Effects of metformin on the glycemic control, lipid profile, and arterial blood pressure of type 2 diabetic patients with metabolic syndrome already on insulin

Abstract

Fifty-seven type 2 diabetic patients with metabolic syndrome and on insulin were assessed by a paired analysis before and 6 months after addition of metformin as combination therapy to evaluate the impact of the association on glycemic control, blood pressure, and lipid profile. This was a historical cohort study in which the files of type 2 diabetic patients with metabolic syndrome on insulin were reviewed. The body mass index (BMI), waist circumference, lipid profile, A1C level, fasting blood glucose level, daily dose of NPH insulin, systolic blood pressure, and diastolic blood pressure were assessed in each patient before the start of metformin and 6 months after the initiation of combination therapy. Glycemic control significantly improved ($P < 0.001$) after the addition of metformin (1404.4 ± 565.5 mg/day), with 14% of the 57 patients reaching A1C levels up to 7%, and 53% reaching values up to 8%. There was a statistically significant reduction ($P < 0.05$) of total cholesterol (229.0 ± 29.5 to 214.2 ± 25.0 mg/dL), BMI (30.7 ± 5.4 to 29.0 ± 4.0 kg/m²), waist circumference (124.6 ± 11.7 to 117.3 ± 9.3 cm), and daily necessity of insulin. The reduction of total cholesterol occurred independently of the reductions of A1C (9.65 ± 1.03 to 8.18 ± 1.01%) and BMI and the reduction of BMI and WC did not interfere with the improvement of A1C. In conclusion, our study showed the efficacy of the administration of metformin and insulin simultaneously without negative effects. No changes were detected in HDL-cholesterol or blood pressure.

Introduction

The need for strict glycemic control in order to avoid or postpone the development of late complications in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM2) has been well established (1). It has been shown that the onset of complications may be related to glycosylated hemoglobin (A1C) levels higher than 7%, a situation that is even more likely if glycemic control is poor, with A1C levels above 8% (2). Although the initial management of DM2 involves lifestyle changes and oral hypoglycemic drugs, a significant num-
ber of patients will need insulin in order to reach satisfactory glycemic control (3). Furthermore, DM2 does not mean glycemic alterations alone since this disease is associated with cardiovascular risk factors such as dyslipidemia, systemic arterial hypertension, and obesity (4). This association is clinically relevant since over 50% of deaths among DM2 patients are due to cardiovascular disease (5).

Treatment of DM2 must include pharmacological agents able to improve not only glycemic levels, but also blood pressure (BP), lipid levels, and body weight, since in approximately 90% of type 2 diabetics hyperglycemia is associated with other cardiovascular factors that constitute the metabolic syndrome.

Several studies (6,7) have demonstrated that, in order to prevent the development and progression of chronic complications of diabetes, a comprehensive approach to all elements of the metabolic syndrome is required. The main feature of the metabolic syndrome, which can be clinically assessed by measurement of the waist circumference (WC) whenever more sophisticated laboratory methods are not available (8), is insulin resistance.

After metformin proved to be effective in reducing insulin resistance (9), several studies were undertaken to assess its effects on total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG), and HDL-cholesterol (HDL-C) levels, and also on BP and body mass index (BMI). However, there is no consensus about its beneficial effects on these parameters (10). Most studies have analyzed independent samples, comparing patients on insulin with patients on combined insulin-metformin therapy (11-13) with no conclusive results.

The objective of the present study was to assess a group of DM2 patients with metabolic syndrome, on insulin, before and 6 months after the introduction of metformin as combined therapy, so as to evaluate the effect of this association on glycemic control, BP, and the lipid profile.

Patients, Material and Methods

Data collection

We undertook a historical cohort study by assessing the medical files of type 2 diabetic patients with metabolic syndrome, on insulin, seen at a public diabetes-dedicated facility in a medium-sized Brazilian city (Juiz de Fora). All patients were followed up bimonthly by a team composed of four endocrinologists, a nutritionist, and a diabetes-trained nurse.

Patient selection

We selected files of patients who had been on twice daily injections of NPH insulin and who had metformin added to their therapy. Any file that showed any dietary indiscretion or drug misuse was not utilized in the study. A dietary indiscretion was defined as the intake of sucrose-containing foods three or more times a week, not considering the use of sweet foods taken during hypoglycemic episodes. A drug misuse was defined as any failure to take the prescribed drugs, as reported by the patient.

To avoid the inclusion of late-onset type 1 DM patients in the sample, we studied only patients with documented control of their disease with oral hypoglycemic drugs alone for at least 5 years before they began insulin treatment, and who showed no evidence of previous episodes of ketoacidosis. All patients followed the same diet, prescribed and monitored by the nutritionist.

The patients were diagnosed as having metabolic syndrome if they fulfilled at least 3 of the National Cholesterol Education Program - Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP ATP III) criteria (14). Although other criteria exist, as the World Health Organization criteria, the NCEP ATP III was the most widely used classification at present (6).

All patients had type 2 diabetes mellitus (blood glucose >126 mg/dL), high triglycer-
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ide levels (>150 mg/dL), and increased WC (women: >88 cm; men: >102 cm). Table 1 shows the patients’ characteristics.

A patient on anti-hypertensive or lipid-lowering drugs was only included if there was no change in the dosages of these drugs throughout the study period. Full attendance of scheduled consultations was another inclusion criterion.

Variables studied

BMI, WC, lipid profile (TC, HDL-C, and TG), A1C, fasting blood glucose (FBG), daily dose of NPH insulin, systolic blood pressure (SBP), and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) were measured in each patient before the start of metformin and 6 months after initiation of combined therapy.

Weight measurements were made only once, with a digital scale with a maximum capacity of 180 kg and precision of up to 100 g. The patients were weighed in their underwear after carefully stepping onto the center of the scale.

Height was measured only once, with a 1-mm precision tape measure fixed to the wall, with the zero mark at floor level. The patients were standing, with bare feet kept together, and with heels and occiput touching the tape.

For WC measurement, the patients were standing, with abdomen relaxed, upper limbs falling along the body, and the tape placed horizontally, halfway between the lower margin of the last rib and the iliac crest; the measurements were made with the tape firmly applied to the pelvis but without tissue compression. A flexible 1-mm precision tape measure was used.

Blood pressure was measured three times within a 10-min interval on the left arm, with the patient in the supine position, after a 5-min rest, with a calibrated mercury sphygmomanometer.

TC, HDL-C, TG, and FBG levels were measured by the colorimetric method, with maximum acceptable values of 240 mg/dL (TC), 200 mg/dL (TG), and 110 mg/dL (FBG). The minimum acceptable value for HDL-C was 35 mg/dL. A1C was measured by high-performance liquid chromatography (normal reference for stable A1C in non-diabetic patients is 2.8 to 5.2%, according to the National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program). All laboratory determinations were performed automatically. The study was approved by the local Ethics Committee and all patients signed written informed consent.

Statistical analysis

The data are reported as means ± SD, percentages (%) or 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). All tests were two-tailed, and the level of significance was set at P < 5%.

In order to compare the means of the study variables before and after the introduction of metformin, a paired t-test with 95% CI of the means was used. If the t-test showed a difference for any variable studied, Pearson’s correlation test was performed to check for possible intervening variables (co-variance) by the analysis of the coefficient of determination (r²) and r 95% CI. All assumptions for the undertaking of parametric tests were checked and accounted for. The statistical package GraphPad InStat, version 3.06, for Windows (San Diego, CA, USA) was used in the analyses.

Table 1. Characteristics of the cohort.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>58.9 ± 8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of diabetes (years)</td>
<td>15.9 ± 5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily metformin dose (mg)</td>
<td>1404.4 ± 565.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male/female)</td>
<td>26/31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concomitant medication</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid-lowering drugs</td>
<td>18 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure-lowering drugs</td>
<td>32 (56)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are reported as means ± SD or N (%).
Results

Fifty-seven files met the inclusion criteria and were analyzed in this study.

There was a statistically significant reduction of the daily dose of NPH insulin, of BMI, WC and TC, and TG levels when the values before and 6 months after metformin were compared. Neither BP (SBP or DBP), nor HDL-C suffered any alteration. Table 2 summarizes the comparative measurements.

Glycemic control improved significantly (P < 0.0001) after the introduction of metformin, with a reduction of mean FBG and A1C levels (Table 2). Furthermore, 14% of the patients reached A1C levels of up to 7%, 53% had A1C values of up to 8%, and 47% had unsatisfactory glycemic control. Before metformin introduction, all patients had A1C >8%. Correlation tests showed that TC reduction was independent of reductions in A1C, WC, and BMI. Reduction of BMI and WC also did not interfere with glycemic control. However, a slight correlation was seen between reduction of TG and BMI (r² = 12.5%), and TG and WC (r² = 8%), suggesting a certain degree of co-variance. The correlations calculated can be analyzed by the determination coefficients and 95% CI shown in Table 3.

None of the files showed reports of severe hypoglycemia, i.e., a situation needing somebody’s help. Although there were 16 reports (28% of the sample) of transient, mild gastrointestinal upset (dyspepsia) at the beginning of metformin use, there was no necessity of dose reduction or withdrawal.

Antihypertensive drugs were hydrochlorothiazide, propranolol, and captopril. Patients with dyslipidemia used lovastatin and gemfibrozil. These drugs probably did not interfere with the results, as there was no alteration in dose or type of drug used throughout the study period.

Discussion

Glycemic control

The present study showed that the addition of metformin to a scheme of NPH insulin administered twice daily improved glycemic control of type 2 diabetic patients with the metabolic syndrome after 6 months, regardless of BMI reduction, as already shown in other studies (11,12). Although one study showed that metformin can lead to WC reduction (15), the improvement of A1C levels in our sample was independent of WC reduction, indicating that metformin improves sensitivity to the action of insulin by mechanisms already described, such as inhibition of hepatic gluconeogenesis (16).
though over half the patients reached A1C levels below 8%, only 14% reached ideal metabolic control (A1C up to 7%), and 47% kept their A1C above 8%. More intensive insulin therapy with fast-acting insulins and self-monitoring might have led to better results (17). The mean FBG and A1C values of our patients were similar to those reported in other studies (11-13), although most studies did not report the percentage of patients who reached the established cut-off points for A1C. Such information would have shed light on the extent of glycemic control reached at other centers, since the mean value is sensitive to extreme values.

**Lipid profile**

The literature shows discrepant results about the influence of metformin on lipid profile (10). Some studies, in agreement with ours, reported reduction only in TC levels (18,19), while others reported reduction of TC and TG with an increase of HDL-C (20,21). Still other studies showed no changes in lipid profile (22,23). Another investigation showed an association of metformin with an improvement in the lipid profile even in non-diabetic patients (24). New studies are needed to clarify this issue, since TG and HDL-C are very important parameters for the evaluation of metabolic syndrome.

A possible reason for these discrepant results may be that the clinical studies cited above analyzed data from independent samples. In our study, this problem was avoided by paired data analysis, in which each patient was his own control, thereby increasing the power of the statistical analysis.

A recent meta-analysis (10) covering 41 studies on the effects of metformin on BP and lipid profile showed that only TC reduction was significant. Our results are in agreement with these findings and show that this fact was independent of BMI and WC reduction. Since the TG reduction found in the present study underwent interference from BMI and WC (intervening variables), we cannot conclude that metformin had a direct and isolated effect on TG levels.

**Other parameters**

The fact that metformin did not reduce BP in our sample agrees with other studies (10,22,23,25), although there are reports of SBP and DBP reduction with this drug (26,27). A possible explanation for this discrepancy may be the fact that not all patients who lose weight experience reductions in their plasma renin and aldosterone levels (28,29). However, metformin is known to positively affect other parameters which influence the development of cardiovascular disease, regardless of any effect on BP (30, 31). Our results agree with the literature regarding the effect of metformin on BMI reduction (9,12,13), reduction of daily insulin dose (9,12,13), biguanide-related side-effects (21,24), and reduction of WC, which is a cornerstone for the diagnosis of metabolic syndrome and may play the role of a co-variate when other parameters are analyzed (18,32).

In conclusion, our study showed the efficacy of the administration of metformin and insulin simultaneously without negative effects and no changes were detected in HDL-cholesterol or blood pressure.
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