Adherence and/or discontinuation of imatinib mesylate in patients with chronic myeloid leukemia
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Adherence to imatinib mesylate improves clinical outcomes and promotes a reduction in health expenditure. However, treatment duration and lack of efficacy decrease adherence to pharmacotherapy, resulting in increased mortality associated with Chronic Myeloid Leukemia. This study aimed to evaluate and compare adherence and/or discontinuation of imatinib mesylate in different studies from the literature. An integrative review of original articles published between the years of 2004 and 2014 was performed using the databases PubMed/MEDLINE, Scopus and SciELO. The descriptor “imatinib” was used in two combinations employing the connector AND between terms: “medication adherence” AND “imatinib” AND “leukemia” and “patient compliance” AND “imatinib” AND “leukemia”. We identified 476 studies, being 14 included in the study. The rates of adherence and discontinuation were diverse, ranging from 19.0 to 97.0% and from 1.8 and 41.0%, respectively, and a high number of longitudinal studies was observed (71.4%). Most studies used questionnaires as an indirect method to assess adherence and factors related to poor adherence were adverse drug reactions, dose changes and unavailability of the medication. Patient education associated with follow up by pharmacists and other health professionals can improve patient adherence and minimize the pharmacotherapy discontinuation.


INTRODUCTION

Chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) represents 7 to 20% of all hematologic neoplasias, with an incidence between one and two cases per 100,000 individuals per year (Mauro et al., 2015). Its frequency is higher among adults aging between 55 to 60 years old and rare during childhood, accounting for only 3% of all the cases of CML (Tefferi et al., 2005; Suttorp, Millot, 2010). It affects both sexes, with a slight predominance in males in a ratio of 2.2 men for 1.4 women affected (Berger et al., 2005).

CML is characterized by a clonal myeloproliferative neoplasia of cells of the granulocyte lineage, which retains the abilities of maturation and differentiation (Perrotti et al., 2010). It is associated with a specific cytogenetic mutation on chromosome “Philadelphia” (Ph) which is a cytogenetic marker of CML resulting from a reciprocal translocation between the long arms of chromosomes 9 and 22 containing the hybrid gene BCR/ABL. The coding of this gene is characterized by an enzyme with high tyrosine kinase activity responsible for the uncompensated cell proliferation, producing favorable conditions to the establishment of neoplastic process (Hehlmann, Hochhaus, Baccarani, 2007; Marin et al., 2010; Perrotti et al., 2010). Clinically, the stages of the disease are differentiated according to their evolution, being divided into chronic, accelerated and blast crisis or acute phases. In the acute phase, survival rates decrease drastically (Cortes, Kantarjian, 2012).

The therapeutic arsenal of CML comprises chemotherapeutic agents like busulfan and hydroxyurea, the immunobiological agent interferon α, hematopoietic...
stem cells transplantation which is the only curative treatment and tyrosine kinase inhibitors represented by first generation drugs such as imatinib mesylate and second generation drugs such as nilotinib and dasatinib. Used since 2001, imatinib has become the first-line therapy in clinical protocol of CML due to some advantages such as low toxicity, oral administration and significant improvements in survival rates of patients undergoing treatment (85%). Imatinib use during the chronic phase of the disease is able to provide complete hematologic response rates (CHR), complete cytogenetic remission (CCR) and complete molecular remission (CMR) higher than 90% (Cortes, Kantarjian, 2012; Chen et al., 2014; Anderson et al., 2015).

Adherence to treatment with tyrosine kinase inhibitors results in improved clinical outcomes and promotes reduction in health costs. However, some patients present poor adherence to tyrosine kinase inhibitors impairing the responses to the treatment (Noens et al., 2009; Hirji et al., 2013). Adherence to pharmacotherapy is the adequate compliance with the treatment regimen proposed by the doctor or other health care provider and is related to demographic and social conditions of the patient and to characteristics of the disease and the treatment (WHO, 2003). Intentional factors (lack of knowledge of the disease, travel, lack of efficacy) and unintentional (duration of treatment, adverse effects, unavailability in health services, forgetfulness, financial difficulties) can sometimes have an impact on patient adherence to treatment with imatinib mesylate, resulting in an increase in the mortality rate associated with the progression of CML (Noens et al., 2009; Eliasson et al., 2011).

One of the consequences related to non-adherence to imatinib is associated with resistance or intolerance to the drug. In this situation, many patients respond to tyrosine kinase inhibitors of second generation such as dasatinib and nilotinib, which are more potent drugs than imatinib and can improve the quality of life of patients with this clinical condition (Jabbour et al., 2012a; Bhamidipati et al., 2013). However, the survival rate of patients with CML adherent to imatinib is 16.9% higher compared to non-adherent patients. Non-adherence and/or discontinuation to imatinib reduces the possibility of CCR in 18% of CML cases (Ganesan et al., 2011). Thus, the adherence to imatinib can prevent complications or exacerbations of CML caused by treatment failures, which would result in hospitalizations and procedures related to increases in health care costs (Halpern et al., 2009; Cid et al., 2013).

Since adherence to imatinib is crucial for successful treatment of patients with CML, its evaluation is necessary. It can be performed by direct methods such as detection of drug or metabolite in biological fluids, addition of a biological marker and direct observation of the patient and/or indirect methods, which are simple and easy to perform such as patient diary, questionnaires, drug records, frequency of medication withdrawal in pharmacies, electronic monitoring of medication and manual pill count (Jabbour et al., 2012a; Pérez-Escamilla et al., 2015).

Aim of the review

This review was developed in order to evaluate and compare adherence and/or discontinuation rates to imatinib mesylate in patients with CML in different studies found in scientific literature.

METHODS

An integrative review was performed with original articles published in scientific literature from 2004 to 2014. This type of evaluation allows to incorporate studies with different methods, such as experimental and non-experimental studies, while the systematic review is primarily focused on experimental studies.

Search Strategy

The articles were searched in the PubMed/MEDLINE, Scopus and SciELO databases. The Medical Subject Heading (MeSH) was used to define the descriptors. The descriptor “imatinib” was used in two combinations with the descriptors “medication adherence”, “leukemia” and “patient compliance” using the connector AND between the terms: “medication adherence” AND “imatinib” AND “leukemia” and “patient compliance” AND “imatinib” AND “leukemia”. The limits established to the search were publications between January 1st, 2004 and December 31st, 2014 and in English, Spanish and Portuguese languages.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

In the first phase, the articles were identified using the search criteria and all the abstracts of the selected articles was performed in order to include only original articles, which their main objective was to assess adherence rates and/or discontinuation of imatinib in patients with CML. Review articles, notes, correspondence, editorial and letter were excluded. In the last step, articles were excluded if they did not analyze...
the rates of adherence and/or discontinuation of imatinib. Original articles that addressed the issue of adherence were read in their entirety, in order to assess whether they should be included or not in the study.

**Data analysis**

Selected articles were subjected to a full analytical reading to identify the variables of interest: authorship, population size, age, disease stage, follow-up, study design, methods used to assess adherence and/or discontinuation, adherence and/or discontinuation rates and predictors related to poor adherence.

The collected data were gathered into a table for further analysis and interpretation. In case of any disagreement, a third researcher was consulted to establish a final agreement on the variable to be collected.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

We identified 476 articles through database search. Fourteen articles were included in the study according to the selection criteria (Figure 1).
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The studies in accordance with the inclusion criteria were published in English (n = 13) and Spanish (n = 1). The age range of the patients included in the evaluated studies ranged from 13 to 89 years. Chronic myeloid leukemia can occur in all age groups as observed in this study, but it is more common in adults and rare in childhood. However, no conclusive evidence suggests that age may be a factor involved in adherence to imatinib (Reis et al., 2013; Efficace et al., 2014). Some studies suggest higher adherence rates among younger patients (StCharles et al., 2009; Jabbour et al., 2012b), while others address that adherence is significantly lower among patients with advanced age, which often deal with chronic diseases, present decreased physical and cognitive activities and are more susceptible to complex treatment regimen due to the disease (Townsley et al.; 2005; Russo et al., 2013; Matikas et al., 2015). In relation to the stage of the disease, two studies included patients in blast crisis, four in accelerated phase and all studies evaluated the drug therapy in patients in the chronic phase of the disease (Table I).

The analyzed articles were conducted in developed or developing countries. However, even considering only studies performed in developed countries with similar socioeconomic characteristics, it was observed heterogeneity among the rates of adherence and discontinuation ranging from 19.0 to 97.0% and from 1.8% to 41.0%, respectively. One reason for this heterogeneity may be related to the treatment period when the data collection was performed, since the patient adherence to the treatment at the beginning is higher (Reis et al., 2013). Evidences suggest that adherence to imatinib decreases throughout treatment period (Marin et al., 2010; Gater et al., 2012).

Among the selected studies, four were cross-sectional, two were descriptive retrospective, four were longitudinal retrospective and four prospective studies. Regarding the longitudinal prospective, two were clinical study. Importantly, longitudinal studies provide answers related to the incidence of adherence and/or discontinuation of the drug prescribed during treatment (Ayres et al., 2014).

The indirect methods were used to evaluate adherence and/or discontinuation in the majority of the studies (n = 13), and questionnaire was the most employed instrument (n = 7). The analysis of medical records and Medication Possession Ratio (MPR) records was used in four studies and the evaluation of the hospital pharmacy database in one study. Both clinical studies have used imatinib plasma levels to assess adherence and/or discontinuation. Furthermore, an association among different adherence methods was performed in four studies analyzed, Marin et al. (2010) used Medication Event Monitoring System (MEMS) combined with the drug detection in biological fluids, Noens et al. (2009) used structured questionnaire with manual tablets count, Eliasson et al. (2011) combined MEMS with questionnaire...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors /year/ Study Center Coordinator (Country)</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Age / Age range</th>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Follow-up period (days, months, years)</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Method of adherence evaluation</th>
<th>Adherence (A) and/or Discontinuation (D)</th>
<th>Factors associated to poor adherence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Halpern et al. (2009), USA</td>
<td>374 (CML) 465 (in total)</td>
<td>Mean 50.8 ± 14.1 years</td>
<td>CP</td>
<td>Minimum of 12 months</td>
<td>Retrospective Cohort</td>
<td>MPR</td>
<td>A: 70.1%</td>
<td>Higher age, male sex, longer time since CML diagnosis, living alone, imatinib dose ≥ 600 mg/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noens et al. (2009), Spain</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>17-86 years</td>
<td>AP / CP</td>
<td>90 days</td>
<td>Prospective Observational</td>
<td>Structured questionnaire/ Manual tablet count</td>
<td>A: 67.3% D: 1.8%</td>
<td>Younger patients, ADR, Imatinib Dose &gt; 400 mg/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marin et al. (2010), UK</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>25.5-89 years</td>
<td>CP</td>
<td>91 days</td>
<td>Clinical study</td>
<td>MEMS/Imatinib plasma levels</td>
<td>A: 74%</td>
<td>Forgetting, no imatinib available at pharmacy, ADR, travelling, socialising/drinking alcohol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eliasson et al. (2011), UK</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>26-70 years</td>
<td>CP</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>MEMS/Questionnaire</td>
<td>A: 19%</td>
<td>Mental health dysfunction, lower level of social support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficace et al. (2012), USA</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>20-87 years</td>
<td>CP</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>Structured questionnaire</td>
<td>A: 53%</td>
<td>Lower level of information, difficult access to the treating clinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jönsson et al. (2012), Sweden</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>26-88 years</td>
<td>CP</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>Prospective Cohort</td>
<td>Structured Questionnaire</td>
<td>A: 97%</td>
<td>ADR/Intolerance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cid et al. (2013), Brazil</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>21-40 years</td>
<td>AP/ BP/ CP</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>Retrospective Descriptive</td>
<td>MPR</td>
<td>A: 53% D: 17%</td>
<td>CML treatment restrictions or requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirji et al. (2013), USA</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>Mean 51.5 ± 13.6 years</td>
<td>CP</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>Cross-sectional Online Questionnaire</td>
<td>A: 66%</td>
<td>Unavailability of the drug, ADR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reis et al. (2013), Brazil</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>13-77 years</td>
<td>AP/ BP/ CP</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>Retrospective Descriptive</td>
<td>MPR</td>
<td>A: 53% D: 41%</td>
<td>Higher age, longer treatment, female sex, ADR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosa et al. (2013), Brazil</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25-88 years</td>
<td>CP</td>
<td>18 months</td>
<td>Retrospective Observational</td>
<td>Structured Questionnaire</td>
<td>A: 62.5%</td>
<td>Significant ADR, poor patient involvement in treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santoleri et al. (2013), Italy</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>14-88 years</td>
<td>CP</td>
<td>39 months</td>
<td>Retrospective Observational Hospital pharmacy database</td>
<td>A: 83% D: 10%</td>
<td>ADR, lower level of social and medical support, accessibility problems, ineffectiveness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al. (2014), Taiwan</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>Mean 45.7 ± 16.9 years</td>
<td>CP</td>
<td>Mean 3.9 ± 2.9 years</td>
<td>Retrospective Cohort</td>
<td>MPR/Biological marker</td>
<td>A: 73.1% D: 38.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Evidence shows that there is no ideal method for evaluating medication adherence. Each assessment tool has advantages and disadvantages that favor or limit their applicability (Pérez-Escamilla et al., 2015). A systematic review of over 6500 citations, with full review of 549 articles published between 1967 and 2001, showed there is no effective approach to assess adherence and that most of the methods used to evaluate adherence in a context of chronic diseases are generally complicated, expensive, and not consistently successful (Jabbour et al., 2012a). Thus, the authors suggest the combination of methods to monitor medication adherence with the purpose of reducing biases and inherent limitations to each instrument.

Regardind the rates of adherence and discontinuation among patients with CML in all studies included in this review, there was a variation of 19.0 to 97.0% and 1.8 to 41.0%, respectively. Among the 14 studies analyzed, seven referred only to adherence, one referred only to discontinuation, five assess adherence and discontinuation and one included only suboptimal adherers. It was evident that few studies have assessed the achievement of cytogenetic and molecular response, which are important parameters for analyzing the absence of Philadelphia chromosome, as well as reduced levels of BCL-ABL rearrangement (Marin et al., 2010; Cid et al., 2013; Reis et al., 2013). In addition, four studies showed adherence rates relatively low to imatinib, such as between 19.0 and 53.0%. It has been shown in clinical trials that reduced adherence rates are associated with lower rates of CCR and CMR (Marin et al., 2010; Cid et al., 2013). In the clinical context, several factors may influence adherence to treatment regimens and these include not only those aspects of the treatment, but also patient characteristics (Partridge et al., 2002; Efficace et al., 2012).

Factors related to poor adherence such as adverse drug reactions (ADRs) and unavailability of drugs were given as major causes for discontinuation and/or non-adherence to imatinib. Nine studies analyzed showed that adverse reactions to imatinib were related to non-adherence and/or intentional discontinuation. Drug unavailability was a factor related to discontinuation and/or non-adherence in three studies. Low social support, advanced age, poor access to health care, low level of information, prolonged treatment and high daily doses are other examples of factors associated to discontinuation and/or non-adherence.
The ADRs are expected and explained by the mechanism of action of the drug and can be correlated with changes in the drug dosage (Eliasson et al., 2011; Efficace et al., 2012; Rosa et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2014; Gotta et al., 2014). This was evidenced in two studies included in this work that showed that patients who took an increased dosage of imatinib had significantly lower adherence than patients who remained taking lower doses (Noens et al., 2009; Marin et al., 2010). These dosage changes may affect therapeutic results, since rising the dose can increase the incidence of ADR, thereby lowering the adherence to the treatment over time (Chen et al., 2014).

Studies conducted in the USA show that non-adherence to treatment may be associated with high healthcare costs, since it decreases the effectiveness of the treatment and requires a prolonged treatment period culminating in increased costs to the healthcare system (Reinhardt, Hussey, Anderson, 2004; Halpern et al., 2009). Another important aspect related to the non-adherence and/or discontinuation to be considered is relative to the availability of medicines in developing countries. The unstructured healthcare systems and failures in pharmaceutical care policies of the developing countries impair the access to medicines and can contribute to non-intentional discontinuation of the treatment by the patients. Studies performed in Brazil showed that less than half of people who have prescription drugs in the public healthcare system have access to all of them (Boing et al., 2013) and the most frequent reason for non-adherence is the unavailability of the drug at the healthcare system (Reis et al., 2013). Moreover, studies show that the lack of access to medicines can generate not only a direct impact on clinical, social and economic conditions of the patient, but also an increase in spending on secondary and tertiary care (Reis, Perini, 2008).

Knowing the current rates of adherence and/or discontinuation is essential to develop strategies to improve pharmacotherapeutic results. Thus, it is worth noting that the follow-up of patients that take oral medication for CML plays a key role in promoting and improving adherence to treatment and also to identify, prevent and solve other drug related problems. Well-trained pharmacists can solve those problems through interventions such as medication management, providing information and guidance that improve adherence to drug therapy and that increase the effectiveness and medication safety (Partridge et al., 2002; Weingart et al., 2008).

CONCLUSION

In this study, we observed diverse rates of adherence and/or discontinuation of imatinib, ranging from 19.0 to 97.0% and from 1.8 and 41.0%, respectively. This can be due to the use of various tools to access adherence, different treatment period when data collection of the studies was conducted and by socioeconomic differences among countries, health systems, age range and changes in dosage. Few studies evaluated cytogenetic and molecular responses to imatinib treatment being necessary further efforts of the clinical research community in this aspect. Besides that, there is a lack of studies of the predictors for adherence and/or discontinuation of imatinib. Therefore, health professionals should also be able to identify predictors of poor adherence to imatinib, which allows them to seek for strategies that improves adherence and consequently leads to better clinical outcomes. Therefore, this study showed that patient education combined with a follow-up by pharmacists or other health professionals can maximize patient adherence and minimize discontinuation of pharmacotherapy.
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