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Abstract

The present study applies a series of new techniques to understand the conservation of Cerrado tree species in the face of climate change. We applied techniques from the emerging field of ecological niche modeling to develop a first-pass assessment of likely effects of climate change on tree species’ distributions in the Cerrado biome by relating known occurrence points to electronic maps summarizing ecological dimensions. Distributional data represent 15,657 records for 162 tree species occurring in Cerrado. By focusing on the trees of one important and highly endemic biome, rather than the biota of a political unit, we were able to focus on developing biome-wide projections. An important limitation of this study is that only those species with more than 30 unique occurrence records were used—hence, the study is limited to those species of relatively broad geographic distribution, and does not take into account those species with narrower geographic distributions. Global climate change scenarios considered were drawn from the general circulation models of HadCM2; we assessed both a conservative and a less conservative scenario of how climates could change over the next 50 year using the (Hadley HHGSDX50 and HHGGAX50 scenarios, respectively): HHGSDX50 assumes 0.5%/yr CO2 increase, whereas HHGGAX50 assumes a 1%/yr CO2 increase. Results of predictions of present and future distributions varied widely among species. Present distributional models predicted areas of 655,211-2,287,482 out of the 2,496,230 km² core area of Cerrado in Brazil. All models used to represent species’ present geographic ranges were highly statistically significant based on independent test data sets of point localities. Most species were projected to decline seriously in potential distributional area, with both scenarios anticipating losses of >50% of potential distributional area for essentially all species. Indeed, out of 162 species examined, between the two climate change scenarios, 18 (HHGSDX50 scenario) - 56 (HHGGAX50 scenario) were predicted to end up without habitable areas in the Cerrado region, and 91 (HHGSDX50 scenario) - 123 (HHGGAX50 scenario) species were predicted to decline by more than 90% in potential distributional area in the Cerrado region. Bearing in mind the limitations of the method, and considering its explicit assumptions, these results nevertheless should be cause for ample concern regarding Cerrado biodiversity. Since only 2.25% of the Cerrado biome is presently protected, this future scenario presents a pessimistic forecast, which would likely include widespread species loss from the biome, as well as dramatic shifts to the south and east, further complicating conservation planning efforts.
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Resumo

Este estudo aplicou técnicas oriundas da emergente técnica de modelagem de nicho ecológico para desenvolver um primeiro passo no entendimento dos efeitos de mudança climática na distribuição de espécies arbóreas do bioma Cerrado, através do cruzamento de informação entre os pontos de ocorrência com coberturas ambientais resumindo as dimensões ecológicas para as espécies analisadas. Os dados de distribuição foram compostos por 15.657 registros para 162 espécies arbóreas registradas para o Cerrado. Uma importante limitação deste estudo é que, devido ao processo de modelagem empregado, o conjunto de dados utilizado apenas considerou as espécies registradas para 30 ou mais localidades diferentes, ou seja, este estudo é limitado para aquelas espécies com distribuição geográfica mais ampla, não levando em conta as espécies de distribuição mais restrita ou de ocorrências únicas. Os modelos de circulação usados foram provenientes do HadCM2, incluindo vários cenários diferentes. Foi utilizado um cenário mais conservativo e outro menos conservativo em relação ao modo como o clima poderia se alterar nos próximos 50 anos usando cenários Hadley HHGSDX50 e HHGGAX50 respectivamente. O cenário HHGSDX50 baseia-se em um aumento de 0,5%/ano de CO2 e o cenário HHGGAX50 baseia-se em um aumento de 1%/ano de CO2 na atmosfera. O resultado desta modelagem mostra uma redução de área de Cerrado de aproximadamente 25% (utilizando-se o cenário mais conservativo) e de 90% (utilizando-se o cenário menos conservativo) da área original utilizada (2.496.230 Km2). Todos os modelos utilizados na distribuição presente das espécies foram altamente significativos, baseados em um conjunto independente de dados de localidades para teste. Muitas espécies tiveram projeções de declínios em suas distribuições geográficas, e, para ambos cenários utilizados, foram previstas reduções maiores que 50% para todas as espécies analisadas. Os casos mais graves foram observados para 18 espécies (para o cenário HHGGAX50) e 36 (cenário HHGAX50) que ficaram sem projeção futura de área habitável, e 91 (HHGSDX50) e 123 (HHGSSDX50) tiveram sua distribuição geográfica reduzida em mais de 90% da área original dessas espécies no bioma Cerrado. Apesar das limitações do método, estes resultados não deixam de ser preocupantes, principalmente pelo fato de hoje o bioma Cerrado contar apenas com cerca de 2,25% de sua área protegida por unidades de conservação, pois além de mostrar uma perda de área habitável para muitas espécies, também mostra um grande deslocamento de áreas habitáveis em direção ao sul e leste do país.
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Introduction

Cerrado, as Brazilian savanna vegetation is called, covers about 2 x 10^6 km^2 of Central Brazil, representing about 23% of the land surface of the country (Ratter et al., 1997). In terms of area, it is exceeded only by one vegetation formation in Brazil, the Amazon forest, which covers about 3.5 x 10^6 km^2. Cerrado vegetation is quite variable in physiognomy, ranging from tall savanna woodland with an almost-closed canopy, to much sparser shrubby forms and even treeless grassland (Eiten, 1992).

Over the last 30 yr or so, the Cerrado region has been transformed by rapid expansion of intensive agriculture; according to Myers et al. (2000), only 20% of the original area remains the primary vegetation. This situation is of great concern because this biome is rich in endemic species; indeed, for plants, endemism reaches 44% of the total of 10,000 species (Myers et al. 2000). This high level of endemism was an important reason in the inclusion of Cerrado in the list of world-wide hotspots for biodiversity (Mittermeier 1999). On top of these concerns, climate change effects across Brazil are projected to be significant—taking the average of two low-to-middle-strength climate change scenarios from the Hadley Center (HHGAX50 and HHGSSDX50), temperature is projected to increase by as much as 23% and precipitation to decrease by as much as 24% across the country—these rather alarming figures beg some investigation of how changing climates are likely to affect Brazil’s biodiversity.

The present study applies a series of new techniques to the challenge of building a better understanding of the present and potential future geographic distributions of Cerrado vegetation, and possible implications for conservation in the face of climate change. Specifically, beyond the present challenge of conserving Cerrado vegetation in the face of increasing agricultural activities, climate change presents a series of new—and very worrisome—challenges. Techniques from the emerging field of ecological niche modeling are applied to permit development of a first-pass assessment of likely effects of climate change on tree species’ distributions in the Cerrado biome.

Methods

The general approach to modeling climate change effects on biodiversity is developed in detail elsewhere (Peterson et al. 2001, Peterson et al. 2002b), as are the details of the algorithm used for modeling species’ ecological niches (Stockwell & Noble 1992, Stockwell 1999, Stockwell & Peters 1999). Previous tests of the predictive ability of this modeling technique for diverse phenomena in various
regions have been published elsewhere (Peterson & Cohoon 1999, Peterson et al. 1999, Peterson 2001, Anderson et al. 2002a, b, Feria & Peterson 2002, Peterson et al. 2002a, Peterson et al. 2002c, Peterson et al. 2002d, Stockwell & Peterson 2002a, b, Anderson et al. 2003, Peterson et al. in press-a, Peterson et al. in press-b). Tests of the application of these techniques to woody plants in South America, including 6 of the species treated herein, were highly statistically significantly better than random models. (Koch et al. Submitted).

Data on distributions and ecological dimensions.—Distributional data representing 15,657 records (i.e., unique species x latitude-longitude combinations) for 162 tree species occurring in Cerrado (sensu lato) were assembled from the Projeto de Cooperação Técnica Conservação e Manejo da Biodiversidade do Bioma Cerrado – EMBRAPA Cerrados – UnB – Ilhama/DFID and RBGE/Reino Unido. The distributional information was originally obtained via the method of wide patrolling (Koch et al. Submitted), which involves coordinated sweeps, recording observed species presences, and with collections made when species are not recognized, or when identification is doubtful. Species encountered were recorded in 15 min intervals to permit development of species accumulation curves. In all, 383 localities in Cerrado were sampled, and a total of 966 species identified.

An important limitation of this study is that only those species for which >30 unique occurrence records were available—hence, the study considers only those species of relatively broad geographic distribution, and does not take into account species with narrower geographic distributions. Environmental data included 9 electronic map layers summarizing slope, aspect, and upward curvature (“topoid”) (from the U.S. Geological Survey’s (http://edcdaac.usgs.gov/etopo30/hydro/) Hydro-1K data set), and aspects of climate including diurnal temperature range; mean annual precipitation; maximum, minimum, and mean annual temperatures; and vapor pressure (annual means 1960-1990; from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (http://www.ipcc.ch/).

Scenarios of climate change.—The general circulation models used were HadCM2 (Koch et al. Submitted); each of these climate models includes several scenarios. We assessed both a conservative and a less conservative estimate of present-day geographic distribution of suitable pixels where the species has not been detected. GARP works precise via sampling of pseudoabsence points from the set of possible occurrence points are divided evenly into training and test data sets. GARP is designed to work based on presence-only data; absences are included in the modeling exercise via sampling of pseudoabsence points from the set of pixels where the species has not been detected. GARP works in an iterative process of rule selection, evaluation, testing, and incorporation or rejection: first, a method is chosen from a set of possibilities (e.g., logistic regression, bioclimatic rules), and then is applied to the training data and a rule developed; rules may evolve by a number of means (e.g., truncation, point changes, crossing-over among rules) to maximize predictivity. Predictive accuracy is then evaluated based on 1250 points resampled from the test data and 1250 points sampled randomly from the study region as a whole. The change in predictive accuracy from one iteration to the next is used to evaluate whether a particular rule should be incorporated into the model, and the algorithm runs either 1000 iterations or until convergence.

Ecological niche models developed with GARP can be projected onto both current and modeled future landscapes. Projection onto the current landscape provides an estimate of present-day geographic distribution of suitable conditions. GARP models consist of an ordered series of if-then statements that predict either presence or absence; these statements can be applied to the transformed land-
scapes to identify areas of potential distribution for a species after the modeled sequences of environmental change.

We thus synthesized the pre- and post-change maps for each species by measuring potential distributional area under assumptions regarding dispersal ability. Unlike previous studies (Peterson et al. 2001, Peterson et al. 2002b), given the minimal dispersal abilities of tree species over the short time span in which drastic climate changes are expected, only a single dispersal assumption was examined. We assumed that species were unable to disperse and would inhabit only those portions of present distributional areas that remain habitable (i.e., the modeled actual distribution was reduced to those areas predicted to be habitable post change). Overall, these analyses assume no evolution in niche characteristics (Peterson et al. 1999), and do not take into account interactions among species such as competition, predation, etc. Given the diverse conditions present across the geographic distribution of the Cerrado, our models appear not to be extrapolating beyond the environmental conditions on which they were fitted: regardless, though, the predictivity of this approach has proven quite high (Peterson et al. 1999, Martínez-Meyer 2002).

To translate distributional patterns into target areas for conservation, we used a heuristic complementarity approach based on interpolated geographic distributions that we have developed in detail elsewhere (Egbert et al. 1998, Godown & Peterson 2000, Peterson et al. 2000, Chen & Peterson 2002). The approach is based on the principle of complementarity among areas (Myers 1988, Dinerstein and Wikramanyake 1993, Lomolino 1994, Csuti et al. 1997). Although complex, globally optimal approaches have been developed (Csuti et al. 1997), we use a simpler heuristic approach owing to the very large number of pixels that are prioritized in our application (~85,000). Here, an initial step consists of summing species’ distributions to identify the area of maximum species richness; species occurring in this area are identified, and eliminated from further analysis. The remaining species are summed, the area representing their peak richness identified, and the process continues until no additional areas of richness >1 species can be identified.

Results

Results of predictions of present and future distributions varied widely among species. Present distributional models predicted areas of 655,211-2,287,482 out of the 2,496,230 km² core area of Cerrado in Brazil (reflecting the fact that relatively broadly distributed species form the basis for this study). All models used to represent species’ present geographic ranges were highly statistically significant based on independent test data sets of point localities (chi-square tests, df = 1, P << 0.05). Example species are shown in Figure 1: many of the species examined are predicted to be distributed broadly throughout the Cerrado region.

Projections of potential distributional areas for these species in 2055 (Figure 1), based on two scenarios of global climate change, indicate serious anticipated effects on Cerrado tree diversity in coming decades. The species richness peak is singular at present, with a region of maximum modeled species richness in northeastern Goiás, Brazil (Figure 2). This peak disappears, and breaks up into a broader, more scattered series of moderate-diversity peaks, under both future scenarios (Figure 2).

Most species were projected to decline seriously in potential distributional area (Figure 3), more seriously so in the HHGGAX50 scenario than in the HHGSDX50 scenario. In both cases, our models anticipate losses of >50% of potential distributional area for essentially all species. Indeed, out of 162 species examined, 18 (HHGSDX50 scenario) – 56 (HHGGAX50 scenario) were predicted to end up without habitable areas in the Cerrado region (extinction in Cerrado), and 91 (HHGSDX50 scenario) – 123 (HHGGAX50 scenario) species were predicted to decline by >90% in potential distributional area in the Cerrado region. An interesting question is whether current distributional area of species is at all related to severity of climate change effects on potential distributional area (i.e., is distributional area related to vulnerability to climate change effects): no significant association was found (linear regression, R² = 0.038, P >> 0.05; Figure 4), which suggests that restricted-range species are not necessarily more vulnerable to climate change effects; still, it should be noted that this analysis does not include species with very small geographic distributions.

Current modeled species diversity among Cerrado trees had a very simple, single-peak distribution (Figure 2). In the sense of area-selection for conservation, this distribution leads to a very simple solution: a single site in northeastern Goiás, Brazil (of course, a broader suite of areas is merited as a safety net for avoidance of disasters, representation of genetic diversity within species, etc.) (Figure 5). However, the picture is quite different as species’ ecological needs are projected into the future: the single peak breaks up into several that follow the southern and eastern fringe of the present distribution of Cerrado (Figure 2). Selection of areas that are maximally complementary in the two future scenarios (Figure 5) confirms this revised view of priority areas: in the HHGSDX50 scenario, the first 5 areas selected included 141 out of the 145 species (87, 38, 7, 6, and 3 species, respectively) not predicted to go extinct in the Cerrado, and extended from the Paraguay border in the south, north and east to an area in western Bahia. The general geographic picture was similar in the HHGGAX50 scenario, but only 103 of the 108 remaining species (63, 32, and 8 species) were included in the first 3 areas (subsequent to 3 areas, no species had overlapping distributional areas).
Figure 1. Examples of predictions of present (1961-1990) and future (2055) distributions of cerrado tree species. Present distributions are depicted as a surface of increasing confidence in prediction of presence in darker colors of red. That part of the distribution predicted at highest confidence levels (all best-subsets models agree) that is projected to remain habitable in 2055 (HHGSDX50 scenario) is colored blue, and that portion projected to remain habitable in 2055 (HHGGAX50 scenario) is colored pink. As these the area predicted present at highest level by the HHGSDX50 scenario is a subset of that area predicted at present, and the area predicted at highest level by the HHGGAX50 scenario is a subset of the area in the HHGSDX50 scenario, colors are overlapped in a single map. Species are as follows: upper left – *Qualea grandiflora*, upper right *Acosmium subelegans*, lower left *Qualea parviflora*, and lower right *Rapanea guianensis*.
Figure 2. Patterns of predicted species richness among the 162 species of cerrado trees analyzed in the core distributional area of cerrado in central and eastern Brazil. Top, present (1961-1990); middle, HHGIDX50 (conservative) climate change scenario; and bottom, HHGGAX50 (less conservative) climate change scenario.
Figure 3. Relationship of severity of prediction of climate change consequences in the HHGSDX50 and HHGGAX50 scenarios. 100% represents no predicted change in potential distributional area. The dotted red line indicates equal effects between the two scenarios.

Figure 4. Modeled percent of present distributional area remaining habitable as a function of present distributional area ($km^2$), showing no consistent relationship (linear regression, $R^2 = 0.038$).
Figure 5. Prioritization of areas for conservation in the face of changing climates, based on two scenarios of global climate change: HHGSDX50 (top) and HHGGAX50 (bottom). The surface of present modeled species richness is shown as a color ramp of increasingly dark shades of red, with the area of peak modeled richness (162 species) shaded pink. Areas that represent optimal areas in a complementarity algorithm are outlined and overlaid. For DX, area 1 (blue circle indicates approximate position), 87 species; area 2 (green area at southern extreme, 38 species; area 3 (pink outline), 7 species; area 4 (yellow outline), 6 species; and area 5 (white outline), 3 species. For AX, area 1 (blue circle), 63 species; area 2 (green outline), 32 species; and area 3 (pink outline), 8 species.
Figure 6. Illustration of the contrasts between modeled potential geographic distribution of cerrado tree species (light gray = 1-80 species, lightest red = 81-120 species, light red = 121-140 species, red >140 species) and the actual distribution of patches of the vegetation type (data from Sistema de Informação Ambiental do Programa BIOTA- FAPESP, http://sinbiota.cria.org.br).
Discussion

This study represents one of few broad-spectrum surveys of anticipated climate change effects on biodiversity at the species level carried out to date (Bakkenes et al. 2002, Erasmus et al. 2002, Peterson et al. 2002b). By focusing on the trees of one biome, which has extremely high endemism (44%) among plant taxa (Myers et al. 2000), rather than the biota of a political unit (Peterson et al. 2002b), we are able to focus on biome-wide projections, and this study has immediate implications for the broader biodiversity of the Cerrado. However, this modeling and projection exercise has limitations, which should be reviewed before the biological and conservation implications are considered.

Limitations of climate change projections for Cerrado trees.—The principal limitation in the development of climate change projections for species’ geographic distributions is the availability of fine-grained future climate models. The modeled climate data used herein had a native spatial resolution of 2.5 x 3.75° (Carson 1999), which we downscaled crudely to 0.5 x 0.5° via application of change scenarios to finer-resolution present-day climate maps. Nevertheless, even the 0.5° resolution is unsatisfactory for detailed consideration of species’ distributions. A clear and pressing solution to this challenge is that of shifting distributional modeling efforts to be based on regional (not global) climate models (e.g., VEMAP—http://www.cgd.ucar.edu/vemap/) that can provide better spatial resolution.

A second important limitation of this implementation of climate change projections for species’ distributions is that of the availability of point-occurrence information for species outside of the Cerrado biome. That is, our models have the potential to underestimate the breadth of species’ ecological niches because conspecific populations in other biomes were not generally represented in our point-occurrence data set. Although tests of this complication for 3 species for which information was available indicated excellent predictions of entire distributions of species from the Cerrado occurrence data (Siqueira unpubl. data), this problem must be borne in mind as potentially serious.

Our approach also does not take into consideration other factors that may affect ecological and geographic distributions of species, including interactions with other species (e.g., competitors, pollinators, predators, pathogens), which under certain circumstances may play important roles in shaping distributions (Davis et al. 1998). Other factors, such as dispersal ability, were treated here under restrictive assumptions (i.e., no dispersal ability). Recent information regarding natural history and basic biology (Oliveira & Marquis 2002, Oliveira & Gibbs 2002) of most Cerrado woody plants (Ratter et al. 1996) makes possible a more informed interpretation of the results and patterns that we found—such information should be taken into account in the development of conservation strategies based on our data and results.

Another important consideration is the changing picture of land use, and its interactions with climate change effects on species’ distributions. Sala et al. (2000), for example, considered evolving land use patterns to have much more immediate effects on species’ distributional patterns than climate change per se, and a few studies have attempted to consider both factors simultaneously (Bethke & Nudds 1995, Benning et al. 2002). Although such factors clearly are important—evolving patterns of land use in the face of changing climates will certainly define the fine-scale limitations on distributional patterns of natural vegetation—they will, nevertheless operate within the framework of possibilities assessed in the present study.

This study was based on Cerrado tree species for which large samples (≥30) of unique point occurrences were available. This limitation, which was based on sample sizes generally necessary for high-quality distributional predictions (Stockwell & Peterson 2002b), necessarily limited our analyses to species with relatively broad distributions. Although explorations are underway to develop a solution to this complication (Peterson et al., unpubl. data), this problem is of particular concern in the present application, as many species (~30%) are known from single sites only.

Finally, the present study was developed in terms of before-and-after potential distributional areas only. Clearly, a decade-by-decade picture of the shifts anticipated herein would provide much-improved detail regarding changes to be expected. Moreover, the high degree of fragmentation of Cerrado and related habitats could not be taken into consideration given the temporally heterogeneous nature of the occurrence information and the lack of before-and-after views of land use patterns in the Cerrado biome. The actual fragmentation of Cerrado vegetation in Brazil is of great concern and in São Paulo State it is extreme (Figure 6), so the broad-scale analyses developed herein will eventually have to be interpreted in the view of present land-use patterns.

Comparison with Mexico.—This study was developed using methods and data sets directly comparable to those used in a recent survey of climate change effects on sectors of biodiversity (birds, mammals, butterflies) in Mexico (Peterson et al. 2002b). Mexico is a very mountainous country, whereas the Cerrado region is much more flat. Other studies with these methodologies and data sets have noted striking contrasts in climate change effects on species’ distributions depending on such topographic contrasts (Peterson 2003). Although the taxa involved are not the same, in the sense of understanding this relatively new methodology and its implications and sensibilities, the striking contrast of distributional consequences is worthy of comment.
In the Mexican analyses, most species lost little (<50%) of their potential distributional area, even under the relatively harsh ‘no dispersal’ assumption employed in the cerrado tree analyses. This result stands in contrast to the cerrado tree results, in which species invariably lost much more than 50% of their distributional areas. A commonality is that in both sets of analyses, species’ distributional responses to climate change were not simple and predictable, emphasizing the idiosyncratic nature of species’ responses to climate change (Bakkenes et al. 2002, Peterson et al. 2002b) and the inadequacy of broad-brush, biome-level projections (Chapin et al. 2000). The contrast in severity of results points strongly towards the differences in severity of climate change effects on biodiversity between mountainous regions and flatlands regions that has been pointed out elsewhere (Peterson 2003)—flatlands regions (such as the cerrado) are, in the end, much more vulnerable to broad-scale horizontal translations of habitable areas for species.

Implications for cerrado conservation.—Since the 1960s, cerrado has been under intense pressure from broad agricultural expansion (Klink et al. 1993). In just 15 yr, the spatial extent of human activity in the cerrado rose from 29% (1985) to 50% (2000) (Nepstad et al. 1997). In spite of large areas of cerrado remaining in central Brazil, the increasing pressure on this ecosystem is of particular concern because the biome is underrepresented among Brazilian protected areas. In fact, only 2.25% (considering conservation units of direct and indirect use) of the original area of the biome occurs in protected areas (Ministério do Meio Ambiente 1998). However, to achieve a better level of protection for cerrado, it is necessary to take into account that it is so extensive, so heterogeneous, and so rich in rare species (Ratter et. al. 2002). Hence, the area presently preserved must be expanded to include the various floristic sub-provinces within the biome. This within-biome variation is little appreciable in the present study owing to the generally broad distributions of the taxa studied.

In terms of present species richness, a ‘core area’ of the cerrado can easily be identified in central Brazil (Ratter et al. 2002). Although this area is generally considered to be richer in species than peripheral areas, results from the Conservação e Manejo da Biodiversidade do Bioma Cerrado project show that some peripheral cerrado areas (drainage areas of the rivers Araguaia, Tocantins, and Xingu, and areas of the cerrado in São Paulo State) may equal or even exceed the diversity present in core areas. Unfortunately, several of these peripheral areas are now severely perturbed—in São Paulo, only 0.95% of the original extent of cerrado remains intact (Kronka et al. 1998), which is certainly having implications in terms of genetic variability and demographic viability of some populations.

The present study presents a further complication in such considerations. What is today the diversity peak, roughly corresponding to the core areas that have been identified previously, is not expected to continue to be a diversity peak in coming decades. Rather, if our model projections are even close to being reasonable, conservation in the cerrado will become a considerably more complex endeavor—numerous peripheral areas will have to be considered in order to include even a moderate portion of cerrado tree diversity.

The Workshop of Priority Areas for Conservation in the cerrado Region (Brasil 1999) identified 87 important areas for conservation, of which more than 30 represent areas south and southeast of the present distribution pattern of the cerrado. Cavalcanti & Joly (2002) presented several methods and respective results for identifying priorities for biodiversity conservation in the cerrado region. The authors consider that if only about 20% of the cerrado is still undisturbed, then it would be a reasonable goal to preserve at least 10% of the biome. In this context, we hope that our results serve to bolster the argument to focus attention on areas south and southeast of the present diversity peaks in the cerrado. These areas, while highly fragmented and occupied by agriculture and urban expansion (Cavalcanti & Joly 2002), may nevertheless be key in future conservation of the cerrado, if the climate change projections become reality.
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