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Abstract

Previous studies on *Combretum leprosum*, a tree growing in the Northeastern states of Brazil, have shown antinociceptive effects of the ethanol extract of its leaves and bark, but studies examining its constituents are rare. The objective of this study was to evaluate the antinociceptive effect of the hydroalcoholic fraction (HF) of one of its constituents, the flavonoid (-) epicatechin (EPI), administered orally to mice (20-30 g) in models of chemical nociception, and the possible mechanisms involved. Different doses of HF (62.5 to 500 mg/kg) and EPI (12.5 to 50 mg/kg) were evaluated in models of abdominal writhing, glutamate, capsaicin, and formalin in animals pretreated with different antagonists: naloxone, ondansetron, yohimbine, ketanserine, pindolol, atropine, and caffeine in the abdominal writhing test. To determine the role of nitric oxide, the animals were pretreated with L-arginine (600 mg/kg, ip) in the glutamate test. The HF was effective (P < 0.05) in all protocols at different doses and EPI was effective in the abdominal writhing, capsaicin and glutamate tests (P < 0.05) at doses of 25 and 50 mg/kg. However, in the formalin test it was only effective in the second phase at a dose of 25 mg/kg. The antinociceptive effect of HF was inhibited when HF was associated with yohimbine (0.15 mg/kg), ketanserine (0.03 mg/kg), and L-arginine (600 mg/kg), but not with the other antagonists. HF and EPI were effective in models of chemical nociception, with the suggested participation of the adrenergic, serotonergic and nitricergic systems in the antinociceptive effect of HF.
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Introduction

*Combretum leprosum* Mart & Eich (Combretaceae) is found in the Northeastern region of Brazil, where it is known as *mufumbo*. Its leaves and bark are used as hemostatics, sedatives and in the treatment of pertussis and uterine bleeding. Chemically, flavonoids (3',5'-dihydroxy-3', 3', 7'-trimethoxyflavone, 3β, 6β, 16β-trihydroxyxup-20(29)-ene) and triterpenes (arjunicolic and malic acid) have been isolated from the flowers and leaves of this species (1,2). Studies have shown that the ethanol extract of the bark and flowers, and a triterpene isolated from the flowers of *C. leprosum* have an antinociceptive effect on several models of acute pain in mice (2,3). A gastroprotective action of ethanol extract of stem bark has also been observed (4). Other species of *Combretum* have antimicrobial, anticancer and anti-inflammatory activities (5). To date, there are no data on the pharmacological activities of fractions and major compounds obtained from *C. leprosum's* stem bark. According to Ayres (6), chromatographic fractionation of the hydroalcoholic fraction (HF) led to the isolation of (-) epicatechin (EPI) as the main constituent of pharmacological interest. EPI is a flavonoid known primarily for its antioxidant activity (7). However, other studies (8) have shown an analgesic effect of similar compounds, thus justifying the assessment of this substance in nociception models.

On the basis of these considerations, the objective of the present study was to determine the antinociceptive effect of the HF and EPI obtained from *C. leprosum* stem bark, and the mechanisms involved in this effect on animal models of acute pain.
Material and Methods

Animals

The acute pain tests were carried out on 20- to 30-g male Swiss mice reared at the Medicinal Plants Research Center of the Federal University of Piauí. The animals were housed at 22 ± 2°C on a 12-h light/dark cycle with free access to food and water. Animals were acclimatized to the laboratory for at least 2 h before testing and were used only once. The experiments were performed after approval of the protocol by the Institutional Ethics Committee (No. 011/2008) of the Universidade Federal do Piauí, Brazil, and were carried out in accordance with the current guidelines for the care of laboratory animals and the ethical guidelines for investigations of experimental pain in conscious animals (9). The number of animals and intensities of noxious stimuli used were the minimum necessary to demonstrate the consistent effects of the drug treatments.

Plant material, identification and isolation of constituents

Combretum leprosum was collected at the Agrarian Sciences Center, Federal University of Piauí (UFPI) in September 2007 (5°02’57.28”S, 42°46’43.27”O at 93 m above sea level). After collection, a voucher specimen was identified and deposited in the Graziela Barroso Herbarium (TEPB 6216). Dried and powdered barks (1196 g) of C. leprosum were extracted six times by maceration with ethanol at room temperature (123 g, 10.3%). The solvent was removed by evaporation under reduced pressure and part of the extract (95 g) was suspended in a mixture of H₂O/MeOH (2:1) and subjected to partition with ethyl acetate, yielding the H₂O/MeOH (27.0 g, 28.4%) and EtAcO fractions. The latter was concentrated and suspended in MeOH/H₂O (9:1) and extracted with hexane, yielding the hexane (7.0 g, 7.4%), and hydroalcoholic (52.0 g, 54.7%) fractions. Part of the hydroalcoholic fractions (11 g) was applied to a silica gel column (4.5 x 55 cm) eluted with CHCl₃/MeOH, in order of increasing polarity, yielding 139 fractions (125 mL each) as follows: 1-10 (CHCl₃, 100%), 11-22 (CHCl₃/MeOH, 98:2), 23-34 (CHCl₃/MeOH, 95:5), 46-79 (CHCl₃/MeOH, 9:1), 80-115 (CHCl₃/MeOH, 8:2), 116-135 CHCl₃/MeOH, 7:3), 136-139 (MeOH, 100%). Fraction G (56-79, 438 mg, CHCl₃/MeOH, 9:1) was applied to an RP-18 column using a H₂O/MeOH (6:4) solvent system to yield fraction G2 (315 mg). This fraction was re-purified on a Sephadex LH-20 column eluted with MeOH (100%) to yield EPI (135 mg, 1.23%), identified by 1H and 13C NMR spectroscopic analyses and compared with literature values (10,11). The absolute configuration of the EPI (Figure 1) was determined by circular dichroism. Thin layer chromatography of the hydroalcoholic fraction revealed other components such as carbohydrates and tannins, but no substance of pharmacological interest for this study was detected.

HPLC analysis of HF

Elution was performed with MeOH/H₂O, in a gradient elution mode (5 → 100% in 45 min) plus an isocratic step of 100% MeOH for 5 min to verify that there was no more substance in the column, at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min, at room temperature (25°C) and a 30-µL injection of HF at a concentration of 5.0 mg/mL. The chromatograms were monitored at 254 nm and the UV spectra were recorded from 200 to 600 nm. The equipment used was Analytical reversed-phase HPLC, Shimadzu® prominence system (comprising a model LC-6AD pump, a SIL-10AF autosampler, and an SPD-M20A photodiode array detector) fitted with a Phenomenex Luna C18(2) column (250 mm x 4.6 mm, 5 µm), with control and data handling managed by the LC solution software (Figure 2A,B).

Drugs

The following substances were used: naloxone (an opioid antagonist; Sigma, USA), ondansetron (a 5-HT₃ antagonist; Sigma), ketanserine (a 5-HT₂ antagonist; Sigma), clonidine (an α₂ agonist; Sigma), yohimbine (an α₂ adrenergic receptor antagonist; Sigma), pindolol (a 5-HT₁ and β-adrenergic antagonist; Sigma), atropine (a muscarinic antagonist; Cristália, Brazil), morphine (an opioid agonist; Cristália), MK 801 (an NMDA receptor antagonist; Sigma), caffeine (a nonselective adenosine receptor antagonist; Sigma), L-arginine (a nitric oxide precursor; Sigma), L-nitro arginine (a nitric oxide synthase inhibitor; Sigma), capsaicin (a transient receptor potential vanilloid (TRPV) receptor agonist; Sigma).

For the pharmacological studies, HF and EPI were suspended in a 0.9% NaCl and 1% dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) solution. The doses employed are reported as mg HF and EPI per kg body weight. Capsaicin was dissolved in a mixture of 2% Tween 80, 2% DMSO and 1% methyl alcohol. Drugs were administered orally (po) at different doses (62.5 to 500 mg/kg for HF and 12.5, 25 to 50 mg/kg for EPI) to construct the dose-response curves. There is
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**Figure 1.** Structure of EPI (-) epicatechin isolated from *Combretum leprosum* Mart & Eich.
evidence that EPI has good oral absorption and its peak plasma concentration is achieved within about 60 min (7,12), coinciding with the period of observation of animals in the experimental protocols.

**Abdominal constriction response caused by intraperitoneal injection of acetic acid**

The procedure was similar to a previously described method (13). The mice (N = 7-8) were adapted and pretreated with vehicle (0.1 mL/10 g), HF (125, 250, and 500 mg/kg, po), and EPI (12.5, 25, and 50 mg/kg, po) 60 min before the intraperitoneal (ip) administration of 0.75% acetic acid. The strength of the elicited antinociceptive effect was compared to that of an effective dose of morphine (2.5 mg/kg, sc) administered 30 min before the acetic acid injection. The total number of writhings was counted over a period of 20 min after injection of acetic acid.

**Nociception induced by glutamate**

The procedure used was similar to that previously described (14). The animals (N = 6-7) received an intraplantar injection (ipl) of glutamate (20 mmol/paw) 60 min after
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**Figure 2.** A, HPLC chromatogram obtained from hydroalcoholic fraction of stem bark from *Combretum leprosum* Mart & Eich. B, HPLC chromatogram of (-) epicatechin standard (Sigma-Aldrich). The column was C18 with elution in MeOH/H2O gradient.
Administration of vehicle (0.1 mL/10 g), HF (62.5, 125, 250, and 500 mg/kg, po) or EPI (25 and 50 mg/kg, po) and 30 min after the administration of MK 801 (0.03 mg/kg, ip) as a positive control. Animals were observed individually for 15 min following glutamate injection. The amount of time spent licking the injected paw was taken to indicate nociception.

**Capsaicin test**

HF and EPI were tested against capsaicin-induced licking in the mouse paw. The procedure used was similar to that described previously (2,14). After an adaptation period, the animals (N = 6-7) were treated with vehicle (0.1 mL/10 g, po), HF (62.5, 125, 250, and 500 mg/kg, po) or EPI (12.5, 25, and 50 mg/kg, po) 60 min before capsaicin administration (20 µL, 2 µg/paw), and the time (s) spent licking or biting the stimulated paw was measured for 5 min. Positive control animals received morphine (5 mg/kg, sc) 30 min before injection of the irritant.

**Formalin test**

The procedure was similar to a previously described method (13). Animals (N = 6-7) were treated with vehicle (0.1 mL/10 g, po), HF (62.5, 125, 250, and 500 mg/kg, po) or EPI (12.5, 25, and 50 mg/kg, po) 60 min before the injection of 2% formalin (20 µL/paw, ip). Morphine was used as a positive control drug administered at the dose of 5 mg/kg, sc; 30 min before the test. Immediately after the formalin injection, the animal was observed for pain-related behavior. The time (s) spent licking or biting the injected hind paw was measured during the first phase (0-5 min, neurogenic phase) and the second phase (15-30 min, tonic phase).

**Table 1. Antinociceptive effect of the hydroalcoholic fraction (HF) of *Combretum leprosum* Mart & Eich bark on acetic acid-induced writhing and the glutamate test in mice.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>No. of writhings</th>
<th>Licking time (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SEM</td>
<td>% Inhibition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 mg/kg, po</td>
<td>26.87 ± 3.98*</td>
<td>55.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250 mg/kg, po</td>
<td>34.62 ± 4.44*</td>
<td>42.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125 mg/kg, po</td>
<td>49.85 ± 7.72</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62.5 mg/kg, po</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle (0.1 mL/10 g, po)</td>
<td>60.30 ± 2.30</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphine (2.5 mg/kg, sc)</td>
<td>8.70 ± 2.97*</td>
<td>85.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK 801 (0.03 mg/kg, ip)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Animals (N = 6-7) were pretreated with HF (62.5-500 mg/kg, po) or vehicle (0.1 mL/10 g, po) 60 min before the injection of acetic acid (0.75%, 0.1 mL/10 g, ip) or glutamate (20 mmol/paw, ip). The total number of writhings was determined for 20 min after injection of acetic acid or the amount of time spent licking the injected paw was determined. Data are reported as means ± SEM. *P < 0.05 compared to vehicle (one-way ANOVA, Bonferroni’s test).
of 500 mg/kg, po (26.87 ± 3.98) and 250 mg/kg, po (34.62 ± 4.44) but not at the dose of 125 mg/kg, po, when compared to vehicle (60.30 ± 2.30). Morphine was used as a positive control (2.5 mg/kg, sc) and caused a reduction in the response of the animal (8.70 ± 2.97). Figure 3A shows that EPI was very effective in reducing the number of writhings at doses of 25 mg/kg (20.00 ± 4.60) and 50 mg/kg (21.00 ± 5.10) compared to vehicle (52.88 ± 3.88).

Morphine inhibited the writhing response induced by acetic acid (6.80 ± 2.45).

**Glutamate test**

The oral administration of HF also reduced the glutamate-induced neurogenic pain (Table 1) at doses of 500 mg/kg, po (28.41 ± 8.56), 250 mg/kg, po (41.03 ± 8.16) and 125 mg/kg, po (49.94 ± 10.81), but no significant ef-

**Figure 3.** Antinociceptive effect of (-) epicatechin (EPI, 12.5-50 mg/kg) and vehicle (V, 0.1 mL/10 g, po) administered orally against acetic acid (A, 20 min), glutamate (B, 15 min), capsaicin (C, 5 min), or formalin-induced (D, 0-5 min, phase 1, and 15-30 min, phase 2) nociception in mice (N = 6-8). EPI was administered 60 min before injection of the noxious agents. Positive control received morphine (MF, 2.5-5 mg/kg, sc) or MK 801 (0.03 mg/kg, ip). The height of each column represents the mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05 compared to vehicle (one-way ANOVA, Bonferroni’s test).
fect was observed at 62.5 mg/kg, po, when compared to vehicle (86.80 ± 6.20). MK 801 (0.03 mg/kg, ip) was used as positive control and showed a decrease of the response (19.21 ± 3.96). Similar results were observed with EPI (50 mg/kg, po) in this model, with a significant effect (38.86 ± 8.01), which did not occur at the dose of 25 mg/kg, po (Figure 3B).

**Capsaicin test**

HF significantly reduced the capsaicin-induced nociception (Table 2) with oral doses of 500 (10.48 ± 2.82), 250 (13.56 ± 4.32) and 125 (20.36 ± 3.68), but no significant effect was observed with 62.5 mg/kg, po, compared to vehicle. Similar results were observed with EPI (25 and 50 mg/kg, po) in this model (Figure 3C), with a significant reduction of nociception (13.14 ± 2.20 and 10.31 ± 3.07, respectively). Morphine was used as positive control and caused a reduction in the response (5.37 ± 0.71).

**Formalin test**

HF was effective in reducing formalin-induced nociception in both phases of the test (Table 2). Doses of 500, 250, and 125 mg/kg were effective in the first (27.35 ± 5.06, 26.29 ± 4.36, 27.90 ± 12.53) and second phases (19.87 ± 11.09, 33.88 ± 11.95, 30.45 ± 12.39), respectively, compared to vehicle (60.02 ± 3.25 and 83.35 ± 11.62). This effect was not observed at the dose of 62.5 mg/kg. On the other hand, Figure 3D shows that EPI 25 mg/kg, po, had no antinociceptive effect in the first phase, but caused a reduction of the response during the second phase (45.16 ± 8.55) compared to vehicle (58.13 ± 4.82 and 83.85 ± 12.65). Similarly, morphine (5 mg/kg, sc) produced marked inhibition of both the neurogenic pain (11.70 ± 2.71) and inflammatory pain (5.12 ± 2.50).

**Analysis of possible antinociceptive mechanisms of hydroalcoholic fraction**

The results in Figure 4A-C show that pretreatment of animals with 0.15 mg/kg yohimbine, sc (51.12 ± 5.57) and 0.3 mg/kg ketanserine, sc (53.33 ± 6.58) significantly inhibited the antinociceptive effect of HF (26.00 ± 4.46) on acetic acid-induced writhing. However, pretreatment with caffeine (3 mg/kg, sc), atropine (0.1 mg/kg, sc), pindolol (1 mg/kg, sc), ondansetron (0.5 mg/kg, sc), and naloxone (2 mg/kg, sc) did not alter this effect (data not shown).

The role of the L-arginine/NO pathway in the antinociceptive effect of HF was investigated in the glutamate test. Pretreatment with the NO precursor L-arginine, 600 mg/kg, ip, completely reversed the antinociception caused by 250 mg/kg HF, ip (85.64 ± 12.04) but this effect was not observed with D-arginine (600 mg/kg, ip). The systemic treatment of the animals with L-arginine also produced significant inhibition (79.20 ± 6.10) of the antinociception caused by 75 mg/kg L-NOARG, ip (12.82 ± 2.89; Figure 4C).

**Evaluation of motor performance and locomotor activity**

HF (500 mg/kg, po) did not affect the motor performance in the rotarod test or the locomotor activity in the open-field test when compared with animals that received vehicle.
Table 2. Effect of pretreatment with different doses of the hydroalcoholic fraction (HF) of Combretum leprosum Mart & Eich bark (62.5-500 mg/kg, po) on capsaicin- or formalin-induced licking in mice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Capsaicin-induced licking time (s)</th>
<th>Formalin-induced licking time (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0-5 min</td>
<td>0-5 min (Phase 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SEM % Inhibition</td>
<td>Mean ± SEM % Inhibition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 mg/kg, po</td>
<td>10.48 ± 2.82* 66.8%</td>
<td>27.35 ± 5.06* 53.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250 mg/kg, po</td>
<td>13.56 ± 4.32* 57.0%</td>
<td>26.29 ± 4.36* 55.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125 mg/kg, po</td>
<td>20.36 ± 3.68* 35.6%</td>
<td>27.90 ± 12.53* 52.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62.5 mg/kg, po</td>
<td>28.30 ± 5.74 10.3%</td>
<td>62.17 ± 9.59 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle (0.1 mL/10 g, po)</td>
<td>33.15 ± 1.31 -</td>
<td>60.02 ± 3.25 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphine (2.5 mg/kg, sc)</td>
<td>5.50 ± 0.55* 83.2%</td>
<td>10.10 ± 3.34 83.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Animals (N = 6-7) received formalin (2%, 20 µL/paw, ipl) or capsaicin (20 µL, 2 µg/paw) 60 min after HF (62.5-500 mg/kg, po) or vehicle (0.1 mL/10 g, po). The licking time was quantified over a period of 0-5 min (first phase) and 15-30 min (second phase) in the formalin test or 5 min in the capsaicin test. Data are reported as means ± SEM licking time (s). *P < 0.05 compared to vehicle (one-way ANOVA, Bonferroni’s test).

The mean ± SEM in the rotarod task was 59.33 ± 5.57 s for HF and 71.42 ± 8.94 s for the vehicle. In the locomotor activity, the mean ± SEM number of crossings was 58.16 ± 1.83 and 56.32 ± 2.11 for HF and vehicle, respectively. Diazepam (4 mg/kg, sc) was effective in the rotarod test (23.33 ± 9.38 s) and open field (15.71 ± 15.19 number of crossings) compared to vehicle.

Discussion

The importance of the present study lies in the assessment of the antinociceptive effect of the HF and its component, EPI, obtained from the bark of C. leprosum, and the mechanisms involved in the effect of this herbal preparation, which would justify future use of this species as a possible analgesic. It has been shown that EPI-like substances have anti-inflammatory activity, and this could be extended to EPI and thus explain its activities in models with inflammatory components, such as formalin or abdominal writhings (17).

Our results show that HF and EPI have an antinociceptive effect on formalin-induced nociception. The injection of formalin produces a biphasic behavioral response in which the first phase (0 to 5 min) is characterized by the occurrence of neurogenic pain and the second phase (15 to 30 min) is characterized by peripheral inflammation after a period of central sensitization (13,18,19). In the second phase, there is an increase in spinal levels of several excitatory mediators such as glutamate, PGE2 and NO, among others (4,18,20,21).

HF had an effect in two phases of the response, but EPI was effective only in the second phase. Bradykinin antagonists reverse the response in the first phase of the test, which shows that this mediator plays an important role in this step. On the other hand, anti-inflammatory drugs such as indomethacin and naproxen, or even drugs that interfere with the action of serotonin or histamine, are only effective in the second phase of this model, which suggests the involvement of different mediators at different times of the process (19,22).

Therefore, we can assume that, at the dose of 25 mg/kg, EPI did not interfere with bradykinin, but only with the agents that participate in the second phase of the formalin test. The antinociceptive effects of HF were reversed by antagonists of serotonin receptors, which shows that its components act on this mediator. On the other hand, the fact that 50 mg/kg EPI did not cause similar effects can be explained by the hormesis or U-shaped phenomenon, whereby the increase in dose can decrease the pharmacological effect, which can only be achieved with lower or intermediate doses (23). The capsaicin test was carried out to strengthen the hypothesis that the HF components could act to prevent the activation of nociceptors. Capsaicin has the ability to activate C or Aδ fibers in afferent neurons through stimulation of TRPV receptors, allowing the influx of Ca2+ and Na+ and lead to neurogenic pain (20). The results showed that the HF had a significant effect, suggesting the possibility of antagonism against the peripheral or central vanilloid receptors. Studies have shown that activation of these receptors causes a sharp increase in inflammatory mediator levels, as well as glutamate, supporting the results in the formalin test for HF (14,24,25).

HF and EPI are also effective in the glutamate model. Studies have shown that glutamate is involved in nociceptive transmission from primary afferent fibers and in the develop-
ment and maintenance of the pain response. Other findings show that glutamate acts on NMDA receptors located in peripheral, spinal and supraspinal structures, which are linked to the spinal release of NO (26,27). Thus, the possibility of blocking glutamate receptors by HF components and EPI could explain the effect of these extracts in this model as well as in the capsaicin and formalin models, considering that such substances lead to an increase of this spinal excitatory amino acid (14,24,26,27).

HF and EPI have similar antinociceptive effect in the model of acetic acid-induced writhing in mice, suggesting that EPI is one of the constituents responsible for this effect. This test is a typical model of inflammatory pain, widely used to screen for new agents with peripheral analgesic and anti-inflammatory activity (14,28). Afferent C-fibers located at cutaneous and visceral levels are activated (13,14,25). Some studies show that nociception induced by acetic acid leads to a local release of cytokines such as TNF-α, IL-1β and IL-8 from resident cells, as well as prostaglandin E2 (29,30). The antinociceptive effect of HF and EPI could involve inhibition of the synthesis of these mediators or inhibition of sensory C-fibers. The suppression of the capsaicin-induced response and of the acetic acid-induced writhing by HF and EPI is a complementary indication that their antinociceptive action is observed when the noxious stimulus is conducted mainly by C-fibers (28).

In the model of glutamate-induced nociception, the role of the L-arginine/NO pathway in the effect of HF was assessed by pretreating the animals with a precursor of NO synthesis (L-arginine). There was a reversal of the HF effect, which corroborates the possibility of blocking NMDA receptors. The activation of postsynaptic NMDA receptors leads to increased calcium influx, activation of NO synthase, NO formation from L-arginine and cyclic GMP, which increases the conduction of the pain stimulus and maintains hyperalgesia (24,26,31). Considering the possibility of blocking glutamate receptors, this would justify the results obtained with this model as well as those of the capsaicin test and in the second phase of the formalin test where there was also an increase of this spinal excitatory amino acid (14,24).

Besides the aforementioned mechanisms, the antinociceptive action of HF may also involve the adrenergic and serotoninergic systems. Pretreatment of animals with yohimbine, an α2 adrenergic antagonist and ketanserine, a 5-HT2a antagonist, significantly reversed the antinociception caused by HF in the abdominal writhing model. Serotonin plays an important role in nociception control. However, the actions of serotonin in the spinal cord are complex and depend on the type of receptor and interaction with other routes and may have pro-nociceptive or antinociceptive effects. The activation of 5-HT2 receptors would produce antinociception (14,32). Thus, the components of the HF could be acting as 5-HT2 agonists, considering that the use of an antagonist of these receptors partly reversed the effect of HF. The activation of serotonin receptors increases the release of noradrenaline in the spinal cord leading to antinociception through the activation of postsynaptic α2 receptors, and their antagonists (yohimbine) decrease this action as also do 5-HT2 receptor antagonists (32,33).

Naloxone did not reverse the antinociceptive effect of HF, which therefore excludes the participation of the opioid system in the mediation of this effect. Similarly, such effect did not involve serotonin 5-HT3, adenosine (A1 and A2) or acetylcholine (muscarinic) receptor activation since it remained unaffected by ondansetron, caffeine and atropine, respectively. It is unlikely that the effects observed with the HF are due to its putative muscle relaxant or central depressant activities since HF was unable to alter motor behavior or locomotor activity in the rotarod and open-field tests when compared to animals that received vehicle (control group).

The HF of the ethanol extract from the bark of *Combretum leprosum* has an antinociceptive effect in chemical models of nociception induced by different substances. This effect involves the participation of serotonergic and adrenergic receptors and the NO pathway and may be attributed partially to its component, EPI.
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