

Antibacterial and antibiofilm activity of carvacrol against *Salmonella enterica* serotype Typhimurium

Daliah Alves Coelho Trevisan¹, Alex Fiori da Silva¹, Melyssa Negri², Benício Alves de Abreu Filho³, Miguel Machinski Junior³, Eliana Valéria Patussi², Paula Aline Zanetti Campanerut-Sá², Jane Martha Graton Mikcha^{2*}

¹Postgraduate Program in Health Science, State University of Maringá, Brazil, ²Department of Clinical Analysis and Biomedicine, State University of Maringá, Brazil, ³Department of Basic Health Sciences, State University of Maringá, Brazil.

The present study evaluated the antibacterial and antibiofilm activity of carvacrol against *Salmonella* Typhimurium. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) were determined and the time-kill curve and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) were performed to evaluate antibacterial activity. Antibiofilm activity was evaluated by quantifying total biomass using crystal violet assay, and metabolic activity was determined using MTT [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide] assay. The action of carvacrol against preformed biofilm on polypropylene and stainless steel was also evaluated by colony counting and SEM. The MIC and MBC was 312 µg mL⁻¹. Carvacrol at MIC and 2 x MIC eliminated cells after 6 and 1 h of treatment, respectively, as exhibited in the time-kill curve. The greatest reduction in biofilm biomass and metabolic activity was 1,719 OD₅₅₀ and 0,089 OD₅₅₀ respectively, both at 4 x MIC of carvacrol. In carvacrol treated biofilms of *S. Typhimurium* on polypropylene, a reduction of 5.12 log was observed with 4 x MIC, while on stainless steel, carvacrol at 4 x MIC reduced bacterial counts by 5 log. The results showed that carvacrol exhibits antibacterial activity and can be used as an alternative for the control of *S. Typhimurium* biofilms.

Keywords: Carvacrol. Biofilm. Antibacterial activity. *Salmonella* Typhimurium.

INTRODUCTION

Food contamination by pathogenic bacteria is a public health problem and an important cause of mortality worldwide (WHO, 2015). It is estimated that 48 million cases of foodborne diseases, 128,000 hospitalizations, and 3,000 deaths occur in the USA each year (CDC, 2015). Of these 48 million, *Salmonella* spp. is responsible for over 1 million, causing more than 19,000 hospitalizations and around 380 deaths (Scallan *et al.*, 2011). According to the Sistema de Informação de Agravos e Notificações (Disease Information and Notification System) (SINAN) (Brasil, 2016), *Salmonella* spp. was the main agent involved in foodborne outbreaks in Brazil from 2000 to 2015. All *Salmonella* serotypes can cause disease in humans, and the most common

serotypes implicated in the majority of outbreaks are Typhimurium and Enteritidis in most parts of the world (WHO, 2016).

An important characteristic of *Salmonella* spp. is its ability to form biofilms on different surfaces present in food processing environments, such as glass, stainless steel, wood, and plastic (Steenackers *et al.*, 2012). Polypropylene and stainless steel are commonly used in the food processing industries, and some studies have shown the formation of *Salmonella* Typhimurium biofilm on these surfaces (Bayoumi *et al.*, 2012; Soni *et al.*, 2013; Amaral *et al.*, 2015).

In the food industry, biofilms can be source of cross-contamination, as food can come into contact with contaminated surfaces (Cappitelli, Polo, Villa, 2014). Another problem is that the biofilms are more resistant than bacteria in planktonic form (Simões, Simões, Vieira, 2010; Nguyen, Yuk, 2013). In this context, developing new strategies for the control of biofilms is important (Shi, Zhu, 2009).

*Correspondence: J. M. G. Mikcha. Laboratório de Microbiologia de Alimentos, Departamento de Análises Clínicas e Biomedicina, Universidade Estadual de Maringá. Avenida Colombo 5790, 87020-900 Maringá – Paraná, Brasil. Tel/Fax: +55 44 3011 4959. E-mail: jmgmikcha@uem.br

In an attempt to find effective alternatives to control bacteria, studies have revealed the antibacterial (Burt, 2004; Luz *et al.*, 2012; Chauhan, Kang, 2014; Nair *et al.*, 2014) and antibiofilm activity of essential oils and their components (Bersan *et al.*, 2014; Piovezan *et al.*, 2014). Carvacrol is an important component of the essential oil of *Origanum vulgari*, and is present in the essential oils such as *Thymus vulgaris* (Lambert *et al.*, 2001; Burt, 2004) and *Satureja bachtiarica* (Falsafi *et al.*, 2015). It can be used as an antifungal (Ahmad *et al.*, 2011), anti-inflammatory (Lima *et al.*, 2013) agent, among other uses, and has a broad antimicrobial spectrum (Burt, 2004). In addition, studies have evaluated its antibiofilm activity on various surfaces common to the food industry (Soni *et al.*, 2013; Neyret *et al.*, 2014; Amaral *et al.*, 2015). The aim of this study was therefore to evaluate the antibacterial and antibiofilm action of carvacrol against the *Salmonella enterica* serotype Typhimurium.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Bacterial strain and culture conditions

The bacterial strain used was *Salmonella enterica* serotype Typhimurium (ATCC 14028). The culture was maintained in Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB, Difco, Le Pont de Claix, France) supplemented with 20% glycerol at -20 °C. Before use, an aliquot was transferred to Brain Heart Infusion broth (BHI, Difco, Le Pont de Claix, France) and incubated for 24 h at 35 °C. The culture was transferred to Hektoen Agar (Difco, Le Pont de Claix, France) and incubated under the same conditions.

Minimum inhibitory concentration and minimum bactericidal concentration

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) of carvacrol (purity \geq 98%; Sigma-Aldrich) were determined according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, 2012), using the broth microdilution method in 96-well microtiter plates (TPP, Trasadingen, Switzerland). Carvacrol was diluted in Mueller-Hinton Broth (MHB; Difco, Le Pont de Claix, France) and 0.5 % ethanol (v/v) and 100 μ L was added to each well at concentrations ranging from 19 to 5000 μ g mL⁻¹. MIC was visually determined as the lowest concentration at which bacterial growth was not observed. Bacterial growth control consisting of MHB and 0.5 % ethanol (v/v) and a control that consisted of carvacrol in MHB were included. After MIC determination, 10 μ L was removed

from wells in which bacterial growth was not observed and inoculated into agar Hektoen plates which was incubated for 24 h at 35 °C. The MBC was determined as the lowest concentration, which no bacterial growth was observed on agar plates. The experiment was performed in duplicate and the results were obtained from three experiments.

Time-Kill curve assay

The test was performed according to Isenberg (2004) with some modifications. Overnight culture of *S. Typhimurium* ATCC 14028, was standardized and transferred to MHB, supplemented with carvacrol at concentrations of 0.5 x MIC, MIC and 2 x MIC, obtaining a final inoculum of 6 x 10⁵ CFU mL⁻¹. A quantity of 100 μ L was removed at intervals of 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 12 and 24 h, serially diluted and plated on Mueller Hinton Agar (MHA, Difco Pont-de-Claix, France). Plates were incubated at 35 °C for 24 h and the CFU counted. The tests were performed in duplicate and repeated three times.

Effect of carvacrol in biofilm total biomass

The assay was performed in a 96-well microplate, according to Djordjevic, Wiedmann and McLandsborough (2002), with modifications. The bacterial strain was grown in TSB at 35 °C overnight, the culture was diluted to obtain 10⁷ CFU mL⁻¹, and 100 μ L of bacterial suspension was added to the microplates, which were incubated at 35 °C for 48 h. After incubation, the biofilm was treated with 100 μ L of carvacrol at MIC, 2 x MIC and 4 x MIC, or 100 μ L of TSB (control), for one hour. Microplates were washed with 0.85% sterile saline, fixed with methanol PA for 15 min. and stained with 1% crystal violet for 20 min. The microplates were washed with sterile distilled water, dried, and bound dye adhered to the bacterial cells. They were then solubilized with 200 μ L 95% ethanol and a reading was performed with a microplate reader (ASYS, EXPERT PLUS Model UV) at 550 nm. The tests were performed in duplicate and repeated three times.

Effect of carvacrol in biofilm metabolic activity.

Determination of biofilm metabolic activity was performed using a MTT [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide] staining assay, according to Jia *et al.* (2011), with some modifications. The overnight culture of *S. Typhimurium* was diluted in TSB to obtain 10⁷ CFU mL⁻¹ and added to the microplates. After 48 h incubation at 35 °C, the wells were rinsed, carvacrol at MIC, 2 x MIC and 4 x MIC was added, and the plates were

incubated for 1 h at 35 °C. A quantity of 100 µL of 0.05% MTT (Sigma - Aldrich Corp., St. Louis, Missouri, USA) was then added to the wells. After incubation for 1 h at 35 °C, the MTT solution was replaced by isopropanol acid and mixed for 15 min, and the absorbance was measured at 550 nm. The tests were performed in duplicate and repeated three times.

Effect antibiofilm of carvacrol on polypropylene and stainless steel

Biofilm formation was initially performed according to Uchida *et al.* (2014). Polypropylene and stainless steel coupons (1 x 8 x 8 mm) were sanitized according to Bayoumi *et al.* (2012) and Uchida *et al.* (2014), respectively. The overnight culture of *S. Typhimurium* ATCC 14028 was diluted in TSB to yield 10^7 CFU mL⁻¹ and placed in microtubes containing polypropylene or stainless-steel coupons, which were incubated for 48 h at 35 °C. After incubation, coupons were washed with 0.85% sterile saline solution and subjected to ultrasound at 25 Hz for 5 min. (Ultra Cleaner 750 A Unique). Serial dilutions were performed in 0.85% sterile saline solution, plated on MHA, and incubated at 35 °C for 24 h. The results were expressed as log₁₀ CFU cm⁻². The experiment was performed in triplicate and repeated three times.

Results obtained from the MIC tests were used to evaluate the effects of carvacrol in biofilm. The following concentrations were used: 0.5 x MIC, MIC, 2 x MIC and 4 x MIC respectively. After biofilm formation on polypropylene and stainless steel for 48 h, the coupons were washed with 0.85% sterile saline solution and exposed to different concentrations of carvacrol. After 1h, the coupons were washed again with 0.85% sterile saline solution, subjected to ultrasound, and the bacterial cells were quantified on MHA. The results were expressed as log₁₀ CFU cm⁻². The experiment was performed in triplicate and repeated three times.

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

The treated planktonic and biofilm cells and untreated control cells were analyzed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) according to Wong *et al.* (2010), with some modifications.

The preparation of the planktonic cells was carried out according to Liu *et al.* (2015a), with some modifications. The bacterial culture in logarithmic phase in TSB was divided in two portions of 30 mL, one of which was used as control (untreated) and the other of which was treated with carvacrol at 2 x MIC for 3 h. Samples were

centrifuged at 4500 x g for 5 min and washed with 0.85% sterile saline solution. They were subsequently fixed in glutaraldehyde 2.5% (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer (SEM, Hatfield, PA, USA) for 2 h at 4 °C. The samples were then centrifuged, washed three times in cacodylate buffer and fixed on glass coverslips containing poly-L-lysine for 1 hour. After fixation, the samples were washed three times in cacodylate buffer and dehydrated in a graded ethanol series (50%, 70%, 80%, 90% and 100%). They were critical point dried in CO₂ and covered with gold and the images were obtained using a scanning electron microscope (Shimadzu SS 550).

The pre-formed biofilm on polypropylene and stainless-steel coupons untreated and treated at 2 x MIC of carvacrol for 1 h were fixed in glutaraldehyde 2.5% in 0.1 M cacodylate and maintained for 48 h at 4 °C. The coupons were then washed three times with cacodylate buffer, dehydrated in a series of graded ethanol, critical point dried in CO₂, covered with gold and examined by scanning electron microscope (Shimadzu SS 550).

Statistical analysis

The results were analyzed using the GraphPad Prism 5.0 Software. The groups that showed significant differences were analyzed using the Kruskal-Wallis test to compare three or more groups, followed by the Dunn tests to determine differences between groups. The test results with crystal violet and metabolic activity were assessed by ANOVA. Values of $p < 0.05$ were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Antimicrobial activity of carvacrol against *Salmonella Typhimurium*

The antimicrobial activity of carvacrol against *S. Typhimurium* ATCC 14028 was evaluated *in vitro* and MIC and MBC values were 312 µg mL⁻¹. Similar results were obtained by other studies using carvacrol against *S. Typhimurium* and other serotypes (Soni *et al.*, 2013; Nair *et al.*, 2014). MIC and MBC values ranging from 64 to 512 µg mL⁻¹ were obtained by Miladi *et al.* (2016) in *S. Typhimurium* and *S. Enteritidis*. Du *et al.* (2015) also evaluated the effect of carvacrol against *Salmonella* spp. and identified MICs of 187.5 and 375 µg mL⁻¹ and an MBC value of 750 µg mL⁻¹.

In Time-kill curve assay (Figure 1) the control reached a bacterial population of approximately 9 log₁₀

CFU mL⁻¹ after 24 h of incubation at 35 °C. It was also observed that the bacterial reduction promoted by the treatment was dependent on the carvacrol concentration and the exposure time. However, treatment with 0.5 x MIC of carvacrol did not reduce the bacterial population at any of the different times, when compared to the control. A gradual reduction of the bacterial counts at times between 0 and 6 h was observed with treatment at MIC and no viable cells were detected after 6 h. No viable cells were observed in the treatment with 2 x MIC after 1 h of treatment.




FIGURE 1 - Time-kill curve assay of carvacrol at 0.5 x MIC (156 µg mL⁻¹), MIC (312 µg mL⁻¹) and 2 x MIC (624 µg mL⁻¹), against planktonic cells of *Salmonella* Typhimurium ATCC 14028.

It is important to note that the bactericidal action of carvacrol was verified at 312 and 624 µg mL⁻¹, eliminating bacterial cells after only 6 h and 1 h of treatment, respectively. Oladunjoye *et al.* (2013) also evaluated different concentrations of carvacrol against mixed *S. Typhimurium* and eradicated cells with 0.05% (approximately 500 µg mL⁻¹) of carvacrol at 22 °C after 30 min of exposure.

Planktonic untreated cells of *S. Typhimurium* and those treated with carvacrol were observed by SEM (Figure 2 A and B). The treated cells (Figure 2 B) had a shriveled and retracted appearance, compared with the untreated cells, consistent with Di Pasqua *et al.* (2007) that found alterations in structure and membrane of *S. Typhimurium* cells after treatment with carvacrol. These authors also showed a decrease in the percentage of unsaturated fatty acids for the treated cells, and suggested that this results would support a mechanism of action proposed to carvacrol against the outer cell envelope, probably interacting with the membrane lipids and causing structural alterations visible by SEM. Other studies suggest that essential oils with antimicrobial activity interact with

the plasma membrane of the bacteria, which can result in damage to the integrity causing a leakage of intracellular material (Ultee, Kets, Smid, 1999; Burt, 2004).

Effect of carvacrol in biofilm

Crystal violet assay is widely used to quantify total biofilm biomass and also to evaluate the effect of different substances such as antibiofilm (Zhang *et al.*, 2014). This study evaluated different concentrations of carvacrol, namely MIC, 2 x MIC, 4 x MIC and control (without carvacrol), and found a total biomass reduction of the treated biofilm cells compared to the control (Figure 3). Biofilm biomass was reduced to 1.221 OD₅₅₀, 0.738 OD₅₅₀ and 0.562 OD₅₅₀ after treatment with carvacrol at MIC, 2 x MIC, and 4 x MIC, respectively, as compared with 2.281 OD₅₅₀ for the control ($p < 0,05$).

Soni *et al.* (2013) showed that the treatment of preformed biofilm of *S. Typhimurium* with carvacrol at 0.025%, 0.05% and 0.1 % produced a significant reduction in biofilm mass. In contrast, Burt *et al.* (2014) observed a small, but not significant reduction in the biofilm biomass of *S. Typhimurium* with a treatment of carvacrol at 0.8 mM (approximately 120 µg mL⁻¹) for 24 h.

MTT assay showed that carvacrol at MIC, 2 x MIC and 4 x MIC decreased *S. Typhimurium* biofilm activity to 0.089 OD₅₅₀, 0.076 OD₅₅₀ and 0.053 OD₅₅₀ respectively, as compared with 0.142 OD₅₅₀ for the control ($p < 0,05$) (Figure 4). Previous studies have demonstrated the effect of different essential oils and their components on the reduction metabolic activity of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacterial biofilms (Bai, Vittal, 2014; Piovezan *et al.*, 2014; Liu *et al.*, 2015b) but to our knowledge, no studies have evaluated the effect of carvacrol on the metabolic activity on Gram-negative bacteria.

Thus, the results of the present study revealed that the addition of carvacrol at different concentrations to the preformed biofilm not only reduced biomass (as indicated by crystal violet assay) but also was able to reduce metabolic activity.

Effects of carvacrol on *Salmonella* Typhimurium biofilm on polypropylene and stainless steel

After 48 h of contact with the polypropylene surface, the number of viable cells of *S. Typhimurium* recovered from coupons was 8.27 log CFU cm⁻². The reduction obtained after treatment for 1h with 2 x MIC and 4 x MIC of carvacrol, was 4.25 (51.39%) and 5.12 log CFU cm⁻² (61.91%) ($p < 0,05$), respectively (Table I). On stainless steel the number of viable cells of *S. Typhimurium* was




FIGURE 2 - Scanning electron microscopy of *Salmonella* Typhimurium ATCC 14028. A) Planktonic cells without treatment (6000x). B) Planktonic cells treated with 2 x MIC ($624 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) of carvacrol for 3 h (6000x). C) Biofilm on polypropylene without treatment (3000x). D) Biofilm on polypropylene treated with 2 x MIC of carvacrol for 1 h (3000x). E) Biofilm on stainless steel without treatment (3000x). F) Biofilm on stainless steel treated with 2 x MIC of carvacrol for 1 h (3000x).

$8.25 \log \text{CFU cm}^{-2}$. The reduction obtained with treatment at 2 x MIC and 4 x MIC of carvacrol was 3.82 (46.3%), and $5 \log \text{CFU cm}^{-2}$ (60.6%) ($p < 0.05$) respectively (Table I).

Previous studies by our group evaluated the effect of carvacrol on *Salmonella* spp. biofilms during and after its formation on stainless steel and polypropylene. In *S. Saintpaul* established biofilm on stainless steel, the greatest reduction ($2.85 \log \text{CFU cm}^{-2}$) was observed with $117 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ of carvacrol (Uchida *et al.*, 2014). *Salmonella* spp. biofilms on polypropylene exhibited reductions ranging from 0.87 to $4.72 \log \text{CFU cm}^{-2}$ (Amaral *et al.*, 2015).

Soni *et al.* (2013), when evaluating the effect of carvacrol on *S. Typhimurium* biofilm on stainless steel, verified a reduction of 7 log CFU after treatment with 500 and $1000 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ of carvacrol for 1 h. In the present study, treatment with carvacrol at 4 x MIC ($1250 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) for 1 h produced a reduction of $5 \log \text{CFU cm}^{-2}$ on biofilm on stainless steel. When lower concentrations (250 and $120 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) were used for 1 h in the study by Soni *et al.* (2013) a reduction in biofilm cell counts was not observed, while our results showed a decrease of approximately $3 \log \text{CFU cm}^{-2}$ after treatment with carvacrol at MIC ($312 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) for 1 h (Table I).




FIGURE 3 - Effects of carvacrol at MIC ($312 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$), 2 x MIC ($624 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$), 4 x MIC ($1250 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) on total biofilm biomass of *Salmonella Typhimurium* ATCC 14028. * $p < 0,05$, compared with control.




FIGURE 4 - Effects of carvacrol at MIC ($312 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$), 2 x MIC ($624 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$), 4 x MIC ($1250 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) on the metabolic activity of biofilm of *Salmonella Typhimurium* ATCC 14028. * $p < 0,05$, compared with control.

TABLE I - Effect of different concentrations of carvacrol against biofilm of *Salmonella Typhimurium* ATCC 14028 on polypropylene and stainless-steel

Carvacrol concentration ($\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$)	Bacterial count [Mean log CFU $\text{cm}^{-2} \pm(\text{SD})$]			
	Polypropylene	Reduction (%)	Stainless Steel	Reduction (%)
Control	8.27 ± 0.09	-	8.25 ± 0.07	-
MIC (312)	7.47 ± 0.22	9.67	5.31 ± 0.14	35.63
2 x MIC (624)	4.02 ± 0.32 *	51.39	4.43 ± 0.07 *	46.3
4 x MIC (1250)	3.15 ± 0.13 *	61.91	3.25 ± 0.27 *	60.6

Values represent the mean \pm standard deviation (SD) of at least three independent experiments performed in triplicate. * $p < 0.05$, compared with no treatment.

The effect of carvacrol on the biofilm of *S. Typhimurium* on polypropylene and stainless steel was also evaluated by scanning electron microscopy (Figure 2 C and E). In both surfaces, biofilm without treatment showed the presence of microcolonies, which according to Oliveira, Brugnera, Piccoli (2010) are bacterial biofilm characteristics. When biofilms were treated (Figure 2 D and F) the microcolonies were not observed, although diffuse cells could be seen. Bridier *et al.* (2015) suggests that as the biofilm was disorganized, the cells are more exposed, facilitating the action of other sanitizers and contributing to the eradication of bacteria.

The present study revealed that carvacrol exhibits effective antibacterial activity against *S. Typhimurium*, as well antibiofilm activity, observed by the reduction in biomass and bacterial counts and by SEM. The compound was not, however, able to eradicate *S. Typhimurium* in biofilm cells.

CONCLUSION

Carvacrol exhibited antibacterial and antibiofilm action against *S. Typhimurium*. Thus, we can suggest that carvacrol may be an alternative to conventional sanitizing for the control of bacteria in food processing environments. However, additional tests are needed to evaluate the mechanisms by which the compound acts on biofilm.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel) (CAPES) and the Complexo de Central de Apoio a Pesquisa (COMCAP) of the State University of Maringá.

REFERENCES

- Ahmad A, Khan A, Akhtar F, Yousuf S, Xess I, Khan LA, et al. Fungicidal activity of thymol and carvacrol by disrupting ergosterol biosynthesis and membrane integrity against *Candida*. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2011;30(1):41-50.
- Amaral VCS, Santos PR, Silva AF, Santos AR, Machinski Jr M, Mikcha JMG. Effect of carvacrol and thymol on *Salmonella* spp. biofilms on polypropylene. *Int J Food Sci Tech*. 2015;50(12):2639-2643.
- Bai AJ, Vittal RR. Quorum sensing inhibitory and antibiofilm activity of essential oils and their in vivo efficacy in food systems. *Food Biotechnol*. 2014;28(3):269-292.
- Bayoumi MA, Kamal RM, Abd El Aal SF, Awad EI. Assessment of a regulatory sanitization process in Egyptian dairy plants in regard to the adherence of some food-borne pathogens and their biofilms. *Int J Food Microbiol*. 2012;158(3):225-231.
- Bersan SMF, Galvão LCC, Goes VFF, Sartoratto A, Figueira GM, Rehder VLG, et al. Action of essential oils from Brazilian native and exotic medicinal species on oral biofilms. *BMC Complement Altern Med*. 2014;14:451.
- Brasil. Sistema de Informação de Agravos de Notificação (SINAN). Ministério da Saúde, Secretaria de Vigilância em Saúde, Departamento de Vigilância Epidemiológica, Coordenação Geral de Vigilância das Doenças Transmissíveis, 2016. [cited 2 November 07th, 2017]. Available in: <<http://portal.arquivos.saude.gov.br/images/pdf/2016/junho/08/Apresenta----o-Surtos-DTA-2016.pdf>>
- Bridier A, Sanches-Vizuete P, Guilbaud M, Piard C, Naitali M, Briandet R. Biofilm-associated persistence of food-borne pathogens. *Food Microbiol*. 2015;45(Pt B):167-178.
- Burt S. Essential oils: their antibacterial properties and potential applications in foods - a review. *Int J Food Microbiol*. 2004;94(3):223-253.
- Burt SA, Ojo-Fakunle VTA, Woertman J, Veldhuizen EJA. The natural antimicrobial carvacrol inhibits quorum sensing in *Chromobacterium violaceum* and reduces bacterial biofilm formation at sub-lethal concentrations. *Plos One* 2014;9(4):e93414.
- Cappitelli F, Polo A, Villa F. Biofilm formation in food processing environments is still poorly understood and controlled. *Food Eng Rev*. 2014;6(1-2):29-42.
- Center for Disease Control and Prevention - CDC. Trends in Foodborne Illness in the United States [internet] 2015. [cited November 07th, 2017]. Available in: <<http://www.cdc.gov/foodborneburden/trends-in-foodborne-illness.html>>.
- Chauhan AK, Kang S. Thymol disrupts the membrane integrity of *Salmonella* ser. Typhimurium in vitro and recovers infected macrophages from oxidative stress in an ex vivo model. *Res Microbiol*. 2014;165(7):559-565.
- Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute. CLSI. 2012. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically: approved standard, 9th ed. NCCLS document M7-A9. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2012.
- Di Pasqua R, Betts G, Hoskins N, Edwards M, Ercolini D, Mauriello G. Membrane toxicity of antimicrobial compounds from essential oils. *J Agric Food Chem*. 2007;55(12):4863-4870.
- Djordjevic D, Wiedmann M, McLandsborough LA. Microtiter plate assay for assessment of *Listeria monocytogenes* biofilm formation. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2002;68(6):2950-2958.
- Du E, Gan L, Li Z, Wang W, Liu D, Guo Y. In vitro antibacterial activity of thymol and carvacrol and their effects on broiler chickens challenged with *Clostridium perfringens*. *J Anim Sci Biotechnol*. 2015;6:58.
- Falsafi T, Moradi P, Mahboubi M, Rahimi E, Momtaz H, Hamedi B. Chemical composition and anti-*Helicobacter pylori* effect of *Satureja bachtiarica* Bunge essential oil. *Phytomedicine* 2015;22(1):173-177.
- Isenberg HD. *Clinical Microbiology Procedures Handbook* Washington: ASM Press; 2004; p. 5.10.2.
- Jia P, Xue YJ, Duan XJ, Shao SH. Effect of cinnamaldehyde on biofilm formation and sarA expression by methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Lett Appl Microbiol*. 2011;53(4):409-416.
- Lambert RJW, Skandamis PN, Coote PJ, Nychas GJE. A study of the minimum inhibitory concentration and mode of action of oregano essential oil, thymol and carvacrol. *J Appl Microbiol*. 2001;91(3):453-462.
- Lima MS, Quintans-Junior LJ, De Santana WA, Kaneto CM, Soares MBP, Villarreal CF. Anti-inflammatory effects of carvacrol: evidence for a key role of interleukin-10. *Eur J Pharmacol*. 2013;699(1-3):112-117.

- Liu H, Pei H, Han Z, Feng G, Li D. The antimicrobial effects and synergistic antibacterial mechanism of the combination of ϵ -Polylysine and nisin against *Bacillus subtilis*. *Food Control*. 2015a;47:444-450.
- Liu Q, Niu H, Zhang W, Mu H, Sun C, Duan J. Synergy among thymol, eugenol, berberine, cinnamaldehyde and streptomycin against planktonic and biofilm-associated food-borne pathogens. *Lett Appl Microbiol*. 2015b;60(5):421-430.
- Luz IS, Gomes Neto NJ, Tavares AG, Nunes PC, Magnani M, Souza EL. Evidence for lack of acquisition of tolerance in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium ATCC 14028 after exposure to subinhibitory amounts of *Origanum vulgare* L. essential oil and carvacrol. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2012;78(14):5021-5024.
- Miladi H, Zmantar T, Chaabouni Y, Fedhila K, Bakhrouf A, Mahdouani K, et al. Antibacterial and efflux pump inhibitors of thymol and carvacrol against food-borne pathogens. *Microb Pathog*. 2016;99:95-100.
- Nair DVT, Nannapaneni R, Kiess A, Schilling W, Sharma CS. Reduction of *Salmonella* on Turkey breast cutlets by plant-derived compounds. *Foodborne Pathog Dis*. 2014;11(12):981-987.
- Neyret C, Herry JM, Meylheuc T, Dubois-Brissonnet F. Plant derived compounds as natural antimicrobials to control paper mill biofilms. *J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol*. 2014;41(1):87-96.
- Nguyen HDN, Yuk HG. Changes in resistance of *Salmonella* Typhimurium biofilms formed under various conditions to industrial sanitizers. *Food Control* 2013;29(1):236-240.
- Oladunjoye A, Soni KA, Nannapaneni R, Schilling MW, Silva JL, Mikel B, et al. Synergistic activity between lauric arginate and carvacrol in reducing *Salmonella* in ground turkey. *Poultry Sci*. 2013;92(5):1357-1365.
- Oliveira MMM, Brugnera DF, Piccoli RH. Biofilmes microbianos na indústria de alimentos: uma revisão. *Rev Inst Adolfo Lutz*. 2010;69(3):277-84.
- Piovezan M, Uchida NS, Silva AF, Grespan R, Santos PS, Silva EL, et al. Effect of cinnamon essential oil and cinnamaldehyde on *Salmonella* Saintpaul biofilm on a stainless steel surface. *J Gen Appl Microbiol*. 2014;60(3):119-121.
- Scallan E, Hoekstra RM, Angul, FJ, Tauxe RV, Widdowson MA, Roy S L, et al. Foodborne Illness acquired in the United States – major pathogens. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2011;17(1):7-15.
- Shi X, Zhu X. Biofilm formation and food safety in food industries. *Trends in Food Sci Tech*. 2009;20(9):407-413.
- Simões M, Simões LC, Vieira MJ. A review of current and emergent biofilm control strategies. *LWT Food Sci Tech*. 2010;43(4):573-583.
- Soni KA, Oladunjoye A, Nannapaneni R, Schilling MW, Silva JL, Mikel B, et al. Inhibition and inactivation of *Salmonella* Typhimurium biofilms from polystyrene and stainless steel surfaces by essential oils and phenolic constituent carvacrol. *J Food Protect*. 2013;76(2):205-212.
- Steenackers H, Hermans K, Vanderleyden J, De Keersmaecker SCJ. *Salmonella* biofilms: An overview on occurrence, structure, regulation and eradication. *Food Res Int*. 2012;45(2):502-531.
- Uchida NS, Grespan R, Piovezan M, Ferreira EC, Machinski Jr M, Cuman RKN, et al. Effect of carvacrol on *Salmonella* Saintpaul biofilms on stainless steel surface. *Trop J Pharm Res*. 2014;13(12):2021-2025.
- Ultee A, Kets EPW, Smid EJ. Mechanisms of action of carvacrol on the food-borne pathogen *Bacillus cereus*. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 1999;65(10):4606-4610.
- World Health Organization. WHO. Estimates of the global burden of foodborne diseases: foodborne disease burden epidemiology reference group 2007-2015. Geneva: WHO; 2015.
- World Health Organization. WHO. *Salmonella* (non-typhoidal). Fact Sheet 139. Geneva: WHO; 2016.
- Wong HS, Townsend KM, Fenwick SG, Trengove RD, O’Handley RM. Comparative susceptibility of planktonic and 3-day-old *Salmonella* Typhimurium biofilms to disinfectants. *J Appl Microbiol*. 2010;108(6):2222-2228.
- Zhang J, Rui X, Wang L, Guan Y, Sun X, Dong M. Polyphenolic extract from *Rosa rugosa* tea inhibits bacterial quorum sensing and biofilm formation. *Food Control* 2014;42:125-131.

Received for publication on 11th April 2017

Accepted for publication on 20th September 2017