Anatomical connections of the periaqueductal gray: specific neural substrates for different kinds of fear
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Abstract

The periaqueductal gray (PAG) has been traditionally considered to be an exit relay for defensive responses. Functional mapping of its subdivisions has advanced our knowledge of this structure, but synthesis remains difficult mainly because results from lesion and stimulation studies have not correlated perfectly. After using a strategy that combined both techniques and a reevaluation of the available literature on PAG function and connections, we propose here that freezing could be mediated by different PAG subdivisions depending on the presence of immediate danger or exposure to related signaling cues. These subdivisions are separate functional entities with distinct descending and ascending connections that are likely to play a role in different defensive responses. The existence of ascending connections also suggests that the PAG is not simply a final common path for defensive responses. For example, the possibility that indirect ascending connections to the cingulate cortex could play a role in the expression of freezing evoked by activation of the neural substrate of fear in the dorsal PAG has been considered.
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Introduction

Since Hunsperger (1), the periaqueductal gray (PAG) has been viewed as the final common path for all defensive responses. Chemical or electrical stimulation of some structures located more rostrally, like the amygdala or medial hypothalamus, can induce defense reactions (2), which are permanently abolished after lesion of the PAG (1). The reverse is not true, because neither telencephalic ablation (3) nor medial or posterior hypothalamic lesions (1) blocks defense reactions elicited by PAG stimulation. PAG lesions can also abolish or cause dramatic reductions of both innate (4) and learned (5) defensive behaviors. These findings were later supplemented by the discovery of the organization of the PAG into longitudinal columns, which serve as distinct anatomical modules for the specific functions associated with this structure (6). Presently, four main longitudinal cell-rich subdivisions are accepted by consensus to exist in the PAG (7), namely the dorsomedial (dmPAG), dorsolateral (dlPAG), lateral and ventrolateral (vlPAG) subdivisions. In this review, we examine the special role played by these distinct columns in the coordination of different types of fear in an attempt to present...
an overview of the anatomical organization of the PAG involved in the expression of emotional behavior.

**Periaqueductal gray subdivisions: functional differentiation**

It was only in the late eighties that functional studies started to draw attention to regional dissimilarities within the PAG. LeDoux et al. (5) observed a reduction of conditioned freezing behavior after excitotoxic lesion of the caudal, but not the rostral PAG. In further studies of the rostrocaudal axis, stimulation of the caudal PAG produced forward escape, while rostral stimulation provoked threatening reactions and backward avoidance (8), as seen in Figure 1A.

On the dorsoventral axis, electrical stimulation of the dorsal and lateral PAG of the rat provoked vigorous motor reactions similar to those induced by foot shock, and shortly after the end of the stimulus the rat froze (9). In contrast, only freezing was observed after vPAG stimulation (9). Ventral PAG lesions reduced conditioned freezing, and dorsal PAG lesions reduced the “activity burst” that appears during foot shock (9). In short, it was argued that the dorsal PAG mediated escape, while the ventral PAG was responsible for the freezing response (9). Some additional support for this view (8) came from the fact that lateral PAG stimulation with excitatory amino acids provoked vigorous escape, while vPAG stimulation produced strong immobility (Figure 1A).

The findings described above also raised some points for discussion. Microinjection of glutamate into the vPAG causes an immobile behavior (10) that is very different from the defensive freezing attenuated after lesioning the same region (9). While the former is accompanied by hypotension, bradycardia, and hyporeactivity (10), freezing is followed by hypertension (11) and hypervigilance (12).

Recently, Morgan and Carrive (13) argued against the assumption that vPAG stimulation causes both immobility and hypotension, and also against the suggestion (10) that this immobility was part of a recuperative response after an agonistic encounter (quiescence). After microinjection of *d,l*-homocysteic acid into the vPAG of awake, freely moving rats, the resulting immobility and bradycardia were not accompanied by a fall in blood pressure. These investigators concluded that, in nature, generation of behavior usually requires the activation of various brain regions and that the vPAG could be recruited in both defensive freezing and quiescence. This co-activation hypothesis
receives support from various correlation studies. Fos immunoreactivity expressed during neuronal activation increases in all PAG subdivisions after stimulation of the dorsal PAG (14) and medial hypothalamus (15), after exposure to a predator (16) or to its smell (17), and after re-exposure to a conditioned aversive context (18). However, it is noteworthy that the effect is much more pronounced in the dIPAG after predator exposure, and in the vIPAG after re-exposure to the conditioned aversive context (Figure 1B).

Yet, there remains a second difficulty with the anatomical dissociation theory. Freezing occurs during electrical (19,20) and chemical (21,22) stimulation of the same PAG sites where escape is elicited, often before it. Freezing induced by stimulation is behaviorally similar to conditioned freezing, as both occur concomitantly with muscular tension, hypervigilance and hyperreactivity (22). Therefore, these findings suggest that the neural substrates for freezing and escape may overlap.

Fanselow (9) suggested that freezing observed after dorsal PAG stimulation is similar to that observed after foot shock. This would imply that dorsal PAG stimulation, which is known to be aversive (23) and to cause conditioning (24), could be responsible for freezing by means of contextual conditioning, i.e., stimulation of PAG sites that give rise to escape would function as an unconditioned aversive stimulus, that would become associated with the contextual cues present during the experimental session. Once associated with the stimulation, these cues would provoke a conditioned fear response in the rat, i.e., freezing behavior. This interpretation is not valid because when we remove the animal from the environment where the experimental session took place, the freezing behavior persists for a significant period of time, and vanishes after 24 h (25).

Another possible explanation consistent with the hypothesis of dissociated anatomical substrates for freezing and escape would be the stimulus propagation from dorsal PAG to vPAG. This hypothesis has not received empirical support. After vPAG stimulation with intensities high enough to provoke escape, animals did not freeze beyond control levels, as occurred with dIPAG stimulation (26).

Finally, the vPAG does not seem to be critical to all kinds of freezing (27). While vPAG-lesioned animals did freeze less after foot shock conditioning, electrical or chemical stimulation of the dIPAG in vPAG-lesioned animals caused as much freezing and escape as that shown by sham-lesioned, dIPAG-stimulated animals. Based on this, it has been suggested that the vPAG is part of a circuit that mediates conditioned fear, while unconditioned fear would use an alternate route that may include the dIPAG.

Connections of periaqueductal gray subdivisions

The connections between PAG and other structures of the central nervous system are not homogeneous throughout, suggesting some regional specialization. A careful analysis of these connections may indicate the functions performed by each subregion. We describe below the structures that maintain connections with the PAG, selected according to three criteria: a) having strong or moderate monosynaptic connections with the PAG; b) being implicated in the mediation of somatic defense reactions, and c) whose connections are unequally distributed between PAG subregions. It should be pointed out that data obtained from radioligand assays were not considered in the present review because we believe that staining techniques on slices are more precise anatomically.

Connections with higher structures

Hypothalamus

The hypothalamus has traditionally been thought to act as a key effector center for
visceral defense reactions (5), but a long line of inquiry also points at its relevance for the mediation of defensive somatic responses. Amongst the most important lines of evidence is the escape provoked by microinjection of GABA antagonists into the dorsomedial hypothalamus (DMH; 28), an effect that could persist after telencephalic ablation (3). Canteras (29) called attention to a network of hypothalamic subnuclei that may influence the expression of defensive behavior. This network appears to be composed by the anterior hypothalamic nucleus (AHN), the dorsomedial part of the ventromedial hypothalamic nucleus (VMH) and the dorsal premammillary nucleus (PMd). Cell body-specific chemical lesions of the caudal pole of this network (PMd) virtually abolished freezing and escape responses in the presence of a predator (29). All of these areas have increased c-Fos expression during predator odor exposure (17) or after escape provoked by microinjection of nitric oxide donors into the dIPAG (30). Other studies have also suggested the participation of these regions in conditioned fear (31) and in the responses elicited by aversive stimulation of the medial hypothalamus (15) and dorsal PAG (14).

Cameron et al. (32) compared the projections of neurons located in rostral and caudal portions of the dIPAG and vIPAG and observed that the rostral dIPAG densely projects...
to hypothalamic nuclei related to defensive responses (AHN, DMH), while other regions have moderate (caudal dIPAG to AHN and DMH; vIPAG to DMH) or weak (caudal vIPAG to DMH) projections.

The AHN strongly projects to all PAG subdivisions (33). Another dense projection goes to the VMH, which projects massively to the rostral dIPAG (29). The VMH also projects to various amygdalar nuclei, including the central nucleus (29). The AHN have reciprocal connections with the PMd, and also an indirect projection via the VMH (29). The PMd projects massively to the dIPAG (Figure 2A) and rostral PAG, and much less to other PAG subdivisions (34). In summary, the dIPAG is the PAG subdivision preferentially connected with the hypothalamic nuclei outlined above (Figure 3).

Amygdala

The amygdala and PAG only share connections through the central nucleus. These connections are direct and reciprocal, and both inputs and outputs preferentially involve the vIPAG (35) (Figure 4D). The inactivation of this path with lidocaine causes a drastic reduction of conditioned freezing (36). The only target structure of the central nucleus whose lesion has the same effect is the caudal PAG (5). The amygdala is related to conditioned and unconditioned fear (37), but not to unconditioned freezing behavior, since its excitotoxic lesion does not change freezing immediately after a foot shock (38), and even the conditioned fear deficit can be compensated for with overtraining (39). Thus, this structure might be better conceptualized as a perceptual interface than as an effector for the limbic system.

Thalamus

There is no consensus about where the projections from discrete PAG subdivisions terminate in the thalamus. Krout and Loewy

Figure 3. Main connections between hypothalamic behavioral defense nuclei and periaqueductal gray (PAG). The thickness of the arrows indicates the relative density of the projections. AHN, anterior hypothalamic nucleus; dIPAG, dmPAG, IPAG and vIPAG, dorsolateral, dorsomedial, lateral and ventrolateral PAG subdivisions, respectively; PMd, dorsal premammillary nucleus; VMH, ventromedial hypothalamus.

Figure 4. Periaqueductal gray neurons that project to other structures. Ill, oculomotor nucleus; IV, trochlear nucleus; DR, dorsal raphe nucleus; EW, Edinger-Westphal nucleus; mlf, medial longitudinal fasciculus; ND, nucleus of Darkschewitsch. A, Reprinted from Risold et al. (41) and F, from Hermann et al. (51), © 1997, with permission of Elsevier Science. B, Reprinted from Redgrave et al. (49), and E, from Mouton and Holstege (55), © 1988, 1994, with permission of Springer-Verlag. C, Reprinted from Marcinkiewicz et al. (44), and D, from Rizvi et al. (35), © 1989, 1991, with permission of Wiley-Liss, Inc., a subsidiary of John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
(40) observed that PAG terminations in the thalamus originate predominantly from the lateral PAG and vIPAG, innervating medial and intralaminar thalamic nuclei. In the same study, after microinjection of a retrograde tracer into the nucleus reuniens, all PAG subdivisions were stained, with clear predominance of the most caudal aspects of the lateral PAG. In contrast, in a similar study on the same nucleus, Risold et al. (41) observed clear-cut retrograde staining of the dIPAG (Figure 4A). The nucleus reuniens also receives strong projections from the AHN, VMH and PMd (41), the hypothalamic ‘defense’ nuclei proposed by Canteras (29).

**Cortex**

In the rat, primary motor areas of forelimbs (Figure 2F), hind limbs and trunk (Figure 2G) project exclusively to the lateral PAG and vIPAG, while primary auditory (Figure 2B) and secondary visual (Figure 2C) cortices preferentially innervate the dIPAG (42). Perirhinal, anterior cingulate (43) (Figure 2D) and agranular lateral retrosplenial cortices (41) (Figure 2E) project almost exclusively to the dIPAG. Medial, ventrolateral, ventral, dorsolateral orbital, and dorsal and posterior insular agranular cortices project to the vIPAG (43). Prelimbic and infralimbic cortices are the borders of these topographic domains, with their rostroventral portions targeting the vIPAG (Figure 2H) while dorsocaudally they project to the dIPAG. Thus, descending cortical projections are topographically distributed to distinct regions of the PAG.

Direct projections from PAG to cortex are not known. Nevertheless, escape behavior caused by dIPAG stimulation with nitric oxide donors leads to activation of the anterior cingulate (33), suggesting the existence of an ascending path functionally linking the two regions. The nucleus reuniens of the thalamus could be a relay station (41).

**Rostral raphe**

The median raphe nucleus has reciprocal direct connections with the vIPAG (44) (Figure 4C) and receives strong direct projections from the PMd. Recently, the median raphe nucleus has been related to context conditioning retention (45).

Dorsal raphe nucleus projections terminate densely in the vIPAG, but also in the dIPAG at the level of the oculomotor nucleus (46) (Figure 21). Serotonergic projections from dorsal raphe nucleus to dIPAG are inhibitory, and probably modulate the activity of neurons involved in defensive behavior (47). The vIPAG is the only PAG subdivision that sends direct projections to the dorsal raphe nucleus (48).

**Connections with lower structures**

The descending impulse flow to the motoneurons of the ventral horn of the spinal cord from the PAG travels through relay stations at the level of the cuneiform nucleus and caudal raphe.

Most of the PAG projections to the cuneiform nucleus arise from the dIPAG (49) (Figure 4B). Chemical stimulation of the cuneiform nucleus causes freezing and escape behavior, and its lesion blocks defense reactions produced by stimulation of the superior colliculus (50), a region that shares this projection with the dIPAG. Neurons in this nucleus are activated by exposure to predator odor (17) and stimulation of dorsal PAG (14) and medial hypothalamus (15).

Caudal raphe nuclei receive afferents preferentially from the dmPAG, lateral PAG and vIPAG, but not from the dIPAG (51). The caudal vIPAG contains the largest group of cells that send projections to the raphe nucleus (51) (Figure 4F). These nuclei also receive afferents from the cuneiform nucleus, but their precise topography has not yet been analyzed (52). Stimulation of neurons in this region causes immobility (53), and electrical
stimulation provokes escape (54).

The vPAG is the only PAG subdivision that sends direct projections to the ventral horn (55) (Figure 4E). Nevertheless, other subdivisions can reach somatic motoneurons through the nucleus raphe pallidus and obscurus (56).

**Synthesis**

We cannot say much about the dPAG and lateral PAG on the basis of available information. These regions are clearly distinguishable by their cytoarchitecture and are separated by an NADPH-d-positive dPAG, but at least in the context of the behavioral component of defensive responses in the rat there is no precise information on connections inherent to one of them. One possibility is the lateral PAG-thalamus projection, but this awaits confirmation. In contrast, one can readily distinguish the structures or regions that preferentially connect with the dPAG and vPAG. A summary of available data is presented in Table 1.

The differential projection topography of the dPAG and vPAG may provide answers to some apparent inconsistencies reported in the literature. Below we deal with two issues that have arisen from this perspective.

**Dorsolateral and ventrolateral periaqueductal gray subdivisions and the control of the freezing response**

The vPAG has been implicated in the mediation of conditioned freezing and quiescence. Its involvement in conditioned freezing is probably mediated by its exclusive connections with the central nucleus of the amygdala.

The dPAG has also been related to the freezing behavior that occurs interspersed with flight. Rats, roaches and other animals alternate freezing and flight when under threat by predators, making their position in space less predictable and capture less likely. This freezing seems to be different from conditioned freezing because it outlasts vPAG lesion (27) and is related to immediate danger, while the former is not. Both freezing and escape induced by immediate danger were virtually abolished after PMd lesion (29), a nucleus that preferentially projects to the dPAG (32).

The amygdala has also been related to unconditioned fear, but its lesion does not affect the freezing response to immediate danger (38). Hence, it is wiser to propose a dissociation of dPAG and vPAG function as mediating the responses to immediate and cued danger, respectively, than one based on the conditioned/unconditioned dyad.

**The post-stimulation freezing**

After aversive dPAG stimulation, rats freeze. This effect is resistant to context shift and does not last 24 h, suggesting sensitization and not conditioning (25). The same is not observed with the vPAG. Surprisingly, after escape provoked by vPAG stimulation, the rat seems to be calm, as if no aversive event had taken place (26).

It is tempting to suggest an involvement of medial prefrontal and anterior cingulate

---

**Table 1. Main connections between central nervous system structures and periaqueductal gray.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input to PAG</th>
<th>Two-way input</th>
<th>PAG output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dPAG</td>
<td>Sensory cortex</td>
<td>Hypothalamus**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prefrontal cortex*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cingulate cortex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dorsal raphe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vPAG</td>
<td>Motor cortex</td>
<td>Amygdala</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prefrontal cortex*</td>
<td>Dorsal raphe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Insular cortex</td>
<td>Median raphe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CnF, cuneiform nucleus; PAG, periaqueductal gray; dPAG, dorsolateral PAG; vPAG, ventrolateral PAG.
*Distinct regions project preferentially to the dPAG and vPAG.
**For a more detailed summary of the connections between hypothalamus and PAG, see Figure 3.
***There is no consensus in the literature about the preferential PAG afferent domains.
cortices in post-stimulation freezing through memory processes. These regions have a decisive role in short-term memory. Interference with this system prevents information from staying in memory after removal of associated environmental clues (57). Indeed, rats with infralimbic cortex lesion and submitted to an aversive conditioning procedure showed a great reduction in conditioned freezing and ultrasonic vocalizations (58). However, another study using a similar procedure in rats bearing lesions of the same region did not confirm this finding (59). The main difference between the two studies was that in the latter study freezing was measured during conditioned stimulus presentation, while in the former it was recorded immediately after the presentation was over. These regions are thus implicated in short-term memory. This also seems to be true regarding nociceptive stimulation. In fact, it has been shown that, after digital amputation, evoked responses to electrical stimulation of the contralateral digit in the anterior cingulate are enhanced. This effect lasts about 120 min, a temporal course again compatible with sensitization processes (60). Therefore, a participation of these cortical regions in the short-term storage of aversive information, as observed with the sustained freezing after the interruption of the dlPAG stimulation, needs to be considered.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the anatomofunctional organization of the PAG is consistent with the assumption that the PAG is a collection of separate functional units with distinct ascending and descending connections and roles in different defensive responses. Besides being able to send projections to lower brainstem regions, both dlPAG and vlPAG are able to send information to structures located rostrally. Thus, the PAG is more than just a final common path for defensive responses. Finally, freezing induced by electrical stimulation of the dlPAG is not context sensitive, unlike that mediated by the vlPAG. Therefore, the defense reactions induced by activation of the dlPAG are independent of the neural mechanisms in the vlPAG involved in fear conditioning. The defensive behaviors generated at the dlPAG level are likely to represent unconditioned fear responses to impending danger, which have been implicated in panic disorder.
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