Anticonvulsant and proconvulsant roles of nitric oxide in experimental epilepsy models
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Abstract

The effect of acute (120 mg/kg) and chronic (25 mg/kg, twice a day, for 4 days) intraperitonial injection of the nitric oxide (NO) synthase (NOS) inhibitor N0-nitro-L-arginine (L-NOARG) was evaluated on seizure induction by drugs such as pilocarpine and pentylenetetrazole (PTZ) and by sound stimulation of audiogenic seizure-resistant (R) and audiogenic seizure-susceptible (S) rats. Seizures were elicited by a subconvulsant dose of pilocarpine (100 mg/kg) only after NOS inhibition. NOS inhibition also simultaneously potentiated the severity of PTZ-induced limbic seizures (60 mg/kg) and protected against PTZ-induced tonic seizures (80 mg/kg). The audiogenic seizure susceptibility of S or R rats did not change after similar treatments. In conclusion, proconvulsant effects of NOS inhibition are suggested to occur in the pilocarpine model and in the limbic components of PTZ-induced seizures, while an anticonvulsant role is suggested for the tonic seizures induced by higher doses of PTZ, revealing inhibitor-specific interactions with convulsant dose and also confirming the hypothesis that the effects of NOS inhibitors vary with the model of seizure.
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Introduction

Epilepsy is a phenomenon causing severe and continuous seizure activity such as that present in status epilepticus, or chronic spontaneous recurrent seizures. Alterations in several classic neurotransmitter systems such as the glutamatergic (1) or GABAergic (2) one have been implicated in the elicitation of epileptic seizures. More recently, other molecules such as nitric oxide (NO) have been pointed out as potential neurotransmitters or retrograde messengers (3) linked to synaptic plasticity (4) and regulation of brain excitability, including the triggering of seizure activity (5,6).

NO is formed from L-arginine by the enzyme NO synthase (NOS) (7) and the involvement of NO in epileptic disorders has been shown in experiments with systemic injection of NOS inhibitors (5,6,8,9). However, NOS inhibitor treatment has been reported either to augment (6,8,9) or to inhibit (6,10-13) experimentally induced seizures.
Mollace et al. (14) reported that L-arginine increased seizure severity in response to a subconvulsive dose of NMDA, suggesting that NO is a proconvulsant mediator. In contrast, during bicuculline-induced seizures, inhibition of NOS doubled the duration of the seizures (13,15). Inhibition of NOS attenuated kainate- (16) and tacrine-induced (17) convulsions, while in other studies NOS inhibition increased the severity of seizures and mortality during status epilepticus (12,18). Similar to the effect of NOS inhibitors during stroke, it is fair to assume that the discrepancies in the experimental findings may be due to the fact that the anti- or proconvulsant activity of NOS inhibitors is dose dependent, with lower doses affording protection (19). The alternative neurodestruc-
tive and neuroprotective roles of NO have been the subject of intense debate (reviewed by Choi (20); 21,22). The relative predominance of each effect has been ascribed to the physicochemical redox state of the NO molecule (23,24), to its tissue concentration (21) or to whether it was synthesized neuronally or in the cerebral vasculature (25,26). These factors might also influence the effects of NO on excitatory and inhibitory processes evoked by seizures, with varying results that might be difficult to predict.

The main goals of the present study were to obtain a better understanding of NO effects on seizures in order to determine if contradictory pro- and anticonvulsant results from the literature were due to the use of different epilepsy models. Assuming that these effects could be either neuroprotection or potentiation of seizures, we looked for seizure models that could show positive and negative effects. Pentylenetetrazole (PTZ)-evoked seizures can be either of the limbic type or generalized tonic-clonic seizures, depending on the dose of PTZ used. Additionally, pilocarpine (PILO) is able to induce acute limbic status epilepticus and complex partial type of seizures in the chronic state, when recurrent spontaneous seizures appear

Material and Methods

Animals

Adult male rats (200-300 g) were maintained on a constant 12/12-h light/dark cycle, with free access to food and water.

Group 1. Wistar rats received a subconvulsant dose of PILO (100 mg/kg, ip) and were treated acutely with either 0.9% saline (N = 7) or N⁶-nitro-L-arginine (L-NOARG, ip) (N = 8).

Group 2. Wistar rats received a subconvulsant dose of PILO (100 mg/kg, ip) and were treated chronically with either 0.9% saline (N = 7) or L-NOARG (N = 6).

Group 3. Wistar rats received subconvulsant doses of PTZ (15 and 30 mg/kg, ip), or convulsant doses of PTZ (60 and 80 mg/kg, ip) and were treated acutely with L-NOARG (N = 8 for each dose).

Group 4. Wistar rats received subconvulsant doses of PTZ (15 and 30 mg/kg, ip), or convulsant doses of PTZ (60 and 80 mg/kg, ip) and were treated acutely with 0.9% saline.
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(N = 8 for each subgroup).

**Group 5.** GEPR-3s and Sprague-Dawley control rats were submitted to acoustic stimulation, and treated acutely with either L-NOARG or 0.9% saline (N = 6 for each group).

**Group 6.** GEPR-3s and Sprague-Dawley control rats were submitted to acoustic stimulation and treated chronically with either L-NOARG or 0.9% saline (N = 6 for each group).

**Group 7.** Wistar audiogenic resistant rats were submitted to acoustic stimulation and treated acutely with either 0.9% saline (N = 8) or L-NOARG (N = 6).

**Group 8.** Wistar audiogenic resistant rats were submitted to acoustic stimulation and treated chronically with either 0.9% saline (N = 7) or L-NOARG (N = 8).

All experiments were performed in accordance with the rules of the Brazilian Society for Neuroscience and Behavior for animal experimentation.

**Drugs**

All drugs were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO). L-NOARG, PILO, methyl-scopolamine and PTZ were dissolved in sterile saline (0.9%). The systemic treatments were performed in a volume of 1 ml/kg body weight.

**Treatments**

**Acute.** Animals received L-NOARG (120 mg/kg, ip) or saline (0.9%) and 30 min later a dose of the convulsant drug (PILO or PTZ groups) or sound stimulation (audiogenic seizure (AS) groups).

**Chronic.** Animals were chronically treated with saline (0.9%) or L-NOARG (25 mg/kg, ip), twice a day for 4 days, and received a dose of one of the convulsant drugs or sound stimulation 30 min after the last injection.

**Seizure models**

**Pilocarpine limbic seizures.** Rats (groups 1 and 2) were injected with PILO nitrate (100 mg/kg, ip) or 380 mg/kg, ip, preceded by 1 mg/kg methyl-scopolamine in order to decrease peripheral cholinergic side effects.

**PTZ-induced tonic-clonic seizures.** Both subconvulsant (15 and 30 mg/kg, ip) and convulsant (60 and 80 mg/kg, ip) doses of PTZ were injected into adult Wistar rats.

**Audiogenic seizures.** Wistar and Sprague-Dawley resistant (R) animals, as well as susceptible (S) GEPR-3s were exposed to high-intensity (120 dB) acoustic stimulation for a maximum of 1 min or until tonic-clonic seizures appeared. Latencies and seizure severity indexes were then calculated.

**Behavioral evaluation of seizure activity**

**Limbic seizures.** To score limbic patterns such as those evoked by PILO or PTZ, we used the Racine (33) scale (classes 0 to 5) of limbic seizures as follows: 0 = immobility, 1 = facial automatisms, 2 = head nodding, 3 = unilateral forelimb clonus/bilateral forelimb clonus, 4 = bilateral forelimb clonus and rearing, and 5 = rearing, falling and generalized convulsions.

**Audiogenic seizures.** For the evaluation of audiogenic seizures (AS) in Wistar rats, an audiogenic severity index (SI), including a graded linear scale with a range of severity from SI = 0 (resistant rats) to SI = 1.0 (maximum, susceptible rats), was calculated (30). In order to determine the audiogenic susceptibility of the animals, three acoustic stimulations were performed before the beginning of the experimental protocol. For the Wistar animals, the most frequent behavioral sequences gave SI of the following values, which are derived from an audiogenic severity index we developed (30,34): 1) wild running (one fit) (SI = 0.11); 2) wild running with atonic falling and jumping during the running fits (SI =
0.23); 3) wild running (two fits), atonic falling, and jumping (SI = 0.38); 4) all the above plus tonic seizures (TCV; back arching tonus) (SI = 0.61); 5) all the above plus partial (only forelegs or hindlegs) and generalized (forelegs and hindlegs) clonic seizures (SI = 0.73); 6) all the above plus clonic spasms (SI = 0.85); 7) all the above plus head ventral flexion (SI = 0.9); 8) all the above plus forelimb hyperextension (SI = 0.95), and 9) all the above plus hindlimb hyperextension (SI = 1.0). The same SI was used for the highest PTZ doses because the induced seizures usually ended with wild running followed by tonic hyperextensions. For the GEPR-3s, which are derived from Sprague-Dawley colonies, seizure severity was calculated using the audiogenic response scores (ARS) (0 to 9) described by Jobe et al. (29).

In the chemical models, latencies to the beginning of the seizure activity, and frequency of a given behavior were evaluated for 1 h. We mainly recorded the presence of piloerection, salivation, facial automatisms, myoclonus and status epilepticus, evaluated only by visual inspection. These behaviors have been widely described in previous experiments of the PILO model (27,28,35). In the case of audiogenic seizures, latency to running and to convulsion were also evaluated when present.

**Statistical analysis**

Incidence (%) of a given behavior was evaluated by the Fisher exact test (P<0.05). The values of the Racine scale or SI and ARS before and after treatments were compared by MANOVA.

**Results**

Pilocarpine (380 mg/kg, ip) elicited status epilepticus, followed by animal death (data not shown). No treatment was used (valium or thionembutal) to favor animal survival after status epilepticus because our main goal was to study only seizures induced by a high dose of PILO and by acute and chronic low doses of PILO. For this reason, all the animals treated with 380 mg/kg PILO died or were sacrificed after treatment. We did not intend to save the animals for spontaneous recurrent seizures.

A subconvulsant dose of PILO (100 mg/kg) induced behavioral modifications such as salivation, piloerection and facial automatisms, although this dose was not sufficient to elicit status epilepticus (0/14 animals; Figure 1). Acute pretreatment with L-NOARG of PILO-injected rats (100 mg/kg) strongly increased salivation (Figure 1A), piloerection (Figure 1B), and facial automatisms (P<0.05, Fisher exact test; Figure 1C). These effects were less pronounced in the chronically L-NOARG-treated group (Figure 1A, P<0.05; 1B and 1C, P<0.01). Status epilepticus was induced by PILO (100 mg/kg) in both the acutely and chronically L-NOARG-treated groups (Figure 1D), with a significant effect being observed in the
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Acutely treated group (P<0.05). It is important to note that acute or chronic saline or L-NOARG treatment alone did not induce any seizures (groups 1 and 2) before PILO or any other treatment.

Class 4-5 limbic seizures (Racine scale) were induced by a subconvulsant PILO dose (100 mg/kg) after both acute and chronic L-NOARG treatment (P<0.01, MANOVA; Figure 2). Observe in the same figure that, surprisingly, PILO alone also induced partial myoclonus (class 1-2; P<0.05) in the acute but not in the chronic group.

Behavioral alterations were not observed in rats submitted to NOS blockade and treated with the subconvulsant PTZ doses (15 and 30 mg/kg, Figure 3). However, the PTZ dose of 60 mg/kg induced class 4-5 limbic seizures (Figure 3), which were significantly worsened by acute L-NOARG treatment (P<0.01; MANOVA). The 80 mg/kg PTZ dose, a lethal dose, produced myoclonic jerks, generalized clonic seizures and tonic generalized extension, followed by animal death. Previous L-NOARG treatment significantly suppressed the incidence of tonic seizures and status epilepticus (Figure 4), and the severity of tonic seizures (Figure 5). In summary, these results demonstrate that NOS inhibition can exert both anticonvulsant and proconvulsant effects in the same epilepsy model (PTZ), depending on the convulsant dose used.

Audiogenic seizure-resistant rats (both Wistar and Sprague-Dawley) did not modify their behavior after L-NOARG-induced systemic NOS blockade and further acoustic stimulation (data not shown). Also, GEPR-3s, which are susceptible to audiogenic seizures, did not present changes in severity indexes or mean latencies to wild running or tonic-clonic seizure after acute or chronic L-NOARG treatment (data not shown).

Discussion

The present data demonstrate that the effects of NOS inhibition and consequently the inhibition of NO synthesis on seizure activity depend on the seizure model (sensory or chemical) and, in the case of chemical induction, on the type and dose of the convulsant drug.

As far as we know, this is the first demonstration that the same dose of the NOS inhibitor L-NOARG can exert both anticonvulsant and proconvulsant effects on PTZ-evoked seizures. Thus, we suggest that seizure activity can be modulated by NO in different ways by means of either proconvulsant (PILO- and PTZ-evoked limbic seizures) or anticonvulsant (status epilepticus, tonic seizures and death evoked by the highest doses of PTZ) actions.

Limbic seizure potentiation was observed after acute L-NOARG treatment in the animals treated with the 100 mg/kg PILO dose and the 60 mg/kg PTZ dose. No effect of L-
be previously shown that a single injection of L-NOARG produces 50% inhibition and that four days of administration produce 95% inhibition of NO synthase (37,38). Moreover, drugs were given at doses that were previously shown to affect behavior (39-43) or seizure activity (9,44). These findings suggest that the effect of L-NOARG on seizure models involves a decrease in NO formation in the CNS.

Furthermore, although the L-NOARG doses of the present experiments have been commonly employed in the literature, some studies have found inverted U-shaped dose-response curves for various NOS inhibitors (42). Therefore, it would be interesting to test additional doses of L-NOARG in the epilepsy models used in our study.

It is interesting to note that in the present study there was a difference between acute and chronic L-NOARG treatment. Acute L-NOARG treatment had a facilitatory effect on a subconvulsant PILO dose (100 mg/kg) for facial automatisms and status epilepticus. Additionally, salivation, piloerection and facial automatisms decreased significantly after chronic L-NOARG treatment. This is in agreement with recent data on catalepsy induced by acute L-NOARG treatment, although catalepsy was significantly decreased after chronic administration of the drug (42). These data contrast with other studies showing no difference between the acute and chronic effect of L-NOARG on the prolongation of bicuculline-induced seizures (13) and potentiation of kainic acid-induced seizures (8).

The protective effect of the acute L-NOARG treatment on the tonic seizures induced by 80 mg/kg PTZ is in agreement with data from Osonoe et al. (11) who examined the effect of systemic injection of L-NAME and L-NOARG on PTZ-induced seizures, reaching the conclusion that both drugs preferentially suppressed tonic generalized extension and prolonged its onset latency. Initiation and generalization of PTZ-induced NOARG treatment was found with the 15 and 30 mg/kg dose of PTZ. Anticonvulsant L-NOARG effects were observed with PTZ-induced tonic seizures.

The doses of L-NOARG used in the present study were generally higher than those reported to protect against ischemic or excitotoxic lesions (5,36). The drug treatment regimen used in this study produced a substantial reduction of NO synthesis. It has been previously shown that a single injection of L-NOARG produces 50% inhibition and that four days of administration produce 95% inhibition of NO synthase (37,38). Moreover, drugs were given at doses that were previously shown to affect behavior (39-43) or seizure activity (9,44). These findings suggest that the effect of L-NOARG on seizure models involves a decrease in NO formation in the CNS.
seizures are related to the activation of NMDA receptors (45) and to competitive inhibition of GABA neurotransmission. GABA and NMDA increase intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\), which activates NO synthase. Thus, L-NOARG inhibition of NO synthase could help suppress the tonic component of PTZ-induced seizures, protecting against their lethal effect. These results support the suggestion that NO contributes to the genesis of limbic seizure activity.

The effects of L-NOARG on limbic seizure models (PILO) and the tonic component of PTZ-induced seizures are in contrast to the lack of interference of L-NOARG with GEPR-3s audiogenic seizure, a model of brainstem-dependent seizures (29). Interestingly, a recent publication on kainate-induced behavioral and electrographic seizures in mice (46) demonstrated that L-NAME can display proconvulsant effects depending on the route of administration of the kainate (either systemic or intra-hippocampal). An intriguing fact is that L-NAME potentiated kainate-induced wild running, but not necessarily clonus (limbic pattern), suggesting the involvement of brainstem mechanisms.

The behavioral expression of audiogenic seizures, a model of genetically dependent generalized tonic-clonic seizures, seems to depend almost exclusively on brainstem substrates (29,30). Among other mechanisms, inferior colliculus NMDA neurotransmission plays a critical role in the expression of AS (47). Because there is a potent link between NMDA activity and NO synthesis (23), we expected to obtain some effects from the L-NOARG treatment of AS-resistant or -susceptible rats. Recently, however, Grassi et al. (48) have shown that, although microinfusion of L-NAME into the inferior colliculus was able to reduce middle latency auditory evoked responses (thalamo-cortical generators), the same treatment did not change the midbrain-evoked responses, particularly the collicular component (wave V). Additionally, Iannone et al. (49) also showed that L-NAME induced a blockade of electrocortical desynchronization, an effect which is compatible with telencephalic activity.

Thus, experiments involving microinjection of either L-NOARG or L-NAME into the inferior colliculus are necessary in order to rule out NO participation in AS. The present data, based on L-NOARG systemic treatment, did not show any clear involvement of NO in AS.

At the moment it is not possible to explain the molecular mechanisms responsible for the effect of L-NOARG on seizures. Acute effects of NO might involve an influence of NO on NMDA-mediated neurotransmission. NO has a complex influence on this neurotransmission. For example, it may mediate the NMDA-induced increase in cGMP but simultaneously inhibit the NMDA-induced increase in intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) and NOS activity, and block NMDA receptors (20,23). The influence of NO on NMDA neurotransmission may vary widely according to drug concentration and site of injection, which might explain the range of conflicting results on the role of NO in NMDA-modulated events such as epilepsy, neurotoxicity, long-term potentiation and nociception (5,20, 50,51). For instance, in a very recent study Proctor et al. (52) demonstrated that exogenously applied NO or its precursors can
enlarge seizure-triggering activity. However, in an NMDA-dependent focally evoked seizure model (activation of the area tempestas), they demonstrated that the L-arginine-nitric oxide pathway does not normally contribute to seizure expression from the area tempestas.

This biphasic effect of NOS inhibitors is not unusual for drugs with anticonvulsant activity (53). Moreover, the dual role of NOS inhibitors was observed in studies of experimental anxiety (41), paradoxical results which we may extend to those obtained with NOS inhibitors in the current animal models of epileptic seizures.

The present experiments confirm that NO is clearly involved in seizure modulation through a complex set of mechanisms including both proconvulsant and anticonvulsant capabilities. Thus, our data suggest a dual role for NO in seizure modulation, which seems to be dependent on the epilepsy model and, in the case of drugs, on the dose actually used. An understanding of the molecular mechanisms of this dual active pro- and anticonvulsant effect depends on more specific protocols which we are currently developing in our laboratories.
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