Minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) determination of disinfectant and/or sterilizing agents
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Due to the growing number of outbreaks of infection in hospital and nurseries, it becomes essential to set up a sanitation program that indicates that the appropriate chemical agent was chosen for application in the most effective way. Validating the effectiveness of decontamination and disinfection is an important and often challenging task. In order to study and compare the behavior of selected microorganisms, they were submitted to minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC). The MIC intervals, which reduced bacteria populations over 6 log₁₀, were: 59 to 156 mg/L of quaternary ammonium compounds (QACs); 63 to 10000 mg/L of chlorhexidine; 1375 to 3250 mg/L of glutaraldehyde; 39 to 246 mg/L of formaldehyde; 43750 to 87500 mg/L of ethanol; 1250 to 6250 mg/L of iodine in polyvinyl-pyrolidone complexes, 150 to 4491 mg/L of chlorine-releasing-agents (CRAs) and 469 to 2500 mg/L of hydrogen peroxide. Chlorhexidine showed non inhibitory activity over germinating spores. A. calcoaceticus showed resistance to the majority of the agents tested, followed by E. cloacae and S. marcescens.


INTRODUCTION

The first pass for election of a sanitizing/sterilizing agent is the determination of its finality and type of area, material or surface to be submitted to treatment (Mazzola, 2000). It is necessary that some terms are clearly defined (Rutala, Weber, 1998, 2004):

(i) cleanliness – it is the procedure of removal of dirtiness and detracts to maintain in a tidiness status the goods, reducing the microbial population. The cleanliness should precede all procedures of disinfection and sterilization, because it decreases the microbial load through the removal of present organic material. The washing with water and soap reduces considerably the initial bioburden. The presence of organic material alters parameters of the disinfection and sterilization procedures, besides to slow down them (Rutala, 1995, 1996, 1998).

(ii) decontamination – it has a objective to decrease the microorganisms load present in goods, becoming them safe for handling, decreasing the occupational risk. In this process, there is no necessity of employ-
The materials that are utilized in health establishments could also be classified, according their potential risk of infection transmission to patients, into three categories (Brasil, 2001):

(i) critical goods – destined to invasive procedures to be developed in the skin, adjacent mucous membranes, subepithelial tissues and the vascular system, as well as all others that are directly connected with this system. These materials require sterilization to satisfy the proposed objectives. Needles, invasive catheters, implantation materials are some examples.

(ii) semi-critical goods – goods entering in contact with disrupted skin or whole mucous membranes require high or intermediate level disinfection, and in some more specific cases, sterilization. Endotracheal cannula, vaginal speculum, respiratory equipment are some examples.

(iii) non-critical goods – destined to contact with whole skin or those that do not enter in contact with patient, require low level cleaning or disinfection. Thermometers, stethoscope, bed linen, are some examples that are part of this group.

There are factors that alter the efficacy of disinfection and sterilization procedures. The antimicrobial activity of chemical agents depends on a variety of factors related to nature, structure and conditions of microorganisms, and chemical and physical components of the external environment. The knowledge of these factors is indispensable for an adequate application of disinfection and sterilization processes. The non-observance of these factors could imply in the failure of these procedures (Mazzola, 2000).

Despite the great offer of chemical products in the market, the choice of the more adequate one is not an easy task. Several characteristics should be considered: their spectrum of action, velocity of microorganisms inactivation, non-corrosive condition for metals, do not be harmful to rubber accessories, plastics or optical equipment, do not be inactivated by the presence of organic material, tolerance to small pH variations, to have residual action when applied to environments, to maintain its action even in mild dilutions, to be odorless, non-poisonous and stable (even if concentrated or diluted) (Brasil, 1988).

### Regulation of disinfectants in Brazil

In Brazilian legislation, disinfectant solutions adequate for use and those prohibited for disinfection are named. Between the minimal conditions required for the regular status of a disinfectant agent are: germicide activity in the cutaneous flora, without cause irritation.
to skin or mucous membranes; do not cause allergic reactions or burning, and present a low level of toxicity (Brasil, 1994).

The chemical disinfectant and sterilizing agents pertain to a class named sanitizing agents with antimicrobial action. Such products are subjected to health surveillance regimen according to Laws 6360/76 and 6437/77. The ordinance number 15, of 1988 (Brasil, 1988), regulates the chemical agents whose active principles are allowed. Between them, are: the aldehydes, phenolics, quaternary ammonium, organic compounds releasers of active chloride, iodine and derivatives, alcohols and glycols, biguanides. Are mentioned yet in this Ordinance, definitions, classification, specific and labeling requests as well as microorganisms, face to which the sanitizing agents should present activity and toxicological classification.

Respecting to quality control of sterilizing chemical agents, the National Institute of Health Control of Oswaldo Cruz Foundation (Instituto Nacional de Controle em Saúde da Fundação Oswaldo Cruz – INCQS/FIOCRUZ) is the organ of national reference for technological and normative issues referring to supplies, products, environment and services linked to Health Surveillance. In the area of sanitizing agents, the INCQS develops laboratory analysis for microbial verification, chemical and toxicological evaluation to attend to current legal requirements, and in the systematic programs of evaluation of products quality.

**Disinfectants Efficiency**

Due to growing number of hospital infection cases, it becomes of extreme importance to establish programs of sanitizing implying in the choice of adequate disinfectant agents, as well as their effective application (Penna et al., 2001).

In order to decrease costs and human failures in the preparation and utilization of sanitizing solutions, it is necessary to standardize a minimum of chemical products presenting proven efficacy, define their indicated concentration and dilution, and the finality to which they are destined (Rutala, 1995; 1996; 1998).

Due to growing number of hospital infection outbreaks, it becomes prominent the establishment of a sanitizing program listing the chemical agents to be employed and their more effective mode of application. Validation of decontaminating efficacy is a task, at the same time, important and challenger. To study and compare the behavior of selected microorganisms, minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) assays were developed. This present work intended to evaluate the efficacy of hospital use sanitizing agents, through the determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC). The tested microbial strains were: *Bacillus subtilis* var. globigii ATCC 9372, *Bacillus stearothermophilus ATCC 7953*, *Escherichia coli ATCC 25922*, *Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923*, *Enterobacter cloacae IAL 1976* (registration of Adolfo Lutz Institute), *Serratia marcescens IAL 1478* (registration of Adolfo Lutz Institute) and *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus ATCC 19606*, IAL 124 (registration of Adolfo Lutz Institute). Through the MIC determination and activity spectrum classification of every sterilizing agent, it becomes possible to outline a cleaning, disinfection and sterilization program in the hospital environment, mainly of reusable materials.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Material**

The tested microorganisms were acquired from ATCC (American Type Culture Collection) or Adolfo Lutz Institute (Instituto Adolfo Lutz – IAL). Culture mediums, peracetic acid, iodine, hydrogen peroxide and remaining reagents were acquired from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). The tested chemical agents, chlorhexidine gluconate, quaternary ammonium compounds, glutaraldehyde, formaldehyde, ethylic alcohol, ethanol plus glycerein solution, topical Polyvidine® (Polyvinylpyrrolidone – PVPI), Polyvidine soap® (PVPI), Polyvidine in aqueous solution® (PVPI) were kindle provided by Aster Produtos Médicos Ltda. (Sorocaba, SP), sodium dichloroisocyanurate (NaN2C) was kindle provided by Johnson & Johnson, chlorine-releasing agents (CRA’s) were prepared from concentrated commercial solution of sodium hypochlorite, the concentration of free chlorine, hydrogen peroxide and iodine were determined by iodometric method (Baccan et al., 1985).

**Methods**

**Inoculation preparation**

The stock cultures were maintained in trypticase soy agar (TSA, Difco, Detroit, MI, USA) at 4 °C, and weekly transfers were developed with the purpose to maintain the viability of microorganisms.

The cultures were started from the transference of stock cultures for trypticase soy broth (TSB, Difco, Detroit, MI, USA) at 22 °C for *S. marcescens*, and at 35-37 °C for *E. cloacae, A. calcoaceticus, E. coli, S. aureus*, for a period of 24 hours. After growth, the samples were centrifuged (1000 g/15 min/4 °C) and resuspended in saline solution. The viability of bacteria was estimated through the Pour-Plate technique utilizing the TSA me-
dium, confirming populations in concentrations higher than $10^7$ CFU/mL.

The cultures of spores were developed in sporulation medium, after 6 days of culture at 37°C for *B. subtilis*, and at 62°C for *B. stearothermophilus*; the cultures were transferred, centrifuged (1935 g for 30 min) and the suspension was maintained at low temperature in solution of calcium acetate (pH = 9.7), at 4°C, to maintain their viability (Penna et al., 1998). To assure the viability of sporulated forms, and inactivate the vegetative forms, the samples were submitted to thermal chock treatment (80°C/10 min for *B. subtilis* and 100°C/20 min for *B. stearothermophilus*); after the periods determined for culture, the number of spores was determined through TSA in depth culture, confirming population in concentration higher than $10^6$ spores/mL.

Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) Determination

This assay consists in the determination of chemical agent spectrum of action, according to resistance of studied microorganisms. It was developed the determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) for every chemical agent, through the classic method of successive dilution. In twelve numbered screw tubes (10 x 100 mm), 1 mL of TSB (trypticase soy broth) medium was distributed for every tube, except for the tube number 1. The tubes were submitted to autoclave under constant pressure and temperature of 121°C. For the first and the second tubes of the series, 1 mL of tested sanitizing agent was added; tube 2 was stirred and 1 mL was withdrawn and transferred for tube 3. This successive transference was repeated until tube 11. It was added to all flasks, except for flask number 11, 0.1 mL of inoculation (tested microorganism) at known concentration. Incubation at optimal temperature was developed for 24 and 48 hours (Figure 1). After this period, the reading was developed; the MIC is the concentration of the higher dilution tube in which the absence of bacterial growth occurred. Tubes 11 and 12 are positive (TSB + inoculation) and negative (TSB + antimicrobial) controls (Mazzola et al., 2001, 2003).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The investigated chemical agents are extensively utilized in commercial solutions by health centers and hospital environments, as for topic use as for surfaces. The data collected are presented in Table I.

Quaternary ammonium compounds (QACs) – they do not present sporocide activity, their activity inhibits the growth of microorganisms and the spores germination (McDonnell, Russell, 1998). Minimum inhibitory concentration values of 117 and 156 mg/L were determined for *B. subtilis* and *B. stearothermophilus*, and correspond to two times the MIC values for vegetative cells of *E. cloacae*, *E. coli*, *S. aureus* and *S. marcescens* (MIC between 59-78 mg/L). The more sensible microorganism was *A. calcoaceticus* (9.77 mg/L). In health centers, the QACs are considered low level disinfectants, and generally they are employed in concentrations of 2000 mg/L, without formaldehyde in the formulation (Brasil, 1988) the QACs are extensively utilized as antiseptics, do to their non-aggressive action, and in surfaces because they present residual activity and could act replacing phenolic com-

![Illustrative scheme of successive dilutions method.](FIGURE 1)
pounds, which are more toxic. In baby nurseries, the QACs should be utilized in the cleaning of cradles, counters and other surfaces, minimizing cross-contamination and the risks of microorganisms superpopulation.

**Chlorhexidine digluconate** – in Brazilian hospitals, solutions of 4% chlorhexidine are employed for hands washing by the employees. In this study, chlorhexidine was effective face to *B. subtilis* (MIC 10000 mg/L), but did not present efficacy when tested face to *B. stearothermophilus*. The concentration interval of 63-71 mg/L demonstrated to be effective when tested face to *E. cloacae*, *E. coli* and *S. aureus*; the bacterium *S. marcescens* presented higher resistance, with MIC of 141 mg/L. Chlorhexidine (4%) in alcoholic solution (4%) are commonly employed for washing hands of clinical staff and employees, as well as in the pre-surgical asepsis. The alcohol added in the formulation prevents cross contamination with *B. proteus* and *Pseudomonas* (Brasil, 1988). Alcoholic solution of chlorhexidine 0.5% is indicated as topical antiseptic, replacing PVPI. In lower concentrations (0.5%), the chlorhexidine is employed in the cleaning of contact lenses, being able to decrease populations of *S. marcescens*. Denton (1991) has studied the chlorhexidine (30 mg/L) in contact with *S. marcescens* for 10 minutes, and it showed similar efficacy to that of 500 mg/L solutions. However, Gandhi et al. (1993) demonstrated the ability of this strain to grow after 24 hours of contact with solutions up to 60 mg/L.

**Glutaraldehyde** – it has bactericide, sporocide, fungicide and virucide effect; being also extensively employed for low level disinfections of critical and semi-critical goods, the recommended exposition time is of 6-8 hours in 2% solution of glutaraldehyde (Brasil, 1999). The determined MIC results were 2750-3750 mg/L for *B. subtilis*, *A. calcoaceticus*, *E. cloacae* and *E. coli*, and 1375-1875 mg/L for *S. marcescens*, *S. aureus* and *B. stearothermophilus*. Despite the antimicrobial effect and cost-benefit relation, the side effects of glutaraldehyde demand a series of cautions and trainings before use (Rutala, Weber, 1995; 1998; Kessler et al., 1988). The major disadvantages of formaldehyde are the loss of activity in presence of organic material and its carcinogenic potential (McDonnell, Russell, 1998, Rutala, Weber, 1998).

**Ethanol** – despite the ample antimicrobial activity, alcoholic solutions do not present sporocide activity; but, in specific cases, they could inhibit spores germination. To decrease populations of $6\log_{10}$ of *B. stearothermophilus*, *B. subtilis*, *S. aureus* and *E. cloacae* it was necessary a MIC of 87500 mg/L and the bacterium *E. coli* presented a MIC of 65650 mg/L. Some tested microorganisms presented higher sensibility, such as MIC of 43750 mg/L for *S. marcescens* and *A. calcoaceticus*. 70% alcoholic solutions are extensively utilized in the asepsis of hands, skin, goods and semi-critical environments, but they are not employed in routine cleanings, because they damage plastic, rubber and acrylic surfaces. The presence of glycerin (2%), avoiding skin exsiccation by alcohol action, decreases the ethanol activity in some strains, except for *E. cloacae* and *S. aureus*, which maintained the MIC of 87500 mg/L. The addition of iodine (1% and 10%) decreased the MIC for 43750 and 21870 mg/L, when in contact with *E. coli* and *S. aureus*, respectively. The presence of 10% iodine decreased the MIC of ethanol to 43750 mg/L for *B. subtilis* and *E. cloacae*; and to 21870 mg/L for *S. aureus*. In Brazilian hospitals, solutions of 70% alcohol have replaced formaldehyde and phenol solutions for cleaning-disinfection of non-critical and semi-critical goods (Dias et al., 2000). Alcoholic solutions have also been added to other sanitizing agents such as chlorhexidine, formaldehyde and PVPI, in order to prevent the contamination with Gram-negative bacteria that are present in the hospital environment, and could be responsible by biofilms formation, impeding the penetration of disinfectant agents. The ethanol could also be employed as pre-surgical antiseptic in solutions with PVPI or chlorhexidine, presenting residual action (Brasil, 1988; 1999; 2001). Trautmann et al. (2001) observed that disinfection with alcohol before and after contact with patients has reduced the isolation of
Gram-negative microorganisms. After washing hands, the asepsis with alcoholic solution represents the better alternative to avoid infections outbreaks in health centers, and also in environments of food handling. Conrad (2001) has verified an increase of, practically, almost two times in the consumption of alcoholic solution in a Swiss hospital, after rigorous control of cleaning and hands asepsis, decreasing the number of registered infections.

**PVPI** – for aqueous solutions, the determined minimum inhibitory concentration was of 6250 – 12500 mg/L; for alcoholic solutions, the MIC was of 1560 mg/L for *E. coli* to 12500 mg/L for *A. calcoaceticus* and *B. stearothermophilus*. The higher MIC found was for *B. subtilis* in both the solutions (2.5 – 5.0%). The addition of 10% iodine to PVPI solution increases the antibacterial efficacy and decreases the cutaneous irritations; such solution is recommended for topical asepsis when added with non-ionic detergent (sodium lauryl ether sulfate). The alcoholic solution is utilized for delimitation of surgical field (Brasil, 1988).

**Chlorine-releasing-agents (CRAs)** – sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl, pH 9.0) and sodium dichloroisocyanurate (NaDCC, pH 7.0) are extensively utilized for antisepsis and disinfection; they are also utilized for decontamination of non-critical surfaces exposed to blood (Rutala, Weber, 1998), despite their efficacy decrease in the presence of organic material. Similar MIC intervals were observed for the tested microorganisms, when taking into account the initial concentration of free chlorine varying between 8000-9000 mg/L. In 1% CRA pH 9.0, the more resistant strain was *E. coli*, with a MIC around 1129 mg/L; for strains able to form spores, the MIC was of 4491 mg/L. The adjustment to 7.0 of NaOCl solution pH decreased the minimum inhibitory concentrations in ten times, due to HOCl predominance. The non-dissociated form of hypochlorous acid (HClO) in water (pH 4.0–7.0) is responsible for the antimicrobial activity of CRAs; and it is 100 times more effective as the dissociated form OCl- (pH >9.0) (Vessoni Penna *et al.*, 1996; McDonnell & Russell, 1999). Commercial solutions of chlorine should be buffered, favoring so the maintenance of pH 7.0 and accelerating the formation of HOCl. The MIC value was of 150 mg/L for vegetative cells and 621 mg/L for strains able to form spores. Sodium hypochlorite is employed as base of disinfectants, presenting an ample spectrum of antimicrobial activity in varied temperatures. This compound is of easy access and handling, is not toxic and is compatible with detergents. The non-dissociated form, hypochlorous acid (HClO) in water (pH 4.0–7.0), is responsible for the antimicrobial activity of CRAs; and it is 100 times more effective as the dissociated form OCl- (pH >9.0) (Vessoni Penna *et al.*, 1996; McDonnell & Russell, 1998). Commercial solutions of chlorine should be buffered, favoring so the maintenance of pH 7.0 and accelerating the formation of HOCl.

**Hydrogen peroxide** – standard solution of 4% hydrogen peroxide (40000 mg/L) caused decrease of popula-

---

**TABLE I** – Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of disinfecting chemical agents for strains tested face to microorganism suspensions (> 6 log10)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacteria</th>
<th><em>B. stearothermophilus</em></th>
<th><em>B. subtilis</em></th>
<th><em>A. calcoaceticus</em></th>
<th><em>E. cloacae</em></th>
<th><em>E. coli</em></th>
<th><em>S. marcescens</em></th>
<th><em>S. aureus</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MIC Chemical Ag.</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quaternaries</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>9.77</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorhexidine</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutaraldehyde</td>
<td>1875</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>3250</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>3250</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>3250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>87500</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>87500</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>43750</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>87500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol + Glycerin</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>87500</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>87500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol + Iodine 1%</td>
<td>87500</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>87500</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>43750</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>87500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol + Iodine 10%</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>43750</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>43750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic Polyvidine® (PVPI)</td>
<td>12500</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>12500</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>6250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyvidine® - soap (PVPI)</td>
<td>6250</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6250</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>6250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcoholic Polyvidine® (PVPI)</td>
<td>12500</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>25000</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>12500</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>3125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRA 1% pH &gt; 9</td>
<td>4491</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>4491</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>867</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRA 1% pH 7</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O₂</td>
<td>1875</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1875</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: QAC- quaternary ammonium compounds, H₂O₂ – hydrogen peroxide; Alcohol - ethanol (EtOH) 70%; EtOH + I₂ – iodine in alcoholic solution; PVPI - Polyvinylpyrrolidone iodine; CRA - chlorine-releasing-agents; NaDCC – sodium dichloroisocyanurate; * - without efficacy.
tions higher than $8\log_{10}$ and presented MIC of $1875$ mg/L for *B. subtilis* and *B. stearothermophilus*. The remaining strains tested have presented lower resistance, with a MIC between $469$ and $1250$ mg/L. Hydrogen peroxide is a strong oxidant agent, non-toxic and of easy handling, being extensively utilized in non-critical goods and surfaces. It is also employed in the treatment of effluents, food industry and other applications. Sagripanti and Bonifácio (1997) verified the sporocide effect of hydrogen peroxide solution in concentrations of 10%, because the presence of organic material does not decreases its activity; lower concentrations have also demonstrated sporocide effect after a larger time of exposition.

**CONCLUSION**

The minimum inhibitory concentration method allows comparisons between the microorganisms exposed to the same chemical agents, but does not allow analog comparisons between the activities of different chemical agents. The presence of organic material in the assays, represented by culture medium (TSB), is recommended to increase the difficulty for the chemical agent to act on the microorganisms (Satar, 1998). The limitation of MIC determination assays is in the difficulty to know the culture medium effect on every singular used disinfectant.

MIC determines a range of disinfecting activity on a given group of selected microorganisms, suggesting which microorganism could be employed as biological indicator (BI) in every specific case. This method is also utilized to select the commercial chemical agent presenting the better performance, as compared with others. In order to evaluate the performance of every disinfectant it is important to study the time of decimal decreasing (D-value).

The minimum inhibitory concentration method allows comparisons between the microorganisms exposed to the same chemical agents, but does not allow analog comparisons between the activities of different chemical agents. The presence of organic material in the assays, represented by culture medium (TSB), is recommended to increase the difficulty for the chemical agent to act on the microorganisms (Satar, 1998). The limitation of MIC determination assays is in the difficulty to know the culture medium effect on every singular used disinfectant.

MIC determines a range of disinfecting activity on a given group of selected microorganisms, suggesting which microorganism could be employed as biological indicator (BI) in every specific case. This method is also utilized to select the commercial chemical agent presenting the better performance, as compared with others. In order to evaluate the performance of every disinfectant it is important to study the time of decimal decreasing (D-value).

Liquid disinfectants are employed all over the world due to their cost-benefit; in hospital environments the selected agent should be of easy handling, non-toxic and effective also in areas such as baby nurseries and other critical ones, avoiding cross contamination. The wrong use of disinfectant solutions could stimulate resistance in pathogenic microorganisms, and it is important reinforce that even the better programs of cleaning, disinfection and sterilization could promote infections, if not efficiently executed; whence the importance of trainings and implantation of control measures and periodic evaluation.
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