Charged Polytrope Compact Stars
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In this work, we analyze the effect of charge in compact stars considering the limit of the maximum amount of charge they can hold. We find that the global balance of the forces allows a huge charge (\(\sim 10^{20}\) Coulomb) to be present in a neutron star producing a very high electric field (\(\sim 10^{21}\) V/m). We have studied the particular case of a polytropic equation of state and assumed that the charge distribution is proportional to the mass density. The charged stars have large mass and radius as we should expect due to the effect of the repulsive Coulomb force with the \(M/R\) ratio increasing with charge. In the limit of the maximum charge the mass goes up to \(\sim 10\) \(M_\odot\), which is much higher than the maximum mass allowed for a neutral compact star. However, the local effect of the forces experienced by a single charged particle, makes it to discharge quickly. This creates a global force imbalance and the system collapses to a charged black hole.

1 Introduction

In 1924, Rosseland[1] studied the possibility of a self gravitating star on Eddington’s theory to contain a net charge where the star is modeled by a ball of hot ionized gas (see also Eddington [2]). In such a system the electrons (lighter particles) tend to rise to the top because of the difference in the partial pressure of electrons compared to that of ions (heavier particles). The motion of electrons to the top and further escape from the star is stopped by the electric field created by the charge separation. The equilibrium is attained after some amount of electrons escaped leaving behind an electrified star whose net positive charge is of about 100 Coulomb per solar mass, and building an interstellar gas with a net negative charge. As shown by Bally and Harrison [3], this result applies to any bound system whose size is smaller than the Debye length of the surrounding media. The conclusion is that a star formed by an initially neutral gas cannot acquire a net electric charge larger than about 100C per solar mass. It is expected that the sun holds some amount of net charge due to the much more frequent escape of electrons than that of protons. Moreover, it is also expected that the escape would stop when the electrostatic energy of an electron \(e\Phi\) is of the order of its thermal energy \(kT\). This gives for a ball of hot matter with the sun radius, a net charge \(Q \sim 6.7 \times 10^{-6} T\) (in Coulomb). Hence, the escape effect cannot lead to a net electric charge much larger than a few hundred Coulomb for most of the gaseous stars.

For Newtonian stars, the net charge of 100 C per solar mass is obtained by the balance between the electrostatic energy \(eQ/r\) and the gravitational energy \(mM/r\) (Glendenning[4]). However, for very compact stars, the high density and the relativistic effects must be taken into account [5]. In a strong gravitational field, the general relativistic effects are felt and the star needs more charge to be in equilibrium. Moreover, for very compact stars, the induced electric field can be substantially higher than in the case of the sun. For instance, the same amount of charge yields an electric field approximately \(10^9\) times larger at the surface of a neutron star than at the surface of the sun. So, even a relatively small amount of net charge on compact stars can induce intense electric fields whose effects may become important to the structure of the star. This fact deserves further investigation.

The general relativistic analog for charged dust stars was discovered by Majumdar [6] and by Papapetrou [7], and further discussed by Bonnor [8] and several other authors[9]. Study for the stability of charged fluid spheres have been done by Bekenstein[5], Zhang et al.[10], de Felice & Yu[11], Yu & Liu[12], de Felice et al.[13], Anninos & Rothman[14] and others. This was indirectly verified by Zhang et al.[10] who found that the structure of a neutron star, for a degenerate relativistic fermi gas, is significantly affected by the electric charge just when the charge density is close to the mass density (in geometric units). In the investigations by de Felice et al., and by Anninos & Rothman, they assumed that the charge distribution followed particular functions of the radial coordinate, and they were mostly interested in the
extreme $Q = M$ case.

Our basic consideration to incorporate charge into the system is in the form of trapped charged particles where the charge goes with the positive value. The effect of charge does not depend on its sign by our formulation. The energy density which appears from the electrostatic field will add up to the total energy density of the system, which in turn will help in the gaining of the total mass of the system. The modified Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff (TOV) equation now has extra terms due to the presence of the Maxwell-Einstein stress tensor. We solve the modified TOV equation for polytropic equation of state (EOS) assuming that the charge density goes with the matter density and discuss the results. The formation of this extra charge inside the star is however left open. A mechanism to generate charge asymmetry for charged black holes has been suggested recently by Mosquera Cuesta et al. [15] and the same may be applied for compact stars too.

This article is arranged in the following way. In Section 2, we show the basic formalism for the modified TOV. In Section 3, we used this modified TOV on a polytropic EOS, discuss the results and the stability of the charged stars. Finally we make our conclusions in Section 4.

## 2 The modified Hydrostatic Equilibrium Equation

We take the metric for our static spherical star as

$$ds^2 = e^\nu c^2 dt^2 - e^\lambda dr^2 - r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2).$$

The stress tensor $T^\mu_\nu$ will include the terms from the Maxwell’s equation and the complete form of the Einstein-Maxwell stress tensor will be:

$$T^\mu_\nu = (P + \epsilon) u^\mu u_\nu + P\delta^\mu_\nu + \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( F^{\mu\alpha} F_{\alpha\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \delta^\mu_\nu F^{\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha\beta} \right)$$

where $P$ is the pressure, $\epsilon$ is the energy density ($=pc^2$) and $u$-s are the 4-velocity vectors. For the time component, one easily sees that $u_t = e^{-\nu/2}$ and hence $u^t u_t = -1$. Consequently, the other components (radial and spherical) of the four vector are absent.

Now, the electromagnetic field is taken from the Maxwell’s field equations and hence they will follow the relation

$$\left[ \sqrt{-g} F^{\mu\nu} \right]_\nu = 4\pi j^\mu \sqrt{-g}$$

where $j^\mu$ is the four-current density. Since the present choice of the electromagnetic field is only due to charge, we have only $F^{01} = -F^{10}$, and the other terms are absent. In general, we can derive the electromagnetic field tensor $F^{\mu\nu}$ from the four-potential $A_\mu$. So, for non vanishing field tensor, the surviving potential is $A_0 = \phi$. We also considered that the potential has a spherical symmetry, i.e., $\phi = \phi(r)$.

The nonvanishing term in Eq.(3) is when $\nu = \pi$. This gives the electric field for both the $t$ and $r$ components as:

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \left( F^{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \delta^\mu_\nu F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} \right) = -\frac{U^2}{8\pi}$$

where,

$$U(r) = \frac{1}{r^2} \int_0^r 4\pi \tau^2 \rho_{ch} e^{\lambda/2} d\tau$$

is the electric field. So, the total charge of the system is

$$Q = \int_0^R 4\pi \tau^2 \rho_{ch} e^{\lambda/2} d\tau$$

where R is the radius of the star.

The mass of the star is now due to the total contribution of the energy density of the matter and the electric energy ($\frac{U^2}{8\pi}$) density. The mass takes the new form as

$$M_{tot}(r) = \int_0^r 4\pi \tau^2 \left( \frac{\epsilon}{c^2} + \frac{U^2}{8\pi c^2} \right) d\tau$$

and the metric coefficient is given by

$$e^{-\lambda} = 1 - \frac{2GM_{tot}(r)}{c^2 r}.$$  

The stress tensor is conserved ($T^\mu_\nu_{\mu,\nu} = 0$). Hence, one gets the form of the hydrostatic equation from it as:

$$\frac{dP}{dr} = -G \left[ M_{tot}(r) + 4\pi \tau^3 \left( \frac{P}{\tau^2} - \frac{U^2}{8\pi c^2} \right) \right] (\epsilon + P)$$

$$+ \rho_{ch} U e^{\lambda/2}.$$  

We solve the Eqns. (6, 7 & 8) simultaneously to get our results for the charged compact stars.

## 3 Effect of charge on polytropic stars

We study here the effect of charge on a model independent polytropic EOS. We assume the charge is proportional to the mass density ($\epsilon$) like

$$\rho_{ch} = f \times \epsilon$$

where $\epsilon = pc^2$ is in [MeV/fm$^3$]. In geometrical units, this can be written as

$$\rho_{ch} = \alpha \times \rho$$

where charge is expressed in units of mass and charge density in units of mass density. This $\alpha$ is related to our charge fraction $f$ as

$$\alpha = f \times \frac{0.224536}{\sqrt{G}} = f \times 0.86924 \times 10^3.$$  

Our choice of charge distribution is a reasonable assumption in the sense that large mass can hold large amount of charge.

The polytropic EOS is given by

$$P = \kappa \rho^{1+1/n}$$

where $n$ is the polytropic index and is related to the exponent $\Gamma$ as $\Gamma = 1 + \frac{1}{n}$. In the relativistic regime, the allowed
The compactness of the stars are retained, they are now better to be called as charged compact stars rather than charged neutron stars. The charge fraction in the limiting case of maximally allowed value goes upto \( f = 0.0011 \), for which the maximum mass stable star forms at a lower central density even smaller than the nuclear matter density. This extreme case is not shown in Fig.(2) because the radius of the star and its mass is very high (68 km & 9.7 \( M_\odot \), respectively) which suppresses the curves of the lower charge fractions due to scaling. For this star, the mass contribution from the electric energy density is 10% than that from the mass density. It can be checked by using relation (11) that this charge fraction \( f = 0.0011 \) corresponds to \( \rho_{ch} = 0.95616 \times \rho \) in geometrical units.

As electric energy density and pressure needs to be of the same order, so from the fine structure constant \( \alpha \approx \frac{1}{137} \), we get a relation for the charge and MeV/fm\(^3\) which comes out as \( 1C \approx 0.75 \times 10^{19} [\text{MeV fm}]^{1/2} \).
$f = 0.0011$. The most effective term in Eq.(8) is the factor $(M_{\text{tot}} + 4\pi r^3 P^*)$. $P^* = P - \frac{4\pi r^2}{M_{\text{tot}}}$ is the effective pressure of the system because the effect of charge decreases the outward fluid pressure, negative in sign to the inward gravitational pressure. With the increase of charge, the value of $P^*$ decreases, and hence the gravitational negative part of Eq.(8) decreases. So, with the softening of the pressure gradient, the system allows more radius for the star until it reaches the surface where the pressure (and $\frac{dP}{dr}$) goes to zero. We should stress that because $\frac{dP}{dr}$ cannot be too much larger than the pressure in order to maintain $\frac{dP}{dr}$ negative as discussed before, so we have a limit on the charge, which comes from the relativistic effects of the gravitational force and not just only from the repulsive Coulombian part.

This effect is shown in Fig.(4) where we have plotted both the positive Coulomb part and the negative matter part of the pressure gradient together with the total ($\frac{dP}{dr}$) are shown here for two different values of the charge factor $f$. For $f = 0.0005$ and $0.0008$ coming from the matter part are denoted as $dP_{g5}$ and $dP_{g8}$ respectively, those from Coulomb part are $dP_{c5}$ and $dP_{c8}$ respectively. The corresponding totals are $dP_5$ and $dP_8$.

### 4 Conclusions

In our study, we have shown that a high density system like a neutron star can hold huge charge of the order of $10^{20}$ Coulomb considering the global balance of forces. With the increase of charge, the maximum mass of the star recedes back to a lower density regime. The stellar mass also increases rapidly in the critical limit of the maximum charge content, the systems can hold. The radius also increases accordingly, however keeping the M/R ratio increasing with charge. The increase in mass is primarily brought in by the softening of the pressure gradient due to the presence of a Coulombian term coupled with the Gravitational matter part. Another intrinsic increase in the mass term comes through the addition of the electric energy density to the mass density of the system.

The inside electric field of the charged stars are very high and crosses the critical field limit for pair creation (Bekenstein [5]). However, this issue is debatable because the critical field has been calculated for vacuum and one does not really know what the value will be in a high density system. The stability of the charged stars are however ruled out from the consideration of forces acting on individual charged particles. They face enormous radial repulsive force and leave the star in a very short time. This creates an imbalance of forces and the gravitational force overwhelms the repulsive Coulomb and fluid pressure forces and the star collapses to a charged black hole.

Finally, these charged stars are supposed to be very short lived, and are the intermediate state between a supernova collapse and charged black holes.

SR acknowledges the FAPERJ for research support, MM for the partial CNPQ support, and JSPL and VTZ for the hospitality of Observatório Nacional, Rio de Janeiro.

### References


