Effect of aerobic training on EEG alpha asymmetry and depressive symptoms in the elderly: a 1-year follow-up study
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Abstract

The effect of physical exercise on the treatment of depressive elderly adults has not been investigated thus far in terms of changes in cortical hemispheric activity. The objective of the present study was to identify changes in depressive symptoms, quality of life, and cortical asymmetry produced by aerobic activity. Elderly subjects with a diagnosis of major depressive disorder (DSM-IV) were included. Twenty patients (70% females, 71 ± 3 years) were divided into an exercise group (pharmacological treatment plus aerobic training) and a control group (undergoing pharmacological treatment) in a quasi-experimental design. Pharmacological treatment was maintained stable throughout the study (antidepressants and anxiolytics). Subjects were evaluated by depression scales (Beck Depression Inventory, Hamilton Depression Rating Scale, Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale) and the Short Form Health Survey-36, and electroencephalographic measurements (frontal and parietal alpha asymmetry) before and after 1 year of treatment. After 1 year, the control group showed a decrease in cortical activity on the right hemisphere (increase of alpha power), which was not observed in the exercise group. The exercise group showed a significant decrease of depressive symptoms, which was not observed in the control group. This result was also accompanied by improved treatment response and remission rate after 1 year of aerobic exercise associated with treatment. This study provides support for the effect of aerobic training on alpha activity and on depressive symptoms in elderly patients. Exercise facilitates the treatment of depressive elderly adults, leading to clinical and physical improvement and protecting against a decrease in cortical activity.
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Introduction

As life expectancy increases, diseases related to the aging process have become more prevalent. Depression is acknowledged as the psychiatric disease with the highest prevalence in old age (1), being related to functional, mo-
ecular, and structural changes in the brain (2). In this respect, interventions that improve the quality of life, decrease the incidence of disease, and contribute to the treatment of late life disorders are of increasing relevance. Studies have demonstrated that physical activity improves depressive symptoms (3,4) and is an effective strategy for treating depression (5,6), emphasizing the physiological and psychological changes involved in mood improvement. Some issues should be considered in the investigation of the effect of exercise as a treatment for depression, namely the clinical diagnosis according to recognized criteria (DSM-IV, ICD-10), patients with no comorbidities, and evaluation of results following specific criteria (remission, response, effect size) (7,8). In the few existing studies, both aerobic training (9-13) and strength training (14-16) showed a reduction of depressive symptoms, but the authors did not associate this finding with any neurophysiological marker. The improvement was observed in different aspects, such as mood, quality of life, and positive and negative affects.

Studies on the antidepressant effects of physical exercise based on the neurophysiological responses to this activity are also scarce. Electroencephalography (EEG) is a valuable tool used to assess electrophysiological changes associated with mental illness, and has shown increasing utility in assessing brain function in clinical studies of depression (17,18). The effects of exercise on the drop the mood are observed by analyzing cortical hemispherical activity (19-23), especially in the alpha band (8-13 Hz). Based on Davidson’s hypothesis (24), cortical asymmetry observed through the EEG is associated with emotion, with positive affects being related to greater left frontal activity and negative affects to greater right frontal activity. Despite the vast literature on the relationship between alpha asymmetry and emotion (25-28), few studies have been conducted with clinical samples and have assessed this behavior in elderly adults. To the authors’ knowledge, no study investigated the effects of exercise on the treatment of depression through EEG alpha asymmetry in elderly adults. The present study compares the findings of cortical asymmetry, depressive symptoms, and quality of life in depressive elderly adults with and without aerobic training.

Subjects and Methods

Subjects

Subjects included in this study were above 60 years of age, with a diagnosis of major depressive disorder (MDD) according to the DSM-IV (29). In case of diagnostic uncertainty, a second psychiatrist reassessed the patient in order to confirm the diagnosis. Patients had been undergoing pharmacological treatment with antidepressants (fluoxetine or sertraline) or anxiolytics (diazepam, lorazepam, or clonazepam) for at least 6 months at the time of the evaluation. Subjects who were left-handed, were illiterate, or had any psychiatric comorbidity were excluded from the study. The initial sample consisted of 62 eligible patients, but only 20 patients agreed to participate in the trial. They were fully informed about the experimental procedure and were invited to participate in the exercise program. All subjects provided written informed consent and the protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Institute of Psychiatry/UFRJ (#022/01).

Study design and intervention

A quasi-experimental design was adopted with participants choosing to be assigned to the control or the exercise group. The present study is part of a research project on the effect of exercise on mental health (Major Depression, Alzheimer and Parkinson), and is a follow-up of another study that investigated the effect of 6 months of exercise as a non-pharmacological treatment (30). Figure 1 shows the flow of participants according to the CONSORT statement (31). The sample consisted of 20 Caucasian elderly subjects with MDD, 70% females, mean age 71 ± 3 years. Ten patients underwent pharmacological treatment [antidepressants (N = 5), anxiolytics + antidepressants (N = 5) plus aerobic training] (exercise group) and the other 10 received only pharmacological treatment [anxiolytic (N = 1), antidepressants (N = 4), anxiolytics + antidepressants (N = 5)] (control group). Patients were evaluated with a thorough clinical examination and with a resting EEG. The functional capacity was measured by the Time to Up and Go (TUG) test (32) and the Multiple-Sit-to-Stand (MSTS) test (33). Each aerobic session began with a 10-min warm-up period (40% VO2max), followed by 20 min of continuous treadmill walking at an intensity corresponding to 60% VO2max. The exercise session was concluded with a 5-min cool down period. Heart rate (Polar® Sport Tester, Finland) and perceived exertion (Borg Scale) were monitored and recorded every 5 min during each exercise session by physical education instructors. Subjects attended two supervised exercise sessions per week for 12 months, and all subjects attended at least 75% of the total number of sessions (at least 6 days/month).

Assessment of the outcome

EEG recording, three depression scales and a quality of life questionnaire were used to evaluate the subjects at baseline before and after 1 year of exercise. The experimental procedure was carried out at the same time and none lasted more than 1 h. All scales were administered immediately after EEG recording. Handedness was assessed by the Edinburgh Handedness Inventory. Severity of cognitive impairment was evaluated by the Mini Mental State Examination.
Treatment response was defined as a 50% decrease in Depression Scale scores compared to baseline values. Remission was defined as the absence of significant signs or symptoms of depression, whereby patients scoring below the cut-off was indicative of an asymptomatic state (Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS) ≤7; Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) ≤10; Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) ≤9). The validated Portuguese version of the Short Form Health Survey-36 (SF-36) was used (35). SF-36 is a self-rating questionnaire that assesses eight domains, namely physical functioning, role-physical, bodily pain, social functioning, mental health, role-emotional, vitality, and general health.

**Electroencephalogram recording and analyses**

At least 8 min of eyes-closed alert/resting EEG data were collected from the 20 monopolar electrodes sites (Fz, Cz, Pz, Oz, Fp1, Fp2, F3, F4, F7, F8, C3, C4, T3, T4, T5, T6, P3, P4, O1, and O2). The International 10/20 System (referred to linked earlobes) for electrode placement was used with a Braintech-3000 instrument (EMSA-Medical Instruments, Brazil). Eye-movement (EOG) artifact was monitored with a bipolar electrode montage using two 9-mm diameter electrodes attached above and on the external canthus of the right eye. Impedances for EEG and EOG electrodes were below 5 and 20 kΩ, respectively. Artifacts were detected and eliminated by visual inspection and independent component analysis was applied to remove other possible sources of artifacts using the EEGLAB tool (36). Amplifier band-pass was 0.5-100 Hz (3 dB points), and a 60-Hz notch filter was employed. Data were digitized at 240 Hz with 12-bit resolution.

EEG reference remains one of the most controversial issues in EEG asymmetry research. In our study, we used the linked ears reference. A classic power spectral density estimator was used (i.e., based on the squared absolute value of the Fourier Transform) for artifact-free 4-s EEG epochs (spectral resolution: 0.25 Hz) with Hamming windowing. An overlapping factor of 50% (2 s) was used for consecutive epochs. For each of the 20 monopolar derivations, absolute power (µV²) was computed for the alpha (8-13 Hz) frequency band. EEG measures were log-transformed (i.e., X' = log[n(X)]) to provide a normal distribution. A metric value of asymmetry (ln [Right]) - ln [Left]) was computed for the alpha band (Fp2-Fp1, F4-F3, F8-F7, P4-P3, O2-O1). This difference provides a simple unidimensional scale representing the relative activity of the right and left hemispheres, with higher scores putatively indicating relative greater left frontal activity (assuming that alpha is inversely related to activity) (26). The EEG data analysis was described in detail in a previous study from our laboratory (18).

**Statistical analysis**

Baseline differences between groups (control and exercise) were analyzed by an independent sample t-test or a Mann-Whitney U-test for all cognitive, emotional and clinical parameters. All endpoint analyses used a last observation carried forward approach. Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) models adjusting for baseline score and time of disease were used to analyze absolute changes over time (post-pre) in outcome measures between groups (control and exercise). Effect sizes were calculated by the method of Cohen (mean change/pooled standard deviation). For the EEG variable (log absolute power), four-way ANOVA was computed for the alpha band. Treatment (control x exercise) was established as a between-subject factor and time (pre x post), hemisphere (right x left) and area [anterior (F3, F4) x lateral frontal (F7, F8) x posterior (P3, P4)] as within-subject factors. ANOVA (area x hemisphere) is widely employed because it depicts the contribution of activity in each hemisphere. However, the asymmetry metric value (ln [Right]) - ln [Left]) conveniently summarizes the relative activity at homologous electrodes, providing a simple unidimensional scale and simplifying analyses such as correlations (26). Furthermore, two-way ANOVA (treatment x time) was performed for alpha asymmetry scores. We computed the correlation (Spearman) between alpha asymmetry and depression scale scores. The levels of significance were set at P ≤ 0.05 for all statistical analyses.

**Results**

**Subject characteristics**

There was no significant difference in cognition (MMSE exercise: 26.5 ± 2.9; MMSE control: 27.8 ± 2.0) or educational level (exercise: 9.5 ± 2.5; control: 8.7 ± 3.1) between groups. Also, there were no significant differences in age, duration of disease, depression scores, or EEG asymmetry between groups at baseline.

**Adverse events and dropout**

There were 6 dropouts from the study (3 from the exercise and 3 from the control group). The dropouts were due to factors unrelated to physical activity: lack of interest in continuing the program (1 in the exercise group and 2 in the control group), surgeries (2 in the exercise group) and death (1 in the control group). No adverse event took place during the training sessions.
**Depressive symptoms**

The exercise group (EG) showed a statistically significant decrease in depressive symptoms on the three scales employed (BDI, HDRS and MADRS) compared to the control group (CG; Table 1). Remission was more frequent in the exercise group, and this result was observed on the three scales: BDI (EG = 60%; CG = 10%), HDRS (EG = 90%; CG = 30%), MADRS (EG = 100%; CG = 70%). The same positive results were observed in the treatment response of the exercise group (BDI: EG = 30%; CG = 10%; HDRS: EG = 30%; CG = 20%; MADRS: EG = 40%; CG = 20%). The effect size observed in the three scales was greater in the group that associated physical activity with treatment (BDI: 0.12, HDRS: 0.5, MADRS: 0.74) compared to the group receiving conventional treatment (BDI: -0.27, HDRS: -0.25, MADRS: 0.23).

**Quality of life and functional capacity**

Although the exercise group improved in all aspects of quality of life (assessed by the SF-36) after 1 year, the ANCOVA results showed that the difference between exercise and control groups was significant only in role-physical (Table 1).

Functional capacity (assessed by physical tests) was investigated only in the exercise group to confirm the results of physical training. There was improvement in performance after 1 year. Both TUG and MSTS showed better results regarding functional capacity (TUG pre = 8.3 ± 2.1 s; post = 6.4 ± 0.9 s; T = 2.47; P = 0.038; MSTS pre = 9.7 ± 3.5; post = 14.0 ± 1.9; T = -3.47; P = 0.008). These results are noteworthy, since the baseline results of both tests were close to the scores related to risk for loss of functional mobility and they returned to normal range values after 1 year.

**EEG results**

*Absolute alpha power (Ln)*. The results of four-way ANOVA indicated an expected main effect of area (F = 46.59; P < 0.001), demonstrating that frontal areas have less power than posterior areas. In addition, hemisphere x moment interaction (F = 18.40; P < 0.001) pointed to an increase in alpha power differences between hemispheres after 1 year. An area x moment interaction (F = 5.63; P < 0.001) indicated that alpha power in medial frontal (F4F3) and parietal (P4P3) areas increased after 1 year. Moreover, hemisphere x area x moment interaction (F = 3.35; P = 0.04) pointed to an increase in alpha power differences between hemispheres in the lateral frontal area (F8F7) after 1 year. However, the main result was observed in the hemisphere x moment x treatment (F = 4.54; P = 0.04) interaction, indicating that, after 1 year, alpha power increased in the control group in the right hemisphere, whereas no changes were observed in the exercise group. There is some evidence in favor of a strong negative correlation between cortical activity and alpha power, i.e., increased alpha power is related to decreased cortical activity. Alpha power differences among areas, hemispheres, and moments in the two groups are presented in Figure 2.

*Alpha asymmetry (LnRight-LnLeft)*. There was no significant difference in the metric value of asymmetry between groups and moments. Figure 3 illustrates asymmetry values (LnRight-LnLeft) represented by the cortical half-map of the difference of frontal (F8-F7, F4-F3) and parietal (P4-P3) areas after 1 year of treatment (correcting for baseline differences). Although the exercise group presented a decrease in asymmetry in parietal areas (increased right parietal activity), this difference was not statistically significant.

*Asymmetry and depressive symptoms*. There was no correlation between frontal asymmetry and depressive symptoms at any of the moments analyzed. The only correlation observed was in the parietal area (P4-P3) at baseline in the control group: the greater the relatively left parietal activity (greater posterior alpha asymmetry indices Right-Left), the greater the depressive symptoms (Rs = 0.76, P = 0.01). However, after 1 year of treatment, the correlation was no longer significant.

**Discussion**

The severity of depressive symptoms decreased significantly in the group of elderly adults who combined exercise and clinical treatment, whereas those not submitted to the physical training intervention showed an aggravation of depressive symptoms after 1 year. Treatment response and symptom remission also improved in the exercise group. Our results corroborate those of other studies, which indicate that exercise is effective in the treatment of depression (10,16). Despite the difference in effect size observed in the depression scales, all instruments showed an effect size agreeing with the literature. The improvement observed in the exercise group can be related to the several changes known to be produced by exercise: increased activity and production of neurotransmitters (serotonin, norepinephrine, dopamine), increased production of brain neurotrophic factors (IGF1, BDNF, VEGF), neurogenesis, and hemodynamic changes (4,37).

Quality of life, as assessed by the SF-36, improved in the exercise group (all physical and mental parameters). However, a significant difference between groups was observed only on the role-physical aspect after 1 year of treatment; the exercise group improved after training, whereas there was a worsening of this aspect in the control group. In addition,
the exercise group showed a trend to improvement in the physical functioning aspect and in two other mental aspects, i.e., role-emotional and social functioning. For all other aspects, improvements were observed in both groups. Despite the small difference between groups, the improvement seen in quality of life of the elderly subjects with exercise training was extremely relevant from a practical point of view. In addition, in the TUG and MSTS physical tests, the subjects improved their functional capacity. These tests are closely related to aerobic capacity and confirm the physiological results of exercise.

Given that few studies have assessed the effects of exercise in elderly subjects diagnosed with MDD, our study provides a novel approach by evaluating changes in cortical asymmetry using EEG before and after exercise training and pharmacological treatment. The most significant EEG results were related to the effects of exercise on right cortical activity. Specifically, right alpha power increased in the control group (decrease of cortical activity) and no changes were observed in the exercise group. The lack of changes in the exercise group may be regarded as a positive result since it implies maintenance of cortical activity.

Exercise has been shown to counteract the mental decline accompanying senescence and disease. The increase in right alpha power observed in the control group could be related to a decrease in EEG activity, also observed in elderly individuals with cognitive impairment (38). Several studies have used EEG parameters to investigate treatment response (30, 39), since they could be helpful to predict the outcome of antidepressant treatment (39) or for the evaluation of treatment (30). Although alpha power is the most frequently investigated EEG variable, other bands (e.g., theta) and other variables (e.g., EEG mean frequency) have also been utilized with this purpose. Recently, our laboratory observed that depressed elderly individuals showed an increase in EEG mean frequency after 6 months of exercise training (30). Iosifescu et al. (39) found that frontal relative theta power at baseline was significantly lower in responder than in non-responder subjects. However, in our study we did not find differences in alpha power between groups at baseline. In this respect, increased alpha power may be associated with a worse response to pharmacological treatment, since only the control group showed this result, associated with increased depressive symptoms. Alpha power may contribute to the evaluation of the treatment response and of the effect of the pharmacological and non-pharmacological intervention.

Based on Davidson’s hypothesis (24), we expected a decrease in relatively right frontal activity and in relatively left posterior activity. However, our results showed that the frontal asymmetry was not significantly different after exercise and clinical treatment. Although the difference between groups was not significant, we observed a decrease in relatively left posterior area in the exercise group and an increase in the control group. This result corroborates those of investigators who detected a relatively right posterior activity (lower alpha power) in subjects with major depression, but no relatively left frontal activity in this same group (28). We also expected a correlation between frontal and posterior asymmetry (right-left) with depressed symptoms (an inverse and a direct correlation, respectively). Specifically, a greater frontal asymmetry index is related to less severe depressive symptoms and a greater posterior asymmetry index is related to more severe depressive symptoms. However, we observed this correlation only in the control group at baseline, whereas greater posterior asymmetry was related to more severe symptoms. In a previous study from our laboratory, we also did not find any correlation in depressed elderly subjects (18). In one of the few studies on elderly women, Kline et al. (25) found that right frontal asymmetry did not vary significantly when valerian odor (an unpleasant stimulus) was used. More studies are necessary in order to investigate the influence of the aging process on EEG asymmetry and to correlate it with mental disease.

It is important to mention that, although our study found a beneficial effect of exercise on the treatment of depression of elderly subjects, its quasi-experimental design rises some problems regarding the interpretation of the results. We cannot rule out an alternative explanation, according to which the subjects who chose the exercising group could have been more prone to improvement in general.

The present study confirms the beneficial effect of exercise as an add-on treatment of depression in elderly subjects. Our findings suggest that walking on the treadmill twice a week for 30 min at moderate intensity seems to be enough to improve treatment response. Moreover, greater functional capacity and physical and mental health could be achieved with this relatively simple and low-cost procedure. Thus, exercise facilitates the treatment of depressive elderly adults since it provides clinical and physical improvement and protects against a decrease in cortical activity.
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Table 1. Effect of aerobic training on depressive symptoms and quality of life (after-before treatment values).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control (N = 10)</th>
<th>Exercise (N = 10)</th>
<th>F (P) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After-before treatment</td>
<td>After-before treatment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressive scale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDRS</td>
<td>0.87 ± 8.59</td>
<td>-3.55 ± 7.12</td>
<td>11.16 (0.00)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDI</td>
<td>2.11 ± 12.6</td>
<td>-1.44 ± 6.76</td>
<td>11.60 (0.00)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MADRS</td>
<td>-3.14 ± 12.10</td>
<td>-6.88 ± 8.92</td>
<td>6.48 (0.02)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF-36 aspects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role-physical</td>
<td>-31.25 ± 47.32</td>
<td>10.71 ± 45.31</td>
<td>25.49 (0.00)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical functioning</td>
<td>-12.50 ± 6.45</td>
<td>4.28 ± 11.70</td>
<td>4.32 (0.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bodily pain</td>
<td>-0.50 ± 11.61</td>
<td>-2.42 ± 25.50</td>
<td>0.89 (0.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social functioning</td>
<td>-6.25 ± 52.53</td>
<td>26.78 ± 39.15</td>
<td>3.53 (0.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health</td>
<td>-7.00 ± 28.54</td>
<td>16.57 ± 18.68</td>
<td>3.02 (0.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role-emotional</td>
<td>-8.3 ± 41.94</td>
<td>38.09 ± 48.79</td>
<td>4.21 (0.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitality</td>
<td>13.75 ± 29.54</td>
<td>11.42 ± 18.41</td>
<td>0.55 (0.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General health</td>
<td>9.25 ± 32.18</td>
<td>1.85 ± 11.85</td>
<td>0.14 (0.71)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are reported as means ± SD. Aerobic training consisted of treadmill walking for 30 min twice a week for 1 year. Measurements were made before and after 1 year of training. *Significant difference between groups (ANCOVA). HDRS = Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; BDI = Beck Depression Inventory; MADRS = Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale; SF-36 = Short Form Health Survey-36.
Figure 1. Flow of participants through the study. MDD = major depressive disorder; LOCF = last observation carried forward.
Figure 3. Cortical maps of asymmetry values for control (left) and exercising (right) subjects with major depressive disorder after treatment (corrected for baseline differences). The cortical maps represent the difference (right-left) only in frontal (F4-F3, F8-F7) and parietal (P4-P3) areas. Greater values (white, yellow and orange) represent relatively left activity and lower values (blue, green and black) represent relatively right activity. A greater frontal asymmetry index or a lower posterior asymmetry index is related to fewer depression symptoms.

Figure 2. Absolute alpha power (Ln) of control and exercising depressed elderly subjects. A lower alpha power is indicative of greater activity. *Hemisphere x moment x treatment interaction (F = 4.54; P = 0.04).