A fully validated microbiological assay for daptomycin injection and comparison to HPLC method
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INTRODUCTION

When the first antibiotics, such as penicillin, began to be used in clinical medicine, around 1940, they were considered miracle drugs because they were able to treat serious infectious diseases, such as pneumonia and tuberculosis (Eom, Kim, Kim, 2013; Fernandes, 2006). However, the widespread use of antimicrobials created constant selective pressure that resulted in the survival and spread of resistant bacteria. Microorganism resistance to antimicrobial agents has been reported for several pathogens, such as Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella pneumonae, Streptococcus pneumonae, Mycobacterium tuberculosis and Enterobacter species,
among others (Boucher et al., 2013; Spellberg et al., 2008).

New antibiotics have been approved over the last decade, such as: linezolid (US in 2000/EU in 2001), tigecycline (US in 2005/EU in 2006), DPT (US in 2003/EU in 2006) and telavancin (US in 2009) (Boucher et al., 2013; Sakoulas, 2009).

The focus of this study is DPT (Figure 1), which is a cyclic lipopeptide with activity limited to gram-positive bacteria, such as *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, and *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, including multidrug-resistant and susceptible strains (Koeth, Thorne, 2010; Lu et al., 2011; Steenbergen et al., 2005). The molecule is obtained from a strain of *Streptomyces roseosporus* and was originally known as LY 146032 (Debono et al., 1987; Eliopoulos et al., 1986). The action mechanism is physiologic calcium dependent and possibly involves the disruption of amino acid transport by the cell membrane and changes in the cytoplasmic membrane potential (Debono et al., 1987; Koeth, Thorne, 2010; Lu et al., 2011).

Some LC methods have been reported in the literature in order to determine DPT in some biological fluids, such as serum (Deryke et al., 2006; Rybak et al., 1992; Tobin et al., 2008), blood (Gikas et al., 2009; Olszowy et al., 2010), and plasma (Baietto et al., 2010; Dvorchik et al., 2003; Martens-Lobenhofer et al., 2008; Verdier et al., 2011). Recently, an HPLC method focusing on the assay of daptomycin injection was reported, which is the only pharmaceutical form available (Christ et al., 2014). However, no methods to determine daptomycin in pharmaceutical form have been reported in the pharmacopoeias.

Because of increasing pathogen resistance and the difficulty in developing new antimicrobial drugs, it is relevant to ensure the quality of those available on the market. Two methods are commonly used to assay antibiotics in pharmaceuticals: agar diffusion and broth turbidity (turbidimetric assay). Both enable estimating antibiotic potency through a direct comparison of a test antibiotic with a reference substance. Using these assays, it is possible to perceive reduction in antimicrobial activity caused by subtle changes that are not demonstrable by chemical methods. Therefore, microbial or biological assays generally remain the standard for solving any doubt concerning a possible loss of activity (USP, 2007).

The aim of this study was to develop and validate a simple, specific, accurate, reproducible, and stability-indicative turbidimetric microbiological assay to quantify injectable daptomycin. Moreover, a high performance liquid chromatographic (HPLC) method, developed and validated in our laboratory was chosen as a comparison method to determine daptomycin in degraded samples.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Chemical and reagents**

The DPT reference substance was supplied by Hisun Pharmaceutical Hangzhou, Co., Ltd. (Xukow Town, China) and was used as purchased. Cubicin® (Novartis, São Paulo, Brazil) containing 500 mg of DPT in powder form for injection or infusion (excipient: sodium hydroxide) was kindly provided by Hospital Universitário de Santa Maria (HUSM) within their shelf life. Antibiotic Medium 1 and Antibiotic Medium 2 were obtained from Himedia (Brazil) and Antibiotic Medium 3 was obtained from Difco (Brazil). All the chemicals were of pharmaceutical or special analytic grade. For all the analyses, ultrapure water was purified using a Millipore MilliQ® A 10 water system (Billerica, MA, USA).

**Preparation of reference substance and sample solutions**

Both of the stock solutions (reference substance and sample) were prepared by dissolving the equivalent of 10 mg of DPT and diluting with ultrapure water in order to obtain 100 µg mL⁻¹ solutions. To conduct the microbiological assay, the stock solutions of reference substance and sample were prepared on the day of the assay and diluted with the same solvent to concentrations of 1, 2, and 4 µg mL⁻¹.

**Preparation of a calcium stock solution**

A stock solution of calcium was prepared diluting 3.67 g of calcium chloride dihydrate in a volumetric flask of 100 mL with ultrapure water, obtaining a concentration...
of 36.7 mg mL\(^{-1}\) (equivalent to 10 mg mL\(^{-1}\) of calcium). This solution was sterilized, stored at 2-8 °C, and added to the culture medium after the sterilization process.

**Microorganism and inoculum standardization**

The microorganism that was used in this assay was *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 6538p. The microorganism was stored at 2-8 °C in Antibiotic Medium No. 1 agar. Twenty-four hours before the assay it was inoculated into another test tube with the same agar and incubated at 35 ± 2 °C. The bacteria was suspended and diluted in saline in order to obtain a suitable suspension with 25% ± 2 of transmittance in 580 nm, using a spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-1800). Saline was used as blank. This microorganism suspension (named inoculum) was stored at 2-8 °C for 7 days and was added to the fluid medium, as described in the next section.

**Turbidimetric method**

A 3x3 design was chosen for the development of the microbiological method. In this model, three standard and sample solutions in the same presumed concentration are compared in order to determine the antibiotic (FB 5, 2010). At first, 1 mL of inoculum was added to 100 mL of sterilized Antibiotic Medium 3. Then, 9 mL of inoculated medium and 1 mL of a sample or standard solution (at the concentration of 1, 2 and 4 µg mL\(^{-1}\)) were added to the test tube. After that, they were incubated at 37 °C for 5 hours in a water bath with circulation. Concomitantly, negative test tubes (9 mL of Antibiotic Medium 3 and 1 mL of water) and positive test tubes (9 mL of inoculated Antibiotic Medium 3 and 1 mL of water) were incubated. All the test tubes were prepared in triplicate. The test tubes were randomly distributed in the water bath and the same time interval for loading and unloading each one was observed.

After incubation, the multiplication of microorganisms was stopped by the addition of 0.5 mL of 12% formaldehyde solution in each one of test tubes, including positive and negative controls. The absorbance of the samples, reference substance, and control test tubes was determined at 530 nm, using the negative control as blank. The HEWITT equation was used to calculate de potency of DPT samples (Hewitt, 2003).

**Method validation**

The method was validated according to the International Conference on Harmonization (ICH, 2005) by determining the following parameters: specificity, linearity, precision, accuracy, and robustness.

**Specificity**

The capacity of the method to determine DPT in the presence of degradation products was evaluated by comparing the results obtained from the same sample degraded and analyzed by the HPLC method (previously validated by our research group) and microbiologic assay. To promote the drug degradation, 5 mL of DPT 100 µg mL\(^{-1}\) were mixed with 5 mL of sodium hydroxide 0.01 mol/L; after 30 minutes, the solution was neutralized with hydrochloric acid 0.1 mol/L. After neutralization, 1 mL of the samples was mixed with 9 mL of inoculated Antibiotic Medium 3 and incubated at 37 °C for 5 hours in a water bath with circulation. After incubation, the absorbance of the samples, reference substance, and control test tubes was determined at 530 nm. The analyses were accessed in duplicate.

**Linearity**

Three doses of reference substance solutions were used (1, 2, and 4 µg mL\(^{-1}\)) in six independent assays. Results were subjected to regression analysis by the least-squares method. The graph was obtained using the software Microsoft Excel (2010), by plotting the logarithm of the concentration versus the average of the absorbance values of each solution of DPT reference substance. ANOVA was used to confirm the validity of the assay, and parameters of linearity, parallelism and regression were evaluated.

**Precision**

The precision was determined by repeatability and intermediate precision and the results were expressed as relative standard deviation (RSD). Repeatability was evaluated by assaying two independent DPT samples on the same day and under the same experimental conditions (intraday); intermediate precision was evaluated by assaying two independent samples per day, on six different days (inter-day). Sample solutions were prepared according to section “Preparation of reference substance and sample solutions”.

**Accuracy**

Accuracy was determined by recovering known quantities of the reference substance that was added to the samples. Recovery was determined at three levels named R1, R2 and R3 (70, 100 and 130% of work concentration). Sample solutions of DPT and reference substance solutions were prepared according to section “Preparation of reference substance and sample solutions”.
Aliquots of 1 mL of the sample solution (100 µg mL⁻¹) and aliquots of 0.4, 1.0 and 1.6 mL of the reference substance solution (100 µg mL⁻¹) were mixed and diluted with ultrapure water at 100 mL obtaining a final concentration of 1.4, 2.0 and 2.6 µg mL⁻¹ (named R1, R2 and R3 respectively). One milliliter of these final solutions was added to test tubes containing 9 mL culture medium. The tubes were incubated at 37 °C for 5 hours in a water bath with circulation. After incubation, the absorbance of samples, reference substance, and control test tubes was determined at 530 nm. The percentage recovery of DPT was calculated.

Robustness

The robustness was evaluated by analyzing the effect on the assay values promoted by variations in two experimental factors: incubation time and calcium concentration. As incubation time values of 4.5, 5.0 and 5.5 h were used; the effect of calcium concentration was evaluated at 45, 50 and 55 µg mL⁻¹. Both were evaluated in the range of ± 10% around the optimized value. The assays were performed in duplicate.

Degradation kinetics in alkaline medium

DPT sample solution at 100 µg mL⁻¹ was stored with 0.01 mol/L sodium hydroxide during 0, 30, 60, 90 and 120 minutes. At the end of each exposure time, solutions were neutralized with hydrochloric acid and the concentration was adjusted to 2.5 µg mL⁻¹ with water in order to perform a turbidimetric assay and to 25 µg mL⁻¹ for an HPLC assay. The assay was performed in duplicate.

The degradation kinetics of solutions was determined by plotting concentration versus time, ln of concentration versus time (zero-order, first-order and second-order process, respectively). The reaction order was indicated by the best fit to model, indicated by the regression coefficient (r). Parameters such as the degradation rate constant (k), half-life (t₁/₂, time to decrease the drug content to 50%) and t₉₀ (time for 10% decomposition) were calculated by equations inherent to the reaction order.

HPLC method

The chromatographic method, previously reported (Christ et al., 2014), was developed in a Shimadzu® liquid chromatograph with a Waters XBridge C18 column (250 mm x 4.6 mm, 5 µm). The mobile phase was acetonitrile, methanol and phosphate buffer pH 2.2 (30:40:30 v/v), at a flow rate of 1 mL min⁻¹ and isocratic elution. The run time was 10 min and the injection volume was 20 µL. DPT was determined by UV detection at 223 nm using a photodiode-array detector.

Comparison of the methods

In order to compare the results obtained by the chromatographic method and microbiologic assay, the precision results of both methods were statistically analyzed using two-one-sided-test (TOST) (XLSTAT Version 2015.4.01 for Windows).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Preliminary studies

The potency of antibiotics in pharmaceuticals can be assessed by a cylinder-plate assay (also known as agar diffusion assay) and the turbidimetric assay. Agar diffusion is usually the method of choice when the characteristics of an antibiotic allow for using it (particularly water-soluble compounds). Turbidimetric assay is used less widely than the diffusion assay, although it might be considered to resemble the clinical situation more closely. It is more commonly used to assay antibiotics that are poorly soluble in water (Baird, Hodges, Denyer, 2000).

Our first attempts were aimed at developing an agar diffusion method, considering its widespread usage and the aqueous solubility of DPT. We used the 3x3 design, in a bilayer system with six plates for each assay. Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 6538p was selected and the culture media were the most used for this microorganism (USP, 2007; ANVISA, 2010) (Antibiotic Medium 1 and Antibiotic Medium 2). DPT solutions in a wide range were tested (1.5 to 80 µg mL⁻¹) and, according to the results, DPT concentrations of 8, 16, and 32 µg mL⁻¹ were chosen. The plates were prepared and, after incubation (37ºC/18 hours), the inhibition zones formed by the reference substance and sample solutions were compared (ANVISA, 2010).

The mean inhibition zones obtained for the DPT reference substance were 12.11 mm ± 3.23, 14.44 mm ± 1.79, and 16.26 mm ± 2.12, for 8, 16 and 32 µg mL⁻¹, respectively. These results show the method’s inability to detect differences in drug content, since only small changes were observed in the inhibition zones, in spite of the wide concentration range used. Similar responses are reported for other drugs with a high molecular weight, such as vancomycin, teicoplanin, and polymyxins and are attributed to their difficulty in diffusing in solid media (Koeth, Thorne, 2010). Considering these results, we gave
up on the agar diffusion assay and started to develop the turbidimetric assay.

**Calcium concentration**

The effect of calcium on the action mechanism of DPT was initially reported in 1986 (Eliopoulos *et al.*, 1986). A subsequent study demonstrated that DPT MICs are generally twofold to fourfold lower when tested in broth containing 50 µg mL\(^{-1}\) of calcium (Fuchs, Barry, Brown, 2000). Another more recent study also confirms the results obtained in previous studies, suggesting the important presence of calcium in susceptible assays using culture medium containing or supplemented with 50 µg mL\(^{-1}\) of calcium (Koeth, Thorne, 2010).

Tests with and without calcium were performed by the two methods studied in order to verify the need for calcium in the microbiological assay. In both methods, calcium was added to the culture media after sterilization. The means of the diameter zones without calcium were 8.37, 9.36, and 10.23 mm, respectively and 13.05, 14.99, and 16.33 with calcium (agar diffusion assay). The absorbances that were found in the assay with calcium were 0.275, 0.167, and 0.067, and without calcium they were 0.271, 0.287, and 0.289. These results demonstrated that in the absence of calcium there was visibly less antimicrobial activity than when calcium was used at 50 µg mL\(^{-1}\).

**Turbidimetric assay**

Several assays under different conditions were conducted to establish the optimal conditions to develop the turbidimetric method (Table I). The best results were found under the following conditions: Antibiotic Medium 3 (fluid), supplemented with calcium; inoculum concentration at 1.0% (*Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 6538p); 5 hours of incubation (37°C) and reference substance and sample solutions at 1.0, 2.0, and 4.0 µg mL\(^{-1}\), diluted in water. *Staphylococcus aureus* was used considering the microbial activity of DPT. Time and temperature of incubation are in agreement with the recommended values for this microorganism. Absorbance values in the range of 0.1 to 0.5 are considered indicative of the suitability of the conditions employed (Baird, Hodges, Denyer, 2000); thus, they were used to define the inoculum concentration. Water was used to dilute the sample considering the high DPT aqueous solubility.

**Specificity**

According to the literature (Alsante *et al.*, 2007), degradation in the range of 5-20% is sufficient to show that there is no interference of degradation products in the tests performed under acidic or basic conditions. Preliminary tests indicated a high degradation rate in the alkaline medium. Thus, we employed 0.01 mol/L NaOH, at room temperature. Samples were assayed by the bioassay and HPLC methods, respectively, and similar results were found, 86.9% (n= 2, RSD 3.16 %) and 82.4% (n=3, RSD 1.78 %). These results confirmed the stability characteristic of the assay, since it showed the sample potency against a microorganism, in the presence of degradation products.

**Linearity**

The calculation procedure usually assumes a direct relationship between the absorbance and logarithm of the respective dose. The corresponding mean absorbances for the reference substance solutions were: 0.3035 for the lower dose (1 µg mL\(^{-1}\)), 0.1995 for the intermediate dose (2 µg mL\(^{-1}\)), and 0.1058 for the higher dose (4 µg mL\(^{-1}\)). The dose-response lines for DPT solutions (sample and reference substance) were constructed by plotting log concentrations (µg mL\(^{-1}\)) versus absorbance and showed good linearity between the range of 1 and 4 µg mL\(^{-1}\). The representative linear equation for DPT reference substance was \( y = -0.3284\log(x) + 0.3018 \), \( r = 0.9995 \) for DPT sample. According to ANOVA, the assay showed significant regression (\( p<0.05 \)) and no deviation from linearity and from parallelism (\( p>0.05 \)), meeting the requirements of 3x3 design (FB 5, 2010).

**TABLE I** - Conditions tested to establish the turbidimetric assay conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard curve points (µg/mL)</td>
<td>0.1, 0.25 and 0.5; 0.5, 1.0 and 2.0; 1.0, 2.0 and 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture medium</td>
<td>Casoy Broth; Antibiotic Medium 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inoculum concentration (%)</td>
<td>0.2; 0.4; 0.5; 0.8 and 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incubation time (hours)</td>
<td>3.0; 4.0 and 5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Precision

The precision of the assay was evaluated at two levels: repeatability (intra-day, n=2/day) and intermediate precision (inter-day, 6 days) and the results are expressed as the RSD of the measurement series (Table II). The potency of the sample was calculated by the Hewitt equation, which compares the dose-response lines from the sample and reference substance. Low RSD values were obtained, confirming the precision of this method.

Accuracy

The accuracy of the bioassay proposed was accessed by the standard addition method. The results obtained showed good recovery of the drug added to the sample solutions (Table III). The mean recovery value was 100.48% and RSD 2.11%, which confirms the suitability of the analytical conditions employed and ensures the ability of the method to quantify DPT concentrations within the range of 70 to 130% of the target value.

Robustness

The results of the robustness evaluation are shown in Table IV. The results obtained indicate that the modifications in the method parameters did not cause significant differences in the DPT assay (p>0.05).

Kinetic degradation

A linear relationship between the ln of the remaining concentrations versus time was observed. This indicated that the reaction of DPT degradation under the condition evaluated followed first-order kinetics and that the degradation rate depends on the reagent concentration (Lachman, Liberman, Kanig, 2001). The rate constant order (k) was determined by the graphic equation and the values obtained were 0.0055 µg mL min⁻¹ and 0.0047 µg mL min⁻¹ by bioassay and HPLC methods, respectively.

Through the data obtained it was also possible to determine the half-life and t₉₀ of daptomycin under the

### Table II - Values obtained in the determination of daptomycin (DPT) injection by turbidimetric assay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Potency (% of label claim)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>100.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean intra-day</td>
<td>100.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSD Intra-day</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean inter-day</td>
<td>100.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSD inter-day</td>
<td>2.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table III - Accuracy of the turbidimetric assay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Reference substance added (µg/mL)</th>
<th>Concentration recovered (µg/mL)</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
<th>Mean (%)</th>
<th>RSD (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3849</td>
<td>96.23</td>
<td>98.51</td>
<td>2.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3942</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4025</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0085</td>
<td>100.85</td>
<td>101.40</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0152</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0184</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.5927</td>
<td>99.54</td>
<td>103.23</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.6517</td>
<td>101.82</td>
<td>102.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.6294</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean recovery(%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>100.48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**TABLE IV** - Robustness determination of the DPT turbidimetric assay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Daptomycin assay (% of theoretical value)</th>
<th>Calcium concentration (µg mL(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Incubation time (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assay 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimized condition*</td>
<td>Calcium concentration (µg mL(^{-1}))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>99.11</td>
<td>100.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>99.37</td>
<td>99.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100.55</td>
<td>99.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assay 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100.44</td>
<td>101.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>99.89</td>
<td>100.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>101.13</td>
<td>99.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| * calcium concentration at 50 µg.mL\(^{-1}\), incubation time 5 hours.

**TABLE V** - TOST results for the comparison of the microbiological and HPLC methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lower bound (TOST)</td>
<td>-5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower bound (90%)</td>
<td>-0,582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper bound (90%)</td>
<td>2,038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper bound (TOST)</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test interpretation</td>
<td>Equivalent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α = 0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Degradation condition employed. The obtained values were 128.37 and 19.36 minutes (t\(_{1/2}\) and t\(_{90}\), turbidimetric bioassay) and 130.81 and 22.55 minutes (t\(_{1/2}\) and t\(_{90}\), HPLC assay). These data are in agreement with the experimental values (degradation of 43.5% in 120 minutes, turbidimetric bioassay). The results of the two methods indicated that both produce a similar percentage remaining of DPT (Figure 2).

Furthermore, the analyses of degraded solutions confirmed the sensitivity of the biological assay to quantify DPT in degraded samples and showed that DPT degradation products do not present bactericidal activity. This is important information for the health-system pharmacists, in the sense of avoiding dilution with alkaline diluents, such as sodium bicarbonate.

Conversely, residual samples of DPT must be discarded 24 h after reconstitution, according to the manufacturer’s recommendation. Thus, the obtained results suggest that DPT solutions can be treated with alkaline solutions before being discarded, contributing to the reduction of environmental damage.

**Comparison of methods**

The results obtained in the turbidimetric bioassay were compared to those obtained by the HPLC method, previously validated by our group (Christ *et al.*, 2014). By microbiological assay, the mean potency (potency ± RSD%, n = 12) was 100.96 ± 2.58 and by the HPLC method it was 100.23 ± 0.59 (assay ± RSD%, n=12). These results were statistically evaluated by the two-one-sided-test (TOST), considering a significance level of 5% and confidence interval of 90%. The null hypothesis can be accepted and consequently, the analytical methods considered equivalent when the confidence interval for the difference is completely contained within the defined range considered to be scientifically trivial (± Δ). We defined Δ=5 as an appropriate range for the equivalence testing, based on the specifications of the precision test (RSD = 5%, ANVISA, 2003), and on the inherent variation of biological assays. TOST was applied and indicated equivalence between the two methods, as shown in Table V.

The quantification of antibiotics by chemical methods, such as HPLC, presents some advantages such as shorter analysis time, possibility of automation, lower RSD values, and shorter time to review the robustness. Disadvantages can be the high cost of equipment and the large amounts of organic solvents used. Besides, the method cannot truly show biologic activity.

Biological methods have some advantages in comparison to methods like HPLC and UV spectrophotometry, such as the low cost of the equipment...
(Manfio et al., 2013), the good relationship with clinical use, and they can determine the content and the biological activity. In spite of the high variability expected from the bioassays, in our study the RSD values obtained in precision evaluation are within the recommended limits. Therefore, this assay could be very useful to determine DPT in pharmaceutical dosage forms.

CONCLUSION

The proposed turbidimetric bioassay proved to be able to quantify the DPT injection. Although an agar diffusion assay is more used to quantify antimicrobials, the turbidimetric assay is faster and the measure of the response is automated. The method was validated according to ICH guidelines and demonstrated good linearity, precision, accuracy, robustness, specificity, and stability-indication. Therefore, it is an acceptable method for the routine quality control of daptomycin and useful in stability studies.
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