In vitro hypoglycemic effects of unripe and ripe fruits of *Musa sapientum*
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The present study was undertaken to verify the hypoglycemic potential of unripe and ripe fruit extracts of *Musa sapientum* by using various in-vitro techniques, namely glucose adsorption capacity, glucose diffusion, amyolysis kinetics and glucose transport across the yeast cells. The results revealed that the unripe and ripe fruit extracts of *Musa sapientum* adsorbed glucose and the adsorption of glucose increased remarkably with an increase in glucose concentration. There were no significant (\(p \leq 0.05\)) differences between their adsorption capacities. In the amyolysis kinetic experimental model the rate of glucose diffusion was found to be increased with time from 30 to 180 min and both extracts exhibited significant inhibitory effects on the movement of glucose into external solution across the dialysis membrane as compared to control. The plant extracts also promoted glucose uptake by the yeast cells and enhancement of glucose uptake was dependent on both the sample and glucose concentration. The hypoglycemic effect exhibited by the extracts was observed to be mediated by inhibiting α-amylase, inhibiting glucose diffusion by adsorbing glucose and by increasing glucose transport across the cell membranes as revealed by an in-vitro model of yeast cells.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Diabetes mellitus is a metabolic disorder characterized by chronic hyperglycemia and disturbances of carbohydrate, protein and fat metabolisms, secondary to an absolute or relative lack of the hormone insulin (Bhutkar, Bhise, 2012; Bhutkar *et al.*, 2016). There is a global increase in the prevalence of diabetes mellitus predominantly, related to lifestyles and the resulting surge in obesity (King *et al.*, 1998). It has been estimated that about 171 million people worldwide suffer from diabetes mellitus (Roglic *et al.*, 2004). The incidence of prediabetes and diabetes is increasing and imposes a great burden on healthcare worldwide. Patients with prediabetes and diabetes have significantly increased risk for cardiovascular diseases and other complications. Currently, management of hyperglycemia includes pharmacological interventions, physical exercise, and change of lifestyle and diet (Deng, 2012). The use of orthodox drugs in the management of diabetes mellitus has not improved the situation. Management of diabetes without any side effects is still a challenge in the medical field, as presently available drugs for diabetes have one or more adverse effects (Bohannon, 2002). Since the existing drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus do not satisfy our need completely, the search for new drugs continues. Food stuffs and supplements have increasingly become attractive alternatives to prevent or treat hyperglycemia, especially in subjects with mild hyperglycemia (Bhutkar, Bhise, 2011; Deng, 2012). Therefore, there has been increasing demand for the use of plant products and food stuffs with antidiabetic activity due to low cost, easy availability and lesser side effects. Plants are well known in traditional medicine for their hypoglycaemic activities. Ayurveda, the most ancient system of medicine plays an important role for prevention and cure of diseases and for achieving and maintaining excellent health (Randive *et al.*, 2016; Savali, Bhinge, Chittapurkar, 2011). Available literature indicates that there are more than 800 plant species showing hypoglycaemic activity (Rajagopal,
Sasikala, 2008). However, most of traditional antidiabetic plants awaits proper scientific and medical evaluation of their ability to improve blood glucose control and or to prevent the diabetic complications (Bhutkar, Bhise, 2013). Thus, there is a vital need to undertake a systematic study so as to explore the possible mechanism(s) of action of the traditional anti-diabetic plants.

*Musa sapientum* belong to the Kingdom; *Plantae*, division; *Magnoliophyta*, class; *Liliopsida*, Order; *Zingiberales*, family; *Musaceae*, Genus; *Musa*, species; *Musa sapientum*. *Musa sapientum* originated mainly from intra-and interspecific hybridizations between two wild diploid species, *M. acuminate* Colla (‘A’ genome) and *M. balbisiana* Colla (‘B’ genome). (Baskar et al., 2011). The fruit of *M. paradisiaca* and *M. sapientum* has been traditionally used in diarrhoea (unripe), dysentery, intestinal lesions in ulcerative colitis, diabetes (unripe), in sprue, uremia, nephritis, gout, hypertension, cardiac disease (Mohammad, Saleha, 2011). *M. sapientum* is also used in the treatment of excess menstruation with *Canna indica* L. var. *speciosa*. (Partha, Hossain, 2007). It is also used in inflammation, pains and snakebite as well as it possesses antilithic, antulcerogenic, hypoglycemic, hypolipidaemic and antioxidant actions. (Coe, Anderson, 1999; Prasad, Bharathi, Srinivasan, 1993; Lewis, Fields, Shaw, 1999; Ojewole, Adewunmi, 2003; Krishnan, Vijayalakshmi, 2005)

The present study was, therefore undertaken to verify the hypoglycemic potential of the of unripe and ripe fruit extracts of *Musa sapientum* by using various in-vitro techniques; namely glucose adsorption capacity, glucose diffusion, amylolysis kinetics and glucose transport across the yeast cells to have an insight about its probable mechanism(s) of action.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Chemicals and reagents**

The glucose oxidase peroxidase kit was purchased from Pathozyme Diagnostics, Kagal, Maharashtra, India. Dialysis bags (12,000 MW cutoff; Himedia laboratories, India) were used in the study. All the chemicals used in the present study were of extra pure analytical grade.

**Plant material**

The unripe and ripe fruit of *Musa sapientum* were collected from local areas of Atpadi (District Sangli, Maharashtra, India) and were further identified and authenticated by the Department of Botany, Science College, Karad, District Satara, Maharashtra, India. Thin slices of the fruits of *Musa sapientum* were dried in a shade, powdered and passed through 60 mesh sieve (BS) and stored in an airtight container at room temperature till further use.

**Preparation of plant extracts**

Powder of ripe and unripe fruits of *Musa sapientum* was separately extracted with 95% ethanol in a Soxhlet apparatus. The solvent was selectively removed under reduced pressure, which yielded a black sticky residue. The obtained extract was thereafter stored in a desiccator till further use.

**Evaluation of hypoglycemic activity of plant extracts using various in vitro methods**

**Determination of glucose adsorption capacity**

The samples of plant extracts (1%) were added to 25 mL of glucose solution of increasing concentration (5, 10, 20, 50 and 100 mM). The mixture was stirred well, incubated in a shaker water bath at 37 °C for 6 h, centrifuged at 4,000×g for 20 min and the glucose content in the supernatant was determined. The concentration of bound glucose was calculated using the following formula (Ou et al., 2001).

\[
\text{Glucose Bound} = \frac{G_1 - G_6}{\text{Weight of the sample}} \times \text{Volume of solution}
\]

The $G_1$ is the glucose concentration of the original solution. $G_6$ is the glucose concentration after 6 hours.

**Effect of plant extracts on in-vitro glucose diffusion**

25 mL of glucose solution (20 mM) and the samples of plant extracts (1%) were dialyzed in dialysis bags against 200 mL of distilled water at 37 °C in a shaker water bath. The glucose content in the dialysate was determined at 30, 60, 120 and 180 min using glucose oxidase peroxidase diagnostic kit. A control test was carried out without sample. Glucose dialysis retardation index (GDRI) was calculated by using the following formula (Ahmed et al., 2011).

\[
\text{GDRI} = 100 - \frac{\text{Glucose content with additional of sample (mg/dl)}}{\text{Glucose content of the control (mg/dl)}} \times 100
\]

**Effect of plant extracts on in-vitro amylolysis kinetics**

40 g of potato starch was added to ~900 mL of 0.05
M phosphate buffer (pH 6.5). The solution after stirring at 65 °C for 30 min was made up to a final volume of 1000 mL to give a 4% (w/v) starch solution. 25 mL of the above starch solution, α-amylase (0.4%), and the plant extracts (1%) were dialyzed in a dialysis bag against 200 mL of distilled water at 37 °C (pH 7.0) in a shaker water bath. The glucose content in the dialysate was determined at 30, 60, 120 and 180 min. A control test was carried out without sample (Ou et al., 2001).

Glucose uptake by yeast cells

Commercial baker’s yeast was washed by repeated centrifugation (3,000×g; 5 min) in distilled water until the supernatant fluids were clear and a 10% (v/v) suspension was prepared in distilled water. Various concentrations of extracts (1–5 mg) were added to 1 mL of glucose solution (5–25 mM) and incubated together for 10 min at 37 °C. The reaction was started by adding 100 μl of yeast suspension, vortexed and further incubated at 37 °C for 60 min. After 60 min, the tubes were centrifuged (2,500 × g, 5 min) and glucose was estimated in the supernatant. The percent increase in glucose uptake by yeast cells was calculated using the following formula (Cirillo, 1962).

\[
\text{Increase in glucose uptake} = \frac{\text{Absorbance}_{\text{control}} - \text{Absorbance}_{\text{sample}}}{\text{Absorbance}_{\text{control}}} \times 100
\]

where, Abs control is the absorbance of the control reaction (containing all reagents except the test sample), and Abs sample is the absorbance of the test sample.

Statistical analysis

All the determinations were carried out in triplicates and the data were analyzed by ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test for significant differences (Ahmed, Sairam, Urooj, 2011). Values were considered at \( p < 0.05 \). Graphs were plotted using GraphPad Prism 6 software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the glucose adsorption capacity exhibited by the selected plant extracts are shown in Figure 1.

The results of the studies on glucose adsorption capacity showed that the extracts of unripe and ripe fruit of Musa sapientum could bind glucose effectively. The glucose adsorption capacity was found to be directly proportional to the glucose concentration. It was observed that the extracts of unripe and ripe fruit of M. sapientum were effective in adsorbing glucose at both low and higher concentrations of glucose used in the study (5 and 100 mmol L\(^{-1}\)). The glucose adsorption capacity of the extracts under study was observed to be directly proportional to the molar concentration of glucose. A relatively higher amount of glucose was found to be bound with an increased glucose concentration. No significant \( (p \leq 0.05) \) differences were marked between the adsorption capacities of the extract of unripe and ripe fruit of M. sapientum. It was also indicated from the study that the plant under study could effectively bind glucose even at lower concentrations of glucose (5 mM) thereby decreasing the amount of glucose and retarding its transport across the intestinal lumen. Thus, the extracts effectively contribute in blunting the postprandial hyperglycemia. The present findings exhibit similarity to the observations reported for insoluble fiber-rich fractions isolated from Averrhoa carambola (Chau, Chen, Lin, 2004).

Table I highlight the results of effect extract of unripe and ripe fruit of Musa sapientum on in-vitro glucose diffusion.

During the present study the movement of glucose diffusion across the dialysis membrane was monitored once in 30 min till 180 min. The rate of diffusion of glucose across the dialysis membrane was found to increase with time from 30 to 180 min. The extracts of unripe and ripe fruit of M. sapientum exhibited significant inhibitory effects on movement of glucose into the external solution across the dialysis membrane as compared to control. Glucose dialysis retardation index (GDRI) was determined on the basis of the retardation of glucose diffusion. It was found that the retardation of glucose diffusion by the extract of unripe fruit
of *M. sapientum* was significantly higher (*p*≤0.05) than the ripe fruit extract. The said effect exhibited by the extract of unripe fruit of *M. sapientum* was reflected with its higher glucose dialysis retardation index (GDRI) value than those observed for the ripe fruit extract of *M. sapientum*.

Table II illustrates the effects of the extract of unripe and ripe fruit of *M. sapientum* on the amylolysis kinetics model. Glucose dialysis retardation index (GDRI) which is determined on the basis of the retardation of diffusion of glucose diffusion, is considered to be an important *in-vitro* index to assess the effect of a fiber on the delay in glucose absorption in the gastrointestinal tract (Lopez et al., 1996). A relatively higher glucose dialysis retardation index (GDRI) indicates a higher retardation index of glucose by the sample. The glucose dialysis retardation index (GDRI) was observed to be 34.49% and 27.59% of the extract of unripe and ripe fruit of *M. sapientum* respectively at 60 min which gradually got reduced to 22.86% and 14.29% respectively at 120 min. The retardation of diffusion of glucose may also be due to the inhibition of the enzyme, α-amylase by the extracts under study thereby reducing the release of glucose from the starch. The inhibitors of carbohydrate hydrolyzing enzymes promote a delay in the digestion of carbohydrate thereby prolonging the overall carbohydrate digestion time to cause a reduction in the rate of absorption of glucose and consequently blunting the postprandial plasma glucose rise (Bailey, 2003). Our findings suggest that the inhibition of α-amylase enzyme may be one of the probable mechanisms through which the extract of unripe and ripe fruit of *M. sapientum* exerts their hypoglycemic effect. Several inhibitors of alpha amylase enzyme have been recently developed from natural sources and some of them in clinical use are acarbose, miglitol and voglibose (Ali, Houghton, Soumyanath, 2006).

Figure 2 and Figure 3 highlights the rate of glucose transport across cell membrane in the yeast cells system exhibited by the extract of unripe and ripe fruit of *M. sapientum* respectively. The mechanism of transport of glucose across the yeast cell membrane has received attention and has been considered as an important technique for *in-vitro* screening of hypoglycemic activity of various compounds/medicinal plants. The results of the study revealed that both the extracts under study promoted transport of glucose across the yeast cells.

The amount of glucose, which remains in the medium after a specific time interval acts as a measure of the glucose uptake by the yeast cells. The rate of uptake of glucose into the yeast cells was found to be linear in all the 5 glucose concentrations used in this study. The extract of unripe fruit of *M. sapientum* exhibited significantly higher (*p*≤0.05) activity than the extract of ripe fruit of *M. sapientum* at all concentrations used in the study. The percent increase in the uptake of glucose by the yeast cells was found to be inversely proportional to the concentration

### Table I - Effect of selected samples on glucose diffusion and glucose dialysis retardation index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Glucose content in dialysate (mM)</th>
<th>30 min</th>
<th>60 min</th>
<th>120 min</th>
<th>180 min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.77±0.01 (38.97)</td>
<td>1.23±0.01</td>
<td>1.63±0.01</td>
<td>1.91±0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMS</td>
<td>0.47±0.01 (38.97)</td>
<td>1.08±0.01 (12.20)</td>
<td>1.36±0.01 (16.57)</td>
<td>1.73±0.01 (9.43)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS</td>
<td>0.54±0.01 (29.88)</td>
<td>1.14±0.01 (7.32)</td>
<td>1.45±0.01 (11.05)</td>
<td>1.80±0.01 (5.76)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in parenthesis indicate glucose dialysis retardation index (GDRI). Mean values (n=3) with different superscript letters in columns differ significantly from each other (*p*≤0.05). Note – UMS – Unripe *Musa sapientum* fruit extract, RMS – Ripe *Musa sapientum* fruit extract.

### Table II - Effect of selected samples on starch digestibility and glucose dialysis retardation index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Glucose content in dialysate (mM)</th>
<th>30 min</th>
<th>60 min</th>
<th>120 min</th>
<th>180 min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.29±0.01</td>
<td>0.35±0.01</td>
<td>0.45±0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMS</td>
<td>0.0 (100)</td>
<td>0.19±0.01 (34.49)</td>
<td>0.27±0.01 (22.86)</td>
<td>0.36±0.01 (20.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS</td>
<td>0.0 (100)</td>
<td>0.21±0.01 (27.59)</td>
<td>0.30±0.01 (14.29)</td>
<td>0.41±0.01 (8.88)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in parenthesis indicate glucose dialysis retardation index (GDRI). Mean values (n=3) with different superscript letters in columns differ significantly from each other (*p*≤0.05). Note – UMS – Unripe *Musa sapientum* fruit extract, RMS – Ripe *Musa sapientum* fruit extract.
In conclusion, the results of the present investigation highlighted the hypoglycemic activity of extract of unripe and ripe fruit of *Musa sapientum* as assessed by various *in-vitro* methods. Inspite of the fact that *in-vitro* screening is not a reliable predictor of hypoglycemic effect *in-vivo*, the various model systems used in the present study provides an insight on the possible mechanisms by which the extracts of unripe and ripe fruit of *M. sapientum* may contribute to lowering the postprandial glucose levels. The hypoglycemic effect exhibited by the unripe and ripe fruit extract of *M. sapientum* was observed to be mediated by increasing glucose adsorption, decreasing glucose diffusion rate and at the cellular level by promoting the transport of glucose across the cell membrane as highlighted by employing simple *in-vitro* model of yeast cells. These observed effects further, need to be confirmed by employing different *in vivo* models and clinical trials which may contribute for their effective utilization as an adjunct in effective management of diabetes mellitus.
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