The C-peptide response to a standard mixed meal in a group of Brazilian type 1 diabetic patients

Abstract

In order to analyze the different parameters used in the interpretation of C-peptide response in a functional test, we compared a group of 26 type 1 diabetics aged 21.1 ± 8.2 years, with a diabetes duration of 7.9 ± 6.7 months, with a group of 24 non-diabetic subjects aged 25.0 ± 4.4 years. A standard mixed meal of 317 kcal was used as a stimulus. Blood sampling for C-peptide determinations was performed at regular intervals. Although all the studied C-peptide variables were significantly lower in the diabetic group (P<0.0001), some overlapping of parameters was observed between the two groups. The highest degree of overlapping was found for basal value (BV) (30.8%) and percent increase (42.3%), and the lowest for incremental area, absolute increase, peak value (PV) (3.8%), and total area (7.7%) (χ² = 31.6, P<0.0001). We did not observe a definite pattern in the time of maximum response among the 21 diabetics who showed an increase in C-peptide levels after the stimulus. In this group, however, there was a highly significant number of late responses (120 min) (χ² = 5.7, P<0.002). Although BV showed a significant correlation with PV (r = 0.95, P<0.0001), the basal levels of C-peptide did not differentiate the groups with and without response to the stimulus. We conclude that the diabetic group studied showed delayed and reduced C-peptide responses, and that the functional test can be an important tool for the evaluation of residual ß cell function.
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Introduction

The determination of C-peptide is a widely used method to evaluate residual insulin secretion in type 1 diabetic patients using exogenous insulin (1-5). However, comparison between the studies is impaired by the variety of methods of stimulation used and time of sampling.

C-peptide is measured both in fasting samples (6,7) and after different stimuli (3,4,8). Generally a good correlation between fasting and maximum values after the stimulus has been reported (3,9,10). In the interpretation of the functional test, different variables have been used, such as the maximum value after the stimulus (3,10-13), the area under the curve (14,15), the increment after the stimulus (16), or the sum of the curve values (17), but the superiority of one of...
them has not been established up to now.

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the different parameters that can be used in the analysis of the C-peptide response to a standardized test meal in a group of insulin-dependent diabetics, and to determine the importance of performing the functional test.

Subjects and Methods

We studied 26 type 1 diabetic outpatients, 12 women and 14 men, aged 10-40 years (21.1 ± 8.2), with diabetes duration of 1-24 months (7.9 ± 6.7). The patients were regularly seen at the Diabetes Unit of the Hospital da Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro and were classified according to the National Diabetes Data Group criteria (18). All of them had ketonuria or ketoacidosis by the time of the onset of the disease, and had been using insulin since diagnosis.

For comparison purposes, we used 24 non-diabetic individuals (students, residents, physicians and others from the university staff) without a family history of diabetes, 14 women and 10 men aged 16-36 years (25.0 ± 4.4).

In patients with recent onset of the disease, the C-peptide test was only performed after the disappearance of ketonuria. A standard mixed meal consisting of 200 ml of 2% cow milk and seven crackers was used as a stimulus (317 kcal: 55.7% carbohydrates, 14.8% proteins and 29.5% fats). After an overnight fast of 10 h, an indwelling catheter was inserted into a forearm vein and blood samples were obtained 15 min before the standard meal ingestion, and then at intervals of 0, 30, 60 and 120 min. In the diabetic group, the usual dose of intermediate-acting insulin was administered immediately before the beginning of the test.

After blood coagulation, samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 min and glucose was measured immediately. Serum for C-peptide measurements was stored at -20°C, without protease inhibitors, for a maximum period of three months.

C-peptide levels were measured by radioimmunoassay (Diagnostic Products Corporation, Los Angeles, CA) after the removal of insulin antibodies by precipitation with polyethylene glycol (PEG). The lower limit of detection for this assay is 0.05 ng/ml. Intra- and interassay variations were 9.1% and 7.0%, respectively. Glucose was measured by an enzymatic colorimetric automated method (Roche Diagnostic Basel, Switzerland).

The variables of the C-peptide response curve analyzed were 1) basal value (BV) (ng/ml) = the mean of C-peptide values at -15 min and zero; 2) peak value (PV) (ng/ml) = the highest value after the standard meal stimulation. In patients who showed no increase after stimulation, PV was considered to be equal to BV; 3) absolute increase (AI) (ng/ml) = the absolute difference between PV and BV; 4) percent increase (PI) (%) = (PV-BV)/BV x 100; 5) total area (TA) (ng min⁻¹ ml⁻¹) = the area below the curve obtained by a numerical integral calculation; 6) incremental area (IA) (ng min⁻¹ ml⁻¹) = the area below the curve, and above baseline obtained by a numerical integral calculus. Before the calculation of IA, points below the baseline were replaced by the basal value; in other words, negative areas were ignored (19).

For patients whose C-peptide values after stimulation were lower than or equal to the basal ones, AI, IA, and PI were considered to be zero.

The glucose variables analyzed were basal value, peak value, absolute increase, percent increase, total area, and incremental area, obtained as described for the C-peptide variables.

We also analyzed the time of maximum C-peptide response to the stimulus. In the diabetic group the analysis of this parameter was only performed in patients who showed an increase in C-peptide levels.

Data were analyzed statistically by non-parametric methods (20) since the variables of
the C-peptide curve did not show normal distribution. The tests used were the following: 1) Mann-Whitney test to compare the values of the C-peptide variables for the diabetic and non-diabetic groups, as well as BV for diabetics with and without a response after the stimulus. These results are reported as median (minimum-maximum); 2) Spearman’s rank correlation ($r_s$) to test the degree of correlation between BV and PV and between the glucose and C-peptide variables in the diabetic group; 3) chi-square test ($\chi^2$) with Yates correction, for comparison of the frequency of the time of maximum response to the stimulus between the diabetic and non-diabetic groups, and the overlap in C-peptide variables between the two groups. The level of significance was set at 0.05.

Results

Glucose and C-peptide values at the different sampling times are listed in Table 1. The correlation between glucose and C-peptide variables in the diabetic group is reported in Table 2.

Although all the C-peptide variables studied were significantly lower in the diabetic group ($P<0.0001$), overlapping of all parameters was observed between the two groups (Figures 1, 2, and 3), and was highest for PI (42.3%) and BV (30.8%). The lowest overlap was observed for PV, AI, IA (3.8%) and TA (7.7%) ($\chi^2=31.6, P<0.0001$).

Despite the significant correlation found between BV and PV ($r_s=0.95, P<0.0001$), C-peptide basal values were similar in patients with ($N=21$) and without ($N=5$) a response to the stimulus (0.6 ng/ml (0.1-2.2 ng/ml) vs 0.5 ng/ml (0.2-2.8 ng/ml), $P>0.05$).

The 21 diabetics who showed increased C-peptide levels after the stimulus presented the following distribution for time of maximum response: 7 patients at 30 min, 6 at 60 min, and 8 at 120 min. In non-diabetics, PV was found at 30, 60 and 120 min in 6, 16 and 2 individuals, respectively ($\chi^2=8.1, P<0.03$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time of sampling</th>
<th>C-peptide (ng/ml)</th>
<th>Glucose (mg/dl)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diabetics</td>
<td>Non-diabetics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>3.2 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 min</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.7</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120 min</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3.3 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glucose variables</th>
<th>C-peptide variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BV</td>
<td>0.08*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV</td>
<td>-0.10*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI</td>
<td>-0.30*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI</td>
<td>-0.20*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA</td>
<td>-0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>-0.26*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 - Comparison between type 1 diabetics and non-diabetics for the C-peptide response to a test meal in terms of basal value, absolute increase and peak value. Open circles, Diabetics (N = 26); filled circles, non-diabetics (N = 24); *P<0.0001 compared to the diabetic group (Mann-Whitney test).
C-peptide response to a standard meal in a group of type 1 diabetic patients. To reproduce as much as possible the usual condition of the patients, we decided to maintain their usual insulin dose before the test meal. One study (2) reported no difference in C-peptide response to Sustacal® with and without the use of exogenous insulin. In that study, as in the present report, no patient developed hypoglycemia during the test.

A possible influence of the high levels of glucose on C-peptide responses in the diabetic group was studied by correlating the glucose and C-peptide variables. No significant correlation was found, which permitted us to study C-peptide variables without adjustments for glucose levels.

As expected, the diabetic group had significantly lower levels of all the C-peptide variables than the non-diabetic group. However, some patients showed levels similar to those observed in the non-diabetic group (Figures 1, 2, and 3).

Elevated levels of C-peptide in diabetic patients have been reported (7,8,21). In one study (7), 12.5% of patients had basal C-peptide levels similar to those observed in non-diabetics, and 3% showed values above the normal ones. Basal values remained high even when C-peptide was measured after proinsulin extraction (7,21). The explanation for these elevated C-peptide levels in type 1 diabetics is not known (21). In our group of diabetics, all patients whose BV showed overlapping with the non-diabetic group could be distinguished from them by the variables determined after the stimulus. The only exception was one patient (3.8%) whose clinical and laboratory data indicated that he was probably in the “honeymoon” or remission phase.

Discussion

In the present study we analyzed some parameters that can be used to evaluate the C-peptide response to a standard meal in a group of type 1 diabetic patients.

With the exception of PI, the other variables measured after the stimulus (PV, AI, IA, and TA) were the most discriminative between the two groups, emphasizing the importance of performing the functional test.
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**Figure 2** - Comparison between type 1 diabetics and non-diabetics for the C-peptide response to a test meal in terms of total area under the curve and incremental area. Open circles, Diabetics (N = 26); filled circles, non-diabetics (N = 24); *P<0.0001 compared to the diabetic group (Mann-Whitney test).
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**Figure 3** - Comparison between type 1 diabetics and non-diabetics for the C-peptide response to a test meal in terms of percent increase. Open circles, Diabetics (N = 26); filled circles, non-diabetics (N = 24); *P<0.0001 compared to the diabetic group (Mann-Whitney test).
The percent increase was not an adequate parameter to evaluate the β cell response in our group of type 1 diabetics because the patients could have more than a 100% increase in their C-peptide levels and still present a low value.

Although a good correlation between BV and PV was found, in agreement with other studies (9,10), basal values were unable to discriminate patients with and without the capacity to respond to the stimulus. The studies (2,22) which tried to establish a threshold basal level described different values which were not 100% discriminative.

In the present study, most non-diabetics showed maximum C-peptide responses at 60 min. In diabetic patients, there was no definite pattern in the time of maximum responses, but we found a large number of late responses (at 120 min), as described in the literature (3,23).

The present results show heterogeneity in levels and responses of C-peptide in a group of type 1 diabetic patients with up to two years of disease. Future studies will be needed to determine if these observations are associated with a heterogeneous pattern of evolution of the disease after diagnosis.

In the present study the functional test proved to be an important tool to evaluate residual insulin secretion because BV was unable to discriminate the capacity of responding to stimulation. The variables after the stimulus (PV, AI, IA, and TA) were the most discriminative between the two groups. The analysis of more than one sample after the stimulus was a relevant aspect because the time of maximum response was quite variable in the diabetic group.
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