Drugs and lifestyle for the treatment and prevention of coronary artery disease - comparative analysis of the scientific basis
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Abstract

In this article, we compare two strategies for atherosclerosis treatment: drugs and healthy lifestyle. Statins are the principal drugs used for the treatment of atherosclerosis. Several secondary prevention studies have demonstrated that statins can significantly reduce cardiovascular events including coronary death, the need for surgical revascularization, stroke, total mortality, as well as fatal and non-fatal myocardial infarction. These results were observed in both men and women, the elderly, smokers and non-smokers, diabetics and hypertensives. Primary prevention studies yielded similar results, although total mortality was not affected. Statins also induce atheroma regression and do not cause cancer. However, many unresolved issues remain, such as partial risk reduction, costs, several potential side effects, and long-term use by young patients. Statins act mainly as lipid-lowering drugs but pleiotropic actions are also present. Healthy lifestyle, on the other hand, is effective and inexpensive and has no harmful effects. Five items are associated with lower cardiac risk: non-smoking, BMI ≤25, regular exercise (30 min/day), healthy diet (fruits, vegetables, low-saturated fat, and 5-30 g alcohol/day). Nevertheless, there are difficulties in implementing these measures both at the individual and population levels. Changes in behavior require multidisciplinary care, including medical, nutritional, and psychological counseling. Participation of the entire society is required for such implementation, i.e., universities, schools, media, government, and medical societies. Although these efforts represent a major challenge, such a task must be faced in order to halt the atherosclerosis epidemic that threatens the world.
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Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the main cause of death in Brazil, as well as in the world, including rich and poor countries. One century ago, CVD accounted for less than 10% of all deaths worldwide, while today it is responsible for approximately 30%, including nearly 40% of deaths in high income countries and about 28% in countries with low or average income (1).

In Brazil, mortality from CVD is estimated to be 33% (2). Of note is the increase in the number of elderly individuals, especially over 80 years of age. Such group accounted for 0.32% of the general population in the USA in 1950, as opposed to 0.74% in 1990 (an increase of over 130%). In Brazil, this group represented 0.4% of the general population in 1980 and 0.9% in 2001. Furthermore, in 2000, approximately 15% of the population was 50 years of age or older. This percentage is expected to reach 42% by 2050. As the Brazilian population gets older, non-communicable diseases will burden the health care system, and the costs associated with such diseases already account for half the costs of all hospital admissions. Non-communicable diseases account for 66% of all diseases, while contagious diseases account for
24% and injuries for 10% (2). This rate of non-communicable diseases is not necessarily an inevitable result of modern society, but rather a problem that can be avoided. The main factors behind most of these diseases, i.e., coronary artery disease (CAD), stroke, diabetes, and several types of cancer, are not genetic but rather environmental and behavioral. Atherosclerosis in particular is responsible for most cardiovascular events.

Atherosclerosis is a chronic inflammatory and proliferative disease, which may cause obstructions of the coronary, cerebral and peripheral arteries. Atherosclerosis tends to be a progressive process that begins early in life, probably in childhood. It is typically multifactorial in origin, most often dependent on risk factors such as hypercholesterolemia, diabetes, smoking, hypertension, sedentarism, and obesity. Atherosclerosis results from a complex interaction of hemodynamic and biochemical factors and is determined by circulating blood cells, endothelial cells, smooth muscle cells, blood lipids, and connective tissue of the arterial wall. Once considered to be the result of fat deposition in the arterial wall, it is now viewed as an inflammatory and proliferative process that can lead to arterial wall thickening and eventual complete obstruction that hampers myocardial blood flow, thus causing the clinical syndromes of angina or infarction (3). These mechanisms, however, are not the subject of this paper.

As far as clinical evolution is concerned, coronary atherosclerosis has two distinct phases (Figure 1): the asymptomatic phase that may begin at birth and proceed until mid-life when symptoms occur. This phase is usually associated with non-obstructive lesions. However, some of these lesions may suffer acute instability due to plaque rupture, with partial or total occlusions, causing acute coronary syndromes or infarction.

The symptomatic phase is characterized by flow limiting, advanced lesions and is associated with classical clinical manifestations such as effort angina, heart failure or myocardial infarction (MI). Hence, this is the phase that more commonly causes complications.

Until recently, most medical efforts were directed towards the symptomatic phase, including diagnostic procedures and treatments. Lately, more emphasis has been placed on identifying patients in the early, asymptomatic phase. Thus, several biomarkers and noninvasive imaging techniques have been developed whose main goal is to identify individuals in the early stages of atherosclerosis, in order to avoid its progression or even to prevent its development.

CAD is responsible for most clinical complications of atherosclerosis. Its treatment is basically covered by four types of interventions: 1) coronary surgery; 2) percutaneous coronary interventions; 3) drug treatment; 4) lifestyle modification.

The first two focus on CAD complications, such as angina or infarction, do not interfere with the biochemical nature of the disease. Drugs and healthy lifestyle, on the other hand, not only can prevent disease development but can also prevent complications, reduce or abolish progression and even induce plaque regression.

The choice of either approach or of a combination of the two has major implications, including effectiveness, costs, adherence, and side effects. The purpose of this article is to compare these two latter approaches, drug treatment and lifestyle modification, highlighting the peculiarities of each one and offering practical suggestions for physicians.

Drugs

Ancel Keys, in his landmark Seven Countries Study published in 1966 (4), observed that cardiac mortality was directly associated with fat consumption in different countries; the more fat people ate, the greater was coronary mortality. In 1961, Kannel et al. (5) showed a direct association between plasma cholesterol and cardiac mortality in the Framingham Study, with mortality also substantially increasing with increasing blood pressure. These two fundamental clinical observations followed the original discoveries of the Russian investigators Anichkov, Ignatowski and Chalatov (6) who, in the early 1900’s, fed rabbits with milk and eggs and demonstrated that atherosclerosis was produced only by egg cholesterol. Although it took about 50 years for these basic discoveries to reach the clinical arena, when they finally did, their impact was immense and changed medical research and practice forever. Several epidemiological studies subsequently confirmed these relationships, which covered a wide range of cholesterol concentrations, including those considered to be normal or mildly elevated. Both drug therapy and diets began to be tested to ascertain whether they could change the natural history of atherosclerosis. Definitive assessment of whether LDL reduction would be beneficial was facilitated by the development of potent cholesterol-lowering drugs, the 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase inhibitors, termed statins. Since then a series of studies have demonstrated their efficacy in preventing major cardiovascular events.

Secondary prevention

Patients who had already experienced coronary events, those at particularly high risk for events, namely diabetics and patients with peripheral artery disease, were the initial natural focus. Some of the most important trials are summarized here.
In the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial (MRFIT) (7), 69% of deaths from CAD in the first 6 years of follow-up occurred in subjects with total cholesterol (TC) between 182 and 264 mg/dL. In the first 16 years of the Framingham Heart Study, 40% of participants who developed MI had TC levels between 200 and 250 mg/dL.

All-cause mortality was significantly reduced, due mainly to a significant 18% decrease in coronary deaths. The authors concluded that adding simvastatin to existing treatments safely produced substantial additional benefits for a wide range of high-risk patients, irrespective of their initial cholesterol concentrations.

In the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (7) the HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor simvastatin reduced total mortality in patients with CVD by 30% mainly due to a 42% reduction in deaths from CAD. The secondary endpoint, analyzed by time of first event, was “major coronary events”, which comprised coronary deaths, definite or probable hospital-verified non-fatal acute MI, resuscitated cardiac arrest, and definite silent MI verified by electrocardiogram. Simvastatin produced highly significant reductions in the risk of death and morbidity for patients with coronary heart disease (CHD) followed for a median tie of 5.4 years. The 4S study also provided evidence for a beneficial effect of simvastatin on fatal plus nonfatal cerebrovascular events.

Subsequently, in the CARE Trial (7), another statin - pravastatin, was shown to reduce fatal and nonfatal coronary events in patients with CAD. The purpose of the CARE Trial was to investigate whether the benefit achieved by lowering the LDL cholesterol levels of patients who had hypercholesterolemia could be extended to patients with CAD and average LDL. The results showed that reducing LDL cholesterol from average to low levels (from a mean of 139 to a mean of 97 mg/dL) significantly decreased recurrent coronary events. The magnitude of the risk reduction was consistent for the major end points of myocardial infarction, i.e., coronary death, bypass surgery and angioplasty. However, there was no significant reduction in overall mortality.

In addition, the CARE Trial showed that patients 60 years old or older, women and those with impaired left ventricular ejection fraction, in all of whom the efficacy of lowering cholesterol levels had been questioned, also experienced a similar risk reduction. Stroke, a specified endpoint in the CARE Trial, was significantly reduced by 31% in the pravastatin group. This agreed with the reduction in cerebrovascular endpoints found in post hoc analyses of data from several previous trials conducted in hypercholesterolemic populations. A meta-analysis of pravastatin trials in patients with atherosclerosis showed a significant 62% reduction in stroke.

The long-term intervention with pravastatin in ischemic disease (LIPID Study) (7) was another study with pravastatin, which provided evidence that lowering cholesterol levels with statin patients with a broad range of initial cholesterol levels and a history of MI or unstable angina reduced the risk of death from CAD, CVD, and all causes combined. In addition, the risk of MI or stroke was significantly reduced.

These results extended the findings of the 4S, which showed that treatment had benefits in terms of mortality from CHD and overall mortality among patients with CHD who had a mean cholesterol level of 261 mg/dL at study entry. In the LIPID Study, results demonstrated similar benefits in patients with a mean cholesterol level about 44 mg/dL lower than in 4S.

The LIPID Study also extended the findings of the CARE Study, which had shown a reduction in the composite death outcome due to CAD and nonfatal MI in patients with CAD with a similar mean cholesterol level of 209 mg/dL at entry; mortality, also was reduced.

The heart protection study (HPS) (7), a large British study of about 20,536 patients who were cared for by their attending physicians, demonstrated more than a 20% reduction in vascular events in patients with prior MI, stroke, peripheral vascular disease, or diabetes and TC levels as low as 135 mg/dL, a substantial number of whom had LDL under 100 mg/dL. By implication, this result suggests that these agents may work by additional mechanisms that are unrelated to lipid lowering. Some investigators have suggested that statins also have pleiotropic properties, such as modulation of inflammation within the arterial wall, that may contribute to their beneficial effect. In the Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial (Ascot) (7), 19,342 subjects aged 40 to 79 years, with hypertension and at least three other cardiovascular risk factors were randomized to one of two antihypertensive regimens. The lipid-lowering arm included 10,305 subjects with TC of 6.5 mM or less who were randomized to receive 10 mg atorvastatin in addition to their anti-hypertensive treatment, or placebo. The primary endpoints were death from CHD and nonfatal heart attack. After 3.3 years, atorvastatin had shown clear benefits over placebo, yielding a relative risk reduction of 36%. Additional benefits were reduced risk of stroke, total cardiovascular events, and total coronary events.

These and other well-conducted studies firmly established that cholesterol lowering, independently of baseline values, reduced cardiovascular events in a broad population spectrum, including those who suffered previous events and those at high risk as well.
Primary prevention

The fact that the benefit from LDL reduction in patients without clinical CAD (primary prevention) extends to individuals with average serum cholesterol levels, women, diabetics, smokers, and older persons was observed in The Air Force/Texas Coronary Atherosclerosis Prevention Study (AFCAPS/TexCAPS) (8). A cohort of healthy middle-aged and older men and women with average TC and LDL levels and with below-average HDL-C levels was studied.

The primary endpoint was the incidence of first acute major coronary events, fatal or nonfatal acute MI (AMI), unstable angina, or sudden cardiac death. Treatment with lovastatin resulted in a 37% reduction in the risk for first acute major coronary events, defined as fatal or nonfatal AMI, unstable angina or sudden cardiac death. The differences between the two treatment groups appeared as early as after one year. Analysis of secondary endpoints confirmed that the composite primary endpoint was representative of its components: lovastatin therapy significantly reduced the risk for fatal or nonfatal AMI by 40% and the risk for unstable angina by 32%. Risk reduction with lovastatin across the spectrum of cardiovascular events was further confirmed by a 33% risk reduction in the need for revascularizations and 25% risk reductions in both total cardiovascular and total coronary events.

The West of Scotland Coronary Prevention Study (WOSCOPS) (8) was a double-blind study designed to determine whether the administration of pravastatin to men with hypercholesterolemia and no history of MI reduced the combined incidence of nonfatal AMI and death from CAD. A total of 6595 subjects underwent randomization. Pravastatin lowered plasma TC levels by 20%, LDL by 26%, and triglycerides (TG) by 12%, whereas HDL was increased by 5%. There were no such changes with placebo. Reductions in all-cause and CAD mortality were not significant; noncardiovascular death, including death from cancer, trauma or suicide, did not differ between groups. Compared to placebo, statin treatment reduced the risk of fatal or nonfatal coronary events by approximately 30%. These two major trials lend support to the concept that hypercholesterolemia alone, even in the absence of overt CAD, deserves treatment both in men and women.

Acute phase

Patients suffer the highest rate of death and recurrent ischemic events during the early period after an acute coronary syndrome (ACS), but when the Myocardial Ischemia Reduction with Aggressive Cholesterol Lowering Trial (Miracle) (7) was designed it was not clear whether early initiation of treatment with a statin could reduce the occurrence of early events. Thus, Miracle sought to determine whether treatment initiated 24 to 96 h after an ACS reduces death and nonfatal ischemic events. A total of 3086 adults aged 18 years or older with unstable angina or non-Q-wave AMI were stratified and randomly assigned to receive treatment with atorvastatin (80 mg/day) or matching placebo after hospital admission. Atorvastatin reduced recurrent ischemic events over a 16-week period of treatment. There was a 2.6% absolute reduction and a 16% relative reduction in the primary combined endpoint of death, nonfatal AMI, cardiac arrest with resuscitation, or worsening symptomatic myocardial ischemia with objective evidence and emergency rehospitalization. Although the study was not powered to assess mortality, it did show beneficial effects of atorvastatin on ACS.

The pravastatin or atorvastatin evaluation and infection therapy-thrombolysis in MI (Prove It-Timi Trial) (9) was designed to compare the standard degree of LDL cholesterol lowering to approximately 100 mg/dL with 40 mg pravastatin daily with more intensive LDL cholesterol lowering to approximately 70 mg/dL with 80 mg atorvastatin daily as a means of preventing death or major cardiovascular events in patients with ACS.

The more intensive regimen resulted in a lower risk of death from any cause or major cardiac events than did a more moderate degree of lipid lowering. Intensive therapy with atorvastatin resulted in a median LDL cholesterol level of 62 mg/dL compared with 95 mg/dL for standard-dose pravastatin. Intensive therapy had a consistent beneficial effect on cardiac events, including a significant 29% reduction in the risk of recurrent unstable angina and a 14% reduction in the need for revascularization. The reduction in the rate of death from any cause was of borderline significance (28%, P = 0.07), suggesting that more aggressive lipid lowering is important not only to reduce the risk of recurrent ischemia, but possibly also to decrease the risk of fatal events.

Reduction of clinical events with more intensive lipid-lowering therapy was apparent as early as 30 days after the beginning of therapy. This reduction was similar to that reported with statin treatment in the placebo-controlled Miracle Trial. These data corroborated previous observations that patients with ACS can particularly benefit from early and intensive lipid-lowering therapy with statins. Acute LDL lowering by statins and simultaneous improvement in endothelial function have also been demonstrated. Based on these observations, it is now generally accepted that statin therapy should be used in ACS, provided LDL is elevated.
Plaque regression

Whether regression of established coronary lesions can be achieved by any means is a key question. Earlier studies (10) relied on coronary angiography and assessed effects of various interventions including diets, exercise, surgical ileal shunt, as well as not so potent lipid-reducing drugs such as colestipol, colestiramine, niacin, and lovastatin. In the last decade, when imaging techniques for plaque evaluation were developed and more potent anti-lipidemic drugs became available, investigations of this issue regained impetus. Studies such as asteroid (11), reversal (12), meteor (13), and atheroma (14) investigations addressed this question, sharing the following characteristics: 1) analysis of plaque volume by intracoronary ultrasound and of carotid intima/thickness by ultrasound or magnetic resonance imaging; 2) use of potent statins including atorvastatin and rosuvastatin; 3) consistent and large LDL reductions, usually to 70-80 mg/dL associated with significant increases in HDL; 4) follow-up of about 2 years with a sufficient number of patients who were carefully monitored; 5) randomization with appropriate controls and treatment groups. Figure 2 shows the results of the Meteor study.

In these circumstances, all investigators observed that major lipid lowering induced slower lesion progression or even plaque regression. The most significant finding seemed to be plaque stabilization in the treatment groups while lesion progression occurred uniformly among untreated controls. It is estimated that regression may occur in up to 20-25% of cases.

An alternative strategy to modify atherosclerotic evolution was tested by Nissen et al. (15), who administered recombinant ApoA-I Milano to CAD patients, avoiding atherosclerosis progression. Indeed, plaque volume as assessed by intracoronary ultrasound was reduced compared to controls. This strategy, however, is cumbersome, but the study’s contribution must be taken as a proof of concept.

These impressive observations indicating that major lipid reductions by statins may indeed impact the nature of the atherosclerotic process, leading to plaque stabilization or even regression, have major significance for medical practice. The phenomenon of regression has been convincingly demonstrated for the first time in the history of atherosclerosis. These studies lend support to the notion that medical treatment is in fact extremely efficacious; indeed it is the only way by which the biochemical atherosclerotic process can be slowed. Whether it could be interrupted on a long-term basis, or even totally prevented, will depend on future investigations.

How low is sufficient?

The value of reducing LDL cholesterol levels substantially below 100 mg/dL in patients with CAD, particularly among those with stable disease, was demonstrated in the TNT Trial (7). In the TNT Trial, a total of 10,001 patients underwent randomization and received double-blind treatment with either 10 or 80 mg atorvastatin. The relative risk reduction of the primary composite endpoint of death from CAD, nonfatal non-procedure-related MI, resuscitation after cardiac arrest, and fatal or nonfatal stroke was 22% in the group given 80 mg atorvastatin, compared to the group given 10 mg of atorvastatin.

The TNT Trial provided evidence that the use of intensive therapy to reduce LDL cholesterol levels below 100 mg/dL is associated with substantial clinical benefit in patients with stable CAD. Both atorvastatin groups had low rates of CAD events. The rate for the group given 10 mg atorvastatin was lower than the rates reported with statin treatment in placebo-controlled, secondary prevention trials of populations with a baseline risk similar to that of TNT patients.

Cholesterol Treatment Trialists (7) also examined this question and their results showed an approximately linear relationship between the absolute reductions in LDL cholesterol and proportional reductions in the incidence of coronary and other major vascular events. This finding is reinforced by those of some direct randomized comparisons of different statin regimens, which also indicate that larger LDL cholesterol reductions produce larger reductions in vascular disease risk.

Reductions were similar irrespective of pretreatment cholesterol concentrations or other characteristics - age, gender, or pre-existing disease - of the study participants. A 1 mM reduction in LDL cholesterol that is sustained for 5 years may well produce a proportional reduction in major vascular events of about 23%.

A recent meta-analysis (16) involving 170,000 patients in 26 trials also demonstrated that lower LDL levels are indeed associated with greater reductions in CVD events. Furthermore, Forrester (17), analyzing experimental and clinical evidence, suggested that normal LDL values for humans are ≤70 mg/dL. Current practice, therefore, holds that LDL should be lowered to about 70 or even less, at least for secondary prevention. For primary prevention most guidelines endorse ≤100 mg/dL as an acceptable value.
Inflammation

Since atherosclerosis is an inflammatory disease and elevated inflammatory markers such as high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) indicate a poor prognosis, a question has been raised regarding the possible value of reducing hsCRP in patients without overt hypercholesterolemia. Accordingly, Ridker et al. (18) in the Jupiter Trial, examined this issue in 17,802 men and women without known CAD, who had normal cholesterol levels but signs of systemic inflammation (high hsCRP) and they observed that rosuvastatin could cut in half the risk of heart attack or stroke.

Individuals with elevated hsCRP who are otherwise at a 5 to 10% or 10 to 20% 10-year risk had substantive absolute risk reductions, suggesting that this group might well be considered candidates for statin therapy. They also demonstrated that men and women estimated to be at low risk (5% 10-year risk estimates) had low absolute risk reductions and hence represent a group for which the absolute benefit of statin therapy is small. However, the issue of how important isolated high CRP is remains controversial and further studies are needed until a definitive answer is obtained.

Long-term effects

A concern about statin treatment is their long-term effects. Most clinical trials have only a duration of 4-5-years, which is too short an observational period, considering the life-long duration of atherosclerosis. A recent observation has shed some light on this question.

Long-term data obtained in the WOSCOPS over a period of 16 years (19) have been reported. Men prescribed statin therapy for 5 years during the clinical trial had fewer cardiovascular events a decade later, although a majority of the study cohort stopped taking their cholesterol-lowering medication. Over the post-trial period, when treatment was under the control of the patients and their physicians, a statistically significant reduction in death from CHD or nonfatal AMI remained among treated patients compared to placebo-treated individuals. The results, according to the authors, were presumably due to the stabilization of existing plaque and a slowing of the progression of CAD.

However, the problem concerning the long-term effects of statins remains largely unresolved and is especially pertinent to young patients who need long-lasting treatments.

Low HDL

Treatment of abnormally low HDL levels is more difficult but potentially very significant as the role of HDL as target for therapy is being increasingly recognized. High doses of oral niacin (2-3 g/day or more) may be effective. A trial conducted on post-infarction patients has demonstrated that an increase in HDL-C levels obtained with gemfibrozil (600 mg twice daily) in patients with relatively low LDL-C levels prolongs reinfarction-free survival (20). We (21) also gave niacin to patients with low HDL and measured endothelial function after three months. Although niacin did not increase total HDL during this short period, endothelial function was improved in every patient, suggesting that niacin improved HDL function.

The ApoA-I Milano Trial (15) involved 57 ACS patients in a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Intravenous recombinant ApoA-I Milano/phospholipid complex produced a significant regression of coronary atherosclerosis as measured by intravascular ultrasound, presumably related to an increase in reverse cholesterol transport from atheromatous lesions to the serum with subsequent removal by the liver.

In summary, statin therapy has definitive beneficial effects both in terms of secondary and primary prevention: it reduces overall cardiovascular events, including cardiac death, fatal and nonfatal AMI, stroke and the need for revascularization. These benefits were shown in men and women alike and irrespective of hypertension, diabetes, smoking habits, and also in the elderly. No increase of cancer was documented. Therefore, statin is the most valuable drug for the treatment of atherosclerosis.

Unresolved issues in statin therapy

As shown in Figure 3, there are many unresolved issues regarding statins. For instance, residual risk (Figure 4) after successful treatment has been reported to be about 65% in most studies. This means that, although highly significant from a statistical point of view and biologically meaningful as well, total cardiovascular risk is far from eliminated. Ideally, a treatment should eradicate a disease as done, for instance, with antibiotics when pneumonia is successfully treated. Hence, there is considerable room for improvement in atherosclerosis treatment. Possibly, adequate measures to correct lifestyle behavior are indicated. Another major problem is the long-term use of statins in young individuals; neither long-term effects nor side-effects have been adequately assessed. Therefore, judicious evaluation of risks/benefits must
exerted by physicians on individual cases. Similarly, the issue of costs is fundamental giving the prevalence of athero-
sclerosis in poor countries. Remaining unresolved issues are self-evident as shown in Figure 2.

Other drugs

Renin-angiotensin system blockade by angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors or by an angiotensin II (Ang II) 
subtype 1 receptor antagonist has been expanded beyond patients with heart failure or left ventricular systolic dysfunction.
Important observations come from randomized controlled studies like the Heart Outcomes Prevention Evaluation (HOPE) 
Trial (22). The HOPE Trial demonstrated that the ACE inhibitor ramipril reduced fatal and nonfatal vascular events by 
20-25% in patients at high risk, including diabetics with additional risk factors or patients with clinical coronary, cerebral, 
or peripheral arterial atherosclerotic disease. In another study of the anti-inflammatory effects of Ang II subtype 1 recep-
tor blockade in hypertensive patients with microinflammation (EUROPIA) (23), the Ang II subtype 1 receptor antagonist 
olmesartan significantly reduced vascular microinflammation in patients with essential hypertension. Patients with es-
sential hypertension were a population at high risk similar to that in the HOPE Trial (22), with atherosclerotic disease 
and/or the key components of metabolic syndrome (e.g., type 2 diabetes mellitus and/or hyperlipidemia) in most cases. 
This was reflected by a rather high level of microinflammation and a mean baseline serum hsCRP concentration of 4.5 
mg/L in both treatment groups. After 12 weeks of therapy with olmesartan, hsCRP was reduced by 20%, whereas blood 
pressure reduction in the placebo treatment group had only a minor or no effect on inflammation markers. Thus, the 
beneficial cardiovascular effects documented for inhibitors of the renin-angiotensin system (e.g., ACE inhibitors and Ang 
II receptor antagonists) could be attributed, at least in part, to their anti-inflammatory action independent of the blood 
pressure-lowering effect.

Antiplatelet therapy is another very effective preventive measure. For instance, aspirin reduces the incidence of MI in 
males over the age of 50 years. Whether this approach should be employed in the general population or only in patients 
at high risk is unclear, and the optimal dosage is not known. A prudent approach would be to administer 81-325 mg daily 
to men with multiple coronary risk factors.

Fibrates can increase HDL and are especially effective in hypertriglyceridemia. The Veterans Administration-High-
Density Lipoprotein Intervention Trial (24) showed that fibrate treatment reduced the risk of a recurrent coronary event 
by 25% in patients with CAD, low HDL, modestly elevated TG and normal LDL. Low HDL-C associated with elevated 
TG-rich lipoproteins and their remnants represents an important therapeutic target. Obesity, metabolic syndrome, and 
type 2 diabetes are intimately associated with an atherogenic phenotype featuring low HDL-C combined with elevated 
TG-rich lipoproteins and small dense LDL. Hence, there is great interest in treatment strategies involving niacin, fibrates 
or their association to treat dyslipidemia. Niacin is especially potent in raising HDL and is more efficacious in raising 
HDL-C than fibrates; but niacin and fibrates comparably reduce TG-rich lipoproteins and LDL. Niacin is also effective in 
lowering lipoprotein levels.

Healthy lifestyle

An unhealthy lifestyle has devastating effects on survival, as shown by Peto et al. (25), who compared non-obese 
Americans to moderately and morbidly obese individuals, and smokers to non-smokers. The authors noticed that both 
conditions severely reduced life expectancy, reaching an incredible 10-year span among smokers. In the Whitehall 
study (26), 19,019 male civil servants were followed for 38 years in London. A 10- to 15-year shorter life expectancy was 
observed among high-risk individuals, i.e., those with all three risk factors: smoking, hypertension and hypercholester-
oleemia. Similar reductions occurred with other risk factors such as diabetes. Unfortunately, most lay people as well as 
many doctors are not aware of these impressive figures!

Several studies have compared the effects of lifestyle interventions to those obtained with drug treatment in coro-
nary disease. Ford et al. (27) reported on 10 such studies, as shown in Figure 5. It can be seen that interventions on 
risk factors were more effective in reducing mortality than all drugs and invasive procedures in the USA as well as in 
different European countries. Of particular note are the studies in Finland where a national and meticulous program was 
implemented (see ahead).

Eating habits

Diet

Countless studies on diets have been published (28) and the Mediterranean diet has been especially analyzed. The 
Mediterranean diet is characterized by low-saturated fat, high intake of vegetables, fruits and legumes plus olive oil and
mild/moderate wine consumption. It is traditional in Mediterranean countries, especially Spain. Several studies were designed to test its efficacy. The PREDIMED Study (28) demonstrated the beneficial effects of a Mediterranean diet on risk factors, including inflammatory markers, glucose and blood pressure.

de Lorgeril et al. (29) carried out the Lyon Diet Heart Study in which 605 post-MI patients were randomly assigned to the prudent Western-type diet or to a Mediterranean diet during a mean follow-up of 46 months. The Mediterranean diet significantly reduced the combined endpoint of cardiac death, nonfatal AMI and noncardiac death.

The EPIC Trial (30) is an observational study of 22,043 adults who were followed for an average of 44 months in Greece. The effects of the Mediterranean diet on mortality were analyzed according to adherence to the diet using a well-defined score. Individuals who most adhered to the diet experienced a 25% reduction in general mortality, a 33% decrease in mortality due to CAD and a 24% decrease in cancer mortality. Trichopoulou et al. (31) estimated the contribution of each component of the Mediterranean diet in the EPIC Study regarding its overall effect on mortality and concluded that high intake of legumes/fruits and monounsaturated fats each contributed about 10%; high intake of vegetables and low meat, 16%; and moderate alcohol consumption about 23%.

Sabaté et al. (32) studied the effects of nuts consumption on blood lipids in a pooled analysis of 25 trials in 7 countries. With a mean consumption of 67 g/day, nuts reduced cholesterol by 5.1%, LDL by 7.4%, and the LDL/HDL ratio by 8.3%, while TG was reduced by 10.2% in individuals whose TG levels were at least 150 mg/dL. The effects were dose related and different nuts had similar effects on blood lipids. Interestingly, effects were greatest in people with high baseline LDL and low body mass index (BMI) and among those on Western-type diets. Nuts are rich in plant protein (10-25%) and unsaturated fatty acids (50-75%) in addition to minerals, fiber and vitamins; therefore they are excellent nutritional complements.

As mentioned earlier, high-fat diets are notoriously detrimental. In North Karelia, Finland, a program was launched aimed at the adoption of a healthy diet and progressively extended to the entire country (33). From 1982 to 2007, total dietary fat was reduced to about 30%, saturated fat was maintained at about 10%, monounsaturated fat at 10-15%, and polyunsaturated fat at 5-10%. As a consequence, coronary and total mortality declined by an amazing 85%. Universities and several entities were involved in this program. Although Finland is a peculiar country because of its small size and highly educated citizens, this study is a proof of concept showing that major population lifestyle changes can be achieved.

High carbohydrate consumption is also a major source of calories and is presently recognized as a significant, if not the most important cause of inadequate diets worldwide. Effects of glucose overload have been meticulously investigated. O’Keefe et al. (34) studied the effects of a glucose-rich meal on plasma glucose, oxidative stress, endothelial function, and inflammation. They documented that variation in plasma glucose correlated directly with oxidative stress, increased CRP and decreased arterial endothelium dilation. But they also documented that the glucose increase could be substantially reduced by simultaneous ingestion of red wine, almonds, vinegar, and whey protein but was not affected by beer and gin, suggesting that this postprandial glucose increment is amenable to simple dietary interventions.

Regarding the efficiency of different types of diet, Sacks et al. (35) compared diets high and low in saturated fats, carbohydrates or proteins regarding their ability to induce weight loss in 811 overweight individuals followed for 2 years; they all reduced body weight comparably by about 4 kg. The data indicate that reduction in caloric intake is the dominant factor regardless of how it is achieved.

Based on these findings, there is general consensus that the Mediterranean diet is indeed effective and possibly the most adequate for CVD prevention. Initiatives to correct unhealthy dietary habits, both at the individual and population levels, are generally frustrating. Initial gains in weight reductions for instance, are frequently overcome by weight regain over the next 1 to 2 years.

Salt is another fundamental component of the Western diet and is responsible in part for one major risk factor, i.e., hypertension which, on the other hand, is a major contributor to a devastating event, i.e., stroke. Even small increases in blood pressure cause a considerable increase in cardiovascular events in both women and men (36).

Tuomilehto et al. (37), in a study from Finland comparing higher and lower salt intake in 2436 men and women, 25 to 64 years old, observed that higher salt intake increased coronary, cardiovascular and all deaths.

In the Intersalt Study, Perry and Beevers (38) observed that, in different countries, mortality due to stroke, is directly proportional to salt excretion in urine, which reflects salt ingestion.

Joossens and Kesteloot (39), in a single epidemiological investigation, observed that systolic blood pressure increased steadily as people aged among populations that added salt to their diet, such as Americans and Europeans; on the contrary, among primitive populations such as the Yanomani and Carajas Indians of Brazil, who did not add salt to their diets, blood pressure remained the same through ages 20 to 69.

The impact of dietary salt reduction has been a constant preoccupation. Bibbins-Domingo et al. (40) calculated the impact of small reductions of salt intake on CVD over a one-year period. In this interesting simulation, the authors...
investigated the implications of a 3.0-g reduction in salt ingestion in individuals aged 35 to 84 years regarding incidence of CAD, total MI, incidence of stroke, and total death among non-black men and women as well as in black men and women. There were significant reductions in all parameters and a huge saving in money expenditure.

Hence there is a plethora of evidence indicating that high-salt ingestion is deleterious and that even a small salt reduction such as 3-5 g/day has the potential to prevent cardiovascular events. In practice, a low-salt diet of ≤4.0 g/day is usually recommended for hypertension. In heart failure patients, even smaller amounts may be needed.

Trans-fatty acids

Trans-fatty acids (TFA) ingestion has been associated with CAD (41). TFAs result from hydrogenation of fish and vegetable fats for industrial purpose, or else from natural digestion in ruminant animals. Usually they are measured in adipose tissue, whole blood or red blood cell membranes. Increased TFA ingestion has consistently been associated with worsening blood lipid profile, both LDL and HDL, in men and women as well, in a dose-dependent manner. They induce expression of inflammatory markers, increase insulin resistance and cause endothelial dysfunction (41). Hence, the metabolic milieu, which favors the development of atherosclerosis is induced and this is strong evidence of biological plausibility of an association between TFA intake and CVD.

On the other hand, the direct relationship between TFAs and CAD is less robust and has been deemed as "probable" in evidence-based guidelines (42). From our point of view, the evidence regarding lipids is unquestionable. Regarding CAD, the demonstration of a cause/effect relationship has been hampered by the long observational period needed to prove it in humans. However, as mentioned earlier, its biological plausibility is clear. Under these circumstances, we would favor the removal of TFAs from the food supply.

Marine fatty acids

About three decades ago, Bang et al. (43) reported that serum cholesterol and TG were lower in Greenland Inuits than in age-matched Danes, despite consumption of a diet very low in fruits, vegetables, and complex carbohydrates and high in fat and cholesterol. Kromann and Green (44) further reported that acute MI rates in this same population were markedly lower than those in Denmark. Sekikawa et al. (45) studied 281 Japanese born and living in Japan, 306 white Americans living in the USA, and 281 Japanese-American men, i.e., Japanese men born and living in the USA, ages 40 to 49 years, regarding marine fatty acid consumption and atherosclerosis incidence. Japanese men had the lowest levels of atherosclerosis, whereas whites and Japanese Americans had similar levels. Japanese had 2-fold higher levels of serum marine-derived n-3 fatty acids than whites and Japanese Americans living in the USA.

Very high levels of marine-derived n-3 fatty acids have antiatherogenic properties that are independent of traditional cardiovascular risk factors and may contribute to reducing the burden of atherosclerosis in the Japanese, a lower burden that is unlikely to be the result of genetic factors.

Similar results were obtained in 2 recent studies in Japan (45). The Japan Eicosapentaenoic Acid Lipid Interventions Study (JELIS), a randomized trial of 18,645 Japanese subjects that examined the effectiveness of 1.8 g eicosapentaenoic acid per day plus a statin in reducing CHD rates, and the Japan Public Health Center-Based Study (JPHC), a 10-year prospective cohort study of 41,578 middle-aged Japanese subjects that examined dietary intake of marine-derived n-3 fatty acids; both concluded that marine derived n-3 fatty acids have protective effects against nonfatal coronary events.

Once or twice weekly consumption of fish (i.e., 30 g fish per day) is associated with reduced risk of cardiac death in Western countries. Increasing fish intake above this level is of little additional benefit. In contrast, Japanese men consume more than 100 g fish every day on average from early in life. Interestingly, omega-3 intakes in Japan are only one quarter of those among Eskimos, the population that gave origin to the omega-3 hypothesis.

Intriguing observations have been recently made in Alaska (46). Although some investigators continue to report that Inuit consuming traditional diets have lower CHD rates, others are questioning this dogma. Recent evidence from the Genetics of Coronary Artery Disease in Alaska Natives (GOCADAN) Study indicates that carotid atherosclerosis is not only unrelated to omega-3 fatty acid intake but, surprisingly, its prevalence is now greater than that in US white populations. At least part of the problem in Alaska appears to be not a lack of omega-3 but the introduction of massive amounts of shortenings and other saturated fats into their Westernizing diet. Saturated and monounsaturated fat intake reported in the GOCADAN were approximately 12 and 15% of energy, whereas in a similar age group in Japan, intakes were 8 and 9.4% of energy, respectively. This difference may be critical.

The Japanese experience, when contrasted to that of the Inuit and the Norwegians, suggests that the cardioprotective punch of the long-chain omega-3 fatty acids may be no match for diets high in fat, particularly saturated fat.

In general, current recommendations include regular ingestion of fish, 2-3 times/week.
Alcohol, red wine, polyphenols, resveratrol

Several observational and experimental studies have suggested a beneficial effect of mild/moderate alcohol drinking on cardiovascular health and even total mortality (47). All clinical studies are concordant in that abstainers and heavy drinkers have higher mortality rates than individuals who drink moderately.

For instance, Mukamal et al. (48) reported on the drinking habits of 245,207 adult Americans from 9 representative samples of US adults, from 1987 to 2000, as part of the annual National Health Interview Survey; over 10,000 cardiovascular deaths occurred during that period. An inverse and significant association was found between light/moderate drinking and CVD mortality when compared to abstainers but not between consumption above recommended limits and CVD mortality. The effect was present in men, women, whites and non-whites and included coronary and stroke mortality; however, risk reduction tended to be stronger for CHD than for cerebrovascular disease. Also, Ronksley et al. (49) reported the results of a recent meta-analysis based on 84 studies regarding the association between alcohol consumption and CVD; they observed a 25% risk reduction in CVD mortality among moderate drinkers compared to non-drinkers; coronary disease incidence and coronary artery disease mortality showed similar reductions.

Alcohol increases HDL, which is protective against atherosclerosis, and polyphenols in red wine have many protective effects including vasodilation, anti-oxidation and anti-platelet effects. Non-cardiovascular diseases have also been reported to be favorably influenced by moderate drinking. However, these clinical studies are observational and no controlled investigation has ever been performed; uncertainties also remain regarding drinking frequency, quantity, binge drinking, and sources of alcohol, i.e., whether from wine, beer, whisky, or others.

More recently, major interest has emerged regarding the possible beneficial effect of resveratrol - a polyphenol encountered in red wine, plants and fruits - on aging. So far the only intervention that effectively increases cell proliferation in culture and living organisms such as Saccharomyces cerevisiae, fish and worms, is caloric restriction (50). Since resveratrol follows the same metabolic pathway as caloric restriction, including structural DNA preservation and P53 reduction, the hypothesis was raised that it could prolong life. Indeed, in experiments with hypercholesterolemic mice, Baur et al. (51) demonstrated that resveratrol did just that. However, in healthy mice we (da Luz PL, Tanaka LY, Brum PC, Krieger JK, Dourado PM, Favaro D., et al., unpublished data) did not detect life extension by either resveratrol or red wine. Nevertheless, we did find preservation of vascular function, increased aerobic capacity, P53 reduction, and telomere length preservation, all of which suggest protective actions of both red wine and small doses of resveratrol on vascular function.

Both these experimental and clinical observation studies show a potential benefit of moderate alcohol consumption, especially red wine. However, direct controlled studies on men are still lacking.

Exercise

Regular exercise reduces cardiovascular events (52) through a number of physiological mechanisms. The most striking metabolic effect of exercise is to reduce plasma TG; but exercise also reduces insulin resistance and therefore contributes to diabetes control; regular exercise decreases blood pressure and increases HDL but has no effect on LDL. It improves mood, body weight, and inflammatory and hemostatic variables. Physical training improves exercise capacity, increases collaterals in CAD patients and improves endothelial function.

Following coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) and percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), it improves VO\textsubscript{2} as well as quality of life. Hence, it is now considered to be part of recovery programs following these interventions. In the long term it reduces sudden coronary death; however, sudden death is more frequent during intense exercise than during moderate exercise. In our group, Casella-Filho et al. (53) assessed the effects of a 3-month supervised physical training program in 30 patients with metabolic syndrome including low HDL (<40 mg/dL) and noted that brachial arterial flow-mediated dilation improved significantly after exercise although total HDL and LDL remained at the same baseline values. Also, HDL from trained individuals significantly increased LDL resistance to oxidation. They interpreted the data as evidence that exercise can functionally improve HDL even if its total value does not change, probably by interference with its sub-fractions. Thus, even short periods of exercise can substantially ameliorate endothelial function while increasing resistance to LDL oxidation.

The molecular mechanisms underlying the beneficial effects of exercise were examined by Werner et al. (54) in wild mice and in endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS)- and telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT)-deficient mice subjected to 21 days of voluntary running. In normal mice, short-term voluntary running up-regulated cardiac telomerase activity, reduced the expression of proapoptotic mediators such as cell-cycle-checkpoint kinase 2, p16 and p53, compared to sedentary controls. Running also prevented doxorubicin-induced apoptotic cell death. In eNOS and TERT knockout mice, running had no effect, thus indicating the pivotal role of these enzymes as mediators of the effects of exercise. Further characterization permitted these investigators to establish that these salutary effects of running were also mediated by insulin growth factor-1. These effects persisted for at least 6 months. This is an important, novel contribution to
our understanding of the mechanisms underlying the benefits of exercise. These lines of evidence justify why cardiologists recommend regular exercise programs for CVD prevention and treatment. Tolerance to exercise through stress testing, however, should be assessed before entering such programs. Performing exercise within safe ranges of submaximum tolerance rather than at extenuating levels is a salutary practice, since excessive strain may induce sudden death in people with CAD. It is consensual among cardiologists that the optimal frequency of moderate exercise is 30 min/day, 4-5 times/week at least, on a long-term basis.

Smoking

A comparison of mortality by lung cancer in people who never smoked, those who quit smoking at age 30 or age 50, and those who continued to smoke is very revealing (1). People who never smoked had almost no mortality by age 75 due to cancer; people who continued to smoke, had a death rate about 12 times higher; quitting at age 30 was better than at 50, but in both cases it was significantly better than continuing to smoke.

In heart disease, many studies have shown the benefits of not smoking or quitting smoking. Iestra et al. (55), reviewing studies on the effects of smoking cessation, estimated at 35% the reduction in mortality among patients with CAD and at 50% in the general population. This greater benefit in the general population is largely attributable to cancer prevention. Although quitting smoking has been a formal cardiologist recommendation for many years, its implementation remains a considerable challenge.

Obesity and diabetes

Obesity is one of the most prevalent risk factors, not only in Brazil but also all over the world. Even children and adolescents are suffering, which is a unique phenomenon in the history of humanity. A BMI above 30 is considered obesity while the normal index ranges from 23 to 25. Causes of obesity are essentially two: 1) excessive caloric ingestion mainly through foods rich in fats and carbohydrates and 2) lack of exercise. Both situations are frequently associated with psychological factors including anxiety, stress and depression.

Obesity is frequently associated with diabetes and metabolic syndrome, which in turn predispose to CAD. As shown above (25), obesity reduces life expectancy and greatly impairs quality of life.

In principle, obesity can be avoided or treated. Several measures are required for this purpose: a diet with no excessive calories, principally restricting saturated fats and carbohydrates; regular exercise; medications to control excessive anger, and, most recently, bariatric surgery. But all require major changes in lifestyle. Cognitive behavioral therapy (56) is of particular value in this respect, as well as counseling by a nutritionist. Unfortunately, the long-term efficacy of weight reducing programs is disappointing, as shown by the Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group (57); although patients respond well initially, they regain weight over the next 1-2 years. Thus, we emphasize the need for patients to avoid gaining weight since programs to lose weight require considerable determination and personal “sacrifices” and hence are especially hard to implement on a long-term basis.

Multiple interventions

Some population studies are particularly relevant regarding human longevity (58). Japanese in Okinawa, Californians in Loma Linda and Italians in Sardinia are known for their exceptionally long survival rate and healthy behavior under normal environmental conditions. Independent of their origins, five traits are common to all these communities: they do not smoke, they prioritize the family, maintain constant physical activity, have an active social life, and eat fruits, vegetables and whole grains. Use of medications is virtually unheard of. In Brazil, Veranópolis, in Rio Grande do Sul, is also known for exceptional longevity (59). The life habits of its inhabitants are quite similar to those of the communities cited above; one common characteristic with the Sardinians is regular wine drinking. No genetic traits seem to explain these findings. These “nature’s experiments” demonstrate how important a healthy lifestyle is for preservation of a long, active life. Figure 6 illustrate these common features.

Fraser and Shavlik (60) analyzed the effects of appropriate diet, non-smoking and exercise among Adventists in California compared to general Californian population; they observed a gain in life expectancy at 30 years, of 7.28 years in men and 4.42 in women who followed the recommended healthy habits.

Ornish et al. (61) published a remarkable study of people who were subjected to intensive lifestyle changes, including diet, exercise, social, religious, and psychological counseling. Forty-eight patients were randomly assigned to the intensive regimen or to usual care, and subjected to coronary angiography for lesion quantification. After five years, lesion regression was observed in the treatment group, while progression occurred among controls. Admittedly, such
intense regimen is difficult to apply to most people; nevertheless, the study does prove the point that a proper lifestyle can modify the natural history of atherosclerotic plaques.

Knoops et al. (62) reported on pooled results of the HALE, SENECA and FINE studies (Figure 7). The effects of diet, exercise, not smoking, and moderate alcohol consumption on event rate were analyzed in 1507 elderly men and 832 women followed for 12 years. A proper diet and moderate alcohol consumption both reduced risk by 25%; regular exercise and not smoking, both reduced risk by 40%; most impressive, individuals who observed all four habits, had a 65% risk reduction. It is noteworthy that this figure by far exceeds the best results obtained with drug therapy in all secondary prevention trials!

Taken together, these studies highlight the major impact of very simple measures on general health.

Psychological and environmental factors

Psychological, social and environmental factors have long been recognized as capable of influencing the development and clinical evolution of atherosclerosis. In-depth discussion of these many factors can be found elsewhere (56); here we only intend to illustrate how some of them have an impact on clinical settings. In addition, their importance derives from the fact that they are quite frequent and in many instances their clinical manifestations resemble organic diseases, such as palpitations and chest discomfort, thus leading to erroneous diagnoses. CAD has been related to five specific psychological factors (56): anxiety, depression, character traits and personality, social isolation, and chronic life stress. Work conditions, family (marital status, widowhood, parent/child relationships) and chronic illnesses are among the most common conditions in which chronic stress may occur. For instance, work stress, a form of chronic life stress, may affect CVD by two mechanisms: direct stimulation of neuroendocrine responses to stressors or indirectly by unhealthy behaviors: diet, smoking, lack of exercise, and excessive drinking (Figure 8). The autonomic nervous system is a major axis of neuroendocrine stress responses and work stress leads to disregulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis. Indeed, Chandola et al. (63) assessed the influence of work stress on behavioral and physiological indices regarding CHD incidence in 10,308 civil servants of the Whitehall II Study over a 12-year follow-up. They observed that work stress was associated with poor health behavior (eating less fruits and vegetables), less physical activity, metabolic syndrome, lower heart rate variability, and elevated morning cortisol, especially in younger participants (37-49 years). Greater reports of work stress were associated with higher risk of CVD, i.e., fatal events, MI and definite angina. The authors calculated that about 32% of the effect of work stress on CHD can be explained by health behaviors (especially low physical activity and a poor diet) and the metabolic syndrome. Importantly, in the INTERHEART Study (64) that analyzed about 24,767 patients with first AMI in 52 countries, noted that permanent stress at the work place was associated with more than a 2-fold increase in the risk of AMI, compared to subjects who reported no such stress.

Hamer et al. (65) investigated the extent to which behavioral and traditional risk factors accounted for the association between psychological distress and cardiovascular events. In a prospective study of 6576 healthy adult men and women, psychological distress was measured by the General Health Questionnaire and the subjects were followed for 7.2 years. Outcome events were non-fatal AMI, bypass surgery, angioplasty, stroke, heart failure, and cardiac mortality. Alcohol intake, physical activity, cigarette smoking, hypertension, and CRP were independently associated with psychological distress. The risk of CVD increased in proportion to the presence of psychological distress in gender- and age-adjusted models. Behavioral factors accounted for approximately 60% of variance, while traditional risk factors (CRP, 5.5%, and hypertension, 13%) accounted for modest amounts. The authors concluded that “the association between psychological distress and CAD is largely explained by behavioral processes”. Hence, health behavior change should be the main target for treatment when focusing on psychological distress. Lower occupational status and low job control have also been linked to BMI and central obesity, two known risk factors for CAD (66).

Strategies to deal with psychological stress include several interventions. Exercise, which is commonly recommended to prevent CAD, also has favorable effects on depression. The impact of psychosocial interventions added to cardiac rehabilitation programs was assessed by Linden et al. (67) in a meta-analysis of 23 randomized trials; during a 2-year follow-up, psychosocial intervention had a favorable impact on mortality and recurrent infarction. However, they (67) observed that such positive effect was dependent on the efficacy of the psychological intervention; thus, when stress was not reduced, the intervention had no effect. In fact, absence of a response seemed to identify a subgroup of individuals at particularly high risk. Other investigations suggested mixed results.

Psychopharmacologic therapies have also been advocated. The SADHART Trial (67) demonstrated that sertraline, which is an effective antidepressant drug, can be safely prescribed to treat depression in patients with known CAD; but the study was not powered to analyze events. On the other hand, tricyclic anti-depressants increased the risk of subsequent MI, as shown by Cohen et al. (68).

More recently, behavioral cognitive therapy has been incorporated into clinical practice as a valuable tool for patients
with established coronary disease or multiple risk factors who need lifestyle changes for event and recurrence prevention, or better adherence to treatment. It should be viewed as adjunct therapeutic intervention; together with drug therapy it may increase the effectiveness of rehabilitation programs and long-term adherence to preventive measures.

As stated by Rozanski et al. (56), the "emerging field of behavioral cardiology" is a relatively new area of interest for research and practice. From the patients' perspectives some aspects need clarification: it is not uncommon that patients do not recognize or do not accept that psychological factors may produce a significant impact on their health; as a consequence they do not spontaneously mention them to their physicians; they may consider, for instance, that marital problems are intimate problems and should not be mentioned in a regular consultation. Furthermore, lay persons frequently consider that they can handle such problems on their own, when in fact professional counseling can be necessary. Finally, acceptance of psychological counseling is still hampered by all sorts of misconceptions. While requests by the attending physician of a second opinion from another specialist, let's say, a pneumologist, is readily accepted by the patient, the same request for a psychologist's opinion is questioned, ignored or flatly dismissed. From a doctor's perspective, more awareness of such problems is needed; and then, appropriate inquiries should be carried out to identify them. Without intruding into personal issues, that a patient might want to keep to himself, some general questions can be posed, such as: "Do you feel you are going through a particularly difficult time in your life?". The answer can offer a suggestion as to whether emotional stress is part of a given situation. Of course, in this scenario mutual confidence between doctor and patient is of paramount significance.

Since Freud's incursions into the unconscious, it became clear how fundamental it is to understand the human soul. Although the new technological era has unraveled so many biological phenomena, human behavior remains a Pandora's box. Behavioral cognitive therapy is a new tool that can help not only strictly psychological dysfunction, but also patients whose poor behavior leads to unhealthy habits and hence, to atherosclerosis.

The five most important factors

As shown above, several factors contribute to a healthy lifestyle. Based on the 16-year follow-up of the Health Professional Study, Chiuve et al. (69) identified five items associated with lower cardiac risk: non-smoking, BMI ≤25, regular exercise (30 min/day), healthy diet (fruits, vegetables, low saturated fat) and 5-30 g alcohol/day. For each of the items followed there was a corresponding decrease in risk and this was true regardless of medication use, and was applicable to both men and women. Similarly, strokes were also significantly reduced among 43,685 men and 712,423 women who followed the same 5 recommendations (70). Note that these five factors are not particularly difficult to follow; however, experience shows that it is much easier to adhere to them in a preventive fashion rather than adopting them when atherosclerosis is already present and unhealthy behavior needs correction.

Policies required

Implementation of a healthy lifestyle is notoriously difficult, both at the individual and population levels. For individuals, the long-term dangerous consequences of a poor lifestyle should be clearly stated by doctors, especially because many risk factors are silent, and therefore the need for modifying habits may not be readily understood by patients. Secondly, global care is mandatory, including nutritional and psychological counseling. Regarding population-based programs, the contribution of different social components is fundamental. Namely, a) schools must be a focus because habits are better assimilated at an early age; b) government can influence society through regulatory policies; c) the media can help implement healthy lifestyles since its messages permeate all levels of societies and are extremely powerful; d) parents/family play an important role since habits acquired within the family are strongly respected; the family is the most important nucleus where behavior is acquired because it is based mainly on examples; e) medical societies have the obligation to transmit scientific knowledge to the society in simple, clear language. They must “translate” discoveries into practical lessons that will become actions.

Although difficult, this is a task that health professionals and society alike must tackle.

Conclusions

Drugs are powerful, indispensable weapons against CVD. However, because they are expensive, they have side effects and are only partially effective, they are not sufficient. The issue of costs is a major one since CVD hits poor countries as well as rich ones, and individual patients cannot cope with long treatment expenses. In addition, even rich countries are suffering with the escalating costs of medical care.

In contrast, a healthy lifestyle is inexpensive, safe and effective. However, it is not simple to implement. It requires
changes in traditional habits, including diet, exercise and smoking. It also demands discipline because it interferes with some practices that are deemed pleasant. Furthermore, determination is needed since a healthy lifestyle is predicated upon long-term behavior. In addition to responsible medical care, psychological and nutritional counseling, social and family support are also needed to implement behavior modification. Experiences so far demonstrate how hard it is to obtain such changes; old habits are difficult to modify. Application to large communities is another obstacle, but a few experiences prove that it is possible. Admittedly, these population policies represent a major challenge for the medical community and society as well. However, in view of the predicted CVD epidemics ahead of us, this task will have to be faced.
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Figure 1. Spectrum of human atherosclerosis. Although most clinical manifestations occur at middle age, the disease actually begins at birth and has a long asymptomatic period (see text). AMI = acute myocardial infarction; ACS = acute coronary syndrome; CAD = coronary artery disease.
Unresolved Issues in Statin Therapy

Statins:
• Reduce only part of the risk
• There are side effects and intolerance
• Frequently needs associations
• Long-term adherence is a problem
• Long-term effects in young people
• Lipids beyond LDL – HDL, Lp(a)
• Patients without dyslipidemia
• High costs – poor people can not afford; but
• CVD is the main cause of death, in rich and poor countries alike

Figure 2. Evolution of carotid intima/media thickness (CIMT) during 24 months of treatment with rosuvastatin compared to placebo. Statin treatment stabilized carotid plaques. Reproduced with permission from Ref. 13.

Figure 3. Potential problems regarding statins use. See text.
Figure 4. Residual risk in primary and secondary prevention studies. Note that the residual risk ranges from 58 to 79%. WOSCOPS = the West of Scotland Coronary Prevention Study (7); AFCAPS/TexCAPS = the Air Force/Texas Coronary Atherosclerosis Prevention Study (7); HPS = Heart Protection Study (7); 4S = Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (7); CARDS = the Collaborative Atorvastatin Diabetes Study (7); LIPID = the Long-Term Intervention with Pravastatin in Ischemic Disease Study group (7); TNT = intensive lipid lowering with atorvastatin in patients with stable coronary disease; Treating to New Target Investigators (7); CARE = the effects of pravastatin on coronary events after myocardial infarction in patients with average cholesterol levels. Cholesterol and Recurrent Events Trial investigators (7).
Figure 5. Comparison of treatments with drugs and interventions vs risk factor modifications. Note that overall lifestyle interventions are more efficient. Reproduced with permission from Ref. 27.
Figure 6. Common lifestyle features among populations who live longest. Reproduced with permission from Ref. 58.
Figure 7. Comparison of four healthy habits among elderly people. Note that those who adopted all four healthy behaviors had a risk reduction of about 65%, which is greater than that obtained with any drug therapy. Reproduced with permission from Ref. 62.
Figure 8. Schematic representation of the relationship between mental stress, atherosclerosis and clinical events. Chronic emotional stress may be induced by several life circumstances. They can cause hormonal activation on the one hand and, on the other, induce behaviors that lead to atherosclerosis development and progression. ANS = autonomic nervous system. ROS = reactive oxygen species.