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Abstract

The objectives of this study were to evaluate and compare the use of linear and nonlinear methods for analysis of heart rate variability (HRV) in healthy subjects and in patients after acute myocardial infarction (AMI). Heart rate (HR) was recorded for 15 min in the supine position in 10 patients with AMI taking β-blockers (aged 57 ± 9 years) and in 11 healthy subjects (aged 53 ± 4 years). HRV was analyzed in the time domain (RMSSD and RMSM), the frequency domain using low- and high-frequency bands in normalized units (nu; LFnu and HFnu) and the LF/HF ratio and approximate entropy (ApEn) were determined. There was a correlation (P < 0.05) of RMSSD, RMSM, LFnu, HFnu, and the LF/HF ratio index with the ApEn of the AMI group on the 2nd (r = 0.87, 0.65, 0.72, 0.72, and 0.64) and 7th day (r = 0.88, 0.70, 0.69, 0.69, and 0.87) and of the healthy group (r = 0.63, 0.71, 0.63, 0.63, and 0.74), respectively. The median HRV indexes of the AMI group on the 2nd and 7th day differed from the healthy group (P < 0.05): RMSSD = 10.37, 19.95, 24.81; RMSM = 23.47, 31.96, 43.79; LFnu = 0.79, 0.79, 0.62; HFnu = 0.20, 0.20, 0.37; LF/HF ratio = 3.87, 3.94, 1.65; ApEn = 1.01, 1.24, 1.31, respectively. There was agreement between the methods, suggesting that these have the same power to evaluate autonomic modulation of HR in both AMI patients and healthy subjects. AMI contributed to a reduction in cardiac signal irregularity, higher sympathetic modulation and lower vagal modulation.
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Introduction

The integrity of autonomic modulation of heart rate (HR) is evaluated by analyzing heart rate variability (HRV), which refers to oscillations in the intervals between consecutive heartbeats, or R-R intervals (RRi) (1). This method for evaluation is employed in a variety of clinical applications, being extensively used in cardiology due to its noninvasiveness, ease of application and high reproducibility (1,2).

It has been well established that autonomic HR regulation is associated with the integrity of complex interactions between electrophysiological, humoral and hemodynamic variables (2,3). However, HR is considered to present nonlinear behavior due to the complex interaction between several systems (central nervous system, reflex mechanisms and neurohumoral factors) (3-7).

The literature indicates that linear methods have been widely explored and are considered to be appropriate for the study of HRV (8-12). Nevertheless, interest in nonlinear methods has increased in recent years (4-7,13-15) due to observations that HR fluctuation is subordinate to autonomic nervous system control of cardiac activity and vascular dynamics (14), which suggests that the mechanisms involved in cardiovascular regulation interact with and have repercussions on other organs.

Among nonlinear methods, approximate entropy (ApEn) allows the evaluation of regular time series and is used to analyze physiological and clinical data (13,14). Thus, ApEn provides quantitative information about the complexity of or reduction in the chaotic behavior of the signal of both
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short-term and long-term data recordings (2-4,13-15). The application of ApEn to cardiac signal analysis provides information about the autonomic modulation of HR, considering that increased signal regularity is related to pathological situations such as acute myocardial infarction (AMI). A study that evaluated HRV for an average period of 30 days post-AMI without the use of β-blockers found no correlation between the indexes of linear and nonlinear methods. Moreover, in this same study greater irregularity in HR dynamics was observed in AMI patients than in healthy subjects (2).

Santos-Hiss et al. (10), using linear models to evaluate the short-term evolution of the autonomic modulation of HR in patients taking β-blockers and receiving routine clinical intervention, observed no modification of HRV indexes between the 1st and 6th day after AMI.

Thus, the hypothesis of the present study was that both the application of a nonlinear method like ApEn and linear methods in the time and frequency domains could be used to identify and evaluate the HR dynamics of both post-AMI patients who take β-blockers and healthy individuals. Additionally, post-AMI patients presented alterations in the dynamics of autonomic modulation of HR compared to healthy subjects.

The objectives of the present study were: 1) to evaluate the relationship between HRV analysis in the time and frequency domains with ApEn in both post-AMI patients taking β-blockers and healthy individuals; 2) to compare HRV indexes on the 2nd and 7th day after AMI; 3) to compare the HRV indexes between AMI and healthy groups.

Material and Methods

Design and subjects

Sixty-four men (36 with AMI and 28 healthy subjects) ranging in age from 50 and 65 years volunteered for the study through personal invitation or advertising. In this group, 10 men with AMI (57 ± 9 years old) and 11 healthy men (54 ± 3 years old) were enrolled and completed the study. Figure 1 is a flow diagram presenting the sample loss in detail.

The AMI group was selected from two hospitals in the State of São Paulo, Brazil: the coronary units of Hospital dos Fornecedores de Cana in the city of Piracicaba and the Santa Casa de Misericórdia in the city of Limeira. Of the 36 volunteers who had been admitted to the coronary unit and diagnosed with AMI, 10 were included in the study. They had all been submitted to Doppler echocardiography and cardiac catheterization with chemical or mechanical reperfusion in the first hours after the diagnosis of AMI, had been using β-blocking therapy (atenolol, 46 ± 9.4 mg/day), their left ventricular ejection fraction was within normal limits (0.61 ± 0.06), and their clinical classification was Killip class I. For the healthy group, 28 volunteers were evaluated and 11 who presented no evidence of cardiovascular, respiratory, musculoskeletal, and/or metabolic anomalies were included. Participants in this group were taking no medications and did not smoke or drink.

Volunteers from both groups were attended by the hospital medical team, undergoing clinical and cardiovascular evaluation as well as biochemical blood tests [total cholesterol and fractions (HDL, LDL), glycemia, triglycerides, creatinine, and uric acid].

The diagnosis of AMI was confirmed by the presence of two or more of the following criteria: 1) precordialgia or retrosternal pain, either constrictive or burning, whether radiating or not to the upper limbs, neck and back, that lasted >30 min and was not treated with vasodilators; 2) elevation of segment ST >1 mm in at least two or more contiguous derivations in the electrocardiogram (ECG); 3) elevation of the myocardial necrosis markers creatine kinase-MB and creatine phosphokinase to twice the normal values (16).

This cross-sectional study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Universidade Metodista de Piracicaba (protocol #63/06). The relevance and experimental procedures of the study were described to the volunteers, who signed a free informed consent form.

Experimental procedures

HR and blood pressure (BP) data from the AMI group were collected in the coronary units on the 2nd and 7th day after the coronary event, and data collection from the healthy group was performed at the institution’s research laboratory. All protocols were carried out by the same researchers and in the morning to avoid circadian influence on the variables studied. The data collection environments were climate controlled, with temperature and relative humidity of approximately 23°C and 50%, respectively. Volunteers were instructed to avoid caffeine, alcohol and strenuous exercise on the day before the protocol. On the day of the experiment, the volunteers were interviewed to determine whether they had followed the instructions and if the control conditions (HR and BP) were within the normal range. While in the supine position, subject BP was checked three times using the Korotkoff auscultatory method with a mercury-column sphygmomanometer (WanMed, Brazil) and a stethoscope (Littman, USA).

In order to reduce anxiety, the volunteers were familiarized with the equipment and the protocol before the experiment.

Experimental protocol

First, the subjects were kept resting in the supine position for 10 min. Next, HR and RRI were recorded continuously for 15 min with a digital telemetry system consisting of a transmitter placed on the patient’s chest and an HR monitor (Polar® S810i; Polar Electro, Oy, Finland). The system detects ventricular depolarization, corresponding to the R wave on the ECG, with a sampling rate of 500 Hz and a temporal resolution of 1 ms (17), and has been previously validated by Loimaala et al. (18), Gamelin et al.
The RRi captured with the HR monitor can be analyzed with both linear and nonlinear models, including ApEn (21). After recording, the signals were transmitted to a receiver and interface connected to a computer for subsequent analysis.

Data analysis

Resting HR evaluation was based on the mean value of the 15-min assessment and BP evaluation was based on the mean value of BP measurements.

The first step in the data analysis involved a visual inspection of RRi (ms) distribution in the ECG in order to select the sections with the highest signal stability. Ectopic beats were excluded and 700 data points were used in the analysis.

HRV analysis was carried out using the following linear methods: A) in the time domain, RMSSD (square root of the mean of the sum of the squares of differences) between adjacent RRi divided by the number of RRi minus one, expressed in ms) and RMSM (square root of the sum of the squares of differences of individual values compared to the mean value, divided by the number of RRi in a period) (1); B) in the frequency domain, an autoregressive algorithm was used (22,23). Using power spectrum components, very low frequency (VLF = 0.003 at 0.04 Hz), low frequency (LF = 0.04 at 0.15 Hz) and high frequency bands (HF = 0.15 at 0.4 Hz) were obtained, as well as the ratio between absolute
LF and HF areas (LF/HF ratio). Normalization was carried out by dividing the absolute power of a given component ms² (LF or HF) by the total power spectrum, subtracting the VLF component and multiplying by 100. HF and LF bands represent the action of vagal and sympathetic components in HR regulation, respectively (1).

The nonlinear method (ApEn) was carried out by the method of Pincus (13, 14). The basic idea of ApEn is to determine the correlation measure for blocks of \( m \) samples of signal. Let \( x_i \) and \( x_j \) be any pair of blocks of size \( m \) samples of \( u(1), u(2), \ldots, u(N) \) signal, \( N \) the number of signal samples, a positive integer \( m \) \((m \leq N)\) indicates the size of the blocks used for comparison, and a positive integer \( r \) sets the upper limit on the consideration of similarity between \( x_i \) and \( x_j \). Thus, it is possible to define the following correlation measure:

\[
C^n(r) = \frac{B_i}{N - m + 1}
\]

where \( B_i \) is the number of \( x(j) \) blocks with a maximum distance of \( x(i) \leq r \); i.e., number of \( x(j) \) blocks such that \( d[x(i), x(j)] \leq r \); \((N - m + 1)\) is the total amount of vector of size \( m \) in the signal.

The distance between two vectors can be calculated as:

\[
d[x(i), x(j)] = \max_{k=1, \ldots, m} \left( |\mu(i + k - 1) - \mu(j + k - 1)| \right)
\]

The following measure adds the contributions of the \( \log \) of the correlations:

\[
\phi^\alpha(r) = \frac{\sum_{m=1}^{N-m+1} \log C^n(r)}{N - m + 1}
\]

where \( \log \) represents the natural logarithm. The function calculates the average natural logarithm of \( C^n(r) \) functions.

ApEn is then defined as:

\[
ApEn(m, r) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \left\{ \frac{\phi^\alpha(r)}{\log m} - \frac{\phi^\alpha(r)}{\log m} \right\} v = 0
\]

Given \( N \) samples of the signal, the ApEn is implemented by defining the following statistic:

\[
ApEn(m, r, N) = \begin{cases} 
\Phi^\alpha(r) - \Phi^{m+\alpha}(r) & m > 0 \\
-\Phi^\alpha(r) & m = 0
\end{cases}
\]

In our experiments the following values were used for the variables: \( m = 2 \) (size of the blocks used for comparison), \( r = 20\% \) multiplied by the standard deviation of the signal (upper limit for considering data similarity), and \( N = 700 \) (number of data points in the signal).

Data were analyzed with MATLAB 6.5 (R13) using the HRV analysis routine.

**Statistical analysis**

According to the frequency distribution analysis (Kolmogorov-Smirnov), the data were not normally distributed. In order to evaluate the relationship between the HRV analyses in the time and frequency domains and ApEn, a simple linear regression was applied to the AMI group on the 2nd and 7th day and to the healthy group. The Wilcoxon nonparametric test was used to compare the HRV data from the AMI group on the 2nd and 7th day after the coronary event. The Mann-Whitney nonparametric test was used to compare the HRV data between the AMI group and the healthy group. The level of significance was established at 5% for all analyses. Calculations were performed using the BioEstat 5.0 software (Brazil). A pre-study power analysis suggested that a minimum of 10 subjects in each group and a 5% level of significance would yield at least an 80% power.

**Results**

**Sample characteristics**

Table 1 presents the data for the AMI group and the healthy group regarding age, anthropometric characteristics, resting cardiovascular variables, and clinical characteristics, as well as risk factors for the AMI group. No significant differences were observed between groups.

**Analysis of HRV indexes**

In the linear regression analysis between time and frequency domains and ApEn indexes, there was a significant correlation between the AMI group on the 2nd and 7th day after the coronary event and the healthy group (Table 2).

Figure 2A and B show that the RMSSD and RMSM HRV indexes of the healthy group were higher (\( P < 0.05 \)) than those of the AMI group on the 2nd and 7th day. The indexes of the AMI group were similar on the 2nd and 7th day (\( P > 0.05 \)). Frequency domain analysis revealed a higher LFnu (normalized unit) index (Figure 2C) and LF/HF (Figure 2E) and a lower HFnu index (Figure 2D) in the AMI group on the 2nd and 7th day compared to the healthy group (\( P < 0.05 \)), indicating that the healthy group presented lower sympathetic modulation and higher vagal modulation than the AMI group. No differences were found in the intergroup comparison for these indexes (\( P > 0.05 \)). There were also no significant intergroup differences in the nonlinear HRV analysis based on ApEn (Figure 2E; \( P > 0.05 \)), although the healthy group values were higher (\( P < 0.05 \)) than those of the AMI group on the 2nd and 7th day.
Table 1. Age, anthropometric characteristics, cardiovascular variables, and clinical characteristics of the groups studied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AMI group (N = 10)</th>
<th>Healthy group (N = 11)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age and anthropometric characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>57.10 ± 9.22</td>
<td>53.45 ± 3.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1.67 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.69 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass (kg)</td>
<td>74.60 ± 13.09</td>
<td>79.59 ± 8.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>26.81 ± 4.22</td>
<td>27.86 ± 3.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (bpm)</td>
<td>65.70 ± 10.86</td>
<td>70.18 ± 9.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>126.00 ± 13.49</td>
<td>116.42 ± 6.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>76.00 ± 10.74</td>
<td>77.73 ± 4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>5 (50)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High blood pressure ≥140/90 mmHg</td>
<td>2 (20)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting hyperglycemia ≥126 mg/dL</td>
<td>3 (30)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolemia &gt;240 mg/dL</td>
<td>3 (30)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertriglyceridemia &gt;200 mg/dL</td>
<td>4 (40)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMI location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>3 (30)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posteroinferior</td>
<td>7 (70)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Killip clinical class I</td>
<td>10 (100)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF &gt;40%</td>
<td>10 (100)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reperfusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical</td>
<td>4 (40)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical</td>
<td>6 (60)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-blockers</td>
<td>10 (100)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACEI</td>
<td>2 (20)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are reported as means ± SD, absolutes values and percentage. AMI = acute myocardial infarction; LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction; ACEI = angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors. The Mann-Whitney test was used to compare age and anthropometric characteristics and cardiovascular variables between groups; there were no statistically significant differences.

Table 2. Correlation between approximate entropy (ApEn) and indexes in time and frequency domains of HRV (RMSSD, RMSM, LFnu, HFnu, and LF/HF ratio) for the groups studied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AMI group, 2nd day (N = 10)</th>
<th>AMI group, 7th day (N = 10)</th>
<th>Healthy group (N = 11)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ApEn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSSD (ms)</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSM (ms)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFnu</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFnu</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF/HF ratio</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMI = acute myocardial infarction; R² = determination coefficient; r = correlation coefficient; P = P value; ApEn = approximate entropy; RMSSD = square root of the mean of the sum of the squares of differences between R-R intervals (RRi) divided by the number of RRi in a determined time minus one; RMSM = square root of the sum of the squares of differences of individual values compared to the mean value divided by the number of RRi in a period; ms = milliseconds; LF = low frequency; HF = high frequency; nu = normalized units. The simple linear regression test was used to correlate HRV indexes.
Discussion

The results of the linear regression analysis between ApEn and linear methods in time and frequency domains in the present study demonstrate that the values of the coefficient of determination and the correlation coefficient were significant for both the AMI group on the 2nd and 7th day after coronary event and the healthy group. These results differ from the findings of Makikallio et al. (2) who, when comparing HRV in time and frequency domains with ApEn in post-AMI patients, observed that the lower the time and frequency domain values, the higher the ApEn values. The differences between our results and those of Makikallio et al. (2) could be attributed to data processing methodology and/or to their decision to interrupt β-blocker and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor use before the tests. Thus, they reported that AMI contributed to an increase in sympathetic tonus, which was reflected in increased signal complexity, and that the β-adrenergic system participated little in nonlinear HRV dynamics (24). Nevertheless, we consider that the lack of difference we found between nonlinear ApEn analysis and both time and frequency domain indexes could be attributed to β-adrenoceptor blockade.

Beckers et al. (24) assessed sympathetic and vagal activity in HR response and observed that partial pharmacological blockade of the vagal component there was a decrease in the nonlinear complexity of HRV, suggesting that vagal activity is responsible for the introduction of complexity in HR variation.

Krstacic et al. (4) found lower ApEn indexes in post-AMI patients than in healthy individuals and attributed this to the signal’s loss of complexity and irregularity due to reduced HRV, increased sympathetic modulation and decreased vagal modulation.

Regarding the comparison of HRV indexes evaluated on the 2nd and 7th day after AMI, we found no differences between time and frequency domains or ApEn in our study. This similarity should be considered because of the short evaluation period, i.e., a 5-day interval. Another fact to be considered is the use of drugs, specifically β-blockers, and the application of chemical or mechanical reperfusion on the first day after AMI.

According to Piegas et al. (16), β-blockers are first choice medicines for treating AMI since they reduce oxygen demand in the myocardium, HR, BP, and heart contraction. Lampert et al. (25) stated that these drugs, in
addition to reducing the incidence of morbidity and mortality, act directly on the autonomic nervous system because they specifically antagonize the action of catecholamine on β-adrenergic receptors and consequently alter HRV indexes by reducing sympathetic activity and promoting parasympathetic recovery.

Considering the effects of β-blockers on the autonomic modulation of HR, we verified that, even though all patients from the AMI group were taking these drugs, the HRV indexes were similar on the 2nd and 7th day after the coronary event. Similarly, Carpeggiani et al. (26) observed no differences in HRV indexes when comparing the time of admission to and discharge from the hospital.

On the other hand, it has been reported that AMI patients who began the use of β-blockers between the 5th and 21st day after hospital admission and continued to use them for 6 weeks presented significant increases in time domain (RMSSD) and frequency domain (HF component) indexes, as well as a decrease in the LF/HF ratio (25). These results could be attributed to the period of β-blocker use, which has effects on the autonomic modulation of HR.

However, it is important to consider that successful chemical or mechanical reperfusion carried out immediately after AMI contributes to the preservation or increase of autonomic function, yielding HRV index values close to the normal range. Nevertheless, it has been observed that autonomic modulation of HR begins to recover from 30 to 60 days after angioplasty (27).

Regarding the coronary reperfusion of patients in the present study, which was carried out on the first day of admission and followed up with HRV analysis on the 2nd and 7th day, the time and frequency domains and ApEn indexes were similar. These results agree with Doulalas et al. (28) and Bonnemeier et al. (29), who reported that HRV indexes are not altered between the 1st and 5th day.

The reduction in the AMI group’s HRV indexes compared to those of healthy individuals could be attributed to alterations in autonomic modulation in the sinoatrial node, reflected on lower vagal modulation and higher sympathetic modulation. Previous studies have reported that the alterations in autonomic modulation of HR after an ischemic cardiac event are due to modifications in ionic currents across the cell membrane, leading to electrical instability in the myocytes that promotes increased ventricular work and oxygen demand (25,27,30,31).

It is important to point out that the LFnu (0.79) and LF/HF (3.94) values we observed indicated an increase in the sympathetic autonomic modulation of post-AMI patients compared to healthy individuals. Carpeggiani et al. (26) evaluated the HRV response and observed greater LF/HF values in AMI patients who were still in the hospital than in healthy individuals. Similarly, Barbosa et al. (32) observed that patients with cardiac insufficiency also presented important alterations in the sympathetic and parasympathetic systems.

Regarding the ApEn results, our study agrees with Krstacic et al. (4), who observed ApEn values of 0.94 ± 0.13 in an AMI group. Moreover, our data are also similar to the results of Acharya et al. (33), who observed ApEn values of 1.68 for healthy, middle-aged individuals.

The intergroup ApEn differences could be attributed to the disease process. In AMI there is a loss of chaotic behavior (nonlinear) in favor of cyclic behavior or lack of variability, which represents a higher risk of abnormality and even mortality (15).

The present study was limited by the difficulty in selecting a sample that would satisfy the proposed inclusion criteria. More detailed studies with larger samples are needed, as well as cross-sectional studies that include patients with AMI who have not received β-blocker therapy.

**Clinical implications**

HRV analysis using linear and nonlinear methods is considered to be important for evaluating and understanding cardiac autonomic modulation in pathological situations like AMI.

In summary, we observed that the HRV of the sample of AMI group patients who used β-blockers and were treated with coronary reperfusion was similar on the 2nd and 7th day. However, upon comparing post-AMI patients with healthy individuals, we observed that patients with AMI presented greater sympathetic modulation and a reduction in vagal modulation. HRV results from linear methods in the time and frequency domains agreed with those obtained by the nonlinear method ApEn. This suggests that linear methods in time and frequency domains and nonlinear methods like ApEn can be employed in the evaluation of the autonomic modulation of HR both in healthy subjects and in post-AMI patients.
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