Combined effects of diethylpropion and alcohol on locomotor activity of mice: participation of the dopaminergic and opioid systems
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Abstract

The widespread consumption of anorectics and combined anorectic + alcohol misuse are problems in Brazil. In order to better understand the interactive effects of ethanol (EtOH) and diethylpropion (DEP) we examined the locomotion-activating effects of these drugs given alone or in combination in mice. We also determined whether this response was affected by dopamine (DA) or opioid receptor antagonists. A total of 160 male Swiss mice weighing approximately 30 g were divided into groups of 8 animals per group. The animals were treated daily for 7 consecutive days with combined EtOH + DEP (1.2 g/kg and 5.0 mg/kg, ip), EtOH (1.2 g/kg, ip), DEP (5.0 mg/kg, ip) or the control solution coadministered with the DA antagonist haloperidol (HAL, 0.075 mg/kg, ip), the opioid antagonist naloxone (NAL, 1.0 mg/kg, ip), or vehicle. On days 1, 7 and 10 after the injections, mice were assessed in activity cages at different times (15, 30, 45 and 60 min) for 5 min. The acute combination of EtOH plus DEP induced a significantly higher increase in locomotor activity (day 1: 369.5 ± 34.41) when compared to either drug alone (day 1: EtOH = 232.5 ± 23.79 and DEP = 276.0 ± 12.85) and to control solution (day 1: 153.12 ± 7.64). However, the repeated administration of EtOH (day 7: 314.63 ± 26.79 and day 10: 257.62 ± 29.91) or DEP (day 7: 309.5 ± 31.65 and day 10: 321.12 ± 39.24) alone or in combination (day 7: 459.75 ± 41.28 and day 10: 427.87 ± 33.0) failed to induce a progressive increase in the locomotor response. These data demonstrate greater locomotion-activating effects of the EtOH + DEP combination, probably involving DA and/or opioid receptor stimulation, since the daily pretreatment with HAL (day 1: EtOH + DEP = 395.62 ± 11.92 and EtOH + DEP + HAL = 371.5 ± 6.76; day 7: EtOH + DEP = 502.5 ± 42.27 and EtOH + DEP + HAL = 281.12 ± 16.08; day 10: EtOH + DEP = 445.75 ± 16.64 and EtOH + DEP + HAL = 367.75 ± 16.4) and NAL (day 1: EtOH + DEP = 553.62 ± 38.15 and EtOH + DEP + NAL = 445.12 ± 55.67; day 7: EtOH + DEP = 617.05 ± 38.97 and EtOH + DEP + NAL = 418.25 ± 61.18; day 10: EtOH + DEP = 541.37 ± 32.86 and EtOH + DEP + NAL = 427.12 ± 51.6) reduced the locomotor response induced by combined administration of EtOH + DEP. These findings also suggest that a major determinant of combined anorectic-alcohol misuse may be the increased stimulating effects produced by the combination.
Introduction

In Brazil there is widespread consumption of amphetamine-like anorectic drugs such as diethylpropion (DEP), fenproporex and mazindol, and recent reports have shown that a considerable proportion of this consumption is based on non-proprietary prescriptions (1,2). Among misused anorectics, DEP is a phenylethylamine compound that significantly increases catecholamine levels (3,4). Although DEP was introduced as an efficient anorectic devoid of central stimulant activity, further studies have shown that DEP induces amphetamine-like effects, such as increases in locomotor activity, stereotypies and place preference (5-7). Moreover, similarly to potent psychostimulants, the repeated administration of DEP results in an enhancement of sensitivity, a response frequently referred to as sensitization (6). Sensitization is currently being evaluated as a potential model for drug addiction and for drug-induced psychosis in humans (8,9). Numerous studies indicate that both the activating effects of many drugs of abuse, such as cocaine, amphetamine and ethanol (EtOH), and the expression of sensitization to those effects involve a direct or indirect action on the central dopaminergic (DAergic) neurons (10-15).

Combined anorectic-alcohol misuse is also a prevalent problem in Brazil. Indeed, there are anecdotal reports of extensive consumption of anorectic drugs by truck drivers in order to stay awake and an aggravating factor is that in some cases the anorectic drug is taken with alcohol. The use of this combination may reflect the popular belief that the stimulatory action of DEP is enhanced when the drug is mixed with alcohol. Thus, in a previous study on this kind of interaction, we confirmed the increased locomotion-stimulating effect of combined EtOH and mazindol, another anorectic, and that this response was not simply induced by an additive effect of the separate action of these drugs (16).

In the light of these considerations, the present experiments were designed to assess the locomotion-activating effects of the DEP + EtOH combination after acute and repeated injection in mice. In addition, because of the known link between DAergic-opioid systems and the locomotion-stimulating effects of psychostimulants and alcohol (17,18), we also determined the involvement of DAergic and opioid receptors in the responses induced by DEP and EtOH by coadministering them with dopamine (DA) and opioid receptor antagonists.

Material and Methods

Animals

A total of 160 male Swiss mice weighing approximately 30 g from our colony were housed in groups, on a 12-h light/dark cycle, at 23 ± 1°C. Food and tap water were available ad libitum.

Drugs

The drugs used were diethylpropion (Medley, Campinas, SP, Brazil), analytical grade ethanol (Merck, São Paulo, SP, Brazil), haloperidol and naloxone (RBI, Natick, MA, USA). DEP and naloxone were dissolved in distilled water. Haloperidol was dissolved in 0.025% carboxymethylcellulose and diluted with distilled water. Ethanol was diluted in NaCl to 12.5% (v/v) concentration. The control solution consisted of an equivalent volume of distilled water plus vehicle. All drugs were administered intraperitoneally (ip). Injection volumes were 0.1 ml/10 g body weight for DEP and control solution, and 0.12 ml/10 g body weight for EtOH.

Apparatus and procedures

The locomotor activity of each animal...
was measured in a wood cage (40 x 12 x 20 cm) with a steel grid floor and equipped with three parallel horizontal infrared beams positioned 2 cm above the floor and spaced evenly along the longitudinal axis. The activity cages were linked to a digital counter which recorded photocell beam interruptions. All experiments were conducted between 8:00 and 12:00 a.m. Mice were divided into four groups (8 animals per group) receiving once daily ip injections of control solution, EtOH (1.2 g/kg), DEP (5.0 mg/kg) or EtOH + DEP (1.2 g/kg and 5 mg/kg) for 7 consecutive days. After a 2-day washout, the animals received a challenge dose of the same drug. On days 1, 7 and 10 of treatment, mice from all four groups were tested for activity, with data collected during four 5-min blocks, starting at different times (15, 30, 45 and 60 min after the injections). Following each 5-min block, mice were removed from the activity cages and housed in a holding cage. Mice were not adapted to the activity cages prior to treatment.

During the pretreatment phase, mice received two daily injections for 7 consecutive days. The first ip injection was haloperidol (0.075 mg/kg), naloxone (1.0 mg/kg) or control solution, immediately followed by a second ip injection of EtOH (1.2 g/kg), DEP (5.0 mg/kg), EtOH + DEP or control solution. Activity measurements and procedures were the same as those described above for the preceding experiment. The doses of drugs used in the present study were established in our previous report (16) or were chosen from a dosage range reported in the literature.

**Statistical analysis**

Two-way ANOVA with repeated measures was used to analyze the influence of drug treatment (between-group factor) and the day of the treatment (within-group factor), as well as the treatment x day interaction, on locomotor activity. Following significant ANOVAs, the Newman-Keuls test was used to compare each treatment with the corresponding control value. The accepted level of significance for all tests was P≤0.05.

**Results**

The effects of acute and repeated administration of control solution, EtOH, DEP and the EtOH + DEP combination on locomotor activity of mice are illustrated in Figure 1. Two-way ANOVA with repeated measures indicated a significant effect only for drug treatment (F(3,28) = 53.5, P≤0.0001). Subsequent Newman-Keuls tests indicated that EtOH (1.2 g/kg) and DEP (5.0 mg/kg) given alone significantly increased the locomotor activity across 3 testing days compared to the respective control groups. The major finding is that the locomotion-activating effect of combined EtOH + DEP treatment was higher than when each drug was injected alone (P≤0.05). However, as shown by the preliminary ANOVA concerning the day of test factor and the interaction between drug treatment and day factors (F(2,56) = 2.9, P≥0.05 and F(6,56) = 0.9, P≥0.05, respectively), there were no significant differences in activity of treated animals on day 1 compared to subsequent responses to activity cages on days 7 or 10 (Figure 1). Thus, under the conditions and dose schedule used in this study, no sensitization to locomotor response was detected.

Figure 2 shows the effects of haloperidol,
The effects of pretreatment with naloxone, an opioid antagonist, on the locomotion-
stimulating effects of EtOH and/or DEP are presented in Figure 3. Two-way ANOVA
with repeated measures demonstrated significant differences only in the treatment
factor \( F(7,56) = 19.2, P \leq 0.0001 \). Post hoc comparisons using the Newman-Keuls test
also confirmed the previous results for experimental animals pretreated with control
solution. Interestingly, naloxone significantly reduced only the locomotor response
induced by the combined administration of EtOH + DEP on days 7 and 10 (Figure 3).

**Discussion**

The results of this study suggest that the locomotion-stimulating effect of the combi-
nation of EtOH and DEP in mice were greater than the activating effects of either drug
alone. These findings confirm and extend literature data on the interaction of EtOH
with psychostimulants in animals (16,19,20) and support the popular belief that the stimu-
ulatory action of anorectics is enhanced when these drugs are taken with alcohol. In addi-
tion, the coadministration of DA or opioid receptor antagonists reduced this increased
locomotor response caused by combined drug treatment.

In contrast to some studies reporting sen-
titized responses following DEP or EtOH
administration (6,21,22), the repeated ad-
ministration of these drugs alone or in combi-
nation failed to induce a progressive in-
crease in locomotor activity over days, i.e.,
sensitization. However, it is important to
remember that only one dose of each treat-
ment and one schedule of 7 consecutive days
of injections were used.

Although the exact mechanism respon-
sible for the interaction between EtOH and
DEP remains unknown, it is likely that these
results are mostly pharmacodynamic in na-
ture. However, a pharmacokinetic interac-
tion cannot be ruled out, since plasma EtOH
or DEP concentrations were not measured.

DAergic systems have been strongly im-
portant for the regulation of locomotor
activity.
plicated as mediators of locomotor stimulation in response to many drugs of abuse, including EtOH (10-15). In this study, pretreatment with haloperidol reduced the locomotion-activating effects induced by repeated administration of DEP and EtOH + DEP. Indeed, there are many studies showing that the locomotor stimulation produced by psychostimulants can be reversed by DAergic antagonists, like haloperidol, pimozide, SCH-23390 and metoclopramide (23-25). In addition, it is known that the decrease of vesicular DA by reserpine, or the blockage of DA synthesis by α-methyl-p-tyrosine, reduces the stimulant effects of psychostimulants, as well as of low doses of EtOH (26-30).

On the other hand, there is anatomical and pharmacological evidence showing a relationship between the DAergic and opioid systems in the locomotor behavior induced by many psychostimulant drugs such as amphetamine (31), cocaine (32) and fencamfamine (25). The results obtained here with the drug combination are in accordance with this notion, since naloxone, administered repeatedly, reduced the increase in locomotor activity induced by EtOH + DEP.

In conclusion, the present results demonstrate that the combination of EtOH and DEP has a more marked stimulatory activity than either substance alone, which is attenuated by pretreatment with either DA or opioid receptor antagonists. Thus, one might hypothesize that the increased behavioral effects of the EtOH + DEP combination may stem, at least in part, from the enhancement of DAergic and opioid activity in certain brain pathways produced by these drugs. These findings may contribute to the understanding of the frequently reported use of this drug combination by humans.
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