Structure, morphology and magnetism of an ultra-thin [NiO/CoO]/PtCo bilayer with perpendicular exchange bias
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Electronic and magnetic properties of nanoscale materials are closely related to the atomic arrangement at the interface shared by different chemical elements. A very precise knowledge of the surface/interface structure is then essential to properly interpret the new properties coming out. Of a particular interest is the relationship between structure, morphology and magnetic properties of exchanged-coupled interfaces in ferromagnetic (FM) and antiferromagnetic (AF) materials. The interaction at the AF/FM interface modifies the magnetic switching properties of the FM film, which turn out to be a useful property on new magnetic devices technology. We present here an investigation of the buried exchange-coupled interface [NiO/CoO]/[PtCo] grown on a Pt(111) single crystal. The magneto-optical Kerr effect reveals a strong coupling at the interface, by an increasing coercivity, and a spin reorientation of the FM film when ordering occurs in the AF layer. The combination of grazing incidence X-ray diffraction, X-ray reflectivity and soft X-ray resonant magnetic scattering yields a comprehensive description of the system.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Reduced dimensionality and interface interaction are often at the origin of new properties in ultra-thin films, playing a crucial role in modern technologies. Twenty years ago, the association of ferromagnetic (FM) and non-ferromagnetic (NF) thin layers in a multilayered material lead to the discovery of the giant magnetoresistance (GMR) effect [1]. This property represents an important breakthrough in science and opens the way for building up new sensors and magneto storage devices. GMR based exchange biased magnetic tunnel junctions (MTJ) and spin valves (SV) have useful properties for forming magnetic memory elements in novel device architecture [2]. The exchange bias (EB) effect [3] occurs when an antiferromagnetic (AF) material is placed in contact with a ferromagnetic (FM) one. The interaction at the AF/FM interface yields an increase of the magnetic field necessary for switching the magnetization and induces an unidirectional anisotropy. The role of the EB effect in devices is to magnetically pin one of the FM layers.

The EB effect was discovered half a century ago by Meiklejohn and Bean when studying magnetic properties of fine Co particles that turned out to be covered by a thin oxide layer [3, 4]. About ten years ago, this phenomenon was revived and comprehensive reviews focused on many experimental [5, 6] and theoretical [7, 8] aspects were published. The basic description of the EB phenomenon was given since the pioneering work. For a temperature T above the AF ordering Néel temperature (T_N) and below the FM layer Curie temperature (T_C), the AF spins are disordered while the FM are ordered (Fig.1-a). A magnetic field H is applied in order to saturate the FM layer in a direction either parallel or perpendicular to the film surface. After cooling the AF/FM bilayer under such a magnetic field below T_N, the AF spins at the interface couple with the FM spins (Fig.1-b), yielding the energetically stable situation for the coupling at the interface. When the magnetic field H is reversed, the AF spins exert a microscopic torque on the FM spins, tending to keep them in their original direction (Fig.1-d). The field needed to reverse the magnetization will be larger (|H_{C1}| > |H_{C2}|) and the magnetic loop will be shifted by an amount \( H_{EB} = (H_{C1} + H_{C2})/2 \), due to this additional interfacial magnetic energy, \( \Delta \sigma \), that has to be overcome (Fig.1-d).

The phenomenological expression of the exchange field is \( H_{EB} = \Delta \sigma / (M_{FM} \times t_{FM}) \), where \( M_{FM} \) and \( t_{FM} \) are the magnetic moment density and the thickness of the FM layer, respectively. While this basic description of the exchange bias phenomenon is generally accepted, the microscopic interfacial interactions that yields larger coercivity and exchange bias shift and contributes to the interfacial energy are more controversial. The calculated interfacial magnetic energy...
density, $\Delta \sigma$, exceeds the experimental values by orders of magnitude.

Many microscopic models have been proposed for the expression of the total magnetic energy and, in particular, for the interface exchange energy [9–14]. Among the complex phenomena taking place close to the interface, domain-wall formation in the AF layer, random interface roughness, contribution of compensated AF/FM interfaces, extension of the coupling beyond interfacial layers [15] and noncollinear interface spin configuration are to be considered. Moreover, the role of pinned and unpinned (AF spins that rotate) spins, or switchable interfacial uncompensated AF spins, in the exchange bias has been recently revealed by X-ray photoemission microscopy and X-ray magnetic linear and circular dichroism [16, 17]. The study of ideal systems, with atomic scale control and fine characterization of the interface structure and morphology, is essential to disentangle all these parameters.

In AF materials the direction of the atomic moments varies on the length scale of nearest atomic distances. Recently, it has been shown that the magnetic coupling across the AF/FM interface in the FeMn/Co system is mediated by step edges of single-atom height [18]. The authors showed that it is possible to tune the strength of the magnetic coupling among the FM layers across ultra-thin AF one and that the coupling is stronger if steps are distributed in small islands. The reason is that the coupling is mainly mediated by uncompensated spins at monoatomic step edges. This result demonstrates why roughness is so important in some EB systems. A quite different situation takes place at the Fe/NiO(001) interface. The concomitant expansion of the interlayer distance and the small buckling of an interfacial FeO layer lead to an increase of the spin magnetic moment of the interfacial Fe atoms, which modifies dramatically the exchange interaction [19]. The expanded interlayer distance and buckling seems to be more important to the Fe/NiO(001) AF/FM magnetic coupling than the presence of low density defective sites.

These two examples clearly demonstrate that complex surface interactions are the origin of EB. The electronic and magnetic properties of these nanoscale materials are closely related to the atomic arrangement at the interface shared by different chemical elements. It is then crucial to gather a very precise knowledge of the surface/interface structure in order to understand such new coming out properties. The availability of synchrotron sources lead to a wealth of well-established tools for structural analysis of surfaces, in particular grazing incidence X-ray diffraction, scattering and absorption spectroscopy techniques [20]. In addition, element-selective magnetic probes, as x-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD) and x-ray resonant magnetic scattering (XRMS), become available and complement structural and other conventional magnetic probes. Since the AF/FM interface is buried and changes in the structural and magnetic properties are small, combining all these techniques is of paramount importance to tackle the challenging description of such systems.

The major part of exchange bias studies have been performed with the magnetization parallel to the FM/AH interface. Studies on systems with perpendicular (out of plane) magnetic anisotropy (PMA) are rather recent [21–23] and only few address the role of spin configuration at the interface [24, 25]. We are especially interested in thin ferromagnetic films with PMA, as is the case in FePt and CoPt surface alloys, coupled to antiferromagnets, like CoO/NiO mixed oxides. PMA is recognized as a way for increasing magnetic storage density. In addition, magneto optical effects are enhanced at polar (sensitive to perpendicular magnetization component) geometry compared to in-plane one [26, 27].

We report here on a combined structural and magnetic study of the [NiO/CoO]/[PtCo] perpendicular exchange bias system. Previous experiments on a sputtered Co/Pt multilayer with PMA coupled to a CoO oxide showed that such a system exhibits loop shifts and enhanced coercivities for both parallel and perpendicular applied magnetic fields [22]. The aim of our investigation is to obtain a fine control of the structure and to reach a comprehension of the exchange coupling mechanism at the interface of AF/FM systems that are as close as possible to model ones. Our samples have been prepared by electron beam epitaxy and oxidized in a controlled oxygen partial pressure, as described in the next section. CoO is an AF oxide with $T_N=293$ K and a large magnetocrystalline anisotropy. NiO presents a much higher ordering temperature, $T_N=523$ K, and smaller anisotropy. By mixing both oxides we obtained an AF material with a high magnetocrystalline anisotropy and with a Nel temperature intermediate between those of the two oxides [28]. The combination of grazing incidence X-ray diffraction, X-ray reflectivity and soft X-ray resonant magnetic scattering yields a comprehensive description of the system.

II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Sample preparation, structure and morphology

An epitaxial [NiO(3ML)/CoO(3ML)]x3/PtCo sample was synthesized by sequential electron beam evaporation over a Pt(111) single crystal in the ultra-high vacuum (UHV) chamber of the French CRG BM32 beamline at ESRF [29]. The layer by layer growth described hereafter was followed step by step by in situ grazing incidence X-ray diffraction [30, 31]. The structural characteristic of the sample is then perfectly known at each stage of the growth. The very stable oxide layer on top surface endures posterior ex-situ structural, morphological and magnetic studies.

One monolayer (1 ML) of Co was deposited onto a Pt(111) single crystal held at 540 K, previously cleaned under UHV following standard procedures [32]. These conditions promote the formation of a PtCo surface alloy with about 90% of the Co atoms buried under a Pt layer that terminates the surface. Such a PtCo surface alloy is ferromagnetic (FM) and displays a strong perpendicular magnetic anisotropy (PMA). A coercivity of 1.2 kOe was observed in situ by XMCD at the Co L edges in a similar system [33]. This PtCo(111) surface alloy was then held at 450 K during the procedure of [NiO/CoO] multilayer deposition, performed as follows. 1 ML of Co was deposited and then oxidized by exposure to 50 Langmuir of oxygen. This procedure was repeated three times yielding an epitaxial 3 ML CoO(111) film with in-plane bulk lattice constant. On top of it, a 3 ML NiO(111) film was grown following the same procedure. Such NiO/CoO bilayer sequence was repeated three times, leading to the AF film of about 18 ML, schematically represented in figure 2.
FIG. 2: Schematic sequence of the AF/FM bilayer [NiO(3ML)/CoO(3ML)]x3/PtCo sample. Insert: close view of the spin configuration at the interface CoO/PtCo, assuming that both layers are ordered and non-interacting.

FIG. 3: Surface X-ray diffraction pattern during the growth of the NiO/CoO mixed oxide over the PtCo(111) surface alloy.

The mixed oxide grows in orientational epitaxy on the Pt(111) substrate (Fig. 3). The first CoO atomic layer is pseudomorphic on the substrate. After the second oxide layer deposition, the layer relaxes and a bulk-like CoO(111) lattice peak shows up at $K = 0.92$. An hexagonal unit cell was taken for the Pt(111) crystal [32, 34]. The peak at $K = 1$ in the reciprocal space corresponds to the interlayer spacing of 0.2266 nm for Pt, yielding an oxide layer in-plane interlayer spacing of 0.245 nm, exactly as in the CoO bulk oxide. The NiO layer grows in coherent epitaxy with the CoO and displays the same in-plane parameter, as observed by its contribution to diffraction peak intensity, at exactly the same position in the reciprocal space.

A well-defined atomic stacking is observed at each step of the oxide deposition, as well as in the final sample. On the other hand, CoO diffraction rods as well posteriorly ex situ measurements show that this final sample has a quite large rough surface. Kiessig interference fringes [20] can hardly be observed in the X-ray reflectivity profile (Fig.4). The fitting to the calculated reflectivity gives a roughness of about 1 nm at the surface, while the interface is rather flat, with roughness of about 0.1 nm. Such a large roughness is not surprising due to the polar character of the Pt(111) surface. AFM measurements in a similar oxide surface - a 12 ML CoO film on the same Pt(111) crystal surface, treated in identical conditions - also reveal that the surface roughness is roughly 1 nm (Fig.5).

As a matter of fact, owing to their slightly different in-plane lattice parameters, the superposition of the CoO(111) and Pt(111) lattices gives rise to a Moiré structure with a 3.6 nm periodicity. Such Moiré modulation, originates from the coincidence of 12 CoO units with 13 Pt atomic distances and might be used as a template for nucleating nanoparticles, as in a similar FeO/Pt(111) surface [35]. The combination of epitaxy, stacking and roughness could be well explained by a preferential nucleation of CoO islands on such a Moiré structure.
The FM layer preserves its quality and is altered just by the exchange coupling to the AF ordered layer. For temperatures below 320 K the hysteresis loops shifts. The temperature below which the shift appears is defined as the blocking temperature, $T_B = 320$ K. At room temperature, the shift is found to be $H_{EB} = -0.6$ kOe and characterizes the perpendicular exchange coupling at the interface between the FM and AF layers. From that, the calculated interfacial exchange energy is $\Delta \sigma = 0.16 \text{ erg/cm}^2$, where we used the FM thickness as $t_{FM} = 0.36$ nm. This is about $2/3$ of the value found by Maat et al. [22] for a multilayer at 10 K. The most striking result in this range is that the hysteresis loops becomes less and less squared, as indicated by the decreasing PMOKE amplitude at remanence and loop elongation (Fig. 6 and 7). The decreasing squareness of the loops indicates that the easy magnetization axis of the FM layer is no longer perpendicular. Our interpretation is that, upon field cooling, the AF spins should align along the spin anisotropy axis that is closest to the applied magnetic field [36]. This should be particularly applicable for CoO because of its high magnetocrystalline anisotropy constant around the $\{117\}$ directions. The CoO spins will be oriented along one of the $\{117\}$ directions, forming an angle of 43.3$^\circ$ with the surface normal. The orientation of the NiO magnetic moments is assumed to follow the CoO spins because of a strong exchange interaction at the interface [37] and a smaller magnetocrystalline anisotropy constant. Therefore, the change in the hysteresis loops is related to the reorientation of the Co spins in the FM layer due to exchange coupling with the oxide layer. As far as we know, this is the first experimental observation of the reorientation of the out-of-plane interfacial FM spins induced by the ordering of the AF layer. One should note the fact that, in other [Co/Pt]/[CoO] systems, the FM layer is thicker than a single monolayer (e.g. Maat et al [22]).

For temperatures above 320 K the hysteresis loops are squared, with a magnetization at remanence close to magnetization at saturation. In this temperature range there is no exchange shift. The increased coercivity $H_C$ is related to the antiferromagnetic order persisting in the mixed oxide layer. The AF ordering temperature $T_N$ may be estimated by the inflexion point of the coercivity as function of temperature [38] and turns out to be $T_N = 350$ K. This value is smaller by 50 K compared to the average CoO and NiO bulk Nel temperatures due to the reduced thickness effect which lowers the order temperature [36]. As can be also observed, $T_B$ is smaller by 30 K than $T_N$, owing to thermal activation of the AF domains. Such kind of difference has already been pointed out by Maat et al. for the pure CoO coupled to a Co/Pt multilayer.

### C. Depth profile spin configuration at the interface

The depth dependence of the out-of-plane magnetization across the interface between the AF and FM layer has been investigated taking advantage of the chemical and spatial sensitivity of soft X-ray Magnetic Reflectivity [39]. This polarized synchrotron X-ray technique exploits the magnetization sensitivity of the atomic scattering factor (ASF) at an absorption edge and is known to be sensitive to the three directions of the magnetization with spatial, chemical and orbital selectivity [40]. The soft x-ray specular reflectivity experiments were conducted on the SIM beamline at the Swiss Light Source us-
The measurements were carried out in remanence at T = 340 K, right above $T_B$ and below $T_N$, where the FM layer has a strong PMA and where the interfacial magnetic coupling with the AF layer constrains the reversal process, as indicated by the increased $H_C$ (Fig. 7). The reflected intensity was recorded as a function of the scattering angle at different photon energies, and as function of energy at fixed scattering settings. The asymmetry ratios, or normalized dichroic differences, were measured using 98% left and right circularly polarized beam [42]. Absorption spectra were collected simultaneously by recording the drain current as a function of incident photon energy. The spectral shape of the absorption is essentially that of the CoO and NiO oxide, as expected from a total electron yield measurement [43]. In reflectivity condition, the penetration depth is large enough to probe the buried Co layer.

Angle dependent reflected intensity (Fig. 8-a) were collected at 776.9 and 778.5 eV close to the Co $L_3$ edge. At both energies, a separation of the curves with right and left polarized light is observed. The asymmetry ratios at both energies are close to zero at small angles and exhibit a larger amplitude at high angles (Fig. 8-b), in agreement with the geometrical dependence of the atomic scattering factor arising from an out-of-plane magnetization component. This angle dependence is mainly due to the Co magnetization in the Pt-Co layer and in the oxide layer.

The structural parameters of the film were derived from the the refinement of the average reflectivity. The total thicknesses is about 5.45 nm of oxide and 0.36 nm of PtCo. This is in good agreement with the expected thickness from the sequential deposition of 18 ML of oxide and 1 ML of Co. The roughnesses are 0.02 and 0.9 nm for the interface CoO/PtCo and for the top NiO/CoO interfaces, respectively, is in agreement with the X-ray reflectivity and AFM results, too.

The magnetic profile comes from the analysis of the asymmetry ratio at both energies. The interference at about 32 indicates a magnetic thickness smaller than the total oxide thickness. A model assuming that Co atoms in the oxide may be magnetically ordered up to the first CoO/NiO interface works well. The refinement of the magnetic structure was performed by dividing the first CoO layer in three slices and by adjusting their thicknesses as well as the magnetic moments carried by the Co atoms. The results indicate the out-of-plane magnetization is distributed beyond the 0.36 nm Pt-Co layer and extends over 1 nm in the oxide layer. The coupling to the FM Co spins is parallel in a 0.3 nm thick slice, roughly the first CoO monolayer. Then, it is antiparallel in a 0.7 nm thick one, with similar amplitude. The last slice that completes the CoO layer is found to be 0.2 nm thick and has no net magnetization. Considering models with no magnetization in the oxide layer, completely parallel or antiparallel magnetic slices and more extended magnetization with reduced magnetic amplitude do not fit the interference effect observed experimentally. This result can be understood as follows: the Co atoms in the first CoO slice, right on top of the Pt-Co layer, have a mixed electronic character in between metallic and oxidized state. Their net induced magnetization are likely to be dominated by the proximity of the Pt-Co layer and are ferromagnetically coupled to it. For the next CoO slice, the Co atoms are fully oxidized and the net magnetization is antiferromagnetically coupled to the first one. The net magnetization found equal to zero in the third slice means that beyond the second oxide layer the AF material break into domains that are not biased by the field cooling processes.

### III. SUMMARY

Our results show that valuable insights into the surface structure and magnetism in the CoO/PtCo system emerge from combination of in situ, ex situ, and in-depth sensitive structural and magnetic characterizations. In situ grazing incidence X-ray diffraction (GIXRD) results demonstrate that the AF oxide grows epitaxially on top of the PtCo(111) surface alloy. The top surface of the mixed oxide is rough while the interface CoO/PtCo is quite flat over the whole crystal. The perpendicular magnetic anisotropy, well above the Nel temperature, is the same as for the uncapped PtCo layer, showing that the quality of the layer is preserved after oxide deposition. Upon field cooling, slightly above $T_B$, the ultra thin Co ferromagnetic layer exhibits a strong perpendicular anisotropy and magnetic ordering is induced over a few oxide atomic layers. The out-of-plane magnetic profile shows that oxidized Co atoms closest to the interface are ferromagnetically coupled to the PtCo ferromagnetic layer. The second oxide layer then couples to this interfacial one antiferromagnetically. Below the blocking temperature $T_B$, MOKE indicates a spin reorientation in the FM layer that would follow the blocked Co spins of the AF layers. Such reorientation in that FM surface layer indicates that the interfacial spins in a thicker FM layer is strongly modified by the ordering of the top AF layer.
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