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ABSTRACT: This study evaluated the TMJ images of normal subjects and of those who presented TMD (temporomandibular disorders) using linear tomography at rest position. Craniomandibular Index (CMI) and a questionnaire on subjective symptoms were used to assess 217 subjects aged 12 to 18 years. Those with the highest and the lowest scores were divided into Control (n = 20) and TMD groups (n = 20), respectively. Corrected tomography was used to measure the narrowest anterior, superior and posterior joint spaces, to determine the condyle position in all 40 subjects. The distance means did not correlate with CMI scores (p > 0.05). The number of posteriorly positioned condyles was significantly higher in TMD patients (p = 0.05), especially in females. It was concluded that condyle position in linear tomography at rest position cannot yield TMD diagnosis.
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INTRODUCTION

The term temporomandibular disorders (TMD) is defined as “collective term embracing a number of clinical problems that involve the masticatory musculature, the temporomandibular joint (TMJ) and associated structures, or both”16. Temporomandibular disorders are considered to be a subclassification of musculoskeletal disorders and are a major source of orofacial pain of non-dental origin18.

TMD in children and adolescents is considered to have a multifactorial etiology3,17,28. Because of the difficulty in establishing a precise etiology, TMD is often defined on the basis of signs and symptoms, the most common of which are TMJ and muscle pain, mouth opening limitation, click and crepitation5,12.

Whenever a patient presents a suspected TMJ problem, the radiographic examination is part of the patient’s complete evaluation1. Plain-film radiography and tomography are basic imaging techniques for the assessment of the TMJ.

Observations on condyle position are now being studied and related to TMD; however, its therapeutic implications still remain unclear in the related literature, as well as its importance in the TMD etiology. Previous studies have evaluated the prevalence of anterior, concentric, and posterior condyle position in asymptomatic volunteers2,3,19,22, and in symptomatic patients3,11,21,25. Research has suggested that the measurement of the joint space and the determination of condylar position is of questionable value, given the high...
variability of condylar position within the fossa in the adult population. Although the variability in condylar position in adults may minimize the diagnostic value of the measurement, the assessment of the joint space and condylar position in adolescents may be of value.

The purpose of this study was to determine joint space and condylar position in adolescents with and without TMD viewed in axial linear corrected tomography at mandibular rest position and to correlate these findings to the clinical diagnosis of TMD.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The subjects were selected from public schools in the city of Piracicaba/Brazil. The parents and the adolescents were informed of the purpose of the study and they gave their written and verbal consent. The Ethics Committee of the School of Dentistry of Piracicaba approved the research. Adolescents who received any type of orthodontic treatment prior to or during the research examination period were excluded from the study. Initially, the signs of TMD were assessed in 217 adolescents (120 female/97 male) aged 12 to 18 years, according to the Craniomandibular Index (CMI), as described by Fricton, Schiffman (1986), by two calibrated examiners (kappa = 0.936). The CMI has a 0 to 1 scale that measures tenderness and dysfunction in the stomatognathic system and includes all currently recognized signs of TMJ disorders. There are 2 subscales: the Dysfunction Index (DI) and the Palpation Index (PI). The DI is designed to measure limitation in mandibular movement, pain and deviation in movement, TMJ noise, and TMJ tenderness. The PI measures the prevalence of muscle tenderness in the stomatognathic system. Thus, this index separates joint problems from muscle problems.

A self-report questionnaire was used to assess subjective symptoms according to Riolo et al. (1987), regarding pain in the jaws when in function, unusually frequent headaches (more than once a week), stiffness/tiredness in the jaws, difficulty in opening the mouth wide, grinding teeth, and TMJ sounds. Each question should be answered with “yes” or “no”.

After all parameters had been checked, the median score for the CMI was determined. Forty adolescents out of the 217 subjects were selected to dichotomize the data in order to compare “extreme” groups, the lower and upper extremity values being used to compose the control group (10 males/10 females) and the TMD group (10 males/10 females), respectively. In addition, subjects from the TMD group should present at least one subjective symptom of TMD. The linear tomography was performed in both groups.

Linear tomography

Corrected linear tomograms (Quint Sectograph, Quint Co., Los Angeles, USA) were obtained with teeth at rest position. The center cut film was used for analysis. Linear measurements, as described by Pullinger, Hollender (1986), were taken twice by a single examiner of the subjective closest posterior (P), superior (S) and anterior (A) radiological intra-articular spaces. The mean for each space (P, S and A) was used for statistical analysis. The position of the mandibular condyle was described as anterior, concentric, or posterior according to the following equation: linear ratio = (P – A)/(P + A) × 100, where P = the closest posterior linear measurement and A = the closest anterior linear measurement. If the linear ratio was less than –12, the condyle was considered posterior. If the ratio was between –12 and +12, the condyle position was considered concentric. If the ratio was greater than +12, the condyle position was considered anterior.

Statistical analysis

The values of the distance for anterior, superior and posterior joint spaces measured by tomography were analyzed using the paired t-test in both control and TMD groups to verify differences between right and left sides and the non-paired t-test to compare distances between groups and genders. Pearson’s correlation coefficient and linear regression were determined among the distances obtained in the exams and the CMI, DI and PI. The significance level was set at p ≤ 0.05. Fisher’s exact test (2-tail) was used to analyze the association between condyle position, groups and gender.

RESULTS

The mean values obtained for control and TMD groups regarding CMI, DI and PI scores are shown in Table 1.

Table 2 shows the mean distances for the anterior, superior and posterior joint spaces obtained in the linear measurements of tomograms in con-
There was no statistical difference between right and left sides in both groups. Data were pooled and an individual mean was obtained for each patient to perform the correlation tests. In relation to gender, there was no significant difference in the studied sample.

**DISCUSSION**

Epidemiologic studies have shown that signs and symptoms of TMD can be found in all age
According to Egermark et al. (2001) the prevalence is low in young children but increases with age in adolescence up to young adulthood. Since signs and symptoms of TMD involve the muscle of mastication, TMJ and associated structures, it was considered important to determine these variables associated with imaging exams in young subjects, allowing the early diagnosis of structural alterations that could influence the normal growth and of the stomatognathic development system.

The decision to implement a dysfunction index in the present study (CMI) was based on the possibility of objectively measuring the severity of problems in mandibular movements, joint noise, and muscle and joint tenderness, using clearly defined criteria, simple clinical methods and easy scoring. In addition, this index has a good intra- and inter-examiner agreement.

With regard to the condyle position in the linear tomograms, it is important to bear in mind that all studies in the related literature refer to the maximal intercuspal measurements. However, most of the time, people generally maintain the mandible at rest position. Thus, the condyle at rest position could cause more cumulative effects on TMJs than the maximal intercuspal position.

### TABLE 5 - Condyle position gender distribution in control and TMD (temporomandibular disorders) groups (p = 0.03).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Girls control</th>
<th>Boys control</th>
<th>Girls TMD</th>
<th>Boys TMD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>14 (70%)</td>
<td>16 (80%)</td>
<td>9 (45%)</td>
<td>16 (80%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentric</td>
<td>5 (25%)</td>
<td>4 (20%)</td>
<td>4 (20%)</td>
<td>3 (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior</td>
<td>1 (5%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>7 (35%)</td>
<td>1 (5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**FIGURE 1** - Linear regression between the mean values of the articular anterior, superior and posterior spaces obtained by tomography measurements and the Dysfunction Index (DI) (p < 0.05 for tomography anterior versus DI).
Bonilla-Aragon et al. (1999) measured joint spaces in linear tomograms for condyle position determination. Even though there was a significant distal positioning of the condyle in patients with disk displacement, the authors suggested that the position of the condyle was a poor predictor of the presence of internal derangement. In this study, the TMD group also presented a significantly higher number of distally positioned condyles when compared to the control group, corroborating the findings of the above-mentioned authors. However, a higher number of anteriorly positioned condyles were found due to the rest position image used in this study. According to Kordass (1999) the occlusal pressure transmitted into the joint compartments makes the condyle slide more or less retrocranially whereas the articular disk takes up a more displaced position and a deformed shape, which may change the width of joint spaces in those images in which the soft tissues cannot be well defined.

The joint spaces were also measured in adolescents by Major et al. (2002) and the values found for the anterior, superior and posterior joint spaces were similar. The minor differences could be explained by the mandible position since the mean age of the adolescents was the same. The authors concluded that as disc displacement increases, the superior joint space decreases, and anterior and posterior joint spaces increase significantly. In this study, such relationships could also be seen as shown in Figures 1 to 3. As the index values (CMI, DI and PI) increase, the anterior joint space also increases and the superior joint space decreases.

In the current study, there were no significant differences between groups and genders regarding the joint space measurements. However, there was a significant difference in condyle position (p = 0.05) between groups, indicating a high number of distally positioned condyles in the TMD group. Kinniburgh et al. (2000) conducted a study considering the condyle position and the joint spaces in adolescents with and without anterior disk displacement. The combined and female samples showed a statistically significant

**FIGURE 2** - Linear regression between the mean values of the articular anterior, superior and posterior spaces obtained by tomography measurements and the Palpation Index (PI) (p > 0.05).
difference between joints with normal and anterior disk position for all joint-space measurements and condylar position. Similarly, male joints displayed significantly different values for anterior and superior joint spaces and condylar position. In this study, when the condyle position was evaluated in differing genders, there was a significant difference ($p = 0.03$) in the total sample. When female joints were analyzed alone, this difference did not occur, with a $p$ value of 0.065; and for the male joints the $p$ was 1.0, which may suggest that the difference found in the total sample was due to the greater evidence of distally positioned condyles in females. The differences found between the studies may be corroborated by the fact that those authors had a magnetic resonance diagnosis of internal derangement for the experimental group. However, in this study, the TMD group had only a clinical diagnosis, which may suggest that some patients might have had muscle alterations without internal derangement.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Even though there was a significant difference for condyle position determined by tomograms, it cannot be inferred that distally positioned condyles can predict TMD. As there was a great variation in condylar position in both groups, this evaluation was considered inconclusive for TMD diagnosis. This finding implies that changing the position of the condyle based on tomography is not justified as a therapeutic procedure. However, the diagnostic validity of tomography cannot be discarded, since it is a reliable exam for osseous diseases.
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