The L-arginine/nitric oxide/cyclic-GMP pathway apparently mediates the peripheral antihyperalgesic action of fentanyl in rats
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Abstract

There are only a few studies on the molecular mechanisms underlying the peripheral antihyperalgesic effect of opioids. The aim of this study was to investigate the molecular bases of the peripheral antihyperalgesic effect of fentanyl in a model of prostaglandin-induced chemical hyperalgesia. Prostaglandin E2 (1.4 nmol) injected into one hind paw of male Wistar rats (200-250 g, N = 6 in each experimental or control group) pretreated with indomethacin (2.5 mg/kg) potentiated the nocifensive response to formalin (1%) injection made 60 min later. Drugs applied locally 30 min after prostaglandin E2 induced the following effects: fentanyl (0.1-1.0 nmol) caused a dose-dependent reversal of the hyperalgesic state, naloxone (2 nmol) co-injected with fentanyl (1 nmol) completely reversed the antihyperalgesic effect, Nω-nitro-L-arginine (NOARG, 0.05-0.2 µmol) in combination with fentanyl (1.0 nmol) caused a dose-dependent inhibition of the antihyperalgesic effect of fentanyl, co-administration of L-arginine (0.5 µmol) with NOARG (0.2 µmol) plus fentanyl (1.0 nmol) fully restored the antihyperalgesic effect, and the cyclic-GMP phosphodiesterase inhibitor UK-114,542-27 (5-[2-ethoxy-5-(morpholinylacetyl) phenyl]-1,6-dihydro-1-methyl-3-propyl-7H-pyrazolo [4,3-d]-pyrimidin-7-one methanesulfonate monohydrate; 0.5-2.0 µmol) potentiated a sub-effective dose of fentanyl (0.1 nmol) in a dose-dependent manner. However, UK-114,542-27 (2.0 µmol) injected alone did not produce this antihyperalgesic effect. Systemically administered fentanyl (1.0 nmol, sc) did not cause antinociception. Taken together, these results support the view that fentanyl reverses prostaglandin E2-induced hyperalgesia, probably by activating an opioid receptor at the periphery, and furthermore the L-arginine/nitric oxide/cyclic-GMP pathway may mediate this peripheral effect of fentanyl.

Introduction

Sensitization of nociceptors is common to all types of inflammatory pain. Analgesic drugs that act specifically upon inflamma-tory sites may either prevent sensitization of the nociceptors or directly antagonize the ongoing hyperalgesia (1). The antihyperalgesia produced by aspirin-like drugs is currently explained by blockade of the synthesis...
of locally released prostaglandins, thus preventing sensitization of the nociceptors. Inhibition of prostaglandin synthesis, however, is also responsible for the most common undesirable side effects, such as gastric erosion or delayed blood clotting. As an emerging alternative, several different compounds have been reported to be able to directly antagonize inflammatory hyperalgesia without preventing prostaglandin biosynthesis in animal models. Acetylcholine and sodium nitroprusside (2), diclofenac (3), dipyrone (4), ketorolac (5) and opioid agonists (6,7) have a direct peripheral inhibitory effect on the hyperalgesia induced by inflammation or inflammatory mediators. Among these drugs, the peripheral antihyperalgesic effect of opioids has been most intensely investigated, and has been shown to be mediated by μ, δ or κ receptor subtypes, apparently depending on the sensitization protocol (8-12). At the molecular level, it has been proposed that the L-arginine/nitric oxide/cyclic-GMP (cGMP) pathway mediates the peripheral antihyperalgesic effect of opioids, although only morphine has been studied thus far (13,14). In addition, morphine-nitric oxide coupling has been studied in prostaglandin-induced mechanical hyperalgesia, but has yet to be systematically investigated with other types of stimuli.

Thus, despite the clinical importance of the development of a drug which could reverse inflammatory hyperalgesia without the side effects related to nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, studies of the molecular mechanisms underlying the peripheral antihyperalgesia produced by opioids are scarce. Consequently, the participation of the nitric oxide/cGMP pathway in the peripheral antinociceptive effect of other types of opiate-like drugs has not been firmly established.

In view of these considerations, we evaluated the peripheral effect of the meperidine derivative fentanyl, which is structurally different from morphine, in a model of prostaglandin E2- (PGE2) induced hyperalgesia followed by chemical stimulation with formalin.

Material and Methods

Animals

Experiments were performed on male Wistar rats (200-250 g) housed in temperature-controlled rooms (22-25ºC) under a 12-12-h light/dark cycle with free access to water and food. All experiments were conducted according to the ethical guidelines of the International Association for the Study of Pain (15) and were approved by the local ethics committee for animal research.

Hyperalgesia model

Formalin (1%, 50 µl) was injected sc under the dorsal surface of one hind paw of indomethacin-treated (2.5 mg/kg, ip, 1 h before) rats, 30, 60 and 150 min after a PGE2 (1.4 nmol/50 µl) injection. The acts of lifting and shaking of the injected paw were counted and summed over a 5-min period immediately after formalin injection (number of lifting and shaking movements). The highest intensity response to formalin injections made 30 and 60 min after PGE2 was recorded. When formalin was applied 150 min after PGE2 there was no potentiation of the response to formalin compared to control values (Figure 1). Thus, formalin was always administered 60 min after PGE2, with pharmacological intervention 30 min before the formalin injection. This schedule permitted the optimal management of the three injections given to each animal. The period between 0 and 5 min after formalin injection was characterized by a massive response by PGE2-treated animals compared to untreated animals. However, a small and variable response was observed between 10 and 60 min after formalin; as a result, this second phase response to formalin was considered to be unreliable for quantification of the effect of
the previous PGE$_2$ injection and was excluded from the test. Indomethacin was diluted in Tris buffer, pH 8.0, and PGE$_2$ was diluted in saline from an absolute ethanol stock solution of 500 µg/ml.

After the 5-min period of observation, all animals were killed by cervical dislocation. The indomethacin dose used throughout this study produced maximal inhibition in a model of carrageenan-induced rat paw edema used in our laboratory (data not shown) and therefore was considered sufficient for the purpose of blocking endogenous prostaglandin release.

**Drugs**

The following drugs were used: L-arginine (MW = 174.2; Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) fentanyl citrate (MW = 528.6; Cristália do Brasil S/A, Itapira, SP, Brazil), formalin (Merck AG, Darmstadt, Germany), indomethacin (Prodrome Química e Farmacêutica, Campinas, SP, Brazil), naloxone hydrochloride (MW = 363.8; Sigma), N$\omega$-nitro-L-arginine (NOARG, MW = 219.2; Sigma), PGE$_2$ (Sigma) and UK-114,542-27 (5-[2-ethoxy-5-(morpholinylacetyl) phenyl]-1,6-dihydro-1-methyl-3-propyl-7H-pyrazolo [4,3-d]-pyrimidin-7-one methanesulfonate monohydrate; MW = 553.6). UK-114,542-27 was kindly donated by Pfizer Global Research and Development, Sandwich, UK.

**Specific inhibition of phosphodiesterases by UK-114,542-27**

The potency of UK-114,542-27 as an inhibitor of phosphodiesterase (PDE) activity was determined using a modification of the two-step radioisotopic procedure of Thompson and Appleman (16) as described in Ref. 17. [³H]-cGMP (0.5 µM) was used as the substrate for PDE1, 2, 5 and 6 and [³H]-cAMP (0.5 µM) for PDE3 and 4. PDE2, 3 and 5 were partially purified from human corpus cavernosum, PDE1 from human cardiac ventricle, PDE4 from skeletal muscle and PDE6 from bovine retina as described in Ref. 17. Pfizer Global Research and Development supplied the IC$_{50}$ values and respective confidence intervals as shown in Table 1.

**Statistical analysis**

One-way ANOVA with the Bonferroni post-test was performed using GraphPad Prism version 3.00 for Windows (Graph Pad Software, San Diego, CA, USA; www.graphpad.com). The results reported in the graphs are the mean ± SEM of six animals.

**Results**

**Antihyperalgesic effect of fentanyl**

Fentanyl (0.1-1.0 nmol) applied 30 min

**Table 1. IC$_{50}$ values and respective confidence intervals.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PDE</th>
<th>Geometric mean IC$_{50}$ (nM)</th>
<th>95% Confidence interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PDE1</td>
<td>93 (N = 5)</td>
<td>60-147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDE2</td>
<td>42,000 (N = 7)</td>
<td>31,000-59,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDE3</td>
<td>27,000 (N = 4)</td>
<td>15,000-50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDE4</td>
<td>9,100 (N = 4)</td>
<td>7,200-11,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDE5</td>
<td>1.7 (N = 12)</td>
<td>1.1-2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDE6</td>
<td>4.5 (N = 4)</td>
<td>1.8-11.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PDE = phosphodiesterase.
after PGE_2 injection caused a progressive inhibition of the potentiation by PGE_2 of the nociceptive response elicited by formalin. Significant differences from the saline-treated control group were detected at the doses of 0.2, 0.5, and 1.0 nmol (P < 0.001). The highest effective dose of fentanyl (1 nmol/paw) co-injected with the nonspecific opioid receptor antagonist naloxone (2 nmol/paw) did not antagonize the prostaglandin-induced effect, suggesting that the effect of fentanyl was mediated by an opioid receptor. To exclude the possibility that a central opioid effect could account for the antinociceptive action of fentanyl observed in the present study, the highest opioid dose (1 nmol) was injected sc under the neck skin of the animals (Figure 2), with no effect observed. Finally, when fentanyl (1 nmol) was applied without previous PGE_2 sensitization, no change was observed in the formalin-induced response (data not shown in the figure). In this case, the saline-treated group presented 15.0 ± 1.2 lifting and shaking movements and the fentanyl-treated group presented 14.3 ± 1.3 (P > 0.05, unpaired t-test).

**Dose-dependent inhibition of the antihyperalgesic effect of fentanyl by Nω-nitro-L-arginine**

To determine whether nitric oxide synthase (NOS) activity mediates the peripheral analgesic effect of fentanyl, we co-injected the maximal analgesic dose of fentanyl previously tested (1.0 nmol) with increasing doses of the NOS inhibitor NOARG (0.05, 0.1 and 0.2 µmol). These treatments were applied 30 min before the formalin injection. The NOARG-fentanyl mixture progressively lost its effect as the NOARG content was increased (0.05 µmol, P < 0.05; 0.1 and 0.2 µmol, P < 0.001) compared to the effect of fentanyl alone (Figure 3). The antihyperalgesic effect was restored when L-arginine (0.5 µmol) was added to the NOARG-fentanyl mixture.

---

**Figure 2.** Peripheral antihyperalgesic effect of fentanyl. Fentanyl (0.1-1.0 nmol/50 µl/paw) was injected 30 min after paw injection of PGE_2 and reversed the effect of PGE_2 on the nocifensive responses to formalin injection. The Nx bar indicates the group that received fentanyl (1.0 nmol) plus naloxone (2 nmol). The highest dose of fentanyl (1.0 nmol) did not reverse the effect of PGE_2 when injected systemically (sc). Bars indicate the mean ± SEM of 6 animals in each group. The control group (Sal) received saline instead of fentanyl. *P < 0.001 compared to control (ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s test).

**Figure 3.** Reversal of the antihyperalgesic effect of fentanyl (F) by Nω-nitro-L-arginine (NOARG). Increasing doses of NOARG (0.05-0.2 µmol) co-injected with fentanyl (1.0 nmol) 30 min before formalin injection caused a progressive inhibition of the antihyperalgesic effect of the opioid. The effect of fentanyl was fully restored when L-arginine (L-Arg; 0.5 µmol) was added to the NOARG-fentanyl mixture. The control group received only fentanyl (1.0 nmol), without NOARG, between the PGE_2 and formalin injections. The bars indicate the mean ± SEM of 6 animals in each group. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.001 for comparison to control or between doses (ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s test).
Nitric oxide-mediated antihyperalgesia

Dose-dependent potentiation of antihyperalgesia by UK-114,542-27, a specific cGMP phosphodiesterase inhibitor

Increasing doses of UK-114,542-27 (0.5, 1 and 2 µmol) co-injected with a subeffective dose of fentanyl (0.1 nmol) 30 min before formalin injection produced a significant dose-dependent antihyperalgesia compared to control (0.5 µmol, \( P < 0.05 \); 1 and 2 µmol, \( P < 0.001 \)) and a significant difference was also detected between the doses of 0.5 and 2 µmol of the PDE inhibitor (\( P < 0.05 \)). The maximal potentiating dose of UK-114,542-27 (2 µmol) did not cause any antihyperalgesic effect when administered alone (Figure 4).

Discussion

We report here the antihyperalgesic action of the µ-opioid receptor agonist fentanyl (18) injected locally in a model of PGE\(_2\)-induced hyperalgesia in response to formalin. This effect was dose-related, and could not be attributed to a central action of the opioid since the maximally effective dose of locally injected fentanyl had no effect when injected sc under the rat’s neck skin.

PGE\(_2\) caused maximal potentiation of the nocifensive responses to formalin when injected either 30 or 60 min before, but no potentiation was recorded when PGE\(_2\) was given 150 min before formalin. This time-dependent effect was closely similar to that observed for PGE\(_2\) in models of thermal (19) and mechanical (20) stimulation, suggesting that PGE\(_2\) has a similar effect on the nociceptive system independent of the kind of stimulus. Fentanyl caused a clear dose-dependent inhibition of the formalin-induced response only when PGE\(_2\) was given previously (Figure 2). This finding suggests that the opioid specifically reversed the potentiating effect of PGE\(_2\) on the formalin response, but did not exert, for example, an anesthetic-like effect. For this reason, the peripheral effect of fentanyl may be understood as antihyperalgesic rather than antinociceptive effect, since it did not affect the nonpotentiated formalin nociceptive response. In addition, this fentanyl-induced peripheral antihyperalgesia appears to be due to an action on an opioid receptor, since it was blocked by the local injection of naloxone.

The involvement of the L-arginine/nitric oxide/cGMP pathway in the peripheral action of fentanyl was assessed by injecting a mixture of the NOS inhibitor NOARG and fentanyl (Figure 3). The progressive decrease in the antihyperalgesic effect of fentanyl as the content of NOARG was increased in the mixture suggests that nitric oxide formation also mediates the peripheral effect of fentanyl. Furthermore, the cGMP PDE inhibitor UK-114,542-27 (Figure 4) dose-dependently potentiated a subeffective dose of fentanyl (0.1 nmol) 30 min before formalin injection caused a dose-dependent inhibition of the nocifensive responses. The Sal group received only saline between the PGE\(_2\) and formalin injections. The fentanyl control group (F) and the UK control group (UK) only received the subeffective dose of the opioid and the highest dose of UK, respectively, between the PGE\(_2\) and formalin injections. The bars indicate the mean \( \pm \) SEM of 6 animals in each group. *\( P < 0.05 \), **\( P < 0.001 \) for comparison to fentanyl control or between doses (ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s test).

Figure 4. Potentiation of the effect of fentanyl by the type 5 phosphodiesterase (PDE5) inhibitor UK-114,542-27 (UK). Increasing doses of the inhibitor (0.5-2.0 µmol) co-injected with a subeffective dose of fentanyl (F; 0.1 nmol) 30 min before formalin injection caused a dose-dependent inhibition of the nocifensive responses. The Sal group received only saline between the PGE\(_2\) and formalin injections. The fentanyl control group (F) and the UK control group (UK) only received the subeffective dose of the opioid and the highest dose of UK, respectively, between the PGE\(_2\) and formalin injections. The bars indicate the mean \( \pm \) SEM of 6 animals in each group. *\( P < 0.05 \), **\( P < 0.001 \) for comparison to fentanyl control or between doses (ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s test).
nyl. The specificity of UK-114,542-27 for PDE5 supports the idea that cGMP formation at the periphery is also important for the expression of the antihyperalgesic effect of the opioid. Overall, our data support the hypothesis that the L-arginine/nitric oxide/cGMP pathway mediates the peripheral effect of fentanyl.

The first attempt to address the molecular mechanism mediating the peripheral antinociceptive action of opioids was made by Ferreira et al. (13), who demonstrated that morphine antagonized the PGE2-induced mechanical hyperalgesia by means of nitric oxide-induced cGMP formation. In an effort to extend this finding to the well-known formalin nociceptive test, Granados-Soto et al. (21) inhibited the peripheral action of morphine using either the NOS inhibitor NOARG or the guanylate cyclase inhibitor methylene blue. Unfortunately, methylene blue also potently inhibits both endothelial (22) and neuronal NOS (23), thus precluding a conclusion about the participation of cGMP in the peripheral effect of morphine. The potentiating effect of UK-114,542-27 co-injected with fentanyl not only supports a role for cGMP formation in the peripheral opioid effect, but also identifies PDE5 as a molecular target for peripheral modulation of opioid analgesia.

Several lines of evidence converge to explain how opioids can antagonize inflammatory hyperalgesia at the peripheral level. It has long been known that µ-receptor subtype activation causes hyperpolarization of neurons by opening K⁺-channels (24), and, indeed, an ATP-sensitive K⁺-channel accounts for the peripheral antinociceptive action of morphine in a model of mechanical hyperalgesia (14). However, other K⁺-channel types may also be involved in morphine-induced hyperpolarization of primary afferent neurons (25). Furthermore, a µ-opioid receptor subtype was shown to be coupled to nitric oxide release in endothelial cells (26), and nitric oxide and cGMP can drive potassium channel opening in a smooth muscle assay (27,28).

The present study extends previously reported data on the peripheral analgesic effect of morphine, demonstrating that fentanyl acts in opposition to the hyperalgesic effect of PGE2 and that this action is probably mediated by the L-arginine/nitric oxide/cGMP pathway. The direct antagonism of PGE2-induced hyperalgesia remains an alternative to the development of new peripheral analgesics and, by preserving the endogenous production of prostaglandins, this would be safer than aspirin-like drugs. The model of PGE2-induced hyperalgesia in response to formalin is a straightforward approach to test this kind of peripheral effect with great specificity and reliability, since it is insensitive to prostaglandin synthesis inhibitors. In addition, the results of the present study suggest that clinically available PDE5 inhibitors may be effective adjuvants for opioid analgesia.
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