The orchid bee fauna (Hymenoptera, Apidae) of a core area of the Cerrado, Brazil: the role of riparian forests as corridors for forest-associated bees
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Abstract: The composition of local orchid-bee faunas (Hymenoptera, Apidae, Euglossina) in open-vegetation domains is poorly known, making the ecology and biogeography of the group difficult to understand. The aim of this work was to answer the following questions: i) Is the orchid-bee fauna composition, species richness and abundance in the cerrado (Brazilian savanna) sensu stricto similar to that of riparian forests immersed in that domain? ii) Do species from neighboring forest domains use riparian forests as mesic corridors into the Cerrado? Two sites in cerrado s.s. and two in riparian forests were sampled monthly, one day per month, during one year (Nov/2003–Oct/2004) in northwestern Minas Gerais state, Brazil. Six aromatic compounds (β-ionone, 1,8-cineole, eugenol, methyl trans-cinnamate, methyl salicilate and vanillin) were exposed from 8:00 AM to 4:00 PM to attract orchid bees. The results suggest that: i) The composition of the orchid bee fauna in the two kinds of environments is the same; ii) Riparian forests apparently have no role as mesic corridors for penetration of forest-dependent euglossine species into the core of the Cerrado Domain.
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Resumo: A composição das faunas locais de Euglossina (Hymenoptera, Apidae) em formações vegetais abertas é pouco conhecida, o que dificulta o entendimento da ecologia e biogeografia do grupo. O objetivo deste trabalho foi responder às seguintes perguntas: i) No domínio do Cerrado, a composição da fauna, riqueza em espécies e abundância de euglossinas em áreas de cerrado s.s. e em mata ciliar são semelhantes entre si? ii) Espécies de domínios florestais adjacentes utilizam as matas ciliares como corredores mésicos para dentro do Cerrado? Duas áreas de cerrado s.s. e duas de mata ciliar foram amostradas mensalmente, um dia por mês, durante um ano (nov/2003–out/2004), no noroeste do estado de Minas Gerais, Brasil. Seis compostos aromáticos (β-ionona, cineol, cimato de metila, eugenol, salicilato de metila e vanilina) eram expostos das 8 às 16 horas para atrair as euglossinas. Os resultados encontrados sugerem que: i) As composições das faunas em áreas de cerrado s.s. e matas ciliares são iguais; ii) As matas ciliares aparentemente não atuam como corredores mésicos para a penetração, em áreas centrais do Cerrado, de espécies de euglossinas dependentes de florestas.

Palavras-chave: Euglossina, mata ciliar, mata de galeria, savana, ecologia de paisagem.
Introduction

The discovery of aromatic compounds attractive to euglossine bees (Dodson et al. 1969) made it possible for the development of standardized collecting methods, which caused a boom on faunistic studies on this bee group. Despite this, most of the inventories of their fauna were performed in tropical forests, with only a couple of studies done in savannic environments (Nemésio & Faria 2004, Alvarenga et al. 2007).

Despite the fact that the Cerrado occupies around 23% of the Brazilian territory (Ratter et al. 1997) and is one of the World biodiversity hotspots (Myers et al. 2000), its bee fauna is still poorly known (Silveira & Campos 1995, Albuquerque & Mendonça 1996, Carvalho & Bégo 1998, Andena et al. 2005). This is especially true for orchid bees, since they were poorly inventoried in this ecological domain and always in marginal areas (Aguilar 1990, in the state of Bahia; Rebêlo & Cabral 1997, Carvalho et al. 2006 and Mendes et al. 2008, in the state of Maranhão; and Nemésio & Faria 2004, Alvarenga et al. 2007 and Freitas 2009 in the state of Minas Gerais). Thus, studies in the core area of the Cerrado are much needed, especially considering i) the level of anthropogenic pressure it currently sustains, and ii) its central position in South America relative to other ecosystems, as the Amazonian and Atlantic tropical forests, and the semiarid Chaco and Caatinga domains (Pinheiro & Ortiz 1992, Silva 1997, Myers et al. 2000).

The Cerrado is a mosaic of vegetational physiognomies, varying from open fields to dry forests. Riparian forests (both ciliary and gallery forests), running across this mosaic were said to be important mesic corridors that allow for the colonization of the Cerrado by forest-dependent mammalians (Redford & Fonseca 1986, Mares & Ernst 1995, Lacher Junior & Alho 1998), birds (e.g. Silva 1996), butterflies (Pinheiro & Ortiz 1992) and wasps (Diniz & Kitayama 1998). The importance of these mesic corridors to euglossine bees in open environments was suggested by Neves & Viana (1999), Carvalho et al. (2006), Nemésio et al. (2007), Anjos-Silva (2008) and Moura & Schlindwein (2009).

Studies on the diversity, distributional patterns and faunistic composition of the Cerrado are urgently needed, since large areas in this domain are being transformed into crops, pastures (Camargo & Becker 1999, Castro et al. 2008, Carrijo et al. 2009) and forests of exotic trees, mainly eucalypts (Oliveira 1998). Evidences suggest that habitat alteration may affect local faunas of pollinating insects (e.g. Aizen & Feinsinger 1994, Steffan-Dewenter et al. 2002) and that these effects can be more pronounced in tropical areas (Ricketts et al. 2008). Evidence of impacts of habitat disturbance on euglossine bee populations has been found by Nemésio & Silveira (2007a, 2010) and Brosi (2009).

This study intended to inventory one local euglossine-bee fauna and to answer the following questions: i) Are the euglossine species composition, species richness, and abundance in areas of cerrado sensu stricto similar to those in nearby riparian forests? ii) Do forest-dependent euglossine species from the Amazonian and Atlantic Forest domains use the riparian forests as mesic refuges in the Cerrado?

Material and Methods

Sampling was done in the “Fazenda Brejão” (V&M Florestal Ltda – from here on “Brejão”). This is a 36,000 ha farm located in the municipality of Brasilândia de Minas, northwestern Minas Gerais state. Different physiognomies of the Cerrado are present in Brejão – 15,899 ha are covered by cerrado s.s.; 4,435 ha by “campo cerrado”; 1,750 ha by “veredas” and 1,295 ha by riparian forests (for an account on these physiognomies, see Goodland 1971 and Ribeiro & Walter 1998). Other 12,510 ha are planted with a few different species of Eucalyptus spp. (Scolforo et al. 2001). The regional climate is dry-subhumid tropical of Holdridge, with annual average temperatures between 22 and 24 °C and average rainfall between 700 and 1,200 mm, with most of the rain occurring in January and February (Golferi 1975). In referring to the vegetational domain sampled and its several physiognomies, the term “Cerrado” (in capital) is used for the whole domain, while “cerrado” refers to the cerrado sensu stricto physiognomy, which is formed by an open-canopy collection of short, contorted trees, with an understory composed of grasses and bushes (see Goodland 1971 and Ribeiro & Walter 1998).

Four sampling sites were defined at Brejão, two in the cerrado sensu stricto and two in the riparian forest bordering the Paracatu river (physiognomies sensu Goodland 1971 and Ribeiro & Walter 1998). Logistic limitations precluded more replicates to be done in each environment. Coordinates and elevations for these sites are as follows: i) “Cerrado 1” (C1) – 17° 02’ 22” S and 45° 51’ 03” W, 549 m; ii) “Cerrado 2” (C2) – 17° 01’ 14” S and 45° 52’ 30” W, 565 m; iii) “Riparian forest 1” (F1): 17° 04’ 27” S and 45° 54’ 15” W, 493 m; iv) “Riparian forest 2” (F2): 17° 05’ 29” S and 45° 57’ 15” W, 491 m (Figure 1). The straight-line distances between these sites are presented in Table 1.

Six aromatic compounds were employed to attract Euglossina: β-ionone, cineole, eugenol, methyl trans-cinnamate, methyl salicylate and vanillin. These compounds were presented to bees monthly, from November 2003 to October 2004, between 8:00 AM and 4:00 PM, one day in each sampling site. In most cases, two collectors sampled the two sites of the same environment simultaneously. Site F2 could not be sampled in February 2004, when it was flooded. In March 2004, all sites were sampled only between 9:00 AM and 3:00 PM.

The aromatic compounds were imbibed in cotton swabs and hang about 1.5 m above ground level, 2 m apart from each other. Baits were disposed in such a way that the collector could observe all of them simultaneously. Bees attracted were caught with hand nets, killed in ethyl acetate and pinned for identification. They are deposited in the entomological collection of the Coleções Taxonômicas da Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte. Specimen identification was done with the help of keys (Bonilla-Gómez & Nates-Parra 1992 and Rebêlo & Moure 1996) and by comparison with specimens previously identified by specialists. Nomenclature of species is presented in two ways in Table 2: Following Moure et al. (2007) and according to Nemésio’s (2009) proposal. Throughout the text, the classification follows the treatment of Moure et al. (2007).

The diversity indexes of Shannon-Wiener (H’) and Simpson (1-D) were calculated according to Ludwig & Reynolds (1988) and Magurran (1988). Shannon-Wiener values for the several sampling sites were compared using the Hucheson t-test (Zar 1996). Similarity among sites was calculated based on species frequencies, using the Renkonen similarity index, according to the recommendation by Wolda (1981) for small samples, and the areas grouped using UPGMA (Sneath & Sokal 1973). A principal component analysis was undertaken, using square root-transformed data of species frequency (Manly 1994, Zar 1996).

Results

Only 113 specimens of eight euglossine species were captured after a total of 368 sampling hours in the four sampling sites together (Table 2). The most abundant species, considering all four sites, were

| Table 1. Straight-line distances (km) between the sampling sites in the Fazenda Brejão. |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                  | Cerrado 1        | Cerrado 2        | Forest 1         | Forest 2         |
| Cerrado 1        | -                | 4.3              | 5.3              | 10.5             |
| Cerrado 2        | 4.3              | -                | 7.8              | 12.9             |
| Forest 1         | 10.5             | 12.9             | -                | 6.5              |

Orchid bees of the Cerrado: the role of riparian forests

Eulaema nigrita Lepeletier, 1841, Euglossa securigera Dressler, 1982 and Euglossa melanotricha Moure, 1967. Of the species collected, seven were recorded in the cerrado sites (all of them in C1 and four in C2) and five in the forest sites (four in each site). Three species, Euglossa fimbriata Rebêlo & Moure, 1996, Euglossa townsendi Cockerell, 1904 and Euglossa sp. (a singleton) were found only in the cerrado s.s. sites, while Euglossa violaceifrons Rebêlo & Moure, 1996 (a singleton) was collected only in the riparian forest. Only three species were present in all four sites: El. nigrita, Eg. cordata and Eg. melanotricha. El. nigrita, despite being the most abundant species when all sites are considered, was the most frequent species only in F2.

Recently, Nemésio (2009) proposed the following new names or synonymies for these species: 1Euglossa aratingae Nemésio, 2009; 2Euglossa carolina Nemésio, 2009; and 3Euglossa despecta Moure, 1968.
The orchid-bee assemblages in the cerrado stricte sensu were more diverse than those in the riparian forest (Table 2). C1 was the most diverse site of all, and its diversity index was significantly larger than that of C2 (t = 5.52; df = 22; p < 0.01), F1 (t = 6.85; df = 26; p < 0.01) and F2 (t = 6.98; df = 21; P < 0.01). There was also a significant difference between the diversity calculated for C2 and F2 (t = 2.18; df = 29; p < 0.05). There were no statistical differences between the diversities found in C2 and F1 and in F1 and F2.

The cluster analysis did not group together sites from the same physiognomic type. C1 and F1 were the two most similar sites (74.8%) and their similarity is largely due to the dominance of Eg. securigera and to the similar frequencies of Eg. melanotricha and El. nigrita. The most distinctive site was F2, due to the high frequencies of Eg. cordata and El. nigrita. In the PCA analysis, 74.9% of the variance was explained by the first component and almost 100% by the three first ones. Eg. securigera, Eg. townsendi and Eg. fimbriata were the main contributors to the variance in the first component and Eg. melanotricha the main contributor in the second one. Eg. fimbriata and Eg. townsendi, recorded only in C1, most likely were responsible for placing this site separate from the others.

Discussion

1. Species richness and composition

The species richness in Brejão was similar to those found in other inventories carried out in the Cerrado domain (Aguilar 1990, Rebêlo & Cabral 1997, Alvarenga et al. 2007, Nemésio & Faria 2004) and in fragments of the semideciduous “low mountain rain forest” (Rizzini 1979), at elevations of 300-900 m, in the Atlantic Forest domain in the states of Minas Gerais (Nemésio 2003, Nemésio & Silva 2007a, 2010), São Paulo (Rebêlo & Garfálo 1997) and Paraná (Sofia & Suzuki 2004). These forests are characterized by relatively open canopy, 15-25 m tall, and occur under climates with two well defined seasons, rainy and dry. This suggests that the species richness of local faunas in the Cerrado is comparable to those in the so called “inland forests” within the Atlantic Forest Domain. Similar species richness was found also by Neves & Viana (1999) in other inventory of a eglossine fauna of a riparian forest. In this case, however, the forest was within a semi-arid matrix of Caatinga vegetation. Such species richnesses, however, are much smaller than those found in coastal Atlantic Forest sites in southeastern Brazil (Bonilla-Gómez 1999, Tonhasca Junior et al. 2002, Nemésio & Silva 2006a) and in the Amazonian Forest (e. g. Oliveira & Campos 1995, Storck-Tonon et al. 2009). The low abundance of orchid bees found in Brejão and in the other sites sampled in the Cerrado is in accordance with the pattern found for local bee faunas in general in the Cerrado (Silveira & Campos 1995). These authors suggested that this low abundance might result from relative food scarcity, which, in turn, would be a consequence of a combination of low soil fertility and relatively low seasonal precipitation (for the characteristics of the Cerrado soil, see Queiroz-Neto 1982). However, it is important to highlight that this pattern is not a general pattern for all the groups of bees in the Cerrado, since some groups (e.g. Centridini and Exomalopsini) seems to be more diverse in savanna-like formations than in rainforests (see e.g. Pinheiro-Machado et al. 2002).

Most of the previous inventories of orchid bees in the Cerrado were carried out at the borders of this domain (e. g. Rebêlo & Cabral 1997, Alvarenga et al. 2007, Nemésio & Faria 2004) and the presence of some eglossine species in these surveys (e. g. Eglossa imperialis Cockerell, 1922 and Eglossa annectans Dressler, 1982 [Nemésio & Faria 2004 treated Eg. annectans as Eg. stellfeldi Moure, 1947; see Faria & Melo 2007 for details and Nemésio 2009 for an alternative treatment of this species]) previously found only in forest habitats, was probably due to the influence of nearby forest environments—Amazonian forest in the case of Maranhão state (Rebêlo & Cabral 1997; Carvalho et al. 2006; Mendes et al. 2008) and Atlantic forest in the case of Minas Gerais state (Nemésio & Faria 2004 and Alvarenga et al. 2007). Moreover, our data, collected in the core area of the Cerrado and far away from both forest domains, suggest that there is not an orchid-bee fauna endemic to the Cerrado. Instead, the eglossine species occurring in this domain seem to bee a subset of the eglossine fauna in the Atlantic Forest, composed of bees species found in the seasonal, low-canopy forests occurring at altitudes above 800 m along the Espinhaço range and which are in close contact with the Cerrado (see Nemésio & Faria 2004, Nemésio & Silveira 2007b). The same species found in the Cerrado of Minas Gerais are also present in the riparian forests within the Caatinga semiarid domain, at the margins of the São Francisco river, in the state of Bahia (Neves & Viana 1999), with the exceptions of two species of Euglossa Cockerell (a genus of relatively rare, highly seasonal species) collected in the latter. It should be noted that the São Francisco river crosses the Cerrado of Minas Gerais from south to north, entering the Caatinga domain. Since the Paracatu river is a large tributary of the São Francisco, its riparian forests probably where originally continuous all the way down to its mouth on the latter and, from there, northward into Bahia. The results recently presented by Moura & Schlindwein (2009) reinforce this hypothesis, since the species of Euglossa collected by them at the medium course of the São Francisco river, in a matrix of caatinga, were also the same collected in Brejão. Moura & Schlindwein (2009) only collected distinct species, such as Euglossa cassin埔incta Moure, 1968 and Euglossa perpulchra Moure & Schlindwein, 2002, near the mouth of the São Francisco river, where the influence of rain forests may occur.

It is important to notice that the species recorded here are also found in highly disturbed areas such as small forest fragments in urban landscapes (Nemésio & Silveira 2007a). The two most common species, El. nigrita and Eg. securigera (comprising, together, 60% of the collected bees), are known for their preference for open and/or disturbed areas. Eulaema nigrita is considered indicative of open and/or disturbed areas (e. g. Nemésio & Silveira 2007a, Aguiar & Gaglianone 2008), being able to nest in pre-existing cavities in disturbed areas, including large cities (Silveira, personal observation). Their males were shown to be able to fly 500 m out of forest fragments into a sugarcane matrix to get aromatic compounds (Milet-Pinheiro & Schlindwein 2005) and to cross urban areas (Raw 1989) and pastures (Tonhasca Junior et al. 2003) between forested areas. E. securigera is also able to cross pastures (Tonhasca Junior et al. 2003) and was considered as “common in the forest edge” by Nemésio & Silveira (2006a) what is supported by data from Tonhasca Junior et al. (2002) and Milet-Pinheiro & Schlindwein (2005).

These species commonly encountered in the Cerrado may be expanding their geographic range toward areas previously covered by lowland tropical forest, such as the Rio Doce valley, where Eg. cordata and El. nigrita were recorded, mainly in the forest borders (Nemésio & Silveira 2006a). However, our current knowledge of the biogeographic history and phylogenetic relationships of eglossine bees do not allow us to clearly distinguish if open-forest species at the borders of tropical forest domains such as the Atlantic Forest and the Amazonian Forest invaded the Cerrado or vice-versa. However, the first hypothesis seems to be more reasonable, given that eglossine bees reach their greatest diversity and abundance in rainforest environments (Dressler 1982, Roubik & Hanson 2004, Nemésio & Silveira 2007b). Their presence in open vegetation domains, such as the Cerrado, thus, would be the result of secondary colonization by species adapted to the relatively sunny, dry, and windy forest-border habitat.
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2. Influence of riparian forests

Contrary to what has been found for other insects, such as frugivorous butterflies (Pinheiro & Ortiz 1992) and wasps (Diniz & Kitayama 1998), and for vertebrates such as birds (Silva 1996) and mammals (Redford & Fonseca 1986), the result of the cluster analysis suggests that, inside the Cerrado domain, there are no orchid-bee species clearly associated to the savannic or to the forest environments. This was also the conclusion reached by Nemésio & Faria (2004). Reasons for this discrepancy are not so obvious and, of course, one cannot dismiss the possibility of the current species-composition of the euglossine fauna in the riparian forests of the Fazenda Brejão result from mid-term anthropic impact on the local environment. An alternative explanation, however, would be that riparian forests in the Cerrado domain do not offer the kind of humid, shady environments adequate for euglossine bees dependent on rainforests and intolerant to borders (see Morato 1994, Tonhasca Junior et al. 2002, Nemésio & Silveira 2006a, 2010). Generally, riparian forests are relatively narrow in both river margins, rarely reaching over 100 m wide (Ribeiro & Walter 1998). This would make the entire environment inside these forests to be affected by edge effects (see Bégon et al. 1990, Murcia 1995). It has been suggested that some species in the Atlantic Forest are strongly associated to forest interiors (e.g. Euglossa analis Westwood, 1840 and Euglossa sapphirina Moure, 1968 – Nemésio & Silveira 2006a, Tonhasca Junior et al. 2002) and that their distributions are determined by their different tolerance to light, temperature, and humidity (Morato 1994). Nemésio & Silveira (2006a) suggested that, at 50 m from the forest border, the euglossine-bee community would still be under strong influence of these factors. Moreover, data by Nemésio & Silveira (2010) suggest that only forests with large core areas distant at least 100 m of the borders would sustain viable populations, even of species living in forests with relatively open canopies. If this is true, then, orchid bees intolerant to forest borders would be unable to survive in the riparian forests in the Cerrado domain.

The only species found exclusively in the forest environment in the Fazenda Brejão, *Eg. violaceifrons*, was represented by a single specimen in our samples. For this reason, its absence in the cerrado s.s. may be due to chance alone. Moreover, a close association of this species to forest environments is unlikely, since it has been collected in a variety of habitats, including forest margins and disturbed open areas (Bonilla-Gómez 1999, Rebêlo & Mouré 1996, Rebêlo & Garófalo 1997, Silva & Rebêlo 1999, 2002, Neves & Viana 2003, Jesus 2000). Some of the other species collected in the Fazenda Brejão, such as *El. nigrita* and *Eg. cordata*, are known to be tolerants to both forest borders and disturbed environments (see Nemésio & Silveira 2006a, 2007a, Aguiar & Gaglianone 2008, Milet-Pinheiro & Schlindwein 2005) and, thus, their presence in the two environments here studied is not surprising. The dominance of *El. nigrita* in the Cerrado of Brasiliândia de Minas is in accordance with its apparent association with open areas, as suggested by Morato (1994), Peruqueti et al. (1999), Tonhasca Junior et al. (2002) and Nemésio & Silveira (2007a). However, Nemésio & Silveira (2006b) suggested that the abundance of the nest-parasitic species of *Exacere* could be, also, an important factor determining the abundance of *El. nigrita*. Thus, in regions as that of the Fazenda Brejão, where species of *Exacere* are absent or very rare, females of *El. nigrita* may attain greater reproductive success.

Interestingly, at the Fazenda Brejão, *Euglossa securigera* reached remarkably high frequencies, considering its representation in other surveys in the Cerrado and other environments (Nemésio 2009). Nemésio & Silveira (2006a), sampling the margin and interior of a large Atlantic Forest fragment, observed that this species, similarly to *El. nigrita*, was significatively more abundant at the forest margin. This also suggests a close relationship between these species and open environments. Similarly, *Eg. melanotricha* also seems to be associated to open environments, although only at altitudes above 500 m (Nemésio & Faria 2004). It is interesting to note, however, that contrary to *El. nigrita* and *Eg. securigera*, which are pan-neotropical species, *Eg. melanotricha* is restricted to the Atlantic Forest and Cerrado domains (see Nemésio & Silveira 2007b, Nemésio 2009).

It also should be considered that samples from riparian forests in different regions of the Cerrado domain may result in different patterns. Oliveira-Filho & Ratter (1995), in a floristic analysis of the riparian forests of central Brazil, found two groups of forests, the first one occurring in the central and southern portions of the Cerrado domain (in the Distrito Federal and in the states of Goiás and Minas Gerais) which seem to be strongly associated to the seasonal, semideciduous forests occurring in the southern state of Paraná. The second group, more widespread than the first, occurs in the western and northern regions of the Cerrado (states of Mato Grosso and Tocantins). This last type of forest is intermixed with “cerradões” (the forest phase of the Cerrado) and forests of the southern border of the Amazonian domain. It is possible, thus, that the sampling of riparian forests in this northern portion of the Cerrado reveals the presence of Amazonian euglossine species. The findings of *Aglae caerulea* Lepetier & Serville, 1825 and *Euglossa cognata* Moure, 1970 in southwestern Mato Grosso state by Anjos-Silva et al. (2006) and Anjos-Silva (2008) support this hypothesis. Data from Carvalho et al. (2006) also seems to endorse this hypothesis. These authors found some forest related euglossine species (e.g. *Eufriesea ornata* (Mócsary, 1896), *Euglossa gaianii* Dressler, 1982 and *Euglossa modestior* Dressler, 1982) in a riparian forest in the municipality of Urbanos Santos, Maranhão state (see Carvalho et al. 2006). It must be pointed out, however, that the Cerrado in northeastern Maranhão is mainly compound by “Cerradão” (the forest physiognomy of the biome) (Silva et al. 2008) and that these authors also assessed a periferic area of the Cerrado biome. Thus, it seems to be much more reasonable to find some forest-dependent elements in a riparian forest in this region than in a core area of Cerrado like the one studied here. As previously suggested for birds (Silva 1996), the number of forest-dependent euglossines in the Cerrado probably decreases with increasing distance to their forest source-biome.

Our results might suggest that riparian forests are not necessary for the conservation of Euglossina in the Cerrado. It should be considered, though, that what our data actually show is that the orchid bees present in the Cerrado domain are able to explore both the savanic and the forest environments. Since our data result from attracting bees to scent baits, it is possible for their greater abundance in the open cerrado s.s. to be just a consequence of the aromatic plume more easily dispersing there than in the more closed forest environment, which slow down winds and might restrict the area reached by the attractive compounds (Bonilla-Gómez 1999). Factors such as different air temperatures and wind speed and direction can cause the formation of different odor plumes in different sites (Murlis et al. 1992). It is possible, then, that orchid bees vague through both environments, exploiting whatever resources they can find in each of them. Neves & Viana (1999), for example, suggested that riparian forests would be important for orchid bees, offering them nesting sites, food resources and protection against intense solar radiation and winds (Moura & Schlindwein 2009).

The data presented here, collected at a core area of the Cerrado, when compared to the results of previous inventories in marginal areas of this domain (Nemésio & Faria 2004, Alvarenga et al. 2007) suggest an impoverishment of diversity and abundance towards
the Cerrado core and the lack of an endemic orchid-bee fauna in this biome; they also suggest a close relationship with the Atlantic Forest fauna (Nemésio & Faria 2004, Nemésio & Silveira 2007b). It is suggested that the Cerrado orchid bee fauna is only a subset of the Atlantic Forest euglossine fauna.

It can be concluded, that, in the Fazenda Brejão: i) the species compositions of the euglossine fauna in the cerrado s.s. and in the riparian forests are the same; ii) riparian forests seem not to have special biogeographic role in relation to definition of the composition of the Cerrado euglossine fauna – they apparently do not act as mesic refuges, and do not house a characteristic fauna, and probably are not acting as corridors for the colonization of the Cerrado domain by euglossine species dependent on forest environments.
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