applied Maths, Belgium).

DGGE gel analysis

DGGE bands were identified and the intensity was measured using BioNumerics software (Applied Maths, Ghent, Belgium). The matrix containing the relative area of each band in the different regions of the samples was generated and used for the detrended correlation analysis (DCA), revealing the linear distribution of data (Adreote et al., 2009). Then the clustering of the samples was first analyzed by principal component analysis (PCA), using as input the matrices of band intensities in each of the analyzed samples. Those analyses were carried out using Canoco (Canoco 4.5, Biometris, Wageningen, The Netherlands) (Ter Braak and Smilauer, 2002).

Diversity indices were also calculated: richness (S) was determined from the number of bands in each lane, and the Shannon-Wiener index (H_) was calculated from 

$$H_\gamma = -\sum_i P_i \ln P_i$$

where $P_i$ is the importance probability of the bands in a lane, calculated from $ni/N$, where $ni$ is the
peak height of a band and $N$ is the sum of all peak heights in the densitometric curve. Evenness ($E$) was calculated as $E = H_{-}/H_{\text{max}}$, where $H_{\text{max}} = -\Sigma \ln S$ (Maggurran, 1988).

### Enzymatic tests

Enzymatic activity was detected through the enzymatic index (EI) which is the relation between the diameter of the substrate degradation halo and the diameter of microbial colony growth. Isolates with EI $\geq 2$ were considered potential enzyme producers (Lealem and Gashe, 1994). Strains were inoculated in the form of spots in modified Bennet-Agar (MBA) plus casein (milk powder) 1% (w/v); gelatin 0.4%; and starch 1% (to verify proteolytic activity in casein and gelatin and amylolytic activity respectively) and incubated for 48 h at room temperature. For gelatin degradation a solution of HgCl$_2$ (HgCl$_2$ 15 g, 20 mL HCl supplemented with distilled water to 100 mL) was added for reading and Lugol’s solution (iodine solution) was used to reveal halo of amylases. The halos and the growth of the colonies were measured with a caliper (Williams et al., 1983).

The ability of bacteria to hydrolyze cellulose was tested on carboxymethylcellulose (CMC) agar. For better visualization of the halo, after two days of incubation at 28 $^\circ$C, a solution of Congo red to 0.1% (w/v) was used to reveal the colonies on the plates (Bairagi et al., 2002).

### Antimicrobial activity test

Spots of the cultures to be tested were inoculated on the surface of BHI agar (Difco) for 24 h at room temperature. Then, 3.0 mL of semi solid cultures of type strains Corynebacterium fimii (NCTC 7547), Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 29213) and Escherichia coli (ATCC 25922), were poured onto the surface of the colonies previously inactivated with chloroform. The production of antimicrobial substances was indicated by inhibition zones around the colony after incubation at 37 $^\circ$C for 18 h (Giambiagi-Marval et al., 1990).

### Selecting bacteria producing Bioemulsifiers

Flasks with 20 mL of mineral medium were incubated under agitation (150 rpm) for 24 h and 48 h at 30 $^\circ$C. To evaluate the emulsifying index, 5 mL of the supernatant was added to diesel oil 10% (v/v), shaken in a vortex. After 24 h, the emulsifying index was obtained (Willumsen and Karlson, 1997).

### Results

#### Coliform counts and heterotrophic bacteria counts

The highest counts for total and thermotolerants coliforms occurred in summer, especially in $N$. cruenta located in the shade (under bushes) in Córrego dos Colibrís. All individuals sampled in that site showed total coliform counts higher than those situated in Costão de Itacoatiara. In the heterotrophic bacteria counts the lowest values occurred in dry seasons and the highest ones in wet seasons for all bromeliads sampled (Figure 1).

#### DGGE analysis

The PCA analysis of DGGE profile showed that the bacterial communities structure presented in the water held by the tank bromeliads was quite heterogeneous, differing among bromeliad individuals regardless of whether they had been exposed to the sun or not over the year (Figure 2). The diversity indices calculated from the PCR-DGGE banding profiles showed no significant difference between the conditions tested. However, the richness score demonstrated the major difference between the samples from Colibri and Itacoatiara regions. Unlike the evenness score,

![Figure 1 - Count in Log of Total Coliforms, Thermotolerant Coliforms and Heterotrophic Bacteria in N. cruenta tank bromeliads in different exposure and location. 1. N. cruenta exposed to sun located in Corrego dos Colibrís; 2. N. cruenta exposed to shadow located in Corrego dos Colibrís; 3. N. cruenta exposed to sun located in Itacoatiara; 4. N. cruenta exposed to shadow located in Itacoatiara.](image-url)
the richness score showed more variation in replicates from Itacoatiara than in replicates from the Colibri region (Table 1).

Enzymatic activity detection

Among the 100 strains tested, 90% presented halo for at least one of the substrates tested, 69% hydrolyzed casein, 75% gelatin, 45% starch and 51% cellulose. Among the total of the strains that were positive in any test, 81 were considered as potential producers of enzymes for their enzymatic index was greater than or equal to 2.0 for at least one of the substrates (Table 2). Cellulolitic activity showed the best values in 46% out of the 51% strains that presented halo with enzymatic index greater than or equal to 2.0.

Antimicrobial activity

Twelve strains out of the 100 tested produced antimicrobial substances against type strain of Corynebacterium fimii. Among those, four showed strong suppression with an inhibition zone larger than 20 mm. Against Staphylococcus aureus, five showed inhibition zone (3 with strong suppression) and against Escherichia coli, 3 (1 with strong suppression). Strains numbers 91 (with all halos over 20 mm) and 3 showed inhibition zone for the three indicator strains tested.

Emulsification index

Among the strains tested, 55% had some level of emulsion within 48 hours of growth. Of those, 35 strains had a rate of 100% of emulsion, of which 22% emulsified oil within just 24 hours of growth.

Discussion

Microbial counts

Total and thermotolerant coliforms and heterotrophic bacteria counts have been performed since previous studies noted high counts of bacteria including coliforms in the water held by bromeliads from a tropical forest in Puerto Rico and Sào Paulo (Rivera et al., 1988). The highest values for total and thermotolerant coliforms found in N. cruenta located in the shadow in Córrego dos Colibris can be explained by input of organic matter that these species receive and by higher probability to receive faeces of birds and other warm-blooded animals found in those bushes.

All bromeliads sampled in Córrego dos Colibris had higher counts than those found in the same species in Itacoatiara. Córrego dos Colibris is a place of limited access, covered by secondary vegetation and does not suffer from human pressures as much as Costão de Itacoatiara does. Anthropogenic pressures decrease forest area, hence decreasing the number of species that visit the bromeliads, which affects the population dynamics of plants and animals in Itacoatiara.

The lowest counts of heterotrophic bacteria occurred in the dry seasons (autumn and winter), whereas the highest counts were obtained in the rainy seasons (summer and spring) for all bromeliads sampled. That can be explained by the greater accumulation of water in the tank bromeliads in rainy seasons leading animals to visit them more often. Another study which analyzed the fauna associated with the Vriesea inflata bromeliad linking it to environmental factors also found higher abundance of macroinvertebrates in terrestrial bromeliads in the spring (Mestre et al., 2001).
Table 2 - Enzymatic index the isolated from the water of tank bromeliads.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolates</th>
<th>Protease/Casein</th>
<th>Protease/Gelatin</th>
<th>Amylase</th>
<th>Cellulase</th>
<th>Isolates</th>
<th>Protease/Casein</th>
<th>Protease/Gelatin</th>
<th>Amylase</th>
<th>Cellulase</th>
<th>Isolates</th>
<th>Protease/Casein</th>
<th>Protease/Gelatin</th>
<th>Amylase</th>
<th>Cellulase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.2*</td>
<td>2.2*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.0*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.0*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.4*</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.0*</td>
<td>2.7*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.3*</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>2.0*</td>
<td>2.0*</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.8*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.3*</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>6.5*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.1*</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.6*</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.5*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.3*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.0*</td>
<td>6.0*</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.1*</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>4.7*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.5*</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>2.3*</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3.3*</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>4.0*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.5*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.3*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.8*</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.0*</td>
<td>4.2*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.0*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.5*</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.0*</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3.0*</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>2.7*</td>
<td>4.0*</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.0*</td>
<td>2.9*</td>
<td>3.6*</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4.0*</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.2*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4.0*</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.1*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.9*</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>6.0*</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.5*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.0*</td>
<td>2.7*</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.2*</td>
<td>2.1*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>2.1*</td>
<td>2.0*</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.0*</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.0*</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.2*</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.3*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.0*</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3.3*</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.4*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.0*</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>4.0*</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>2.1*</td>
<td>2.1*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>3.8*</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.5*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>2.5*</td>
<td>2.0*</td>
<td>2.6*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.0*</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.0*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.7*</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.0*</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>3.1*</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.0*</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.1*</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.0*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.8*</td>
<td>3.0*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.3*</td>
<td>2.0*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.0*</td>
<td>2.3*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>3.4*</td>
<td>4.6*</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>3.3*</td>
<td>2.4*</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.6*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.8*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.0*</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4.0*</td>
<td>2.7*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.5*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.0*</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.3*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>2.3*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.7*</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>2.3*</td>
<td>3.1*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.7*</td>
<td>4.3*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.0*</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2.0*</td>
<td>8.3*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.2*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.8*</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.2*</td>
<td>2.1*</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.0*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.4*</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.0*</td>
<td>5.0*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.6*</td>
<td>4.3*</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.9*</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.7*</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.0*</td>
<td>5.0*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.3*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>7.0*</td>
<td>2.5*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.0*</td>
<td>5.0*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Enzymatic indices higher than or equal to 2.0 were considered as coming from potential producers of enzymes.
Biotechnology potential

The Atlantic Rainforest ecosystem is one of the greatest centers of biodiversity on the planet (Araujo et al., 1998; Nettesheim et al., 2010). Araujo et al. (1998), studied the water held by tank bromeliads as an example of the diversity in those tropical ecosystems and, noted that the predominant species of yeast community in tank bromeliads included many species previously found in tropical forests, which demonstrates the great potential of those microhabitats for the study of the microbial diversity existing in the ecosystem where they are. This microbial diversity represents an enormous and largely unexploited source for biotechnological applications (Weinbauer and Wenderoth, 2002). The use of enzymes in industries, antimicrobial substance production and bioremediation of oil contaminated environments are examples of such applications.

The higher number of isolates (90%) from the water held in tank bromeliads that presented enzymatic activity for at least one of the substrates tested confirmed the potential application of the Atlantic Rainforest microbial diversity in industrial activities.

Several methods evaluate the ability of microorganisms to produce extracellular enzymes in solid medium. Among the variables that determine the choice of the most appropriate method for microbial screening is the direct relationship between the size of the halo and the degrading capacity of microorganisms (Molina et al., 2001). Leallem and Gashe (1994) suggest an enzymatic index (= or > 2.0) to consider a microorganism as a potential producer of enzymes in solid medium. This index can be used either as a useful measure to select strains within a species or as a fast and simple parameter to select strains, as it has been successfully verified in bacteria (Mingardon et al., 2011).

Eighty-one percent of the 90 strains that showed some enzyme activity had enzymatic index greater than or equal to 2.0. Many of them showed enzymatic activity for more than one of the tested enzymes. That enzymatic versatility has been shown by groups of soil bacteria (Oliveira et al., 2006), and enzyme combinations have been widely used in industries. De Azeredo et al. (2003) cites the use of alkaline enzymes such as proteases, amyloses, lipases and cellulases in detergent formulation; and Dutra et al. (2000) reports the use of exogenous enzymes in the sector of food for pigs, chickens and rabbits.

Much of the information on cellulases production has been obtained from studies that focus on the production and characterization of endoglucanases. As the best results of enzyme activity in solid medium were observed in cellulolytic tests, where 51% of the strains showed halo of degradation, and among those, 46% had enzymatic index greater than or equal to 2.0.

Bacterial enzymes may adapt better to fermentation conditions, and may present hipercelullolytic mutants greater than those produced from fungi; purification of cellulase to degrade cellulose can be obtained more easily, and the mechanism of action of the multienzyme complex may be different and better suited to one of the most diverse uses of cellulase in industries (Lima et al., 2004).

Bacterial resistance has emerged as an important problem worldwide as many classes of antibiotics have become less effective in recent years. Therefore, the discovery of new antimicrobial substances becomes necessary (Donia and Humann, 2003). In antimicrobial production assays performed against three indicator strains, 12 strains showed inhibition zone against C. fimi, 5 against S. aureus and 3 against E.coli. Strains 3 and 91 showed strong halos of suppression for all the indicator strains tested.

Another study evaluating the production of antimicrobial substances in 86 strains isolated from Amazonia obtained only two isolates inhibiting S. aureus and 1 inhibiting E. coli, both with an average suppression (Motta et al., 2004). A high proportion of antibiotic-producing strains may be associated with their ecological function, developing a defensive action to maintain their niche (Motta et al., 2004).

Their use as food preservative due to bacteriocin production, phytopathogens and demand for antibiotics by the pharmaceutical industry are good examples of how the techniques of isolation and screening of strains from the environment are important in the selection of microorganisms for industrial application (Cherif et al., 2001). Thus, the results of this work can corroborate that bacteria present in the water held by tank bromeliads can be a viable resource for the bioprospection of a new generation of pharmacological agents.

Half of the strains tested showed a great level of emulsification. Among the 35 that presented 100% of emulsification, 22 showed it just 24 hours after incubation. Considering that the promising use of biosurfactants to remove heavy metals from soils and sediments and the effectiveness of various biosurfactants produced by bacteria, yeasts and plants have already been proved (Hong et al., 2002), it is suggested that the production of biosurfactants in strains that showed a high degree of emulsification be investigated.

Jennings and Tanner (2000) compared the amount of biosurfactant producing microorganisms isolated from contaminated and uncontaminated sites. The percentage of biosurfactant producers in non-contaminated oil environments is higher than in the contaminated ones. This confirms that environments that have never been exposed to contaminants also have biosurfactant producing microorganisms and most of them belong to the genera Bacillus and Pseudomonas.

Another application of surfactants is in the therapeutic area. According to Nitschke and Pastore (2002), the biosurfactant produced by R. erythropolis inhibited herpes simplex virus and parainfluenza virus. Emphasis should be put on the study of those molecules because they are becoming increasingly more promising as a replacement for
highly environmentally aggressive chemical products that are currently being used in industry, in wastewater treatment and in rehabilitation of contaminated sites.

DGGE analysis

DGGE analysis showed a great variation in the structure of the bacterial communities present in the water held in tank bromeliads: no cluster was observed in different seasons over the year (wet and dry seasons), in the different locations studied (with and without anthropogenic activity) as well as under different light exposure (with more or less U.V. radiation). Moreover, the richness score and bands number showed a high variation in the replicates and conditions tested, which also shows there is a great difference among tank bromeliads, suggesting that each one is a singular habitat to a bacterial community. Similar result was observed by Farjalla et al. (2012) that evaluating the profile of the microbial community of *Aechmea nudicaulis*, *Aechmea lingulata*, *Vriesea neoglutinosa*, and *Neoregelia cruenta* demonstrated that bacteria had no habitat associations.

Although there is no statistical difference in the richness score in all conditions studied, great disparity was found between the samples from the Itacoatiara and Colibri regions. In the Colibri region samples the variation of the richness score and the bands number of the replicates is lower, which may have occurred due to anthropogenic activity. The Itacoatiara region receives a large number of human visitors, whereas the Colibri region is more preserved.

The amount of organic material decomposition, the presence of algae and sunlight are factors that seem to be important to determine the species present in the water held in tank bromeliads. The presence of a particular species of animal in phytotema is the result of many processes, some quite obvious and others less so. A species will be present in a determined tank bromeliad because there are no predators, the plant is located at a given height, exposed to a certain amount of sunlight, to certain prey, etc. The same occurs with microorganisms based on nutrient availability, the physicochemical properties of the water and the presence of specific or casual vectors.

Collectively, those results suggest that tank bromeliads of the *N. cruenta* species provide distinct habitats for the bacterial community. For that reason and for the enzymatic results revealed in this work, those microorganism reservoirs can be seen as excellent sources for the search for new bioactive composites of bacterial origin.
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