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Abstract

The suitability of IgM antibodies to PGL-1 for monitoring the response to multidrug therapy (MDT) was sequentially tested by ELISA in 105 leprosy patients, and bacterial indexes (BI) were also determined. Patients were divided into 3 groups: group 1, 34 multibacillary (MB) patients treated for 12 months with MDT-MB; group 2, 33 MB patients treated for 24 months with MDT-MB, and group 3, 38 paucibacillary (PB) patients treated for 6 months with MDT-PB. Untreated MB patients exhibited higher antibody levels (mean ± SEM): group 1 (6.95 ± 1.35) and group 2 (12.53 ± 2.02) than untreated PB patients (1.28 ± 0.35). There was a significant difference (P < 0.01) in anti-PGL-1 levels in group 1 patients: untreated (6.95 ± 1.35) and treated for 12 months (2.78 ± 0.69) and in group 2 patients: untreated (12.53 ± 2.02) and treated for 24 months (2.62 ± 0.79). There was no significant difference between untreated (1.28 ± 0.35) and treated (0.62 ± 0.12) PB patients. Antibody levels correlated with BI. The correlation coefficient (Pearson’s r) was 0.72 before and 0.23 (P < 0.05) after treatment in group 1 and 0.67 before and 0.96 (P < 0.05) after treatment in group 2. BI was significantly reduced (P < 0.01) after 12 and 24 months on MDT (group 1: 1.26-0.26; group 2: 1.66-0.36). Our data indicate that monitoring anti-PGL-1 levels during MDT may be a sensitive tool for evaluating treatment efficacy. These data also indicate that the control of leprosy infection can be obtained with 12 months of MDT in MB patients.
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Introduction

Leprosy is a chronic infectious disease caused by Mycobacterium leprae characterized by a broad spectrum of clinical manifestations ranging from the relatively limited lesions of the tuberculoid form (T) to the disseminative and progressive involvement of peripheral nerves, skin and other tissues of the lepromatous form (L). These polar forms are associated with the immunological response of the host to the infectious agent (1). Antibody production varies with the disease spectrum as a consequence of the bacillary load. Tuberculoid patients display lower levels of antibody production (2). In lepromatous patients, there is a lack of specific cell immune response to M. leprae and an increase in the humoral response, which does not play any protective role in leprosy (3).

Testing for the presence of the species-specific antibody to the M. leprae phenolic glycolipid-I (PGL-1) has been suggested as a method to detect new leprosy cases and for an early diagnosis of subclinical infection, since these antibodies reflect an early sign of antigenic stimulation (3-6). Although most studies of the incidence of leprosy in people seropositive for anti-PGL-1 have failed to demonstrate an increased risk, some investigators have reported an increase in the rate of detection of leprosy in seropositive contacts compared to seronegative contacts (7,8).

ELISA has been used to detect anti-PGL-1 antibodies, mainly of the IgM class. Results from previous PGL-1 studies have demonstrated that the technique is sensitive for multibacillary leprosy but detects only 30 to 60% of paucibacillary cases (4). There is a significant correlation between IgM antibodies to PGL-1 and the bacterial index (BI), indicating that anti-PGL-1 IgM levels reflect the total bacillary load of leprosy patients and, thus, might be useful for monitoring patient response to antileprosy chemotherapy (9,10). Serology could provide a method to monitor leprosy patients under treatment since the levels of anti-PGL-1 antibodies decrease during the administration of specific
therapy (1). A serologic test for the diagnosis of subclinical infection and therapeutic follow-up has been applied, despite the high specificity and controversies surrounding the correlation of high levels of anti-PGL-1 antibodies with active, previous or future disease (11,12).

Large numbers of new cases of leprosy have been detected in Brazil over the last years. Even though the coefficient of prevalence has decreased, about 30,590 new untreated cases were detected in 2007 (13). These data suggest that the Public Health Services should find new methods or therapeutic regimens for the control of the disease. Beside this increased detection of new cases of leprosy, it should be pointed out that about 60-70% of these cases (18,354-21,413 patients) are lepromatous, thus maintaining transmission of the disease (13).

The Health Ministry has defined new therapeutic regimens for leprosy in Brazil. Multibacillary (MB) patients should take the multidrug therapy (MDT-MB) with rifampicin (600 mg/month), clofazimine (300 mg/month and 50 mg/day) and dapsone (100 mg/day) for 12 months instead of 24 months (14). However, this short-term regimen was considered to be unsafe by some physicians and professionals working with the leprosy control program, even though a preliminary study showed no difference in leprosy treatment outcomes between 12- and 24-month multidrug regimens (15). No treatment changes have been tried for paucibacillary (PB) patients, who continue to receive MDT-PB for 6 months.

In the present study, serum anti-PGL-1 IgM levels and the BI were measured sequentially in leprosy patients before and after treatment to evaluate the suitability of using the levels of IgM antibodies to PGL-1 to monitor the response to the classic (24 month) and short-term (12 month) MDT regimen.

Patients and Methods

Patients

One hundred and five leprosy patients were followed in the Division of Dermatology and National Leprosy Reference Center, University Hospital, Faculty of Medicine of Ribeirão Preto, University of São Paulo, Brazil. They were classified according to the spectrum of the disease as MB, all of whom with a positive BI, and PB, all of whom with a negative BI.

The patients were divided into 3 groups: group 1, MB patients treated for 12 months with MDT (MDT-MB, short-term regimen); group 2, MB patients treated for 24 months with MDT (MDT-MB, classic regimen), and group 3, PB patients treated with MDT-PB for 6 months. MB patients were treated with standard multidrug therapy, which included dapsone, rifampicin and clofazimine for 12 months or 24 months. PB patients also received the standard multidrug therapy with dapsone and rifampicin for 6 months.

Antibody determinations were performed on patients before and after MDT. Serum was collected by venipuncture, centrifuged and stored at -20°C until use. Also, the BI was calculated by counting the acid-fast bacilli in slit-skin smears taken from the earlobe, knee, elbow, and skin lesions and stained by the Ziehl-Neelsen method.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

Ninety-six-well polystyrene plates were coated with 2 μg/mL antigen (PGL-1) in sodium carbonate buffer, pH 9.6, and stored at 4°C overnight until use. Serum from each patient was diluted 1:100 in 15 mM Tris-Tween (20 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl and 0.1% Tween) buffer containing 5% sheep serum and 10 μL was added to each well and the plate was incubated for 1 h at 37°C in a humid chamber. After 1 h, the samples were washed with 15 mM Tris-Tween buffer and anti-human IgM β-galactosidase conjugate diluted 1:600 in 15 mM Tris-Tween buffer containing 5% sheep serum was added. The plates were then incubated at 37°C for 1 h. A fluorogenic substrate (10 μL 4-methylumbelliferyl β-D-galactopyranoside) was then added to the samples and the material was incubated at 37°C for 30 min. The plate was read with a multiskan ELISA reader. Sera with an absorbance at 450 nm greater than 0.028 (the mean absorbance plus three standard deviations of 35 healthy Brazilian control subjects) were considered to be positive. Each serum was tested in duplicate. The difference between these duplicates was about 3 to 5%. All assays were carried out at the same time (16).

Measurement of the bacterial index

A reasonably quantitative sample of *M. leprae* from the skin was obtained from slit-skin smears for BI measurement. The sample was stained by the Ziehl-Neelsen method to determine the density of bacteria in the lesion (BI), based on the count of acid-fast bacilli according to a standard method for the determination of the number of bacilli/field after examining 25-100 fields, on a logarithmic scale ranging from 0-6+ (17).

Statistical analysis

A mixed linear model (18) was used to compare PGL-1 levels at the two time points (before and after treatment) in each group and the Wilcoxon test (19) was used to compare the BI at the same time points (before and after treatment).

Results

A total of 105 leprosy patients were divided into 3 groups: group 1, 34 MB patients treated for 12 months with MDT-MB; group 2, 33 MB patients treated for 24 months with MDT-MB, and group 3, 38 PB patients treated with MDT-PB for 6 months.

Anti-PGL-1 levels were determined before and after
Table 1. Anti-PGL-1 levels of patients with leprosy before and after treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Mean ± SEM</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>CV</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Q1</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Q3</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MDT-MB-12 months (N = 34)</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>6.95 ± 1.35</td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td>112.97</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>9.60</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After</td>
<td>2.78 ± 0.69</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>143.16</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDT-MB-24 months (N = 33)</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>12.53 ± 2.02</td>
<td>11.60</td>
<td>92.61</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>8.80</td>
<td>24.20</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After</td>
<td>2.62 ± 0.79</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>173.48</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDT-PB-6 months (N = 38)</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.35</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>169.92</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>119.53</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Groups: MDT-MB-12 months = multibacillary patients treated for 12 months with multidrug therapy; MDT-MB-24 months = multibacillary patients treated for 6 months with MDT. CV = coefficient of variation; Min = minimum value; Q1 = 1st quadrant; Q3 = 3rd quadrant; Max = maximum value. P < 0.01 comparing values before and after treatment (mixed linear model).

treatment. Untreated MB patients exhibited higher antibody levels (mean ± SEM): group 1 (6.95 ± 1.35) and group 2 (12.53 ± 2.02) than untreated PB patients (1.28 ± 0.35). Table 1 shows higher levels of anti-PGL-1 in both groups 1 and 2 before the beginning of the MDT regimen (6.95 ± 1.35 and 12.53 ± 2.02, respectively) and lower levels after the end of MDT regimen (2.78 ± 0.69 and 2.62 ± 0.79, respectively). In both groups, the difference between these values was statistically significant (P < 0.01), indicating that the short-term MDT regimen led to a reasonable improvement of leprosy patients. In group 3, we found lower levels of anti-PGL-1, which were maintained before and after MDT therapy for 6 months (1.28 ± 0.35 and 0.62 ± 0.12, respectively, Table 1), as expected for PB patients.

The BI was also sequentially evaluated. PB patients obviously had a negative BI, whereas MB patients had positive bacillary smears. In groups 1 and 2, we found higher BI levels before the beginning of the MDT regimen (1.26 and 1.66, respectively) and lower levels after the end of MDT regimen (0.26 and 0.36, respectively). The differences between these values before and after the MDT regimen were statistically significant in both groups (P < 0.01).

Antibody levels were correlated to the BI. The correlation coefficient (Pearson’s r) was 0.72 before and 0.23 (P < 0.05) after treatment in group 1 and 0.67 before and 0.96 (P < 0.05) after treatment in group 2. Since MB patients treated for 12 months with MDT-MB exhibited a large number of negative BI (BI = 0), we found a lower value of Pearson’s r in these patients (0.23).

Discussion

Since the region of Ribeirão Preto (SP, Brazil) is known to be an area of difficult control of leprosy due to the high migration from different regions, controlled studies to evaluate patients under both short- and long-term chemotherapy are important requirements for the elimination of leprosy as a public health problem.

An important factor predisposing to relapse is inad-
with a preliminary study (15). This may reflect clearance of large numbers of bacilli in patients early during treatment (before 12 months), since there is a significant correlation between anti-PGL-1 levels and bacterial load. The tendency of low anti-PGL-1 levels to remain positive may be related to bacillary persistence, a known phenomenon of leprosy. It has been repeatedly shown that macrophages in MB leprosy patients are not able to clear \textit{M. leprae} efficiently from lesions because dead organisms and their fragments continue to persist even after the end of treatment (21). Furthermore, in lepromatous patients under therapy, the duration of treatment seems to be a very important factor for the development of anti-PGL-1 levels, since patients treated for as long as 18 months had positive anti-PGL-1 levels, whereas patients treated for a long time (5 years or more) had normal antibody levels (11). A serologic test for the diagnosis of subclinical infection and for therapeutic follow-up has been applied, despite the high specificity and controversies surrounding the correlation of high levels of anti-PGL-1 antibodies with active, previous or future disease (11,12).

Taken together, the results obtained here using serological testing indicate that the determination of anti-PGL-1 levels not only is a suitable method for the detection of leprosy activity, but is also a rapid, sensitive and inexpensive tool for the evaluation of treatment efficacy (1,3). We conclude that sequential serum measurements are helpful to understand the dynamics of antibody production during the course of leprosy. Our study permitted us to determine a clear antibody profile directly associated with the BI.

Follow-up studies of MB patients treated for 12 and 24 months with MDT indicated that the majority of patients tested negative for \textit{M. leprae} both in skin washings and nasal secretions as determined by direct microscopic observation and PCR. The same patients remained BI positive when analyzed by routine slit-skin smears and biopsy after 1 year of MDT (21). Since the present study showed seronegative conversion of anti-PGL-1 antibodies in MB patients treated with MDT for both 12 and 24 months, it is possible that the control of leprosy infection can be obtained with 12 months of MDT in MB patients, relieving patients of long treatment periods. Thus, the adherence of the patients to the treatment should improve, leading to the elimination of viable \textit{M. leprae} rapidly and blocking the transmission of the infection. Based on these observations, a reduction in length of chemotherapy may facilitate patient compliance and national health program activities.
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