Does human influence on coastal grasslands habitats affect predation pressure on snakes?
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Abstract: The loss and modification of habitats by humans have been considered key factors in the decline of diversity of species worldwide. However, the real effect caused by these disturbances on the biota is still poorly understood. The assessment of the changes in the network of interspecific interactions, such as predation rates on the native fauna, can be an important tool to diagnose the functionality of disturbed ecosystems. In this study we evaluate the predation rate on snakes in coastal grasslands in South America under human influence. Predation rate of artificial snakes, unlike that obtained in other studies, was lower in human-altered areas than preserved ones. Our findings may be due to a reduction in the abundance and/or richness of species of native predators in more disturbed areas.
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Resumo: A perda e modificação de habitats por seres humanos têm sido consideradas fatores chave no declínio da diversidade de espécies em todo o mundo. No entanto, o efeito real causado por estes distúrbios sobre a biota ainda é pouco compreendido. A avaliação das mudanças na rede de interações interespecíficas, como as taxas de predação sobre a fauna nativa, pode ser uma importante ferramenta para diagnosticar a funcionalidade dos ecossistemas perturbados. Neste estudo foi avaliada a taxa de predação de serpentes em campos costeiros na América do Sul sob a influência humana. A taxa de predação de serpentes artificiais, ao contrário do que foi observado em outros estudos, foi menor em áreas antropizadas do que em áreas preservadas. Esse resultado pode ser devido a uma redução na abundância e/ou riqueza de espécies de predadores nativos nas áreas perturbadas.

Palavras-chave: répteis, dunas costeiras, impacto humano, predação, Brasil.
Introduction

The loss of natural habitats is considered the main factor for the decline of populations and local extinction of species (Wilcove et al. 1998, Vié et al. 2009). Habitat loss is not limited to destruction or substitution, but also changes in basic characteristics (i.e. soil proprieties, sunlight, humidity, and vegetation cover), sometimes making them unsuitable for native species (Hobbs 1987, Campos et al. 2006).

In addition, habitat changes can isolate populations, modify migration, genetic flow patterns, and interspecific interactions (Lillywhite & Henderson 1993, Shine & Fitzgerald 1996, Ujvári et al. 2002). Changes in the patterns of interactions may be a consequence of disturbances on habitat (Polis 1981, Ricklefs 2003, May et al. 2007). An example is the increase in predator population (Robinson & Wilcove 1994, Oehler & Litvaitis 1996) in altered areas, resulting in a negative impact on local communities (Connell 1975, Jakšič et al. 1982). The action of predators can drastically reduce prey populations, especially when they are confined in small fragments of habitat, and even result in local extinctions (Andren & Angelstam 1988, Oehler & Litvaitis 1996). By this way, the study of the networks of interactions among species has become an important tool to diagnose the functionality of ecosystems after human disturbances in their habitat.

However, unlike with birds and mammals, little is known on the general effects of habitat changes on reptile assemblages (Gibbons et al. 2000, Kjoss & Litvaitis 2001). This is even more concerning since reptiles are especially vulnerable to changes in their habitat, due to their limited ability of dispersion and low fecundity (Congdon et al. 1993).

The Neotropical region harbors a large variety of reptile species and habitats, many under human pressure (Seeliger 2003). In Brazil most studies on the interface conservation/habitat/fauna has been conducted in forests, while grasslands are poorly known (Brasil 2004). For coastal grasslands in the southernmost Brazil, the lack of information is a reality and this area is considered a high priority study area (see Brasil 2004). Coastal grasslands in southernmost Brazil have been gradually cleared for the expansion of urban areas, agriculture, and cattle ranching (Seeliger et al. 2000, Seeliger, 2003, Rio Grande do Sul 2007). Thus, these habitats are laboratories for studies on human impact on the native fauna (Tozetti et al. 2010).

In the last decades, predator-prey interactions have been examined using assays with plasticine replicas for several animal groups, such as salamanders (Kuchta 2005), birds (Keyser et al. 1998, Roos 2002), lizards (Castilla & Labra 1998, Shepard 2007) and snakes (e.g. Madsen 1987, Buasso et al. 2006, Mitrovich & Cotronoe 2006, Sherbrooke & Westphal 2006, Pfennig et al. 2007, Harper Junior & Pfennig 2008). Because they are an efficient alternative to direct observation of predation, this method is a tool for studies on interactions or evolutionary processes (Pfennig et al. 2001). Therefore, the present study aimed at assessing the predation rate on artificial snakes in coastal grasslands with different levels of human influence in a southernmost area of Brazil.

Materials and Methods

1. Study area

The study was conducted in coastal grasslands associated with sand dunes in a southernmost region of Brazil, municipality of Rio Grande, Rio Grande do Sul. The vegetation cover is sparse with predominance of grasses and short herbs associated with seasonal water bodies (Cordazzo & Seeliger 1987, Calliari & Klein 1993). The daily temperature varies widely, and can range between 0 °C and 40 °C in the same day, due to the low density of the vegetation and the sandy soil (Calliari & Klein 1993). The climate of the region is classified as superhumid mesothermal, with average annual maximum temperature of 23.3 °C and minimum of 12.7 °C. The seasons are well defined, rainfall is homogeneously distributed throughout the year and the average total annual precipitation is 1.252 mm (Maluf 2000). The region encompasses one of the last remnants of relatively well-preserved coastal grasslands in Brazil. However, this area has been gradually cleared for the expansion of urban and agricultural areas or transformed in pastures for cattle ranching (Seeliger et al. 2000). Experiments were conducted inside an area of approximately 3000 ha of extension of continuous native coastal grassland located between the pairs of coordinates 32° 07’ 54.65” S; 52° 06’ 38.80” O and 32° 17’ 35.07” S; 52° 20’ 53.36” O, approximately at sea level (Figure 1). The area exhibits dispersed human settlements consisted of small groups of families of farmers, cattle ranchers or fishers at the subsistence level. The spatial distribution of the settlements is disorganized and dispersed with extensive uninhabited areas and relatively well-preserved vegetal cover.

2. Sampling design

To examine the relationship between aspects of habitat changes and predation pressure on snakes, two sampling subareas were compared. One of them was a predominantly man-altered habitat and the other, predominantly preserved habitats. The classification of the human interference in these two subareas was defined based on a pilot study. In this stage, 12 transect of 800 m in length and at least 2 km apart were set out. The transects were run during five days each month between 08:00 and 5:00 PM between April 2009 and March 2010.

Areas with preserved habitats were defined as those at least 10 km away from any settlement and that during pilot monitoring of transects exhibited the following characteristics: (a) absence of signs of cattle activity as tracks or scats, (b) domestic animals (dogs and cats) rarely observed (less than one record per month), (c) low traffic in the nearest road (less than one record every five hours), (d) low traffic of people (less than one per month). Human-altered habitats were defined as those less than 10 km from human settlements where: (a) cattle were frequently observed (more than five observations per month), (c) other domestic animals commonly observed (more than one record per month), (d) moderate to high traffic of vehicles and people (at least ten records per month).

Assessment of Snake Predation

After the pilot study, two sampling subareas of approximately 10 ha were established. One was classified as “human-altered habitat” and the other as “preserved habitat”. The assessment of predation consisted of examining imprints of predation attempts on plasticine replicas of snakes distributed in the two habitats. Each model was approximately 20 cm long and 20 g. The coloration was olive green, similar to the color pattern of species commonly found in the region (e.g. Liophis jaegeri (Güther 1858), L. poecilogyrus (Wied 1953); Quintela et al. 2006, 2011).

In each area (preserved and human-altered), 60 artificial snakes were arranged in three groups of 20 snakes each, separated by a distance of at least 200 m. In each group, snakes were placed on the substrate 10 m apart from each other and their location was recorded with GPS. Artificial snakes were placed in portions of the substrate with low density of vegetation, minimizing variations in vegetal cover between areas. The replicas remained in the habitats for three consecutive days. At the end of this period, artificial snakes were located and examined for imprints of predators. “Predated” models were defined as those removed or with marks of bites, scratches or lacerations. Marks by insects, such as beetles or ants, were not accounted in the analysis.
1. Data analysis

Predation was evaluated by calculating the predation rate, defined as the number of predation events divided by the number of artificial snakes (number of events/total number of snakes). Predation rates for artificial snakes attacked in the two habitats were compared with the Mann-Whitney test. Differences between the number of attacks to artificial snakes among repetitions in each habitat were verified with the Kruskal-Wallis test. All differences were considered significant when $p \leq 0.05$ (Zar 1999).

Results

Of the 120 artificial snakes, 69 (57%) exhibited marks of attempted predation. Fewer predation events were observed in the human-altered habitat (25 events or 36.2% of the attacked snakes) than in the preserved habitat (44 events or 63.8% of the attacked snakes; Table 1). The predation rate in the preserved area was 1.76 times higher than that in the human-altered habitat.

The predation rate was significantly higher in the preserved area (mean = 0.30; variation = 0.09 – 0.50) than in the human-altered area (mean = 0.15; variation = 0.03 – 0.2; $U = 4122.5$; $P = 0.004$; $n = 60$). The predation rate varied significantly among the patches of the natural area ($H_{2;60} = 9.01$; $p = 0.0110$). However, this variation was not significant among the patches of the human-altered area ($H_{2;60} = 0.11$; $p = 0.9463$).

In both areas, the most common event was the removal of snakes, totaling 28 records in the preserved grassland and 13 in the human-altered area (Table 1). We observed three lacerations in the artificial snakes, all in the human-altered habitat, and a total of 23 marks of beaks, of which 15 were in the preserved habitat and eight in the human-altered habitat.
human-altered habitat. Claw marks, although relatively rare (one record for each habitat) were probably made by small mammals (Figure 2).

**Discussion**

Reptiles, especially snakes, represent an important food source for a large number of vertebrates, especially birds and mammals (Greene 1997, Hinman et al. 1997, Buasso et al. 2006, Tozetti et al. 2009). However, little is known on how the habitat interferes in predation rates or whether habitat changes can maximize the action of a given type of predator. Our findings allow generalizations on human influence on predation pressure on snakes.

Usually, the difference in the predation rate might be associated to a higher density and/or richness of predator species in the preserved area (see McKinney 2002). However, a large number of studies have reported higher predation rates of the native fauna in human-altered areas due to the introduction of exotic species of predators, such as mammals (Mackin-Rogalska et al. 1988) and birds (Marzluff 2001, Ruxton et al. 2002).

However our data suggests a lower predation rate of snakes in altered area, probably due to the reduction in the number of native predators. We believe that birds exercises the more intense predation pressure on snakes as showed in other studies (e.g. Brodie 1993, Hinman et al. 1997, Pfennig et al. 2001). In fact, despite the intense search and low density of vegetal cover, the removed models were not found, possibly removed by birds of prey (Wilgers & Horne 2007). The human disturbance in study site may be a factor which contributes for decreasing of the birds’ density, a important predators of snakes (Marzluff 2001).

Our results suggest a change in the network of ecological interaction in the studied area. This is a concerning situation, given the threat and intensity with which coastal areas have been modified throughout Brazil.

**Table 1. Absolute number of predatory attacks on artificial snakes in coastal grasslands with different levels of human disturbance in southernmost Brazil.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Habitat</th>
<th>Type of attack</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Laceration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preserved</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human-altered</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2. General aspect of imprints of predatory attacks to artificial snakes. a) beak imprint; b) laceration; c) scratch.**
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