INTRODUCTION

Solid lipid nanoparticles (SLN) were developed at the beginning of the 1990s as an alternative carrier system to the existing traditional carriers, such as emulsions, liposomes and polymeric nanoparticles (Müller et al., 1995). Solid lipid nanoparticles (SLN) are the new generation of nanoparticulate active substance vehicles and are attracting major attention as novel colloidal drug carriers for topical use. Small lipid vesicles in the range of nanometers have advantages, but avoid the disadvantages, of other colloidal carriers (Utreja, Jain, 2001). Compared with polymeric nanoparticles, SLN have lower toxicity because of the absence of solvents in the production process and also relatively low cost excipients. SLN offer combined advantages such as controlled release, biodegradable, negligible skin irritation and protection of active compounds. (Müller, Mäder, Gohla, 2000; Sylvia, Müller, Wissing, 2003). The
The major advantage of SLN is the possibility of production on an industrial scale (Müller, Lippacher, Gohla, 2000). The lipids which are used in making these carriers have an approved status, offer low systemic toxicity and also low cytotoxicity (Müller, et al., 1997). Moreover, the small particle size of SLN ensure close contact with the stratum corneum (SC), thus increasing penetration of encapsulated active agent into the skin (Mei, et al., 2003).

SLN have been reported to improve the photo stability and skin permeation of tretinoin (Shah, et al., 2007), provide a good skin targeting effect and constitute a promising carrier for topical delivery of penciclovir (Lv, et al., 2009) and podophyllotoxin (Chen, et al., 2006), as well as reduce skin irritation on topical application (Maia, et al., 2000; Sivaramakrishnan, et al., 2004). Psoriasis is one of the most common human skin diseases and is considered to have key genetic underpinnings. It is characterized by excessive growth and aberrant differentiation of keratinocytes (Lowes, Bowcock, Krueger, 2007). Dithranol or Anthralin is a hydroxyanthrone, anthracene-derivative medicine applied to the skin of individuals with psoriasis, exhibiting both anti-proliferative and anti-inflammatory properties. Dithranol accumulates in mitochondria, resulting in a reduction of adenosine triphosphatase (ATP) synthesis, which leads to inhibition of DNA replication and repair and hence slows the excessive cell division that occurs in psoriatic plaques (Gerritsen, 2007). In addition, modification of DNA bases and inhibition of various enzymes have also been described. Epidermal calmodulin has been reported to be elevated in psoriasis. Dithranol was demonstrated to be a potent competitive antagonist of calmodulin. (Tucker et al., 1986). SLN appears to be an interesting vehicle for topical administration of DTH (Carlotti, et al., 2009). SLN could protect DTH from degradation caused by UVA irradiation.

The objective of this study was to develop a mathematical model using 3\(^2\) experimental design in order to deduce the adequate conditions for preparation of DTH-loaded SLN with desired characteristics able to improve the localization of DTH in skin. \textit{Ex-vivo} penetration and localization of the optimized formulations with maximum drug entrapment efficiency and minimum particle size were also examined.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

### Material

Compritol 888 ATO was obtained as a gift sample from Gattefosse (France). Tween 80, Span 60, glyceryl monostearate (GMS) and poloxamer 407 were provided by LOBA CHEMIE, India. Dithranol was donated by Glenmark, Washi, Mumbai (India). All other chemicals were of reagent grade and used without further purification.

### Preparation of SLN

SLN were prepared by pre-emulsion followed by the ultrasonication method (Fang, et al., 2008). Briefly, lipid phase consisted of dithranol, Compritol 888 ATO and Span 60 (3% w/v) maintained at 70 °C. An aqueous phase was prepared by dissolving poloxamer 407 (3% w/v) in distilled water (sufficient to produce 50 ml of preparation) and heated to the same temperature as oil phase. Hot aqueous phase was added to oil phase and homogenization was carried out at a temperature of 70°C using an Omni TH homogenizer (Make Omni USA) at 46564.7 \(\times\) g for 3 min. Coarse hot oil in water emulsion thus obtained was subjected to further size reduction using an ultrasonicator (make Sonic VCX 750, USA) for 10-30 min.

#### Experimental design and statistical analysis

Most formulation studies involve variation of one factor at a time, keeping other factors constant. Factorial design enables all factors to be varied simultaneously, allowing quantification of the effects caused by independent variables and interactions between them. In this study, a 3\(^2\) full factorial experimental design was introduced to optimize the formulation of nanoparticles. Initial studies were undertaken to decide on the excipients and their levels in the experimental design.

The choice of lipid was made on the basis of solubility and partitioning of dithranol in the lipid. Aqueous phase surfactant and lipid phase surfactant were selected on the basis of stability of dispersion prepared by using different surfactants.

In order to optimize the preparation of formulations, the drug: lipid ratio (X1) and sonication time (X2) were chosen as independent variables. These two factors that might affect the nanoparticle formulation and three levels of each factor were selected (Table I) and arranged according to a 3\(^2\) full factorial experimental table (Table II).

### Table I - Independent variables and their selected levels for nanoparticles formulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Coded level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drug: lipid ratio (X(_1))</td>
<td>1:3, 1:5, 1:7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonication time (X(_2)) (min.)</td>
<td>10, 20, 30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**TABLE II - A 3² Full factorial experimental design layout**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulation Code</th>
<th>Coded Factor Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Factor 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₁</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₂</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₃</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₄</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₅</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₆</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₇</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₈</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₉</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Evaluation of solid lipid nanoparticles**

**Particle size analysis**

The particle size analysis of the formulations was performed using a Malvern Mastersizer 2000 MS device (Malvern Instruments, Worcestershire, UK) and laser diffraction with a beam length of 2.40 mm, range lens of 300 RF mm, at 14.4% obscuration. The mean diameter of each batch is recorded in Table II.

**Entrapment efficiency**

For determination of entrapment in SLNs, the drug loaded lipid nanoparticles were separated from free drug by ultra-centrifugation (Beckmann Instruments, Italy) at about 158476.5 ×g. Free drug, determined spectrophotometrically from the added drug, remained unentrapped in supernatant liquid which was obtained after ultra-centrifugation. The collected samples were added in chloroform and warmed to dissolve completely, and then extracted with dimethyl formamide (DMF) which dissolved only dithranol. The solution was filtered, diluted with methanol and dithranol content determined spectrophotometrically.

EE was calculated according to the following equation:

\[
EE\% = \frac{\text{Amount of entrapped drug in SLN}}{\text{Amount of entrapped drug in SLN and free drug in dispersion}} \times 100
\]

**Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) study**

Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) was performed on a Mettler-Toledo DSC 821e (Columbus, OH) instrument, and an empty standard aluminum pan was used as reference. DSC scans were recorded at a heating rate of 10 °C/min in a temperature range of 30-300 °C. DSC measurements were carried out on pure compritol 888 ATO and dithranol as bulk material and SLN loaded with dithranol.

**X-ray diffraction**

X-ray scattering measurements were carried out with a Philips PAN analytical expert PRO X-ray diffractometer 1780. The samples were irradiated with mono-chromatized CuKa radiation and analyzed between 2-80 °C 20. The patterns were collected with voltage of 30kV and current of 30 mA, respectively. The scanning rate (20/min) was set at 10 °C/min.

**Fourier Transmission Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) studies**

A Jasco FTIR spectrophotometer (Jasco FTIR- 410, Japan) was used for infrared analysis of samples. About 1-2 mg of sample was mixed with dry potassium bromide and the samples were examined at transmission mode over a wave number range of 4000 to 400cm⁻¹. FTIR studies were carried out on pure compritol 888 ATO and dithranol as bulk material and SLN loaded with dithranol.

**Ex-vivo skin penetration studies**

Ointment containing DTH-loaded SLN was prepared with white soft paraffin. Ointment containing plain DTH (marketed formulation) was acquired from the market. Ex-vivo skin penetration studies of DTH ointment were performed with rat skin (Liu, et al., 2007; Shah, et al., 2007; Lv, et al., 2009) using Franz diffusion cell. Rat skin was taken from the abdominal region, after removing hair and subcutaneous fat tissue, by punching out a disc of approximately 2.5-cm² in area. This slice was mounted on the Franz diffusion cell. Phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) served as receptor fluid. A small quantity (0.1 g) of the ointment was applied to the skin surface. Serial sampling was performed at specified time intervals (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,12 hours) by removing the contents of the receptor compartment and replacing it with fresh medium. The samples were analyzed using UV-VIS spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV 1800) and mean cumulative amount diffused Q (mg/cm²) at each sampling time point was calculated. At the end of 12 hours, the amount of drug in the receptor compartment, the drug remaining on the skin, and the drug concentration in the skin was determined by extraction into a suitable solvent followed by spectrophotometric analysis using an UV-VIS spectrophotometer.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Experimental design and statistical analysis**

The objective of this study was to prepare solid lipid nanoparticles of dithranol by pre-emulsion ultrasonication method and to optimize the effects of formulation variables on response parameters. Based on preliminary
studies, compritol 888 ATO, Span 60 and Poloxamer 407 were chosen as lipid, lipid phase surfactant and aqueous phase surfactant respectively. Drug:lipid ratio and sonication time were selected as variables and entrapment efficiency and particle size as response parameters. A 3^2 full factorial design was selected as it helps study the effect on response parameters by changing both variables simultaneously with a minimum number of experimental runs.

The particle size and EE for the 9 batches (R1 to R9) showed a wide variation 219-348 nm and 51.33-71.08%, respectively (Table III). The data clearly indicated strong dependence of response variables on the selected independent variables.

**TABLE III - Values of particle size and entrapment efficiency of DTH-loaded SLN (R₁ to R₉) as per full factorial design**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulation code</th>
<th>Particle size (nm)</th>
<th>% EE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R₁</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>51.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₂</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>54.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₃</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>70.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₄</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>56.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₅</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>57.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₆</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>69.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₇</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>69.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₈</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>60.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₉</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>71.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to quantify the effect of formulation variables on the response parameters, it was necessary to construct a mathematical model which would help in predicting values of response parameters at any selected values of formulation variables within the boundaries of the design. It may be the case that the levels of formulation variables which are intermediate between the selected levels yield optimum formulation. Design Expert 7.1 software was used to generate a mathematical model for each response parameter and the subsequent statistical analysis.

The coefficients of the polynomial equations generated using MLRA (Design expert 7.1) for particle size and %EE of DTH-loaded SLN dispersion studied are listed in (Table IV) along with the values of r^2. Five coefficients (a to e) were calculated with k as the intercept.

\[ Y = k + aX₁ + bX₂ + cX₁X₂ + dX₁^2 + eX₂^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The equation was used to obtain estimates of the responses at various factor combinations, where the optimum combination was found to be similar to that corresponding to R₇, and hence R₇ was treated as the optimized batch.

For particle size response, the Model F-value of 15.55 implies the model is significant. There is only a 2.35% chance that a “Model F-Value” this large could occur due to noise. P value were found to be 0.0235, with a value less than 0.0500 indicating model terms are significant.

The “Predicted R-Squared” of 0.6033 is in reasonable agreement with the “Adjusted R-Squared” of 0.9009. "Adeq Precision" measures the signal to noise ratio. A ratio greater than 4 is desirable. The ratio of 11.207 indicates an adequate signal thus the proposed model can be used to navigate the design space.

For %EE response, the Model F-value of 26.66 implies the model is significant. There is only a 0.09% chance that a “Model F-Value” this large could occur due to noise. P value were found to be 0.0109, with a value less than 0.0500 indicating model terms are significant.

The “Predicted R-Squared” of 0.7614 is in reasonable agreement with the “Adjusted R-Squared” of 0.9413. "Adeq Precision" measures the signal to noise ratio. A ratio greater than 4 is desirable. The ratio of 12.862 indicates an adequate signal. Thus the proposed model can be used to navigate the design space.

Since the values of r^2 are relatively high for both the responses, i.e., 0.9629 for particle size and 0.9780 for %EE, the polynomial equations form an excellent fit to the experimental data and are highly statistically valid.

Three-dimensional response surface plots for each response parameter were constructed to study the effects of both formulation variables simultaneously along with the behavior of the system.

**TABLE IV - Values of coefficients for polynomial equations and r^2 for various response variables of DTH-loaded SLN**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficient code</th>
<th>Polynomial coefficient values for response variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>+272.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>+23.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>-46.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>+15.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>+6.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>+19.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r²</td>
<td>0.9629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.9780</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 shows response surface plot for particle size. It can be observed from the figure that drug:lipid ratio had a positive effect on particle size i.e. particle size
increased with increase in drug: lipid ratio. Least particle size was observed at the lowest level of drug:lipid ratio. A greater amount of lipid may have resulted in increasing the size of SLN.

Sonication time had the opposite effect on particle size. It can be observed from Figure 1 that particle size decreased with increased sonication time. Figure 1 indicates that the sonication time had a greater impact on particle size compared to lipid content, moreover the effect of lipid content was more pronounced at a high level of sonication which might be due to less effort required to disperse the small lipid agglomerates. At low sonication time, lipid concentration had little influence.

Figure 2 shows simultaneous effect of sonication time and drug: lipid ratio on entrapment efficiency. It can be observed that EE increased with increased sonication time. Increased sonication time resulted in decreased particle size thereby increasing the total surface area and favoring entrapment. Drug: lipid ratio also had a similar effect. The value of EE was maximal when both formulation variables were employed at their highest levels. The reasons can be attributed to the maximum amount of lipid present for entrapment of the drug. The effect of sonication on entrapment was not evident at high lipid levels. At low lipid levels however, the decrease in sonication reduced entrapment which may be accounted for by less availability of lipid and decrease in lipid phase solubility of drug due to reduced sonication.

**Evaluation of solid lipid nanoparticles**

**Particle size analysis**

The d (90) for nanoparticulate dispersions showed a size ranging from 219 – 348 nm (Table III). The effect of lipid concentration on the particle size is evident from the particle size of samples R3, R6 and R9 (342 nm, 319 nm and 285 nm, respectively) where it is at high level and sonication time is at low, middle and low levels. Samples R4

![FIGURE 1 - Three-dimensional response surface plots for particle size.](image1)

![FIGURE 2 - Three-dimensional response surface plots for entrapment efficiency.](image2)
and R₃ with low lipid concentration (1:3) had the smallest particle size of between 200 - 300 nm. The sonication time shows a negative influence on particle size. Particle size distribution of the optimized batch is shown in Figure 3.

![Figure 3 - Particle size distribution curve of optimized batch.](image)

The second population of particles, as shown in the above figure, may be due to inadequate sonic energy at the periphery of the dispersion or to particle growth during the time period between sonication and size analysis.

**Entrapment efficiency**

A high amount of drug was incorporated in nanoparticle dispersion. The %EE of different formulations prepared (Table III) indicates the positive influence of lipid content on drug entrapment. The formulations R₃, R₅ and R₇ which have lipids at high level but surfactant at low middle and high levels, respectively showed % entrapment of 70.3, 69.5 and 71.80, respectively. This indicates overwhelming influence of lipid on entrapment, irrespective of surfactant content. Formulations R₃, R₅, which had lipid content at low level and surfactant at low and medium level, respectively show less % EE (< 60%). For formulation R₇, the effect of high surfactant level was evident in the form of higher entrapment (69.88%). The partitioning of drug between lipid and water phases during pre-emulsion formation affects drug entrapment in nanoparticles. This in turn depends on the amount of lipid, solubility of drug in lipid, process temperature and surfactant concentration. Therefore, the positive influence of lipid content on entrapment is explained.

**Differential scanning calorimetry**

The DSC technique was employed to characterize the DTH-loaded SLN. DSC thermograms (Figure 4) indicate the melting points and corresponding enthalpies of DTH, Compritol and SLN. The enthalpy indicates absolute heat energy uptake and is given by the area under the transition peak. The sharp melting endotherm of DTH was observed at 182.1°C with corresponding enthalpy of -35.43 J/g while compritol bulk showed a melting endotherm at 75 °C and enthalpy of -98.64 J/g. The DTH SLN showed an endothermic peak at 72.11°C and enthalpy of -65.61 J/g. A shoulder peak was also observed alongside at 59.1°C. In general, melting point depression is observed when the bulk lipid is transformed to nanoparticulate form. The decrease in melting point and formation of a shoulder peak is attributed to smaller particle size, lattice defects and formation of amorphous regions arising out of incorporation of drug molecules (Chen, *et al.*, 2006; Schubert, Muller-Goymann, 2005). This leads to the corresponding decrease in enthalpy from -98.64 to -65.61 J/g.

**X-ray diffraction**

X-ray diffraction data listed in the following Figure 5 was in good agreement with results established by DSC measurements. The diffraction pattern of the bulk matrix showed a marked difference from those of the SLN, as they showed a relatively sharper peak than the SLN. It was clear that from DTH-loaded SLN, the less ordered crystals were the majority and the amorphous state contributed to the higher drug loading capacity as seen previously. There was a significant difference between the diffraction patterns of dithranol and DTH-loaded SLN. It was confirmed that DTH existed in amorphous state in the DTH-SLN because of the disappeared sharp peak of DTH in the diffraction pattern.

**FTIR studies**

From FTIR study, the characteristic peak of drug such as of the aromatic C=O (1597 cm⁻¹), aliphatic C-OH (2923 cm⁻¹), aromatic C-H (731, 773 and 1459 cm⁻¹) disappeared and were replaced by the peak of compritol 888 ATO where remaining peaks also either shifted or were replaced in the IR spectrum of the formulation shown in Figure 6. This established drug entrapment in lipid matrix.

**Ex-vivo skin penetration studies**

The ex-vivo permeation of DTH through rat skin from DTH-loaded SLN ointment was evaluated using a Franz diffusion cell. The mean cumulative amount diffused Q (mg/cm²) at each sampling time point was calculated. The results of diffusion studies are represented graphically as Q vs Time in Figure 7.

In the present investigation, DTH-loaded SLN ointment of optimized formulation produced significantly higher deposition of DTH in skin (55 %) than marketed Ointment of DTH (27 %), as shown in Table V. The stratum corneum which represents the principle barrier of skin structure has pore diameters of about 20 nm but in fully hydrated state the pore diameters increase to 400 nm. Thus, a drug-localizing effect in the skin seems possible because of hydration of skin by an occlusion effect of
FIGURE 4 - DSC thermogram of DTH, Compritol ATO 888 and DTH-loaded SLN.

FIGURE 5 - XRD of dithranol, Compritol ATO 888 and DTH-loaded SLN.
SLN, submicron particle size (219 nm) and high adhesion due to very high surface may explain the increase in skin permeation of dithranol SLN. Due to the lipoidal nature of SLN, the penetrated drug concentrates in the skin and remains localized for a longer period of time, thus enabling drug targeting for the skin (Pople, Singh, 2006).

CONCLUSION

The pre-emulsion followed by ultrasonication technique was used to prepare solid lipid nanoparticles of reproducible sizes in the range of 219 to 348 nm by addressing the effects of processing parameters. The application of 3² factorial design proved to be a useful tool for optimization of DTH-loaded SLN. Using the factorial design one can select a suitable composition of formulation to obtain DTH-loaded SLN in the size range of 219 to 348 nm depending on the application of the system. The results of the ex-vivo penetration studies demonstrated that about a two-fold increase in localization of DTH in skin was obtained with DTH-loaded SLN entrapped ointment compared to plain DTH.
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