Differential response to gepirone but not to chlordiazepoxide in malnourished rats subjected to learned helplessness
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The learned helplessness (LH) paradigm is characterized by learning deficits resulting from inescapable events. The aims of the present study were to determine if protein-calorie malnutrition (PCM) alters learning deficits induced by LH and if the neurochemical changes induced by malnutrition alter the reactivity to treatment with GABA-ergic and serotonergic drugs during LH. Well-nourished (W) and PCM Wistar rats (61 days old) were exposed or not to inescapable shocks (IS) and treated with gepirone (GEP, 0.0-7.5 mg/kg, intraperitoneally, N = 128) or chlordiazepoxide (0.0-7.5 mg/kg, intraperitoneally, N = 128) 72 h later, 30 min before the test session (30 trials of escape learning). The results showed that rats exposed to IS had higher escape latency than non-exposed rats (12.6 ± 2.2 vs 4.4 ± 0.8 s) and that malnutrition increased learning impairment produced by LH. GEP increased the escape latency of W animals exposed or non-exposed to IS, but did not affect the response of PCM animals, while chlordiazepoxide reduced the escape deficit of both W and PCM rats. The data suggest that PCM animals were more sensitive to the impairment produced by LH and that PCM led to neurochemical changes in the serotonergic system, resulting in hyporeactivity to the anxiogenic effects of GEP in the LH paradigm.
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INTRODUCTION

Protein or protein-calorie malnutrition (PCM) early in life produces morphological, neurochemical and behavioral alterations in rats tested as adults (1,2). Behavioral alterations following malnutrition cannot be attributed only to morphological and/or neurochemical changes in the central nervous system. Several lines of experimental evidence show that environmental changes concurrent with malnutrition procedures may explain, in part, the behavioral alterations observed in malnourished organisms (3-5). It has been shown that early protein malnutrition changes mother-pup and pup-pup interactions during lactation (6,7), promoting a drastic reduction in social and environmental exploration early in life, a phenomenon described as “functional isolation” (8). Although there are no animal studies showing that the isolation from the environment produced by malnutrition leads to behavioral despair, a study on human malnutrition has reported that depressive symptoms, especially feelings of hopelessness, occur more often among mothers of malnourished children than among mothers of control children (9). The hopelessness feelings could be due to the absence of control on the part of the mothers of the environmental variables that lead to miserable living conditions and eventually to malnutrition. Thus, this uncontrollability of environmental variables may alter the behavior of the mothers.
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and their interactions with the children, possibly producing long-lasting emotional alterations later in life in children.

In rats, it has been described that early protein malnutrition also produces changes in emotionality, especially in the reaction of the animals to aversive stimuli. It has been shown that malnutrition early in life produces increased reactivity to electric shocks (10) as well as increased inhibitory avoidance in procedures using painful stimuli (11-13). However, there are no reports in the literature about the effects of inescapable shocks (IS) on the behavior of malnourished animals. IS are extensively used in the classical model of learned helplessness (LH) (14). In this model, described as an experimental model of depression (15), animals previously exposed to IS present a subsequent impairment in the performance of an escape task (16,17). Given the reports showing the participation of serotonergic and GABA-ergic neurotransmitter systems in the development, maintenance, prevention, and reversal of LH (18-21) and the changes in these neurotransmitter systems produced by early protein malnutrition (1,22,23), it would be relevant to investigate LH in malnourished animals.

Thus, the main objective of the present study was to investigate the effects of early PCM and of acute treatment with serotoninergic (gepirone) and benzodiazepine (chlordiazepoxide) drugs on the expression of escape deficit induced by the LH paradigm.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Animals

Male Wistar rats from the animal colony of the Londrina State University campus, Brazil, were used. On the day of birth each litter was culled to 6 male pups. During the lactation period (0-21 days of age), well-nourished (W) pups were fed by mothers maintained on an ad libitum commercial diet (Purina, Cascavél, PR, Brazil), and malnourished pups were fed by mothers receiving 40% of the amount of diet consumed by the ad libitum control group. The litters were housed in opaque plastic cages (40 x 30 x 20 cm). After weaning (22 days of age) the dams were removed from the cages and the pups of both groups were given free access to the diet up to the 60th day. The animals were kept on a 12-h light/dark cycle with lights on at 7:00 am and room temperature kept at 23-25°C. The experiment was conducted during the dark portion of the cycle.

Apparatus

During the training phase two shock stimulators (model M-EP01, Funbec, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) and four Skinner boxes (Funbec) were used. During the testing phase a 50 x 25 x 25-cm shuttle-box (Funbec) divided into two parts by a 5-cm sliding door was used.

Procedure

At 61 days of age, W rats and rats subjected to PCM were assigned to the IS or to the non-shock (NS) group, thereby generating 4 experimental groups for the LH paradigm: WIS (well-nourished, inescapable shock); WNS (well-nourished, non-shocked); MIS (malnourished, inescapable shock), and MNS (malnourished, non-shocked).

Inescapable shock session

At 61 days of age, rats of the WIS and MIS groups were placed individually in a Skinner box and exposed to 60 inescapable 8-s footshocks of 0.6 mA. Shocks were delivered according to a variable time schedule, and the inter-shock interval averaged 60 s. WNS and MNS rats were also placed in Skinner boxes, but no shock was delivered to them.

Test session

Seventy-two hours after the training session and 30 min before the test session rats received a single injection of gepirone, chlordiazepoxide, or vehicle (N = 8 for each group: WIS, WNS, MIS, and MNS). Thus, there were 128 rats in the gepirone group (64 of them well-nourished and 64 malnourished) and 128 rats in the chlordiazepoxide group (64 of them well-nourished and 64 malnourished). Rats were placed on side A of the shuttle-box (where the shocks were delivered), and could escape to side B (where no shocks were delivered) by jumping through the middle sliding door. The intensity of the shock was the same as in the training session. If the animal did not escape to side B, the shock was turned off after 30 s and the animal was removed by the experimenter and placed on side B in which it remained for 30 s before being placed again on side A for the next trial. The test session consisted of 30 trials. The experiments reported in the present paper were performed in compliance with the recommendations of the Brazilian Society of Neuroscience and Behavior (SBNeC), which are based on the US National Institutes of Health Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Drugs

Gepirone HCl (Bristol-Myers, Wallingford, CT, USA) and chlordiazepoxide hydrochloride (Psicosedín™, Farmasa, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) were both dissolved in distilled water. Acute injections of vehicle (distilled water), gepirone (2.5, 5.0, or 7.5 mg/kg) or chlordiazepoxide (2.5, 5.0, 7.5 mg/kg) were administered intraperitoneally (ip) in a volume of 1 mL/kg, 30 min before the test session.

Data analysis

Escape latency was analyzed by three-way (diet condi-
tation x shock procedure x dose of the drug) analysis of variance (ANOVA) and rat body weight was analyzed by two-way ANOVA (diet condition x age). Post hoc comparisons were made using the Newman-Keuls test. The level of significance was set at \( P < 0.05 \) in all analyses.

RESULTS

Body weight

The body weight of the animals (Figure 1) was affected by diet restriction, with W animals being heavier than PCM animals as indicated by a significant effect of diet (\( F(1,179) = 448.8, P < 0.001 \)). Animals of all groups significantly increased their body weights across age (\( F(8,179) = 760.5, P < 0.001 \)). However, W animals had a greater increase compared with PCM animals as indicated by a significant diet x age interaction (\( F(8,179) = 26.5, P < 0.001 \)).

Behavioral measures

Gepirone. As shown in Figure 2, there was a significant effect of procedure (\( F(1,674) = 193.8, P < 0.001 \)), with IS animals presenting higher escape latencies compared with NS animals. There was also a significant effect of dose (\( F(3,674), P < 0.001 \)), with post hoc analysis indicating a significant increase of escape latency at the dose of 5 mg/kg compared with saline (\( P < 0.05 \)). However, this increase in escape latency was statistically significant only in W animals compared with PCM animals, leading to a significant diet x dose interaction (\( F(3,674) = 18.2, P < 0.001 \)).

Chlordiazepoxide. As shown in Figure 3, there was a significant effect of procedure (\( F(1,673) = 198.5, P < 0.001 \)), with IS animals presenting higher escape latencies compared with NS animals. There was also a significant
effect of dose ($F(3,674), P < 0.001$), with post hoc analysis indicating a significant decrease of escape latency at the doses of 5.0 and 7.5 mg/kg as compared with saline ($P < 0.05$). In addition, ANOVA showed a significant effect of diet ($F(1,673) = 15.8, P < 0.001$), with PCM animals presenting higher escape latencies than W animals. Finally, there was also a significant diet x shock procedure interaction ($F(1,673) = 8.62, P < 0.01$) and a significant shock procedure x drug dose interaction ($F(3,673) = 8.17, P < 0.001$). Post hoc analysis showed that the diet x shock interaction was due to greater increases ($P < 0.05$) in the escape latency for PCM animals exposed to IS, while the shock procedure x drug dose interaction was due to a significant decrease in escape latency in IS compared with no effects in NS animals ($P < 0.05$) following pharmacological treatment.

**DISCUSSION**

The nutritional manipulation imposed on the dams directly affected pup body weight. Early PCM produced a significant decrease in the animal’s body weight, a well-established result also reported in previous studies (24,25).

The behavioral data in the LH test showed that animals exposed to IS present longer escape latencies compared with animals not exposed to IS, in agreement with previous literature data (17).

Moreover, early PCM increased the effects of the IS as demonstrated by longer escape latencies in PCM animals compared with W animals in the group treated with chlordiazepoxide, indicating that the nutritional insult increased the impairment of escape learning following the helplessness procedure. Although a similar result could be observed in the gepirone group (i.e., longer latencies in PCM rats compared with W rats), it was not possible to demonstrate a statistical effect since the strong response of W animals to the 5.0 mg/kg dose precluded the finding of a significant effect of diet. This result can be interpreted not only as an increased learning impairment produced by the experience of inescapable shock, but also as a lower shock threshold in malnourished animals. A lower shock threshold produced by early malnutrition has already been described (10). However, increased impairment of learning produced by the uncontrollability of the shocks in PCM animals appears to be a more plausible explanation since no differences due to diet were observed in the reactivity to shock on escape latencies of the groups not exposed to IS before escape learning. If this apparent increased sensitivity of PCM animals to inescapable painful aversive stimuli is due to environmental changes produced by early malnutrition (i.e., hopelessness of malnourished mothers during lactation), similar results can be expected in other experimental models of behavioral despair. Since there are reports in the literature showing that early malnutrition is a biological risk factor for further affective disorders, including depression (26,27), it would be interesting to investigate the effects of early malnutrition on behavioral despair models.

The significant increase in escape latency after acute gepirone treatment (5.0 mg/kg) in the present study agrees with previously reported data comparing an anxiolytic profile after chronic treatment (28). It has been reported that the 5-HT$_{1A}$ receptor subtype is the primary site of action of the azaperone class of drugs such as buspirone, ipsapirone and gepirone (28). These receptor subtypes are present on the soma and dendrites of 5-HT neurons and on postsynaptic neurons in the brain (hippocampus, septum, neocortex, amygdala, and hypothalamus) and on the spinal cord (29). Thus, the present results showing anxiogenic effects of acute treatment compared with an anxiolytic effect of chronic treatment (28) support the assumption that an anxiolytic effect of drugs acting on 5-HT$_{1A}$ receptors is only possible by the sustained presence of 5-HT$_{1A}$ agonists (30). The stronger effect of gepirone at the dose of 5.0 mg/kg and the lower effect with 2.5 and 7.5 mg/kg is an example of what is known as a non-monotonic dose-response curve. In this condition the curves are shaped like inverted U’s with the greatest response in intermediate ranges. In addition, the effect of gepirone could not be attributed to effects on locomotor activity since this drug did not alter locomotion in models of anxiety (28). The absence of gepirone effects on the malnourished animals is a pharmacological evidence that early protein malnutrition affects the serotonergic neurotransmitter system, especially 5-HT$_{1A}$ receptor subtypes. According to this interpretation, it has been shown that 5-HT$_{1A}$ receptors assayed with (3H)8-OH-DPAT were decreased by 20% in the hippocampal CA3 subfield of early protein-malnourished rats (31). Moreover, it has also been reported that the sensitivity of 5-HT receptors stimulated with 8-OH-DPAT is increased in well-nourished animals exposed to chronic stress compared with no effect in malnourished animals (32), indicating a lack of adaptive changes at serotonergic sites following early malnutrition. In addition, hyporeactivity to the effects of serotonergic drugs after early protein malnutrition has also been reported, showing that malnourished animals have a lower response to ipsapirone in the hypertonic saline ingestion test (33) and in the elevated plus-maze test (34), indicating that the nutritional insult causes long-term alteration in the serotonergic neurotransmitter system.

Treatment with chlordiazepoxide produced a significant decrease in escape latency only in the group of IS.
animals, indicating that this drug reversed, in part, the learning impairment produced by IS, especially with the doses of 5.0 and 7.5 mg/kg. The reversal of this impairment after treatment with the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor agonists muscimol and fenganibe has also been reported (35), suggesting a participation of the GABA-benzodiazepine system in the LH model (21). In agreement with these results are the reports showing that GABA microinjected into the hippocampus also reverses LH when injected after IS (36), while the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor antagonist (bicuculline) injected into the same region induced LH (37). Early PCM did not change the reactivity of the animals to the effects of chlordiazepoxide in the LH model. This result contrasts with several reports showing hyporeactivity of malnourished animals to treatment with benzodiazepines (for a review, see Ref. 1). However, the great majority of these reports were obtained in behavioral models without the use of painful aversive stimuli or at least using controllable shock procedures. Thus, it is possible that the controllability of the aversive stimulus can play an important role in the response of malnourished organisms to benzodiazepine anxiolytic drugs.

Finally, since the drugs were administered before the behavioral test it is possible that the behavioral changes observed were due to the action of these drugs on the expression of escape behavior rather than on processes of learning and memory per se. Previous data from our group using drugs of the same class in different experimental models (elevated plus-maze and hypertonic saline ingestion) have suggested a possible involvement of the drugs in the expression of the behavior rather than in the ability of the animals to learn a task (33,34). Future studies using experimental models of painless stimuli such as the forced swimming test could definitely contribute to elucidate the effects of drugs acting on the serotonergic and GABA-ergic neurotransmitter systems of early malnourished animals. The use of a painless stimulus prevents possible confounding factors due to the well-described lower shock threshold observed in malnourished animals (10).
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