Effect of one stretch a week applied to the immobilized soleus muscle on rat muscle fiber morphology
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Abstract

We determined the effect of stretching applied once a week to the soleus muscle immobilized in the shortened position on muscle fiber morphology. Twenty-six male Wistar rats weighing 269 ± 26 g were divided into three groups. Group I, the left soleus was immobilized in the shortened position for 3 weeks; group II, the soleus was immobilized in the shortened position and stretched once a week for 3 weeks; group III, the soleus was submitted only to stretching once a week for 3 weeks. The medial part of the soleus muscle was frozen for histology and muscle fiber area evaluation and the lateral part was used for the determination of number and length of serial sarcomeres. Soleus muscle submitted only to immobilization showed a reduction in weight (44 ± 6%, P = 0.002), in serial sarcomere number (23 ± 15%) and in cross-sectional area of the fibers (37 ± 31%, P < 0.001) compared to the contralateral muscles. The muscle that was immobilized and stretched showed less muscle fiber atrophy than the muscles only immobilized (P < 0.05). Surprisingly, in the muscles submitted only to stretching, fiber area was decreased compared to the contralateral muscle (2548 ± 659 vs 2961 ± 806 µm², respectively, P < 0.05). In conclusion, stretching applied once a week for 40 min to the soleus muscle immobilized in the shortened position was not sufficient to prevent the reduction of muscle weight and of serial sarcomere number, but provided significant protection against muscle fiber atrophy. In contrast, stretching normal muscles once a week caused a reduction in muscle fiber area.

Introduction

An important question for rehabilitation is how to protect skeletal muscle from the effects of immobilization, especially muscles immobilized in the shortened position. It is well known that skeletal muscle is an adaptable tissue which, when immobilized in the shortened position for 3 weeks, presents loss in the number of serial sarcomeres, muscle fiber atrophy and proliferation of connective tissue (1-3). These changes contribute to the significant reduction of the joint range of motion when the immobilization is removed.

The loss of serial sarcomeres in muscles immobilized in the shortened position occurs mainly after two weeks of immobilization (3). In contrast, muscles immobilized in the lengthened position for the same period of time show an increased number of serial...
sarcomeres, moderate or absent atrophy and no significant changes in connective tissue (2-7). It is also known that stretching of skeletal muscle is very important not only to increase the joint range of motion but also to prevent and treat injuries, representing a tool of therapeutic intervention for physical rehabilitation and sports medicine (for a review, see Ref. 7). Muscle stretching is recognized as a very powerful stimulant of muscle growth and protein synthesis (for reviews, see Refs. 8,9).

Several studies have demonstrated the importance of stretching to prevent connective tissue proliferation, muscle fiber atrophy, and the loss of serial sarcomeres in immobilized muscles (6,8,9). A previous report showed that daily sessions of passive stretching applied for 30 min to the soleus muscle of rats immobilized in the shortened position were enough to prevent the loss of serial sarcomeres and to maintain the joint range of motion (2). However, 15 min of stretching applied every 2 days was not effective in preventing the loss of serial sarcomeres (6).

Recently, we evaluated the effect of 3 stretching sessions a week applied for 40 min to the soleus muscle of rats immobilized in the shortened position. Although this stretching frequency and duration did not prevent the loss of serial sarcomeres, it provided significant protection against muscle fiber atrophy, suggesting that muscle stretching regulates in a different manner the number of serial sarcomeres and the cross-sectional area of muscle fibers (Coutinho EL, Gomes ARS, França CN and Salvini TF, unpublished results). In the same study, when sessions of stretching were applied to non-immobilized muscles there was an increase in both serial sarcomere number and muscle fiber area, reflecting an important hypertrophic effect.

Sessions of stretching are commonly used for humans during sports activities and rehabilitation programs to treat and prevent muscle shortening. Some reports on the procedures used in physical rehabilitation have described that one session of stretching per week is enough to maintain flexibility (10,11). However, there are no detailed studies about the effect of one stretching a week on the morphology of the skeletal muscle fibers of shortened muscles and muscles of normal length.

Thus, the purpose of the present study was to determine the effects on muscle fiber morphology induced by one session of passive stretching a week applied to the soleus muscle of rats immobilized in the shortened position and also to non-immobilized muscles.

Although there are several differences between rodent and human skeletal muscles, mainly in terms of protein turnover, the results of the present study provide new information about the adaptation of skeletal muscle submitted to passive stretching sessions. To our knowledge, the present study is the first report of a protocol using this frequency and duration of muscle stretching applied to the skeletal muscle of rodents with subsequent morphological evaluation of muscle fibers.

**Material and Methods**

**Animal care and experimental groups**

The study was conducted in accordance with the Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (12). Twenty-six male Wistar rats weighing 269 ± 26 g were used. The animals were housed in plastic cages in a room with controlled environmental conditions and had free access to water and standard food.

The animals were randomly divided into 3 groups: in group I (N = 6), the left hind limb was immobilized in order to maintain the soleus muscle in a fully shortened position for 3 weeks; in group II (N = 6), the soleus was immobilized in the shortened position, but once a week the immobiliza-
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was removed and the muscle was main-
tained in a fully stretched position for 40
min. After the stretching procedure, the hind
limb was immobilized again in order to main-
tain the soleus in the shortened position; in
group III the left soleus was submitted only
to stretching (40 min) once a week (N = 14).
The right contralateral soleus of all animals
was maintained intact and evaluated for com-
parison.

Rats were anesthetized with an intraperito-
oneal injection of xylazine (12 mg/kg) and keta-
mine (95 mg/kg) for the immobilization of
soleus muscles during the stretching proce-
dure and for muscle dissection and were then
sacrificed with an overdose of anesthetic.

Immobilization procedure

To maintain the soleus muscle in the
fully shortened position we used an alterna-
tive model developed in our laboratory for
the immobilization of the rat hind limb, in
which the left ankle joint was fixed in full
plantar flexion (for details, see Ref. 13). The
immobilization was adjusted daily to guar-
antee a full plantar position. The immobili-
ization device is made of steel mesh and
cotton materials, and has some advantages
when compared to a plaster cast: it is cheaper
and lighter and the same unit can be easily
adjusted and used several times for the same
animal or for animals of similar body weights.
No skin ulceration or foot swelling was ob-
served in the animals during the immobiliza-
tion period. To stretch the soleus muscles,
the left ankle was held in full dorsal flexion
for 40 min by means of a piece of tape, as
previously described by Williams (6).

Histology and sarcomere measurements

Three weeks after the beginning of the
experiments all animals were anesthetized
and weighed and both the right and left
soleus muscles were carefully dissected free
from surrounding tissue. Next, the tendons
of the soleus were clamped with the muscle
in the resting position, which was consid-
ered to be \( L_o \), as previously described by
Ansved (4). Each soleus muscle was then
divided longitudinally into two similar parts:
the medial one was used for histology, while
the lateral portion was used for the sarco-
mere measurements.

The method used in this study to determine
the number and length of the sarcomeres along
a single muscle fiber was developed by Wil-
liams and Goldspink (14). The muscle was
fixed in the resting position (\( L_o \) length) for 3 h
in 2.5% glutaraldehyde and then removed,
placed in 30% HNO\(_3\) for two days, and subse-
quently stored in 50% glycerol.

Five individual fibers of each whole so-
leus muscle were then teased out from ten-
don to tendon and mounted and their length
was measured using a caliper rule. The num-
ber and length of the sarcomeres along a
300-\( \mu \)m distance were quantified at different
points of the middle region of each single
fiber using a projection microscope (Axiolab,
Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). The to-
tal number of sarcomeres in each single
muscle fiber was determined by the correla-
tion between the number of sarcomeres iden-
tified along a distance of 300 \( \mu \)m and the
total fiber length (15). Since there are con-
flicting reports in the literature about the
sarcomere length along the muscle fibers,
particularly at the ends of the stretched fi-
bers, in the present study it was assumed that
the sarcomere length along the entire length
of the muscle fiber was homogeneous (16-18).

For histology, the muscle was immedi-
ately frozen in isopentane pre-cooled in liq-
uid nitrogen and stored in a freezer (-80ºC,
Forma Scientific, USA). Serial cross-sec-
tions (10 \( \mu \)m) were then obtained from the
middle belly of the frozen muscles using a
cryostat microtome (Microm HE 505, Ger-
many) and stained with 1% toluidine blue/
1% borax for the morphological evaluation
of muscle fibers, as previously described
(19-22).
Muscle fiber area

The cross-sectional area of 100 muscle fibers randomly chosen in the central region of one cross-section of each soleus muscle was measured using a light microscope (AxioLab, Carl Zeiss) and software for morphology (Axiovision 3.0.6 SP4, Carl Zeiss).

Statistical analysis

The paired Student $t$-test was used to compare the data between the right and left soleus of the same animals in each group. Possible differences between groups were determined by ANOVA and the Duncan test, with the level of significance set at ≤ 0.05.

Results

Body and muscle weights

After 21 days, both groups of immobilized animals showed a significant decrease in body weight when compared to the initial weight. Animals maintained immobilized for 3 weeks lost 12 ± 6% of their body weight (from 291 ± 33 to 256 ± 29 g, $P = 0.009$) and the group immobilized and stretched once a week also lost 12 ± 5% of their weight (from 263 ± 15 to 231 ± 18 g, $P = 0.0005$). The animals that were not immobilized, but were submitted to stretching once a week presented an increase of 16 ± 1.9% in body weight compared to the initial weight (from 289 ± 26 to 343 ± 26 g, $P < 0.05$).

Soleus muscles immobilized for 3 weeks lost 44 ± 6% of their weight when compared to the contralateral muscle (0.13 ± 0.04 vs 0.19±0.03 g, respectively, $P = 0.002$; Figure 1A). Soleus muscles immobilized and stretched weekly also lost 43 ± 5% of their weight (0.08 ± 0.02 vs 0.16 ± 0.04 g, respectively, $P < 0.05$). However, the non-immobilized group stretched once a week did not show a difference in muscle weight between the right and left soleus (0.17 ± 0.02 vs 0.17 ± 0.03, $P = 0.63$; Figure 1A).

Histology

Soleus muscles immobilized in the short-
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ened position for 3 weeks presented significant muscle fiber atrophy (Figure 2A) when compared to the contralateral soleus (Figure 2B). Note that the contralateral soleus showed fibers of normal aspect, but also with the abundant presence of regenerated fibers, such as split fibers and fibers with centralized nuclei. Similar muscle atrophy was observed in the left soleus submitted to immobilization and stretching once a week (Figure 2C), while the contralateral soleus presented normal and regenerated fibers (Figure 2D). Soleus muscle submitted only to stretching once a week (Figure 2E) and its contralateral muscle (Figure 2F) presented fibers of normal aspect. Figure 2E shows that stretched muscle also presented a small cross-sectional area compared to the contralateral one (Figure 2F).

Sarcomere measurements

The group submitted to 3 weeks of immobilization showed a decrease of 23 ± 15% in the serial sarcomere number of the soleus muscle fibers compared to the contralateral muscles (5748 ± 1103 vs 7517 ± 797, respectively, P = 0.01; Figure 1B). A decrease of 24 ± 14% in the sarcomere number of soleus muscle fibers was also observed in the group immobilized and stretched when compared to the contralateral one (5376 ± 613 vs 7236 ± 762, respectively, P < 0.05). No significant difference in sarcomere number was detected between non-immobilized muscles stretched once a week and the contralateral muscles (7321 ± 257 vs 6972 ± 112, P = 0.06; Figure 1B).

Soleus muscles immobilized in the shortened position also showed a change in sarcomere length, which was increased in the immobilized soleus compared to the contralateral muscle (2.1 ± 0.1 vs 1.9 ± 0.1 µm, respectively, P = 0.005; Figure 1C). The immobilized and stretched muscles also showed an increase in sarcomere length compared to the contralateral ones (2.5 ± 0.3 vs 2.1 ± 0.1 µm, respectively, P < 0.05; Figure 1C). However, sarcomere length was larger in the immobilized and stretched muscles than in muscles submitted only to immobilization (P = 0.0001; Figure 1C). In contrast, no change in sarcomere length was found in the fibers of soleus muscles submitted only to stretching compared to the contralateral
ones (1.9 ± 0.1 vs 1.9 ± 0.1 µm, respectively, P = 0.6; Figure 1C).

**Muscle fiber area**

Soleus muscles immobilized in the shortened position for 3 weeks showed a decrease in fiber cross-sectional area compared to the contralateral fibers (1179 ± 416 vs 2084 ± 728 µm², respectively, P < 0.05; Figure 1D). Muscle fiber atrophy was also observed in the soleus immobilized and stretched once a week compared to the contralateral one (1339 ± 410 vs 1994 ± 562 µm², respectively, P < 0.05; Figure 1D). Indeed, the immobilized and stretched muscle showed less atrophy compared to the muscle that was only immobilized (P = 0.0002; Figure 1D).

On the other hand, it was surprising to find a significant decline in the cross-sectional area of muscle fibers between the left and right soleus of the animals submitted only to a weekly stretching session (2548 ± 659 vs 2961 ± 806 µm², respectively, P < 0.05; Figure 1D). Since this was an unexpected result, the same stretching protocol was tested again in a new group of rats (N = 8). The results of this second group confirmed the significant decrease in muscle fiber cross-sectional area in the soleus submitted only to one stretching session a week when compared to its contralateral muscle (2518 ± 692 vs 2700 ± 600 µm², respectively, P < 0.05).

**Discussion**

The present study showed that passive stretching applied once a week for 40 min over a period of 3 weeks to the soleus of rats immobilized in the shortened position was not enough to prevent the loss of serial sarcomeres, but promoted significant protection against the muscle fiber atrophy. This result suggests that muscle stretching regulates the serial sarcomere number and the cross-sectional area of the muscle fibers by a different mechanism.

An unexpected and very interesting result of the present study was the significant reduction of muscle fiber area in the non-immobilized soleus submitted to only one stretching session a week. This result was intriguing because it is recognized that muscle stretching is a very powerful stimulant of muscle growth and protein synthesis (8,9), whereas the present results showed a cross-sectional sarcopenic effect of stretching applied once a week. To our knowledge, this is the first report of a decrease in the cross-sectional area of muscle fibers after one passive stretching session per week applied for 3 weeks to normal rat muscles. Thus, stretching also regulates the serial sarcomere number and the cross-sectional area of the muscle fibers in normal non-immobilized muscles differently.

Previous studies have demonstrated that the pathway for the addition of serial sarcomeres is different from that regulating the parallel sarcomere number. The nitric oxide (NO) derived from the neuronal isoform of NO synthase (nNOS) is a positive modulator of serial sarcomere addition (23), while the Ca²⁺/calmodulin-dependent protein phosphatase calcineurin and insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1), by activating the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3k)-Akt (a serine-threonine kinase) pathways, appear to regulate skeletal muscle hypertrophy (24,25). On the other hand, the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway regulates the binding and degradation of ubiquitinated proteins in the process of atrophy (26).

The absence of difference in absolute muscle weight between the right and left soleus in the group submitted only to stretching could be the consequence of the small amount of muscle fiber atrophy found, which probably was not enough to produce significant changes in absolute muscle weight. It is well known that muscle fiber area is a better measurement than absolute muscle weight for the evaluation of skeletal muscle tro-
An interesting study by Williams (2) showed that when one stretching session was applied daily for 30 min to muscles immobilized in the shortened position, it prevented the loss of both muscle weight and serial sarcomere number. A comparison of our results with those reported by Williams (2) indicates that the frequency and duration of stretching applied to muscle immobilized in the shortened position is important to preserve muscle mass and the muscle fiber length, i.e., a daily session of 30 min of stretching, but not one 40-min stretching session a week, preserves muscle weight and serial sarcomere number.

The increase in sarcomere length in the shortened muscles has been associated with the reduction of serial sarcomere number, which involves an adjustment in sarcomere length for the muscle to develop its maximal tension (1). Thus, in muscles immobilized in the shortened position, sarcomeres are lost and the remaining sarcomeres are stretched to a length that enables the muscle to develop its maximum tension in the immobilized position (1).

The present study showed a significant increase in sarcomere length in the soleus muscle fibers of both groups of immobilized animals when compared to the contralateral muscles. Also, the sarcomere length was higher in the immobilized and stretched muscles compared to the muscles only immobilized. However, no difference was found in the loss of serial sarcomere number between the immobilized groups.

The significant reduction of body weight found in the immobilized animals was probably due to the disuse and muscle fiber atrophy of the immobilized hind limb. However, the loss of body weight occurring with the use of our immobilization device was less pronounced than that observed by others with the use of a plaster cast for muscle immobilization (4).

It is well known that muscle overuse, especially in sedentary animals, can induce muscle fiber injury (27). Some classical signs of the lesion/regeneration cycle are the presence of split fibers and centralized nuclei. Previous studies have shown that split fibers are provoked in response to stress in hypertrophied fibers (28). Thus, the presence of regenerated fibers identified in the contralateral soleus muscle is probably associated with the injury caused by its overuse.

One session of passive stretching applied once a week for 40 min to the soleus muscle of rats immobilized in the shortened position was not enough to prevent the loss of muscle weight and serial sarcomere number, but provided significant protection against muscle fiber atrophy. In contrast, a significant reduction in muscle fiber area was found in the non-immobilized soleus submitted to only one stretching session a week. These results suggest that muscle stretching regulates the serial sarcomere number and the cross-sectional area of muscle fibers by different mechanisms.
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