Effects of masticatory hypofunction on mandibular morphology, mineral density and basal bone area
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Abstract

Aim: This experimental study investigated the association between masticatory hypofunction and mandibular morphological dimensions and internal bone characteristics. Methods: Twenty-four 21-day-old male Wistar rats were randomly divided into two groups, according to the diet consistency. The control group (CG) was fed a solid diet (pellets) and the experimental group (EG) received a powdered diet during 50 days. All animals were euthanized and their mandibles removed and processed for histomorphometric analysis. A calibrated examiner performed linear and angular measurements (mandibular body length and height, mandibular lengths, ramus depth and height, mandibular base depth, mandibular head and gonial angle) on photographs, estimated bone density in the mandibular ramus region on digital radiographs and assessed the area of cortical and trabecular bone tissue in the second molar region, in 5-µm-thick serial cuts stained with Cason’s Trichrome. Measurements for the study groups were compared using Mann-Whitney test (α=0.05). Results: some of the macroscopic dimensions evaluated on photographs were significantly smaller in EG compared to CG, specifically mandibular ramus height (10.77 mm vs. 11.11 mm, p=0.0375), mandibular body length (21.67 mm vs. 22.36 mm, p=0.0165) and height (4.24 mm vs. 4.54 mm, p=0.0016), as well as mandibular base depth (1.24 mm vs. 1.47 mm, p=0.0325). The relative mineral bone density was significantly decreased in EG (1.04) compared to CG (1.25), p<0.001. Rats in the EG also presented smaller trabecular and cortical bone area (2.36 mm²) than those in CG (3.16 mm²), p<0.001. Conclusions: Based on the above-mentioned measurements, it may be concluded that masticatory hypofunction induced by a powdered diet affected mandibular morphology and was associated with significantly reduced bone content.
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Introduction

The adoption of a soft diet is related to histological, morphological and biochemical alterations in muscle fibers, which in turn impair the normal development of masticatory muscles1-2 and mandibular growth1-3-4. Similarly, experimental studies using botulinum neurotoxin type A to induce masticatory
muscle atrophy reported decreased craniofacial growth and development⁵-⁶.

When bone is subjected to mechanical load, areas of tension and compression are generated in different regions, resulting in bone deposition and resorption as part of the remodeling process⁷-⁸. The mandibular ramus exhibits a posterior concave and anterior straight border, which favors the development of compression and tension loads during mastication. Therefore, it was hypothesized that mechanical load arising in the mandibular ramus during mastication would generate the physiologic stimulus for bone remodeling and mandibular growth. Some evidence indicates that bone natural curvatures may restrict tissue deformation during mechanical load, so the bone tissue becomes more adapted and resistant to a normal pattern of strain distribution⁹. Furthermore, bone curvatures tend to amplify the functional stimulus produced by mechanical loads⁹-¹⁰.

Considering that the mandible receives intense mechanical load during mastication, it may also be hypothesized that myofunctional alterations due to soft diet consistency would affect mandibular overall remodeling process with consequences on bone curvatures. Thus, to avoid biased assumptions, this study assessed the relationship between masticatory muscles hypofunction, induced by a prolonged powdered diet, and mandibular morphological measurements (i.e. assessment of bone curvatures, sagittal and vertical dimensions), estimation of mineral bone density and quantification of basal area. The null hypothesis stated that there are no differences between animals fed powdered or solid diet.

**Material and methods**

The experimental protocol was approved by an Institutional Review Board on the Use of Animals (#2007-0138).

**Experimental design**

The sample comprised 24 male Wistar rats (Albinus norvegicus), 21 days old, randomly and equally divided into two groups, according to the diet consistency. The control group received solid diet, i.e. laboratory chow for rats in a hard commercial pellet form without modifications (Nuvilab CR-1®; Nuvital, Colombo, PR, Brazil), while the experimental group received a powdered diet, which consisted of ground and sieved commercial pellets. Similar amounts of food and water were offered *ad libitum*. Apart from the diet, there was no other material or object that could induce masticatory stimulus inside the cages. Body weight was measured on a calibrated precision scale once a week to assure normal development as related to growth and health for the animals (Figure 1). Despite the minor differences between body weight measurements of control and experimental animals, both groups had normal development. Even in the experimental group, there

![Graph showing mean body weight in control and experimental groups](image-url)

**Fig. 1.** Mean (±SE: bars) body weight in control and experimental groups, from the first to the last (seventh) week of experiment.
was progressive increase in body weight (Figure 1).
All animals were euthanatized by anoxia in CO\textsubscript{2} chamber on the 50\textsuperscript{th} day of the experiment. The mandibles were removed, separated from the soft tissue, split in two halves and placed in identified recipients containing 4\% buffered paraformaldehyde solution (Phosphate Buffered Saline-PBS, pH 7.2; Sigma-Aldrich® Co., Saint Louis, MO, USA) for 48 h.

**Macroscopic measurements**

Standardized photographs of the hemi-mandibles were taken with a digital camera (E10; Olympus Corp., Kobe, Japan) at a resolution of 300 dpi and stored in TIFF (Tagged Image File Format), 8 bits. A millimeter scale was included in the photographic field. A tripod was used for steadying the camera at right angle to the semi-mandible.

Macroscopic measurements were carried out on digital photographs using the image analysis software Scion Image® (NIH Image; Scion Corp., Frederick, MD, USA). Some reference landmarks and measurements used in this study were adapted from cephalometric measurements in a previous investigation.\footnote{4}

**Biometric points (Figure 2)**

- M (menton): the lowest point on the mental symphysis contour
- Iia (alveolar point of the mandibular incisor): the lowest point of the buccal alveolar bone contour of the mandibular incisor
- Ma (mandibular alveolar point): the deepest point of the upper part of the alveolar crest between the mandibular incisors and first molar
- Co (condylion): the most posterior and superior point of the mandibular head
- Go (gonium): the most posterior point of the mandibular angle contour
- GoT (gonial tangent): the lowest point of the mandibular angle contour
- Cr (coronoid): the most superior and posterior point of the coronoid process
- Rd (ramus depth): the deepest point in the concavity of the mandibular ramus
- Ic: point located in the notch between the coronoid process and the mandibular head
- Mb (mandibular base): the deepest point of the mandibular base concavity

**Sagittal Measurements (Figure 2)**

Mandibular length I: distance between points Co and Iia
Mandibular body length: distance between points Go and Iia
Mandibular length II: distance between points Cr and Iia
Mandibular ramus curvature depth: distance from point Rd at right angle to the Co-Go line
Mandibular head: distance from point Co at right angle to the Rd-Ic line

**Vertical measurements (Figure 2)**

Mandibular base depth: distance from point Mb at right angle to the GoT-M line
Ramus height: distance between points Co and GoT. Ramus height could also be measured as the distance between points Cr and GoT. However, the anatomical region where point Cr would be located consists of a very thin bone structure, which is often fractured during dissection. Therefore, measurements were carried out using the distance Co-GoT.

Mandibular body height: distance between points M and Ma

**Angular Measurement (Figure 2)**

Gonial Angle: intersection of lines passing through points Co-Go and GoT-M.

---

**Fig. 2.** Biometric points and measurements. Mandibular length I (Co-Iia); Mandibular body length (Go-Iia); Mandibular length II (Cr-Iia); Mandibular ramus curvature depth (Rd at right angle to Co-Go); Mandibular head (Co at right angle to Rd-Ic); Mandibular base curvature depth (Mb at right angle to GoT-M); Ramus height (Co-GoT); Mandibular body height (M-Ma); Gonial angle (intersection between Co-Go and GoT-M).
Mineral bone density assessment

Standardized digital radiographs of the hemi-mandibles were obtained using the DenOptix® system (Dentsply International/Gendex® Dental X-ray Division, Des Plaines, IL, USA), with an occlusal size (57 mm X 76 mm) storage phosphor plate. The X-ray unit GE 1000® (General Electric Co., Milwaukee, WI, USA) operated in a constant regime at 70 kVp and 10 mA, 2.5 mm total aluminum filtration, and a 50 cm focus–receptor distance. An aluminum step wedge was positioned on the storage phosphor plate during the exposures. An acrylic device was manufactured to hold the storage phosphor plate, hemi-mandibles, aluminum step wedge and the X-ray beam indicator device in a reproducible relationship.

The images were acquired using the standard commands of the digital radiographic system. The DenOptix® storage phosphor plate scanning was performed at a resolution of 300 dpi. By this procedure, the pixel size is estimated at 85 µm² and image resolution is equivalent to 6 line pairs per millimeter. The digital radiographs were stored in compact disc-recordable media as 8-bit TIFF images. Subsequently, mineral bone density was assessed using the image analysis software Scion Image®, which compared the gray levels of the region of interest in the hemi-mandibles with those of the aluminum step wedge. The mean mineral bone density was calculated in the mandibular ramus area, except for the mandibular incisors’ roots (Figure 3). The results were expressed in values equivalent to those of the aluminum step wedge.

Histomorphometry

The hemi-mandibles were then immersed in 5% nitric acid solution for removing all mineral content. Thereafter, the specimens were embedded in paraffin according to the histological protocols. Longitudinal sections (5 µm-thick) were obtained along the second molar region. A total of 5 sections stained with Cason’s trichrome were randomly selected for analysis. Photomicrographs of the cut sections were acquired using a 3.3 megapixels cooled color digital camera (QColor 3C; QImaging, Surrey, BC, Canada) connected to an optic microscope (Olympus BX41, Center Valley, PA, USA) by the QCapture Pro 5.1 software (QImaging). A 2 mm-long micrometric scale was positioned in the image field. The images were captured at a resolution of 640 X 512 pixels through a 4X magnification objective and stored as 8-bit TIFF. All photomicrographs corresponding to the multiple parts of one cut section were assembled into one image using the PTGui Pro 7.1 software (New House Internet Services B.V., Rotterdam, The Netherlands). The final image was rotated until the most prominent upper and lower points in the lingual surface were vertically aligned. Other image processing software (Photoshop® CS, Adobe®, San Jose, CA, USA) was used to erase structures other than bone from the image. Subsequently, the image corresponding to the second molar and its alveolar process was erased by drawing a horizontal line passing on the roof of the mandibular canal. The remaining images of the mandibular basal bone were converted to gray scale (Figure 4), stored as TIFF and analyzed using the Scion Image® software, which provided the area measurements in mm².

Method error

To estimate reproducibility, macroscopic, bone density and histomorphometric measurements were performed twice. The images were renamed by a second operator in order to prevent the examiner to identify the groups during measurements. A 15-day interval was allowed to elapse between the first and second assessments. Wilcoxon test and Dahlberg’s formula were used for analyses of systematic and casual errors, respectively. Systematic errors were not statistically significant (p>0.05). The casual errors for macroscopic (0.01 – 0.25 mm; 0.01º), bone density (0.0065)
and histomorphometric (0.0414 mm²) measurements were considered acceptable.

Statistical analysis

All measurements obtained for the study groups were compared using Mann-Whitney test, which is an alternative to the Student’s t-test and can be useful for small samples. Given two independent samples, it tests whether one feature tends to have values higher than the other. Spearman coefficients were calculated to test any possible correlation between body weight after 50 days of experiment and morphological measurements, bone density and basal area. This kind of analysis can provide evidences that insufficient food intake is actually associated with decreased mandibular development, and not hypofunctional load. The significance level was set at 0.05. Statistical analyses were carried out using the Stata 8.0 package (StataCorp. LP, College Station, TX, USA).

Results

The Spearman coefficients obtained for all measurements were lower than 0.70, evidencing weak correlations between body weight and mandibular development features.

In general, the experimental group presented smaller morphological measurements compared to the control group. Concerning the sagittal measurements, mandibular body was significantly shorter in experimental animals compared to the controls. All vertical measurements were decreased in the experimental group (Table 1). No significant difference was found between groups for the gonial angle.

Both radiographic bone density and mandibular basal bone area in the second molar region were significantly reduced in the experimental group compared to the control group (Table 2). Not only the amount of bone structure, but also the mineral composition was decreased in animals fed a powdered diet.

Discussion

Masticatory hypofunction may affect facial bone physiology and morphology. The effects of soft diet on the mandibular bone development have already been studied by X-ray-based imaging or histological methods. However, the present study used different methodologies, which not only complement each other, but also give distinct results to prove the rationale of this investigation. As shown in Tables 1 and 2, the mandibular body length and height, the ramus height, as well as the mandibular basal bone area and the radiographic density in the mandibular ramus were significantly decreased in the experimental group, compared to the control group. This study was able to demonstrate that low masticatory demands were associated with remarkable changes in the mandibular morphology and alveolar process. These results corroborate those registered in other experimental studies.

Powdered diet affected all three vertical linear dimensions of the mandible (Table 1). Reduced ramus and mandibular body heights in the experimental group might be resulting from inhibition or delay in basal bone and alveolar process growth. In Agreement with these findings, a previous study reported that masticatory hypofunction was associated with reduced cortical bone deposition, particularly
in the mandibular basal region\(^\text{17}\) and inhibition of the alveolar process development\(^\text{2-3}\). Several parameters of mechanical load influence bone shape, but bone adapts its structure more readily in response to the peak of strain induced by mechanical load than to the load frequency or duration of the stimulus\(^\text{18}\). Therefore, the lack of vigorous masticatory stimulus caused by a powdered diet might have reduced the intensity of mechanical load applied to the mandible and compromised the ramus cortical sliding, leading to a decrease in mandibular size as observed in the experimental animals.

According to the mechanostat theory\(^\text{18}\), bone tissue tends to increase in volume whenever it is exposed to mechanical loads over 1,500 microstrains. Nevertheless, bone has a trend to maintain or decrease its volume when exposed to mechanical loads below 1,500 microstrains\(^\text{18}\). Strain is a measure of deformation. Cortical bone deposition on the periosteal surface, stimulated by mechanical load, increases bone resistance to reduce the peak strain to a level that does not compromise tissue integrity\(^\text{9-10}\). Differently from other parts of the human body subjected to high intensity mechanical load resulting from impact activities, the mandibular bone has a distinct feature associated with a noticeably great resistance to quasi-static loads imposed by the masticatory function. The mandibular bone resistance to flexural deformation is proportional to the cross-sectional area where a perpendicular load is applied, divided by the depth of curvature caused by tissue deformation\(^\text{10}\). The higher the intensity of the applied load, the greater must be the cross-sectional area of bone tissue to resist the deformation. Although the level of strain in the mandible was not measured in the present study, it is possible that animals fed a powdered diet experienced lower level of strains in the mandibular basal bone due to the lack of vigorous masticatory stimulus, while mastication of hard pieces of pellets by the control rats might have induced sufficient strains to stimulate cortical bone deposition on the mandibular periosteal surface. Therefore, it may be assumed that masticatory hypofunction due to a powdered diet could be associated with reduction in the cross-sectional area of the mandibular basal bone by inhibiting or postponing cortical bone deposition. This supposition is in agreement with previous findings\(^\text{17}\), in which inhibition of periosteal bone deposition on the mandible was observed after posterior teeth disocclusion. However, after reestablishment of normal occlusion or masticatory function, bone qualitative and quantitative characteristics can be improved, denoting the so-called catch-up effect of functional adaptation\(^\text{2-17}\).

The mandibular base depth, measured in an area of mandibular body curvature, was significantly reduced in the experimental group in comparison to the control group (Table 1). It has been suggested that the shape of the cross-sectional area and the bone curvatures could act jointly to react to high levels of strain during deformation of the tissue subjected to mechanical load. However, bone architecture would restrict and coordinate the direction of load to avoid potentially dangerous overloads on the unusual axes\(^\text{10}\). Moreover, an axis for mechanical load application provided by bone architecture would enable less tissue accumulation without jeopardizing resistance, since it would not be necessary to increase bone mass to resist the strain generated by mechanical loads in several directions. Accordingly, it is reasonable to assume that the mandibular bone mass adapted its structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>Experimental Group</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular length I (mm)</td>
<td>23.42 (0.44)</td>
<td>23.04 (0.51)</td>
<td>0.0567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular body length (mm)</td>
<td>22.36 (0.63)</td>
<td>21.67 (0.83)</td>
<td>0.0165*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular length II (mm)</td>
<td>20.29 (0.78)</td>
<td>20.03 (1.14)</td>
<td>0.4288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular ramus curvature depth (mm)</td>
<td>2.67 (0.22)</td>
<td>2.66 (0.20)</td>
<td>0.6232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular head (mm)</td>
<td>4.80 (0.21)</td>
<td>4.83 (0.21)</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular base depth (mm)</td>
<td>1.47 (0.20)</td>
<td>1.24 (0.19)</td>
<td>0.0325*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramus height (mm)</td>
<td>11.11 (0.28)</td>
<td>10.77 (0.41)</td>
<td>0.0375*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular body height (mm)</td>
<td>4.54 (0.16)</td>
<td>4.24 (0.21)</td>
<td>0.0016**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonial Angle ()</td>
<td>81.06 (2.83)</td>
<td>82.91 (2.18)</td>
<td>0.1333</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^{*} p < 0.05, ^{**} p < 0.01 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>Experimental Group</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Radiographic bone density (aluminum equivalent)</td>
<td>1.25 (0.07)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.04)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal bone area (mm²)</td>
<td>3.16 (0.21)</td>
<td>2.36 (0.16)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Comparative analysis of the mean values for radiographic bone density of the mandibular ramus and mandibular basal bone area in the second molar region measurements, between control and experimental groups.
in the experimental group, not only by reducing its cross-sectional area, but also by attenuating the mandibular base curvature in response to a decreased mechanical load.

Although the mandibular base curvature has been attenuated due to the reduced muscular stimulus, the mandibular ramus curvature depth was not significantly affected (Table 1). This feature might not have been affected because the strain on the posterior ramus border was not high enough to promote changes in the curvature depth or presumably because ramus structure in the sagittal plane was sufficiently thicker to avoid flexure when masticatory load is applied. It may be suggested that the lack of vigorous masticatory stimulus may affect ramus thickness to a greater extent in relation to the mandibular ramus curvature depth. The significant decrease in radiographic bone density of the mandibular ramus in the experimental group, as shown in Table 2, corroborates this assumption. Even though significantly decreased cortical bone deposition has been observed in the mandibular basal region of interest, it is important to take into account that this decrease in radiographic bone density in the experimental group may also have resulted from bone hypomineralization. Other authors mentioned the negative influence of muscular hypofunction induced by the alteration of diet consistency on the mandibular cortical bone deposition as well as on bone density in different regions of the mandible, such as the alveolar process, coronoid process and mandibular angle. The present study registered a decrease in radiographic bone density encompassing a large area of the mandibular corpus, instead of isolated spot measurements.

Unlike previous reports, in this study hypofunctional masticatory load was not related to a significant decrease in the mandibular head (Table 1). In another study, altered functional temporomandibular joint (TMJ) load in mice for 2-6 weeks was associated with loss of condylar cartilage and transient decrease in density of the mandibular subcondonal bone. However, masticatory hypofunction did not change the mandibular head length. In fact, long-term alteration in masticatory function due to liquid diet feeding may impair jaw-opening reflex maturation, but it appears that genetic and adaptive remodeling changes have greater influence on the mandibular head growth.

Despite the valid contribution of this study to understand the interplay between diet consistency and mandibular development, like most related scientific investigations, it was carried out under experimental conditions. Nevertheless, the ontogenetic changes in mandibular morphology are supported by the role of the functional mechanical load due to diet consistency during growth. On the basis of these study findings, it may be concluded that masticatory hypofunction induced by a powdered diet promoted significant changes in mandibular development in rats. The affected mandibles showed significant decrease in ramus and mandibular body heights, mandibular body length, and mandibular base curvature depth. In addition, radiographic bone density in the ramus and mandibular basal bone area in the second molar region were also significantly reduced.
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