Hyaluronic acid-coated nanoemulsions loaded with a hydrophobic ion pair of all-trans retinoic acid for improving the anticancer activity
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All-trans retinoic acid (ATRA) has been studied for the treatment of cancer, including leukemia and breast cancer. This work aims to develop nanoemulsions (NE) loaded with a hydrophobic ion pair (HIP) of all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA) and a lipophilic amine, stearylamine (SA), and coated with hyaluronic acid (HA) to enhance anticancer activity and reducing toxicity. Blank NE was prepared by spontaneous emulsification and optimized prior to HIP incorporation. NE-ATRA was electrostatically coated with different concentrations of HA. Incorporation of ATRA-SA led to monodisperse NE with small size (129 ± 2 nm; IP 0.18 ± 0.005) and positive zeta potential (35.7 ± 1.0 mV). After coating with 0.5 mg/mL HA solution, the mean diameter slightly increased to 158 ± 5 nm and zeta potential became negative (-19.7 ± 1.2 mV). As expected, high encapsulation efficiency (near 100%) was obtained, confirmed by polarized light microscopy and infrared analysis. Formulations remained stable over 60 days and release of ATRA from NE was delayed after the hydrophilic HA-coating. HA-coated NE-ATRA was more cytotoxic than free ATRA for MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 breast cancer cell lines, especially in the CD44 overexpressing cells. Blank coated formulations showed no cytotoxicity. These findings suggest that this easily-made HA-coated NE-ATRA formulation is a promising alternative for parenteral administration, thus improving the breast cancer therapy with this drug.
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INTRODUCTION

All-trans retinoic acid (ATRA) is an important vitamin A derivative, which plays an anticancer role by binding to the nuclear receptors, retinoic acid receptors (RAR), and retinoid X receptors (RXR). ATRA regulates a variety of genes involved in cell proliferation and differentiation (Di Masi et al., 2015), and promotes cell proliferation inhibition and apoptosis by arresting the cell cycle in the G1 phase (Tang, Gudas, 2011). ATRA has been mainly used as a differentiation agent for treatment of leukemia, especially acute promyelocytic leukemia (Petrie, Zelent, Waxman, 2009), but is also effective against other types of cancer such as melanoma, liver, and breast carcinomas.

ATRA biodisponibility is low and quite variable when orally administered, which is associated with its low aqueous solubility (log P = 4.6, <1 mg/mL) (Adamson et al., 1993; Abdulmajed, Heard, 2004). This has limited the choice of a proper solvent for intravenous administration of ATRA. On the other hand, prolonged oral treatment with ATRA leads to a progressive decrease in drug plasmatic concentrations due to induced metabolism via the cytochrome P450 system (Muindi et al., 1992). All these factors have limited the clinical use of both oral and intravenous ATRA formulations. An alternative to enabling the development of intravenous formulations has been the incorporation of ATRA in lipid nanostructured systems such as nanoemulsions (NE), solid lipid nanoparticles (SLN), nanostructured lipid carriers, or liposomes (Hwang et al., 2004; Lim, Lee, Kim 2004; Chansri et al., 2006;
Kawakami et al., 2006; Carneiro et al., 2012; Silva et al., 2015).

Despite its lipophilicity, encapsulation of ATRA in lipid systems such as SLN is usually low (Jenning, Gohla, 2001) unless a high surfactant/lipid ratio is used (Lim, Kim, 2002; Hu, Tang, Cui 2004). Our group has previously reported that the in situ formation of hydrophobic ion pairs (HIP) of ATRA and lipophilic amines such as stearylamine (SA), benethamine, and maprotiline can significantly increase the ATRA incorporation within the lipid matrix (Castro et al., 2009; Carneiro et al., 2012; Silva et al., 2015; Silva et al., 2016).

Nanoparticles have been found to accumulate in tumor sites after intravenous administration due to the tumor architecture, which involves fenestrations in the endothelium and absence of effective lymphatic drainage (Torchilin, 2011). However, this enhanced permeation and retention effect does not assure tumor penetration by all types of nanosystems, so drugs can be released before the nanocarriers are taken up by the cancer cells, resulting in therapeutic inefficacy. Considering that the target of ATRA is the nuclear receptors, its intracellular delivery is important to attain an enhanced therapeutic effect for cancer.

Hyaluronic acid (HA) has been utilized in the active targeting of nanoparticles to tumors due to its ability to specifically bind to CD44 receptors (Mattheolabakis et al., 2015) overexpressed in some cancer cells. Therefore, the main advantages of coating nanoparticles with HA are the selective targeting and long blood circulation resulting from the hydrophilic surface comprising HA (Almalik, Day, Tirelli, 2013; Tran et al., 2014). Also, HA has the advantages of being biocompatible, biodegradable, and non-immunogenic, which makes it a good ligand to be used in the coating of nanocarriers for drug delivery applications (Rivkin et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2012). Thus, the main goal of this work was to develop an innovative formulation of NE loaded with ATRA and coated with HA as an alternative to improve the cancer therapy. The in situ formation of HIP was utilized to enhance ATRA encapsulation along the experimental design of the formulations. In addition, in vitro cytotoxicity was investigated against breast cancer cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

ATRA was kindly provided by BASF (Ludwigshafen, Germany); Super Refined Tween 80™ (Polysorbate 80) and Crodamol™ GTCC (medium chain triglycerides [MCT]; caprylic/capric triglycerides) were provided by Croda Inc (Edison, NJ, USA). Stearylamine (SA; octadecylamine) and egg-lecithin (Lipoid® E80) were acquired from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA) and Lipoid (Ludwigshafen, Germany), respectively. HA (MW 350 kDa) was purchased from All Chemistry (São Paulo, SP, Brazil).

For the in vitro studies, Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). Fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT) were purchased from Life Technologies (St Louis, MO, USA). Fetal bovine serum (FBS) and Eagle’s medium was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA) and Lipoid (Ludwigshafen, Germany), respectively. HA (MW 350 kDa) was purchased from All Chemistry (São Paulo, SP, Brazil).

Despite its lipophilicity, encapsulation of ATRA in lipid systems such as SLN is usually low (Jenning, Gohla, 2001) unless a high surfactant/lipid ratio is used (Lim, Kim, 2002; Hu, Tang, Cui 2004). Our group has previously reported that the in situ formation of hydrophobic ion pairs (HIP) of ATRA and lipophilic amines such as stearylamine (SA), benethamine, and maprotiline can significantly increase the ATRA incorporation within the lipid matrix (Castro et al., 2009; Carneiro et al., 2012; Silva et al., 2015; Silva et al., 2016).

NE was prepared by spontaneous emulsification (Bouchemal et al., 2004). Compositions of the formulations were based on previous studies (Castro et al., 2009; Carneiro et al., 2012), but with some modifications. Briefly (batch 10 ml), the oily phase (OP), including the organic solvent, and the aqueous phase (AP) were weighted and prepared separately. When present, ATRA was added in the OP. Next, the OP was added dropwise to the AP under vigorously magnetic stirring for 10 min. The solvent was then removed by evaporation during 60 min under reduced pressure (Fisatom, São Paulo, Brasil). The pH of NE was adjusted to 7.0 with a 0.01 M HCl solution, using a pH-meter MS Tecnopro® (mPA 210, Piracicaba, Brazil).

HA coating was performed by electrostatic attraction (Yang et al., 2013). Different HA solutions were employed to select the ideal concentration for NE coating. In a typical procedure, 1 mL of NE dispersion was slowly added under vigorous magnetic stirring to a HA solution at concentrations of 0.01, 0.25, 0.50, 1.0, 2.0, or 4.0 mg/mL.

Optimization of the blank NE composition was performed by evaluating the influence of surfactant combination (Table I) and solvents on the physico-chemical parameters of NE. Ethanol was utilized in NE 1-3, while acetone was used in NE 4. The inclusion of a sonication stage of 10 min with an ultrasound bath before the solvent removal was also evaluated (corresponding to NE 5).

After proper optimization of blank formulations, 0.05% ATRA was utilized to prepare ATRA-loaded NE
Hyaluronic acid-coated nanoemulsions loaded with a hydrophobic ion pair of all-trans retinoic acid

Finally, ATRA-NE was utilized in the HA coating studies performed by electrostatic attraction.

Droplet size analysis and zeta potential

The globule diameter of NE in the dispersion was determined by unimodal dynamic light scattering analysis. Data were reported as mean globule diameter, evaluated as the intensity obtained from three repeated measurements, and the polydispersity index. Zeta potential measurements were carried out by the dynamic light scattering associated with electrophoretic mobility, also in triplicate. All determinations were performed using a Zetasizer 3000 HSA (Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK), at fixed angles of 90° and 25°C.

Drug encapsulation efficiency

Encapsulation efficiency (EE) for ATRA in NE was determined based on quantification of ATRA in the NE before (total ATRA) and after filtration (filtered ATRA; cellulose ester membrane, 0.45 µm, Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). The fraction of ATRA soluble in the external AP of the NE was assessed by ultrafiltration, using a 100 kDa molecular weight cut-off membrane (Amicon 100 k, Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA).

ATRA concentration in NE (before and after filtration) or in the AP was determined by the differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) method reported by Silva and colleagues (Da Silva et al., 2015). Briefly, an aliquot of each NE formulation was dissolved in tetrahydrofuran and later diluted in a mixture (95:5) of methanol and 0.1 M acetate buffer (pH 5.0). This dispersion was filtered in a 0.45 mm Millex HV filter (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) and analyzed by DPV. EE was then calculated using the following formula: EE (%) = (filtered ATRA/total ATRA) X 100.

The scan rate and pulse amplitude were 30 mV s⁻¹ and 75 mV, respectively. The concentration range (9.71 – 45.5 x 10⁻⁶ mol.L⁻¹) used to determine the linear regression analysis resulted in the following linear equation: y = 0.03752x + 0.1717 (R² = 0.9969).

Stability study

To investigate the influence of the coating on the NE stability, HA-ATRA-NE and ATRA-NE were prepared in triplicate, kept in 10 mL glass containers filled with a nitrogen atmosphere, and stored at 4 °C. Sampling aliquots were taken at 7, 15, 30, and 60 days of storage for mean diameter, zeta potential, pH, and EE determinations.

Polarized light microscopy

The presence of ATRA crystals was assessed by polarized light microscopy (Zeiss Axio Imager.M2, Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). Undiluted samples were placed on microscope slides, and images were acquired by utilizing a proper software (ZEN lite 2012, Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). The microscope was equipped with an AxioCam digital camera (Model ERe 5S, Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany).

Infrared analysis

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) measurements were carried out with a Nicolet 6700 spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA) equipped with an attenuated total reflectance (ATR) accessory. Spectra were obtained using a Ge crystal in the region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredient (% w/v)</th>
<th>NE 1</th>
<th>NE 2</th>
<th>NE 3</th>
<th>NE 4*</th>
<th>NE 5**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oily phase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCT</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg lecithin</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqueous phase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tween® 80</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water q.s.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*NE 4 used acetone rather than ethanol as organic solvent; **NE 5 has the same composition of NE 4, but includes a 10 minutes sonication process previously the solvent removal.
from 4000 to 700 cm$^{-1}$ with a resolution of 4 cm$^{-1}$ and 32 scan accumulations.

**In vitro release studies**

The *in vitro* release behavior of ATRA-NE and HA-ATRA-NE was determined in a dissolution medium composed of phosphate buffered saline (pH 7.4): ethanol: Polysorbate 80 (88:10:2) using a dialysis method (Hu, Tang, Cui, 2004; Chinsiriwongkul *et al*., 2012). 2 mL of formulation was applied in the dialysis bag with a 14 kDa cut-off (Sigma-Aldrich, USA). The dialysis bag was soaked in an amber flask containing 50 mL of dissolution medium under magnetic stirring of 100 rpm. Temperature was kept at 37 ± 0.5 °C and all samples were protected from light. At time intervals of 30 minutes, 1, 2, 4, 8, and 24 hours, 1 mL aliquot of the medium was taken, and the same volume of fresh medium was added. The amount of ATRA released to the medium at each time was quantified by DPV. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

**Cell cultures**

Cytotoxicity studies were conducted on cancer cells. Metastatic human breast adenocarcinoma cell lines (MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231) were cultivated in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) containing 10% FBS, 200 mM glutamine, and antibiotics (100 μg ml$^{-1}$ streptomycin and 100 UI ml$^{-1}$ penicillin). All cultures were kept in a humidified incubator with 5% CO$_2$ at 37 °C.

**Analysis of cell viability**

Cell proliferation was measured by MTT assay as previously reported (Carneiro *et al*., 2012; Silva *et al*., 2015). First, 2.5 × 10$^4$ (MCF-7) or 2.0 × 10$^4$ (MDA-MB-231) cells mL$^{-1}$ were seeded into 96-well plates. After 24 h of incubation at 37°C and 5% CO$_2$, freshly prepared solutions of free ATRA and ATRA-loaded NE were added to the wells (ATRA concentration ranging from 1.56 μM to 100 μM). Free ATRA was dissolved in absolute ethanol (4 mM) before dilution. Non-coated and HA-coated blank NE (without ATRA) were diluted in the same way as ATRA-loaded NE. After 48 h of incubation at 37 °C and 5% CO$_2$, 20 μL of 5 mg/mL MTT solution was added to each plate. Plates were incubated at 37 °C for 4 h and the medium was then replaced by 200 μL of 0.04 mol L$^{-1}$ HCl solution in isopropanol. Cellular viability was estimated by measuring the rate of mitochondrial reduction of MTT into formazan of each treatment, determined by measuring the absorbance of the converted dye at a wavelength of 595 nm, and compared with cells maintained in medium only (100% of viability). Cell viability was found to be 100% after treatment with negative control (2.5% ethanol). Data were expressed as percentage of cell viability in relation to the control (mean ± SD) for three independent experiments performed in sextuplicate.

**Data analysis**

Statistical analyses were carried out using one-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey’s test. Differences among mean values were statistically significant when $P$ value was less than 0.05. The statistical software used was GraphPad Prism 5.0 (Graphpad Software Inc., San Diego, USA).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Development of ATRA-NE formulations**

Blank NEs were developed and optimized by the spontaneous emulsification method. The physico-chemical parameters of the NE 1-5 including mean diameter, PDI, and zeta potential are summarized in Table II. Initially, the optimization of blank NE was investigated by evaluating the influence of surfactants on the globule size, PDI, and zeta potential. The presence of micrometric droplets increases the risk of embolism and the instability of NE, which is manifested by droplet coalescence. Thus, emulsions with monodisperse globules with size around 200-500 nm tend to be more stable and safer for intravenous administration (Hippalgaonkar, Majumdar, Kansara, 2010). Combination between polysorbate 80 and egg lecithin (NE 2, 346 ± 15 nm) caused a significant decrease in the size when compared with their isolated use (NE 1, 422 ± 9 nm); both formulations were found to be monodisperse. The influence of cholesterol, a co-surfactant, was further investigated. Thus, NE 3 was prepared without cholesterol and again a significant decrease in the size was observed (NE 3, 280 ± 1 nm). It is well known that globule size and PDI are strongly affected by the nature of the solvent used in the spontaneous emulsification method (Bouchemal *et al*., 2004). Then, ethanol was replaced by acetone in NE 4, but due to the considerable increase in the size (424 ± 14 nm) and the high PDI (0.42 ± 0.049), and considering avoidance of potential toxic effects, ethanol was chosen as solvent of NE. Finally, NE 5 was prepared with the same composition and solvent of NE 3, but with a final stage of 10 minutes bath sonication, and a lower mean
diameter (135 ± 2 nm) and PDI (0.17 ± 0.016) were observed. Based on these findings, NE 5 was selected for the ATRA encapsulation studies. All blank formulations exhibited positive zeta potential due to the use of a cationic primary amine (SA) which remains at the interface of the NE globules. This positive charge is important to enable electrostatic attraction in the development of HA-coated NE formulations.

After ATRA incorporation (ATRA-NE), a significant decrease in mean particle size (129 ± 2 nm) and a monodisperse distribution (PDI 0.18 ± 0.005) were observed in comparison with the correspondent blank formulation (NE 5), as seen in Table II. Zeta potential was also properly positive (35.7 ± 1.0 mV). As expected, high EE (96.7 ± 4.9%) was obtained, which is in good agreement with our previous work involving incorporation of HIP between ATRA and lipophilic amines in lipid nanocarriers. ATRA concentration in the AP was found to be negligible as previously reported (Carneiro et al., 2012; Da Silva et al., 2015; Silva et al., 2015; Silva et al., 2016). The high ATRA retention in lipid matrix is related to the hydrophobicity of the counterion used in the HIP strategy, while the lipophilic amine is associated with intense interaction of ATRA with the lipid matrix (Oliveira et al., 2017). In this case, this formulation was considered suitable for the coating studies.

To determine the adequate HA concentration for NE coating, six HA concentrations from 0.10 to 4.0 mg/mL were tested. Considering that pH over the coating process was 7.0, both remaining SA (i.e., SA in excess, not in the ion pair with ATRA) and HA were ionized (pka of 10.21 and 4.36, respectively), which favored establishment of electrostatic interaction. As can be observed in Figure 1A, the increase of HA concentration led to a progressive increase in size and PDI, which can be explained by the coating deposition externally to the globules. Zeta potential was progressively reduced (Figure 1B), most likely due to the addition of the anionic HA molecules. The same reduction in zeta potential was observed by other reports (Yang et al., 2013; Tran et al., 2014) in the coating process with HA of paclitaxel and vorinostat lipid nanocarriers, respectively.

It was not possible to determine the physicochemical characteristics of HA-ATRA-NE coated with 0.25 mg/mL HA solution, since agglomeration was observed. The critical step in the electrostatic attraction coating process is the inversion of zeta potential, which indicates that the coating was successfully performed (Almalik, Day, Tirelli, 2013). However, it is possible for particle agglomeration to occur when its total charge becomes neutral.

The formulation selected for the further studies was HA-ATRA-NE coated by 0.5 mg/mL HA, which presented the combination of small monodisperse globule size (158 ± 5 nm) and negative zeta potential (-19.7 ± 1.2 mV), suitable for the parenteral administration. Negative zeta potential is important to be achieved, since increased cytotoxicity is associated with positively charged nanoparticles and their interactions with the negatively charged cellular membrane (Lappalainen et al., 1994; Scholer et al., 2001; Carneiro et al., 2012).

In addition, ATRA kept its high encapsulation in the HA-coated NE (99.2 ± 0.5%), as can be seen in Figure 2. Likewise, drug loading remained unchanged (1.9% before and after the coating process). The concentration of ATRA was again found to be negligible in the AP. The presence of insoluble ATRA crystals in the external aqueous phase of ATRA-NE and HA-ATRA-NE was evaluated by polarized light microscopy in order to confirm the high EE. The obtained images are also shown in Figure 2, revealing that there were no ATRA crystals in the AP of both formulations, as expected (Carneiro et al., 2012; Silva et al., 2015; Silva et al., 2016).

**Infrared analysis**

HA-coated and non-coated formulations were
also investigated by ATR-FTIR (Figure 3) to confirm the coating process. Observed in the HA-ATRA-NE, in comparison with ATRA-NE, was a clear increase in the intensity of the band in 1745 cm⁻¹ ascribed to carboxylic acid C=O stretching mode and bands at 2850 and 2900 cm⁻¹, related to C-H stretching modes, suggesting the insertion of HA in the NE (Lopes et al., 2014). Corroborating with size and zeta potential data, FTIR results may provide additional evidence of the electrostatic attraction between the excess amine and the carboxylic group of HA, thus allowing coating of the globules (Tran et al., 2014).

Stability studies

After 60 days of storage in a refrigerator, no significant alteration in size was found for ATRA-NE (Figure 4A), which was 169 ± 0.6 nm after 60 days. PDI increased slightly, but the formulation was still considered monodisperse. HA-ATRA-NE had a significant increase in size from 140 ± 2 to 189 ± 3 nm after 15 days storage, but remained constant up to 60 days (Figure 4B).

Zeta potential of both positively charged ATRA-NE and negatively charged HA-ATRA-NE remained constant over 60 days (Figure 4C). In addition, ATRA remained highly encapsulated in both coated and non-coated NE (EE were 96.5 ± 1.0 and 96.3 ± 0.6 %, respectively, after 60 days). Finally, no presence of yellow crystals was observed at the external aqueous phase of both NE, indicating the high ATRA encapsulation over time.

In vitro drug release

Release profiles of ATRA from HA-coated and non-coated NE, and diffusion from ATRA solution are shown in Figure 5. In the same dissolution medium, the diffusion rate of ATRA solution was faster than that of the NE formulations. After 24 hours, 96.5 ± 1.5% of free ATRA had already diffused against 90.1 ± 1.1 % (ATRA-NE) and 75.7 ± 1.4 % (HA-ATRA-NE). HA-ATRA-NE released ATRA in a significantly slower manner than ATRA-NE within 24 hours (P < 0.05), indicating that the external hydrophilic HA coating could restrict the diffusion of ATRA through the encapsulating layer.

These results suggest that there is a controlled release of ATRA from HA-coated NE. In solution, drug release is a simple diffusion process from the dialysis bag to the receptor fluid; however, when encapsulated in a nanostructure, a mechanism that is slower to release the drug from the nanostructure core is usually needed, such as erosion, disintegration, or desorption (Rahman et al., 2013).
Cell viability studies

Breast cancer cell lines (MCF-7 and MBA-MB-231) were used to determine the cytotoxic activity of free ATRA or ATRA incorporated in the chosen HA-coated NE formulation. The cells were incubated with free ATRA or HA-ATRA-NE and analyzed with respect to their viability as shown in Figure 6. Cell viability was found to be 100% after treatment with negative control, and blank HA-coated formulations exhibited little cytotoxicity (over 80% viability).

In all cell lines, there was a clear response to the treatment with free ATRA and the decrease in the cell viability was dose dependent, with a reduction from 100% at 1.56 µM to 81 ± 5.2% (MCF-7) and 86 ± 2.1% at 50 µM (MDA-MB-231), which are comparable to values obtained in previous studies (Fanjul et al., 1996; Hong, Lee-Kim, 2009).

Cytotoxic activity of ATRA incorporated in HA-coated NE on both cell lines was more pronounced than that of free ATRA. Cell viability was 33 ± 2.8% in MCF-7 cells and 24 ± 1.6% in MDA-MB-231 cells at 50 µM. This effect could be associated with the lipophilic nature of the nanocarrier and enhanced intracellular absorption by CD44 receptor mediated endocytosis (Ramasamy et al., 2014; Tran et al., 2014). In addition, higher activity is expected for MDA-MB-231 cell lines, which is directly associated with CD44 receptor expression. Since MCF-7 cells express low levels of CD44 receptor (Tran et al., 2014), uptake of HA-coated NE would be lower when compared to MDA-MB-231 cells, with higher levels of CD44 expression. It is well-known that cationic SA formulations show cytotoxic effects associated with electrostatic interactions between the highly positive charged nanocarriers and anionic phospholipids of the membrane.

FIGURE 4 – Stability of mean diameter and PDI of ATRA-NE (A) and HA-ATRA-NE (B). Zeta potential (C) and encapsulation efficiency (D) of ATRA in NE. Data represented as mean ± SD (n = 3).

FIGURE 5 – Cumulative ATRA release from NE compared to a solution at 37 ± 0.5 °C.
cell membrane, leading to damage of the latter (Roberts, Addy, 1981; Lappalainen et al., 1994; Scholer et al., 2001; Yang et al., 2013). In fact, this unspecific cytotoxicity was observed for unloaded SLN containing SA against cancer and normal cell lines (Lappalainen et al., 1994; Carneiro et al., 2012), which is not the case for blank HA-coated NE formulations.

CONCLUSION

A formulation of NE loaded with ATRA and coated with hyaluronic acid was developed with reduced size, negative zeta potential, and high encapsulation. This formulation was suitable for intravenous administration and remained stable over 60 days. ATRA was released more slowly from HA-coated NE compared to non-coated formulations, because of the additional hydrophilic layer of HA. HA-ATRA-NE was more cytotoxic against breast cancer cell lines than free ATRA, especially against cells overexpressing CD44.
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FIGURE 6 – Cell metabolic viability of MCF-7 (A) and MDA-MB-231 (B) after 48 hours of exposure to blank NE, ATRA-loaded NE, and free ATRA. Data represented as mean ± SD (n = 3).
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